Conditional mutagenesis using site-specific recombination in *Plasmodium berghei*
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Reverse genetics in *Plasmodium*, the genus of parasites that cause malaria, still faces major limitations. Only red blood cell stages of this haploid parasite can be transfected. Consequently, the function of many essential genes in these and subsequent stages, including those encoding vaccine candidates, cannot be addressed genetically. Here, we establish conditional mutagenesis in *Plasmodium* by using site-specific recombination and the Flp/FRT system of yeast. Site-specific recombination is induced after cross-fertilization in the mosquito vector of two clones containing either the target sequence flanked by two FRT sites or the Flp recombinase. Parasites that have undergone recombination are recognized in the cross progeny through the expression of a fluorescence marker. This approach should permit to dissect the function of any essential gene of *Plasmodium* during the haploid phase of its life, i.e., during infection of salivary glands in the mosquito and infection of both the liver and red blood cells in the mammal.

All symptoms and complications of malaria are caused by the multiplication of *Plasmodium* parasites inside the red blood cells (RBC) of a host. The parasite is transmitted between two mammalian hosts through mosquitoes, typically *Anopheles*, during blood feeding (Fig. 1). It is ingested as sexual forms (gametocytes), and fertilization occurs rapidly in the lumen of the mosquito midgut. It is then inoculated to a new mammalian host as haploid sporozoites, which transform inside hepatocytes into forms that invade RBC.

Genome manipulation is an essential tool for understanding key events in the *Plasmodium* life cycle in molecular terms. Stable transfection of the parasite (1, 2) and modification of its genome by homologous recombination (3–5) are now common procedures. The genome, however, can be manipulated only in RBC stages of the parasite, which are the only stages that can be produced in large amounts and subjected to selection. An important limitation of the current technology is that loss-of-function mutations cannot be selected in genes that play a role in parasite invasion of, or multiplication inside RBC, which are required for selection. Although evidence can be gained that a gene is important for the RBC cycle when its disruption cannot be selected, as has been reported for the leading vaccine candidate merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP-1) (6) and apical membrane antigen 1 (AMA-1) (7) and many other proteins (8), the actual function of the protein cannot be studied in the RBC or subsequent stages. Likewise, an increasing proportion of *Plasmodium* proteins are recognized as being produced at more than just one parasite stage, and gene inactivation in RBC stages can only reveal their earlier role in the cycle. Therefore, conditional procedures are needed for inactivating or activating genes at will during the *Plasmodium* life cycle.

Site-specific recombination (SSR) offers an effective way to inactivate a gene in a temporally defined manner. Two SSR systems have been widely used in eukaryotes, Cre/loxP of bacteriophage P1 and Flp/FRT of yeast. The recombinases, CRE and Flp, catalyze a reciprocal conservative recombination between two of their respective target sites, loxP and FRT, without the need for cofactors (9, 10). Depending on the position and relative orientation of the target sites, recombination can delete, invert, or insert DNA. This paper demonstrates that SSR can be used in *Plasmodium berghei*, a plasmodial species that infects rodents and can be cycled routinely through *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes. We developed procedures for inducing SSR in mosquito stages of the parasite by using the Flp/FRT system. The strategy is based on a cross between a parasite target clone bearing a “flirted” sequence of interest (i.e., flanked by
two FRT sequences) and a deleter clone bearing the recombinase under the control of a stage-specific promoter. The progeny that has undergone the SSR event is fluorescent, allowing for both recognition of the SSR mutants and characterization of their phenotype in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Construction of Plasmids. All targeting plasmids used in this study contain the pUC plasmid backbone and the human dihydrofolate reductase (hDHFR) selectable marker (11). Both the Flp gene and the FRT sequences originating from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae have the WT sequence. Plasmids were transformed into XL10-Gold ultracompetent bacteria (Stratagene), and bacteria were grown overnight at 30°C in yeast extract tryptone medium (2YT, Becton Dickinson). For details of the strategies and sequences that are required for constructing pTARGET and pDELETER plasmids, see Supporting Materials and Methods, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site.

Parasite Transfection and Genotype Analysis. Transfection of WT P. berghei NK65 parasites, genomic DNA extraction, and analysis of the transgenic locus were performed as described in ref. 13 (see Supporting Materials and Methods).

Mosquito Infection. Equal amounts of the DELETER and TARGET parasites were mixed in a mouse to feed starved 4-day-old A. stephensi females. Midguts and salivary glands were dissected (at days 11, 13, and 15 or 15 and 18 postfeeding, respectively), and the percentage of fluorescent oocysts and sporozoites was determined, respectively. Natural transmission was performed at day 18 postfeeding. Infected mice were detected by blood smear analysis 5–6 days after transmission. The blood of positive animals was recovered and analyzed as described above (see Supporting Materials and Methods).

Three independent cross experiments were performed with the DELETER and TARGET parasites. At day 15 postfeeding, 25% (n = 252), 24% (n = 381), and 8.6% (n = 175) of fluorescent sporozoites were observed in the salivary glands. At day 18 postfeeding in the same experiments, 25% (n = 234), 29% (n = 296), and 24.5% (n = 208) of fluorescent sporozoites were detected in the glands. Averages of 19.2% and 26.2% of fluorescent sporozoites were found at days 15 and 18 postinfection, respectively.

Four independent cross experiments were performed with the TARGET and DELETER-EP1 parasites. At day 15 postfeeding, an average of 29% (34%, 36%, 25%, and 22.3%; total n = 1,215) of fluorescent sporozoites were found in the salivary glands. At day 18 postfeeding, an average of 28% (27%, 27%, 25%, and 34%; total n = 8,569) of fluorescent sporozoites were observed in the salivary glands.

Results

Rationale of the Mutagenesis Procedure. Our initial goal was to develop procedures for inducing SSR in sporozoites, the parasite stage that is formed inside midgut oocysts, invades salivary glands, and is transmitted to the mammalian host. Because SSR was desired when the parasite is in the mosquito, we chose the Flp recombinase, which has an optimum activity near 30°C and remains active at the lower temperatures of parasite development in Anopheles mosquitoes (21–23°C) (14). Flp also is known to reach maximum excision levels of ~100%, whereas Cre-mediated excision hardly exceeds 75% (15). To obtain both a flirited target and the Flp gene in the same parasite genome, we relied on fertilization between two parasite clones containing either the target or the recombinase. Fig. 1 schematizes the product of a cross between two clones having two different markers, e.g., the flirited target (A) or the Flp gene (B), on two distinct chromosomes. We assumed that (i) half of the fertilization events would be cross-fertilizations between the two parental genotypes, A+/B− and A−/B+, and that (ii) random chromosome segregation would occur during meiotic reduction in zygoes emerging from cross-fertilizations, creating the new A+/B+ and A−/B− genotypes (type IV cells in Fig. 1). After numerous mitotic divisions in the single-cell oocyst, one out of eight of the uninucleate and haploid sporozoites emerging from an A+/B+ × A−/B− cross, as well as subsequent liver and RBC stages, was expected to have the A+/B+ genotype.

Construction and Characterization of the TARGET and DELETER Clones. To test the cross strategy, we constructed two P. berghei clones that contained either a flirited sequence or the Flp recombinase, called TARGET and DELETER, respectively. The TARGET clone was obtained after homologous integration of the plasmid pTARGET at the CS (circumsporozoite protein) locus on chromosome 4 of WT P. berghei NK65 (Fig. 2A). Flp-containing pTARGET contained CS upstream region, the hDHFR selectable marker (M) flanked by FRT sequences, and the GFP gene followed by CS downstream region. In the recombinant locus called TARGET, the two 34-bp FRT sequences placed in direct orientation (i.e., leading to excision of intervening DNA upon SSR) separate the CS promoter from the GFP gene. The Flp-mediated excision of the cassette, creating the TARGET/Exc locus (Fig. 2B), was thus expected to direct fluorescence in sporozoites, where the CS promoter is active. After SSR, the residual FRT site and adjacent restriction sites would encode a 16-residue-long N-terminal extension to GFP (Fig. 2B).

A DELETER clone was obtained after homologous integration of the plasmid pDELETER at the TRAP (thrombospondin-related adhesion protein) locus on chromosome 13 of WT P. berghei NK65 (Fig. 2C). The plasmid pDELETER contained both the Flp gene flanked by TRAP upstream and downstream regions and the hDHFR selectable marker. In the recombinant locus (DELETER), both the Flp and the TRAP genes were flanked by the 5′ and 3′ regulatory sequences of the TRAP gene, which are mainly active at the sporozoite stage. We then assessed the stability of the TARGET and DELETER recombinant loci during a full parasite life cycle completed in the absence of drug pressure. The corresponding clones were separately transmitted from mouse A to A. stephensi mosquitoes by natural feeding (throughout this paper, “mouse A” and “mouse B” refer to mice infected with parasites before and after cycling through mosquitoes, respectively). The two clones produced similar numbers of sporozoites in salivary glands of mosquitoes as WT at days 15 and 18 after infective blood meal (not shown), indicating that both the CS and TRAP genes that are essential for salivary gland infection (16, 17) were normally expressed in the two clones. No fluorescent sporozoite was detected when the TARGET clone was cycled alone, indicating that SSR did not occur in the absence of exogenous Flp. Sporozoites from each of the TARGET and DELETER clones were then transmitted by natural mosquito feeding to mouse B at day 18 postinfection. In both cases, RBC stages in mouse B emerged 5–6 days postinoculation, as with WT, indicating that Flp expression throughout the sporozoite stage was not impairing parasite viability. The stability of the recombinant loci was confirmed by Southern blot analysis. In mouse B, reversion to a WT locus by plasmid excision was not detected at the CS locus of the TARGET clone (Fig. 2A) or at the TRAP locus of the DELETER clone (Fig. 2C).

Characterization of the Progeny of TARGET × DELETER Crosses. The TARGET clone was then mixed 1:1 with the DELETER clone in mouse A, and the mixture was transmitted to mosquitoes. In three independent experiments, an averaged 19% and 26% of the salivary gland sporozoites originating from the cross were brightly fluorescent at days 15 and 18 postinfection, respectively.
latter only in mouse B after the cross, as expected. Approxi-
imately twice the 1/8 ratio of double Flp/FRT segregants. In all cross experiments, fluorescence was first detected in late oocysts (see below), the multinucleate cell that gives rise to the uninu-
cleate sporozoites. This observation indicated that the TRAP promoter controlling Flp expression was active in oocysts and suggested that SSR targets may originate not only from type IV oocysts (Fig. 1), where the flitted locus and the Flp gene are located in the same nucleus, but also from type III oocysts, where the flitted locus and the Flp gene are located in distinct nuclei in the same oocyst. We thus sought the predicted uninucleate

(Sp.174) 3. Progeny of a TARGET × DELETER cross. (A) The TARGET and DELETER clones were mixed in equal proportions in the same mouse and transmitted to 100 A. stephensi female mosquitoes. The percentage of fluorescent sporozo-
ite (spz) observed in the salivary glands of infected mosquitoes at days 15 and 18 postinfection is ~25% on average (mean of three experiments). Bars represent standard deviation values. (B) Southern hybridization of genomic DNA of the TARGET + DELETER mixture or the TARGET clone alone collected from mouse A (before mosquito infection) and mouse B (after mosquito infection). The predicted size (in kilobases) of restriction fragments generated by digestion with EcoRV (ES) at the CS, TARGET, and TARGET/Exc loci are shown. The CS probe shows a similar intensity of the EcoRV fragments corre-
sponding to the WT CS (4.1 kb) and the TARGET + DELETER locus (5.3 kb) in both mouse A and mouse B. The GFP probe shows a similar intensity of the EcoRV fragments corresponding to the TARGET (5.7 kb) and the TARGET/Exc (4.4 kb) locus in mouse B. Therefore, ~25% of all RBC stages from mouse B after the cross have a TARGET/Exc locus. The 4-kb band is not detected in mouse B when the TARGET clone is cycled alone.

(Fig. 3A). Sporozoites from the cross, including the fluorescent
dones, displayed normal gliding motility and infectivity (not shown). These sporozoites were transmitted to mouse B by natural feeding, and RBC stages in mouse A and B were analyzed by Southern blotting (Fig. 3B). When a CS probe (Left) was used, the fragments diagnostic of the TARGET loci (5.3-kb band) and of the WT CS (4.1-kb band) were detected with similar intensities in RBC stages from mouse A, in agreement with the equal proportions of the TARGET and DELETER clones fed to mosquitoes. In RBC stages from mouse B, these two fragments were still detected with similar intensities, confirming that expression of the recombinase and/or fluorescence was not impairing parasite cycling in vivo. The GFP probe (Fig. 3B Center and Right), which distinguishes the TARGET (5.7-kb band) and the TARGET/Exc (4-kb band) loci, showed the presence of the latter only in mouse B after the cross, as expected. Approxim-
parasites having a TARGET/Exc locus but no Flp in their genome, i.e., originating from type III oocysts. For this, RBC stages from mouse B were cloned by limiting dilution and analyzed by Southern blotting using simultaneously a GFP probe for TARGET locus analysis and an Flp probe (Fig. 4). Clones were indeed found that displayed only the 7.3-kb band diagnostic of the TARGET/Exc locus with no Flp at the TRAP locus recognized as a 1-kb band (Fig. 4, lane 1). This finding demonstrated that SSR occurred in nuclei lacking the Flp gene. We conclude that SSR occurred with ~100% efficiency in the TARGET nuclei of cross-fertilization oocysts, by means of Flp specified by the same (type IV cells) or a distinct (type III cells) nucleus, yielding ~25% sporozoites after the cross with a TARGET/Exc locus.

Stage Specificity of Recombinase Expression. We then assessed stage specificity of Flp expression by means of the TRAP regulatory sequences. For this assessment, we compared the onset of fluorescence in parasite oocysts of the P. berghei clone FluSpo (12) with that of the TARGET × DELETER cross progeny (Fig. 5). In the FluSpo clone, the GFP gene is preceded by natural CS upstream sequences and followed by 300 bp of CS downstream sequences. In the TARGET/Exc parasites that emerge from the TARGET × DELETER cross, the GFP gene is flanked by identical 5' and 3' regulatory sequences, as in FluSpo parasites. However, GFP expression also is controlled by the TRAP regulatory sequences, which direct the formation of the TARGET/Exc locus upon Flp expression. All FluSpo oocysts were fluorescent from day 6 onward, in agreement with the known CS (circumsporozoite protein) production in young oocysts (18). In contrast, among the TARGET × DELETER progeny, significant numbers of fluorescent oocysts were not detected before day 12, and the proportion of fluorescent oocysts increased after day 12. The difference in the timing of fluorescence emergence demonstrates that Flp expression and SSR were indeed controlled by stage-specific regulatory sequences.

**Progeny of a TARGET × DELETER-EPI Cross.** We next tested whether the Flp gene could be brought into the cross by an epimere. For this, we crossed the TARGET clone with a deleter clone called DELETER-EPI, in which the Flp gene under the control of the TRAP regulatory sequences was carried by a pUC plasmid. As depicted in Fig. 6A, assuming that after cross-fertilizations the epimere would be transmitted to the TARGET nuclei that initially lacked the epimere, then 1/4 of the emerging sporozoites were expected to be fluorescent.

After mixing the TARGET and DELETER-EPI parasites in a 1:1 ratio in mouse A and transmission to mosquitoes, an average of 29% and 27% of salivary gland sporozoites were fluorescent at days 15 and 18 postinfection, respectively. Parasites were transmitted to mouse B, and RBC stages in mouse A and B were analyzed by Southern blotting. The CS probe (Fig. 6B) showed the 1:1 clone ratio before parasite transmission to...
mosquitoes (mouse A) and the similar cycling efficiencies of the two parental CS genotypes (mouse B). As expected, the GFP probe (Fig. 6C) indicated that the \textit{TARGET}/Exc locus was present in mouse B. As with the previous cross, the relative intensities of the three \textit{CS} loci in mouse B (WT, \textit{TARGET}, and \textit{TARGET}/Exc) detected by the \textit{CS} and GFP probes indicated that \( \approx 1/4 \) of the parasites had the desired \textit{TARGET}/Exc locus. Finally, the plasmid probe (Fig. 6D) showed that most parasites had lost the \textit{Flp}-expressing episome during parasite cycling from mouse A to B. This loss could have occurred during parasite multiplication inside oocysts (with sufficient Flp being produced for SSR efficiency to be \( \approx 100\%)\), or in hepatocytes or RBC of mouse B. We conclude that SSR also occurs when the \textit{Flp} gene is borne by an episome, at least if SSR is sought at the late oocyst–sporozoite stages. In addition, the episome-based approach generates a majority of parasites that have lost the \textit{Flp}-containing episome at the subsequent RBC stages. It may therefore be particularly useful for analyzing mutants at the RBC stage after complete cycling of the parasite.

Discussion

We have developed approaches for conditional mutagenesis in \textit{P. berghei} based on Flp-mediated SSR. We have shown that Flp acts with high fidelity and efficiency in the parasite without causing deleterious effects on its life cycle \textit{in vivo}. The strategy based on crossing two clones having either a \textit{TARGET} or a \textit{DELET}ER locus ensures that potential lethality/premature SSR in RBC stages of the parasite are bypassed. Depending on the timing of expression of the Flp recombinase, the strategy should allow for studying the \textit{in vivo} function of any \textit{Plasmodium} protein at the parasite haploid stages, i.e., from the sporozoite in the mosquito midgut to the RBC stages in the mammalian host. If the recombinase is first produced before or after sporozoite budding off from the oocyst, then 1/4 or 1/8 of the subsequent stages should have the desired gene modification, respectively. The other genotypes created serve as internal controls, monitoring the variable efficiency of mosquito infection and allowing for quantitative assessment of the mutant phenotype.

Another approach for obtaining mutants by SSR would be to introduce both the flirted target and the recombinase in the same genome, sequentially or in a single construct. In this case, 100% of the parasites could be the desired mutants. However, the stage-specific promoter that controls expression of the recombinase would need to be effectively off before the stage of interest, particularly during the erythrocytic cycle, to avoid premature SSR.

The nature of the SSR event depends on the relative orientation of the asymmetric \textit{FRT} sites (Fig. 2B). The intervening DNA is excised when the target sites are positioned as direct repeats, allowing for gene knock-out construction (see Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site, for an example of conditional gene deletion). The excision reaction is effectively irreversible because the circular reaction product is lost and reintegration of the excised DNA, which is a bimolecular reaction, is kinetically less favorable than excision. Thus, excision products accumulate and the maximum recombinination can approach 100% in conditions of excess recombinase (15). Conversely, the intervening DNA is inverted when the \textit{FRT} sites are placed as inverted repeats. In this case, the recombination reaction product harbors two identical target sites in cis, which are themselves substrates for further recombination. However, mutant \textit{FRT} sites have now been generated for engineering stable DNA inversions, as spacer variants (19–21) or inverted-repeat variants (22, 23). Such sites allow efficient SSR between homotypic, but not heterotypic, sites created by the first inversion event, making inversion reactions effectively irreversible. They should permit stage-specific expression of a modified version of a protein for structure–function analysis, such as by flipping the orientation of a promoter between two gene versions at a given time point in the parasite’s life.

Linking gene deletion to expression of a fluorescent protein, as reported here, should be crucial for characterizing the phenotype of the mutant \textit{in vivo}, and therefore understanding the function of the target gene product. GFP is expressed under control of the regulatory sequences of the target gene and is therefore expressed in targetless parasites only within the window of time that the target is normally expressed. With the development of techniques for \textit{in vivo} imaging of various parasite stages (24, 25), fluorescence will allow the study of the behavior of SSR mutants \textit{in vivo}.

The suitability of the technique will ultimately depend on construction of deleter clones for timely expression of the recombinase and induction of SSR. The \textit{TRAP} promoter used here should prove useful to induce SSR in midgut sporozoites before infection of mosquito salivary glands, for example, to assess the role in salivary gland invasion of parasite molecules that are common to sporozoites and RBC stages. Another promoter that should prove useful is one active specifically in sporozoites located inside salivary glands, for example, the promoter of the \textit{spect} gene (26) or of genes identified by differential expression screens between sporozoites and RBC stages (27). SSR induction in salivary gland sporozoites, the most highly motile and invasive stage of the parasite, should open the way to a functional analysis of the parasite surface motor, which cannot be studied by using conventional gene-targeting techniques. It also should permit addressing the function of proteins that are involved in sporozoite invasion of and differentiation inside hepatocytes, most of which also are involved in merozoite invasion of and differentiation inside RBC. To study RBC stages, a promoter that is specifically active in liver stages may be necessary, but any promoter that is active in the mosquito stages (such as \textit{TRAP}) may be sufficient to inactivate genes that are specifically expressed in RBC stages.

As more stage-specific promoters are being identified by whole-genome expression profiling (28, 29), deleter clones expressing the recombinase at precise times of the parasite life cycle will become available for crossing with target clones of interest. Conditional mutagenesis by means of Flp-mediated SSR now allows us to reach beyond the first required function of a gene, providing a useful tool for further dissecting the molecular basis of key steps in the parasite life cycle.
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