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The ability of weeds to proliferate into nonindigenous habitats has been attributed to escape from their native natural enemies, allowing reallocation of resources from chemical defense into growth and reproduction. Many invasive weeds, however, eventually encounter their native, coevolved enemies in areas of introduction. Examination of herbarium specimens of an invasive phototoxic European weed, Pastinaca sativa, through 152 years reveals phytochemical shifts coincident in time with the accidental introduction of a major herbivore, the parsnip webworm, Depressaria pastinacella. Plants collected before the introduction of webworms in North America and during the earliest stages of establishment (1850–1889) are lower in toxic furanocoumarins than all plants subsequently collected in North America and lower than European plant samples collected before 1889. Thus, introduction of a major specialist herbivore can increase noxiousness of a species in its area of introduction, illuminating a potential consequence of classical biological control programs involving insect herbivores and poisonous weeds.

Amid the more insidious forms of anthropogenic global change is the establishment of invasive plant species in communities within which they have not evolved, where they cause economic damage by reducing crop yields and livestock growth and ecological damage by altering community composition by means of displacement or genetic alteration of native species (1, 2). Because the rate at which invasive species become established is increasing in many parts of the world, understanding the dynamics of invasion is critical (2, 3). Transport of a species out of its native habitat is thought to result in a reduction in herbivory because of the absence of coevolved specialist insects (4, 5); this reduction is postulated to divert investment of resources from chemical defense and toward increased competitive ability (5, 6). Indeed, the idea that plant populations in areas of indigeneity are regulated by herbivores underlies the practice of classical biological control of weeds (7, 8). Evidence in support of this hypothesis is equivocal (5, 9, 10). Although phytochemical traits may differ in plants in their native and introduced ranges, identifying natural enemies as the selective agents underlying these changes has proved difficult because other evolutionary forces, including genetic drift and founder effects, can generate similar outcomes (11).

Importantly, little information is available on phytochemical changes that ensue when coevolved specialist herbivores resume interacting with a host plant in a nonindigenous area. This scenario is of no small consequence in that classical biological control involves reconstructing such plant–herbivore associations in the area of introduction. Understanding the selective impact of reassociated herbivores on the chemistry of their host plants in areas of introduction is thus important in predicting potential dynamics of classical weed biological control programs.

Museum specimens provide extraordinary access to the dynamics of historical interactions (12, 13). Whereas molecular methods have been used to reconstruct patterns of genetic change over time based on historical material (13), relevant phenotypic changes may be directly assessed rather than inferred (14). In this study, we examined herbarium specimens of an invasive European weed, the wild parsnip, Pastinaca sativa, spanning a 152-year period to document phytochemical shifts in response to the introduction of a major herbivorous selective agent, the parsnip webworm, Depressaria pastinacella (Lepidoptera: Oecophoridae). Consequent postintroduction changes in plant chemistry may have contributed to increases in noxiousness of this species.

Brought to North America by the earliest colonists as a source of food, the parsnip was cultivated in Virginia by 1609 and established as “common” by 1630 (15). Escaped from cultivation, it has long been regarded as noxious because all aerial parts produce furanocoumarins, phototoxic secondary compounds that, on contact with human skin, cause reddening, blistering, and hyperpigmentation (16). The weed rapidly colonizes prairie restorations and encroaches on native prairie preserves (17) and is reported as invasive in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin (18). Contemporary populations of wild parsnip in North America now exist along road sides and in waste places in all but five states in the United States (Florida, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, and Hawaii) (19). In Wisconsin (and elsewhere throughout its distribution), P. sativa appears to be increasing in abundance (17), possibly due in part to changes in roadside-mowing practices.

Very few native insects have colonized P. sativa (20); currently, the principal herbivore throughout its range in North America is the parsnip webworm (D. pastinacella), an accidental introduction from Europe, first reported in North America in 1869 from Ontario, Canada (21). D. pastinacella webs together and feeds on the reproductive structures of species in the closely allied genera Pastinaca and Heracleum (22). Since their introduction, webworms have become established widely in North America, ranging in the north from Nova Scotia to British Columbia, in the south to Washington DC, and westward to Arizona at high elevations (22). Thus, the contemporary interaction between webworms and wild parsnip resembles many interactions resulting from classical biological control: a specialized herbivore on a narrow range of plants in a community within which it did not originally evolve.

The furanocoumarins in wild parsnip are known to function as resistance factors against webworms (23, 24). As a specialist that consumes the reproductive structures of its monocarpic biennial host plant, D. pastinacella has been shown to act as a selective agent on plant chemistry and increased concentrations of three furanocoumarins, xanthotoxin, bergapten, and spondin, are associated with webworm herbivory (23, 24). Genotypes with high levels of furanocoumarins experience lower fitness in the absence of herbivores than genotypes with lower furanocoumarin content (25), indicative of a metabolic cost of producing these defense compounds.

In an earlier study involving a limited sample of Midwestern herbarium specimens (26), we found no indication that furano-
could have been deposited by spiders, which do not feed on the plant. Changes in furanocoumarin chemistry during and after the North American introduction were documented by analyzing furanocoumarin content of ripe seed samples of 342 specimens from 25 herbaria (in addition to the six herbaria listed above: Boston University, Boston; Cleveland Museum of Natural History, Cleveland; Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh; Duke University, Durham, NC; Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago; Gray Herbarium and Economic Herbarium of Oakes Ames, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; Kent State University, Kent, OH; University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI; Kansas State University, Manhattan, KA; North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC; University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; Greene–Nieuwland Herbarium and Nieuwland Herbarium, University of Notre Dame, IN; University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH; New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY; Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC). Two ripe seeds from each specimen were cut in half to open the furanocoumarin-bearing oil tubes. The furanocoumarins were then extracted with ethyl acetate and analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography (27). With the exceptions of the earliest and latest time periods, data were grouped into 20-year increments. To balance sample sizes during time intervals, the earliest time period spanned 39 years from 1850 to 1889, a period that includes the earliest report of parsnip webworms in North American (1869). Because of diminished collecting activity in recent years, the last period comprised samples collected from 1970 to 2002. To avoid regional discrepancies between webworm survey data and chemical data, care was taken to ensure that both types of data were obtained from the same region; thus, if only one type of data were available from a state, no data from that state were included in the analyses. States or provinces within Canada that provided both types of data were Alaska, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Delaware, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Maryland, Maine, Michigan, North Carolina, North Dakota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Utah, Virginia, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, West Virginia, and Ontario. Moreover, imbalances in sample sizes between the two types of data coming from states were minimized; the correlation between the number of webworm samples and the number of chemical samples taken from states providing both types of data was 0.905. The bulk of the samples (78% of the chemical samples and 95% of the webworm samples) came from the states circumscribed by the Mississippi River to the west, the Ohio and Potomac rivers to the south, the Atlantic Ocean, and Ontario, Canada. For comparison with the early U.S. samples, 58 seed samples from European herbaria (Jardin Botanique National de Belgique, Meise, Belgium; Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh, Scotland; National Herbarium Netherlands, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands; Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France; Jardin Botanique, Lyon, France; Botanische Staatssammlung München, München, Germany; Muséum National, Paris; Université de la Mediterranee Aix-Marseille III, Marseille Cedex, France) were also analyzed for furanocoumarin content. Webworm data were analyzed by Fisher’s exact tests and chemical data were analyzed by t tests.

**Results and Discussion**

From 1850 to 1889, webworm damage was nonexistent in our U.S. samples (Fig. 2). During the same time period, four of five furanocoumarins were present in significantly lower amounts in seeds of North American specimens than in specimens collected in Europe, where the webworm is native. Because there was no significant difference between continents in seed mass ($t = 1.14$, df = 94, and $P = 0.257$), this difference is not attributable to seed
During the period of 1890–1909, the frequency of specimen damage in the period of 1850–1889, none of the 37 specimens displayed webworm damage. In the sample
pared with specimens collected during the period 1850–1889 (one-sided Fisher’s exact test, \( P \text{/H11005} 0.025 \)). Seed content (in \( \mu \text{g} \) per seed, for five furano-
marin content between the earliest period and the subsequent period from 1890–1909 (all
samples that we collected from four Illinois populations in 133 samples that we collected from four Illinois populations in
1820 and 1889 (\( \text{vol. 102} /\text{no. 43} /\text{October 25, 2005} \)). Seed content (in \( \mu \text{g} \) per seed, for five furano-
concentration between European and North American samples before 1898, the fitness differential attributable to furanocoumarin concentration is on the order of 0.127 \( \text{g of seed} \) or \( 23\% \) of total seed biomass for small plants and 3\% for large plants. Thus, absent a specialist herbivore exerting selective pressure for high levels of furanocoumarin, it is likely that the high cost of furanocoumarin defense led to selection for reduced investment before reassociation with parsnip webworms.

Concomitant with the rise in webworm infestation between 1890 and 1909, levels of all five furanocoumarins increased significantly (Fig. 2) and continued to increase thereafter. Given the temporal congruence between webworm frequency of attack and furanocoumarin content, it is likely that webworms were responsible for the changes in chemistry. An alternative explanation for the apparent increase in furanocoumarin content postreassociation is that furanocoumarins gradually break down over time; this explanation cannot, however, account for the sharp increase in furanocoumarins between the pre-1889 and post-1889 periods. On the other hand, the steady decrease in amounts of several furanocoumarins as a function of time since collection between 1889 and 2000 might be consistent with a mechanism of gradual decay; significant negative regressions between content and number of years since collection were found for all but one furanocoumarin, bergapten. However, this decay scenario does not comport well with the additional finding that furanocoumarin content is not correlated with collection year in a sample of 163 herbarium samples, including those incorporated in Fig. 2, that were collected between 1819 and 2000 in Europe where the webworm is native (all \( P \) values for regressions were >0.466).

Levels of webworm infestation post-1889 displayed statistically significant variation; infestation levels declined, for example, between 1930 and 1969. This decline is consistent with enhanced plant resistance to attack due to higher furanocoumarin content (24). The principal mode of resistance to furanocoumarins in parsnip webworms is cytochrome P450-mediated detoxification; high levels of activity promote survival in the presence of high concentrations of furanocoumarins in wild parsnips (24). Because variation in detoxification rates is heritable at least in some webworm populations (28), the possibility exists that the post-1969 increase in webworm infestation levels was due to coevolved resistance to elevated furanocoumarin chemistry in the principal host plant for this species.

Inferences gained from herbarium specimens carry certain restrictions. Infestation estimates are undoubtedly biased downward because collectors are likely to avoid damaged plants. Over the last decade, surveys throughout the Midwest have revealed that the percentage of parsnips exhibiting webworm damage varies from 22\% to 97\% among populations, with typical infestations ranging from 60\% to 80\% (29, 30). These rates are far greater than those indicated by herbarium specimens collected at any period during the last 152 years; herbarium infestations never exceeded 16\%. Whether the bias is likely to have varied over the time period as a result of change in collector preferences is an open question. We doubt that this was the case, as very few of the specimens exhibited easily detectable webworm damage.

In most specimens, damage is visible only with the aid of a dissecting microscope; consequently, the damage most likely would have escaped detection by the collector. Another potential problem is that collector bias for largely damage-free plants led to overrepresentation of resistant phenotypes. However, the mean total furanocoumarin content for herbarium samples from the most recent period (1970–2002) is 38.4 \( \mu \text{g per seed} \), a value that does not differ from the mean of 37.2 \( \mu \text{g per seed} \) based on 133 samples that we collected from four Illinois populations in 2004 for another study (data not shown).

At present, the oldest weed biological control programs in the continental United States are now 60 years old (dating back to
the introduction of Chrysolina species for control of Hypericum perforatum in 1944) (31). By contrast, the North American interaction between D. pastinacella and P. sativa has been in place for at least 130 years (21), approximately twice as long. Thus, this interaction, brought about by an accidental introduction that antedated the formal development of weed biological control, can provide insight into the potential long-term fate of classical biocontrol programs in which a specialist herbivore is introduced to control a chemically noxious weed. If the genetic variation exists in weed populations to respond to selection pressure from their coevolved associate, enhanced chemically based resistance may evolve. In the case of wild parsnip, elevated levels of furanocoumarins in reproductive structures resulting from interactions with their specialist herbivore may make these plants more phototoxic to humans and other vertebrates (32). In that furanocoumarins are potent germination inhibitors associated with allelopathy (33, 34), it is even conceivable that increased furanocoumarin content in the seeds may increase the ability of this weed to spread and invade new locations and habitats in North America (35).

The cooperation of the following herbarium personnel is appreciated: Bonnie Isaac, Debra Trock, Alexander Krings, Barbara J. Hennenthal, Emilie Bess, Christine Nizegoda, Frédéric Danet, Franz Schuhwerk, Sasha Eisenman, Elmar Robbrecht, Leo Vanhecke, Garrett E. Crow, Carol McCormick, James Macklin, Karen Searcy, Kenneth Robertson, StephenDownie, Mark Mayfield, Rusty Russell, Emily Wood, Nico Cellinese, Richard K. Rabeler, Richard Primack, Rob Paratley, Sherry Herndon, Gerard Thijsse, G. Aymonin, Cecile Aupic, GeorgesBarale, G. Guignard, Andrea Schwarzbar, Adele Smith, J. Frederic Medail, and Renee Boronka. We thank Evan DeLucia (University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign Department of Plant Biology), David Holway (University of California at San Diego), and Robert Marquis (University of Missouri, St. Louis) for comments on the manuscript; from our laboratory, we thank Jennifer McGovern for collecting samples at the Carnegie Museum and Lauren Chambers for sample preparation. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant 0235773 (to M.R.B. and A.R.Z.).