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Numerous plant species have been known for decades that respond to herbivore attacks by systemically synthesizing defensive chemicals to protect themselves from predators. The nature of systemic wound signals remained obscure until 1991, when an 18-aa peptide called systemin was isolated from tomato leaves and shown to be a primary signal for systemic defense. More recently, two new hydroxyproline-rich, glycosylated peptide defense signals have been isolated from tobacco leaves, and three from tomato leaves. Because of their origins in plants, small sizes, hydroxyproline contents (tomato systemin is proline-rich), and defense-signaling activities, the new peptides are included in a functionally defined family of signals collectively called systemins. Here, we review structural and biological properties of the systemin family, and discuss their possible roles in systemic wound signaling.
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Systemin, the initial peptide signal found in plants, is an intracellular signaling molecule that is synthesized within the amino acid sequence of a 200-aa precursor, called prosystemin (1, 2). Systemin induces proteinase inhibitor protein synthesis in leaves of young tomato plants when supplied for a few minutes through their cut stems at nanomolar concentrations (1). Radioactively labeled systemin, when placed on wound sites on leaves, is found in the phloem (1, 3). A key role for systemin in systemic signaling was established by showing that tomato plants expressing an antisense prosystemin gene become deficient in long-distance wound signaling and are more susceptible to insect attacks than wild-type plants (4).

In contrast to animal peptide hormones, the systemin precursor protein lacks a leader or signal sequence that is required for synthesis and processing through the secretory pathway (2). Immunolocalization techniques revealed that prosystemin is localized in parenchyma cells of vascular bundles (5). This localization in the vicinity of the sieve tubes of the phloem may facilitate transport of systemin and the oxylipins it induces in response to wounding to distal cells. Systemin activates defensive genes by interacting with a cell-surface receptor, called SR160, a 160-kDa transmembrane protein with an extracellular leucine-rich domain, and an intracellular receptor kinase domain (6, 7). The interaction of systemin with the receptor is the first step of a complex intracellular signaling pathway that involves the activation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) (8), the rapid alkalization of the extracellular medium (7, 9), the activation of a phospholipase (10, 11), and the release of linolenic acid that is converted into oxylipins such as phytiendioic acid and jasmonic acid that are powerful signals for defense genes (Fig. 1) (12, 13). The pathway exhibits analogies to the inflammatory response in animals (14) in which wounding activates MAPKs, phospholipases, the release of arachidonic acid from membranes, and its conversion to prostaglandins, which are analogs of phytodiendioic acid and jasmonic acid.

The early alkalization in response to systemin in tomato suspension cultures was the basis for the development of an assay system that led to the identification and characterization of the systemin receptor, SR160 (7). SR160 is homologous to the BRI1 receptor from Arabidopsis (15), with a high percentage of amino acid identity. This was the first indication that the systemin receptor may be a close relative of the BRI1 receptor. This possibility was confirmed by the identification and cloning of the tomato brassinolide receptor, BRI1 (16), which was found to be identical to the tomato SR160 receptor. The identity of a receptor with two functions, i.e., defense and development, was unique in plants, but examples are known in the animal kingdom. The dual function of the SR160/BRI1 receptor was supported by experiments in which the tomato SR160/BRI1 receptor cDNA was expressed in tobacco, which does not express a prosystemin gene and therefore does not produce systemin as a defense signal (17). Transformed tobacco suspension-cultured cells synthesized the receptor and targeted it to the cell surface membranes of tobacco, where it displayed the identical binding characteristics with systemin as SR160 in tomato cells. The systemin–receptor interaction in tobacco cells induced the alkalization response, indicating that signaling components for the early steps in the systemin signaling pathway were present in tobacco and could be activated by the tomato SR160 receptor when it interacted with systemin. Additionally, a tomato mutant cu-3, which was caused by a mutation in the BRI1 receptor and led to the isolation of the BRI1 gene (16), is severely impaired in systemin signaling (17).

Because tobacco does not produce systemin, the presence of components in tobacco cells that react to the systemin–receptor interaction indicated that the BRI1 receptor may have, or may have had in the past, a defensive role in plants that was co-opted by systemin as the prosystemin gene evolved in species of the Solanaceae subtribe of the Solanaceae family. Tobacco does exhibit a fairly strong systemic defense response to wounding in young plants, but it is much weaker in older plants. Wounded tobacco plants synthesize a trypsin inhibitor (TTI) that is a paralog of tomato inhibitor II (18), which is induced in tomato leaves in response to wounding. The induction of TTI in tobacco
leaves in response to wounding indicates a genetic link between the wound-signaling systems of tomato and tobacco, despite the absence of systemin in tobacco. The synthesis of TTI in young tobacco plants is strongly induced by jasmonic acid (18), indicative of the early steps of signaling that result in the release of linolenic acid from membranes, similar to tomato plants. The roles of both systemin and jasmonate in systemic signaling have been the subject of considerable speculation (19, 20). We hypothesize that the evolution of the prosystemin gene in species of the Solanaceae subtribe resulted in the production of systemin, a strong systemic signal that is not found in other species of the Solanaceae subtribe. This hypothetical scenario led us to postulate that the evolution of systemin gene in Solanaceae species led to the production of systemin, which is not glycosylated, whose prolines are not hydroxylated and glycosylated, like well characterized hydroxyproline-rich systemins (21). Tobacco systemins I and II (21). However, because of their hydroxyproline (O) contents, they are now named tobacco hydroxyproline-rich systemins (TobHypSys) I and II to identify them as members of a functionally related systemin family (22).

Because of their similarities to tomato systemin in signaling properties, the two peptides were called tobacco systemin I and II (21). Their amino acid sequences are shown in Fig. 2. Neither peptide exhibits homology with tomato systemin, but -OOS- motifs found in the tobacco peptides are posttranslational modifications of the primary translation motifs -PPS- that is found in tomato systemin (1). The two peptides are rich in P/O residues, and in S and T residues as well. These three amino acids make up 50% of each peptide and are likely involved in their recognition as defense signals.

Mass spectroscopy of the two peptides revealed that the attached carbohydrate moieties consist of pentose residues; nine in TobHypSys I and six in TobHypSys II. The structural properties of TobHypSys I and II (leader sequence, hydroxylation of P-residues, and carbohydrate decorations) indicate that they are synthesized through the secretory system, unlike tomato systemin, which is not glycosylated, whose prolines are not hydroxylated, and whose precursor has no signal sequence (1, 2). Both TobHypSys peptides originate from a single 16S-aa-long preprotein, including a signal sequence, with the TobHypSys I sequence near the N terminus and the TobHypSys II sequence near the C terminus (21). The presence of multiple signaling peptides contained in a single prepropeptide is a characteristic of many animal peptide hormones, but the two tobacco systemins provide the first example in plants of a peptide hormone precursor harboring multiple peptide signals.

Although tobacco does not use a tomato systemin homolog for systemic wound signaling, TobHypSys I and II appear to serve roles in defense signaling. Because proTobHypSys is hydroxylated and glycosylated, like well characterized hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins (22), it may be associated with cell walls, and may be processed from the precursor at wound sites to provide signals to amplify the synthesis of oxylipins...
The number of pentose units associated to each peptide are shown in the right column.

**Peptides** | **Amino Acid Sequences** | **Pentose Units**
--- | --- | ---
TomHypSys I | ATOVIT000OTSSCOTH | 8-17
TomHypSys II | GRDSTVA00000KPGDEQ | 12-16
TomHypSys III | GRHDSVL00000KTD | 10

Fig. 3. The amino acid sequences of tomato hydroxyproline-rich systemins, TomHypSys I, proline (P), TomHypSys II, and TomHypSys III, are shown. Hydroxyproline (O), threonine (T), and serine (S) residues are colored as in Fig. 2. The number of pentose units associated to each peptide are shown in the right column.

During long distance wound signaling, Zhang and Baldwin (24) have elegantly shown that wounding of tobacco causes the synthesis of jasmonic acid that acts as a systemic signal from leaves to roots. It may be that the TobHypSys peptides help generate jasmonic acid that is targeted to the roots of the plant in response to wounds.

**Tomato Leaf Hydroxyproline-Containing Systemin Peptides**

The isolation of 18-aa, glycosylated, hydroxyproline-containing tobacco systemins led to an investigation of the possibility that tomato plants may also have peptide defense signals similar to the tobacco systemins. The alkalization assay used to identify and isolate the two tobacco systemins was used to analyze tomato leaf extracts for peptide signals in addition to systemin. The assay identified several components from tomato leaf extracts that caused an alkalization response. Purification and characterization of these components confirmed that one peptide was tomato systemin and identified three new peptides (22). The novel peptides exhibit several properties similar to TobHypSys I and II, being hydroxyproline-rich glycopeptides, and ranging in size from 15 to 20 aa. Each of the peptides contains an internal continuous sequence of from 5 to 11 aa variously composed of O, P, S, or T residues, and all are flanked by various charged residues (Fig. 3). The peptides are decorated with variable numbers of pentose residues, but their identities and locations on the peptides have not been determined. The amino acid sequences of tomato hydroxyproline-rich systemins (TomHypSys) I and III indicated that they shared limited amino acid sequence homology and were likely products of gene duplication events. The three tomato peptides exhibit similar specific activities in the alkalization assays, and all are effective inducers of protease inhibitors I and II synthesis when supplied to young tomato plants. The tomato peptides were therefore included in the functionally defined systemin family and named tomato hydroxyproline-rich systemins, i.e., TomHypSys I (18 amino acid residues), TomHypSys II (20 amino acid residues), and TomHypSys III (15 amino acid residues). Although the three TomHypSys peptides are powerful inducers of defense genes when supplied to excised tomato plants, they do not serve as primary systemic signals, because tomato plants transformed with an antisense prosystemin gene were incapable of systemic signaling in response to wounding (4).

Isolation and characterization of cDNAs coding for the tomato peptides revealed that all three were derived from the same 146-aa preproprotein precursor that includes a signal sequence. This precursor, along with the precursor of the TobHypSys peptides, provides the only examples in plants of polyprotein hormone precursors. Box diagrams of the three precursor proteins that harbor the six members of the systemin family are compared in Fig. 4. A comparison of the amino acid sequences of the TomHypSys precursor with the TobHypSys precursor revealed a 10-aa sequence at their N termini that were identical at eight residues. The nucleotide sequence identity of this sequence was 90%. The significance of this identity is not clear, but does suggest that the two precursor genes may have a common ancient precursor, and that this sequence may have an important function that has been conserved. No homology was evident between prosystemin and either of the two preproprotein precursors. However, it is of interest that the sequence of tomato systemin contains 7 of 18 residues that are P, S, and T (1). Because prosystemin has been found only in species of the Solanaceae subtribe of the Solanaceae family, we speculate that prosystemin may have been a member of the TomHypSys family and that some mutational event may have caused the loss of the leader sequence that resulted in the synthesis of the nascent precursor peptide to shift from a secretory pathway origin to a cytoplasmic origin, providing a powerful systemic defense signal (systemin) that was retained in the evolving species of the Solanaceae subtribe.

**Perspectives**

The multiple P, O, S, and T residues in all six members of the systemin family in tomato and tobacco plants suggest that these residues have important structural roles for interacting with receptors. The P residues confer polyproline II structures (PP II) that have distinct kinks that may be the key to receptor recognition (25, 26). PP II structures are commonly found in peptide ligands of animals, where they appear to be important for recognition by receptors (27). In all five HypSys peptides, the central P and O residues are flanked by basic or acidic amino acids, either internally or near both the N and C termini.

The discovery of the HypSys defense signals in tomato and tobacco raise many questions about wound signaling in these and other plant species. The relationship of the systemins to local and systemic signaling and whether the HypSys peptides interact with homologs of the systemin receptor or have entirely different receptors for each peptide remain to be determined. Also of interest is whether the different peptides in tomato plants can activate the same complement of defense genes as systemin in response to wounding. The presence of a family of functionally similar HypSys defense signaling peptides in tomato and tobacco that are derived from paralogous precursors introduces the possibility that, in other plant families, related defense signaling peptides may be present that share a common ancestral origin. A search for such signals is now possible by using the same strategies that led to the discovery of the defense signaling peptides and their genes in tomato and tobacco that are described herein.
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