Chlamydia spp. are obligate intracellular bacterial pathogens that alternate between two metabolically and morphologically distinct developmental forms, and differentiation depends on transcriptional regulation. Genome sequencing of *Chlamydia trachomatis* revealed an ORF, CT630 (chxR), whose amino acid sequence contains a winged helix–turn–helix motif similar to the DNA-binding domain of response regulators in the OmpR subfamily. ChxR differs from many response regulators in that essential residues in the receiver or phosphorylation domain are lacking. ChxR functions as a transcriptional regulator because it activated transcription of *ompF* and *ompC* when expressed in *Escherichia coli*. In vitro transcription combined with microarray analysis also demonstrated that ChxR activates its own expression by binding directly to sites upstream of *chxR*; it also activates *infA*, *tuFA*, *oppA*, and *CT084*.

Developmental Expression of ChxR. *Chlamydia* has a unique developmental cycle dependent on stage-specific transcriptional events (14). Determining the time at which a particular gene is first transcribed can provide clues to its functional role in chlamydial development. Genome DNA array data suggested that this gene is not significantly regulated; however, the level of transcription is very low (14). Total RNA from *Chlamydia*-infected cells was isolated from different time points after infection, and RT-PCR was used to detect chxR-specific transcripts. A chxR transcript was detected at 10 h after infection (Fig. 1), corresponding to the time at which chlamydiae undergo active metabolism and multiplication, suggesting a likely role for ChxR as a regulator of genes important for shifts in mid-cycle developmental stage-specific processes.

Results

Sequence Analysis of CT630. The complete genome sequences of *Chlamydia trachomatis* (3) and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (5) revealed ORFs CT630 and CPh0750, respectively, initially designated "cpxR" based on its closest *E. coli* homolog by BLAST analysis and annotation convention. The chxR gene encodes a protein of 227 aa with similarity (27% identity) to *E. coli* CpxR (6) and other OmpR subfamily members. ChxR orthologs were present and conserved for all chlamydial species described to date, including *Chlamydia trachomatis* (3), *C. pneumoniae* (5), murine *C. trachomatis* (MoPn) (7), *Chlamydia psittaci* (8), and a very distantly related chlamydiae isolated from amoebae (9). The N-terminal domain of ChxR, which corresponds to the phosphorylation or receiver domain of response regulators (amino acids 1–108), lacked essential residues in the active site of phosphorylation (10), especially the conserved aspartate residues, suggesting that activation by phosphorylation was unlikely (see supporting information, which is published on the PNAS web site). The predicted phosphorylated aspartate residue was replaced with glutamate for each of the chlamydial strains except the strain isolated from amoebae, which retained the conserved aspartate; however, the amoebal strain separated phylogenetically from each of the other chlamydial strains over a billion years ago (11). The C-terminal half of the protein (amino acids 133–227) has a predicted winged helix–turn–helix motif, characteristic of the DNA-binding domain of the OmpR subfamily of response regulators (12). The homology suggests that ChxR functions as a transcription factor (13), although it is likely not differentially regulated by phosphorylation.

Developmental Expression of ChxR. *Chlamydia* has a unique developmental cycle dependent on stage-specific transcriptional events (14). Determining the time at which a particular gene is first transcribed can provide clues to its functional role in chlamydial development. Genome DNA array data suggested that this gene is not significantly regulated; however, the level of transcription is very low (14). Total RNA from *Chlamydia*-infected cells was isolated from different time points after infection, and RT-PCR was used to detect chxR-specific transcripts. A chxR transcript was detected at 10 h after infection (Fig. 1), corresponding to the time at which chlamydiae undergo active metabolism and multiplication, suggesting a likely role for ChxR as a regulator of genes important for shifts in mid-cycle developmental stage-specific processes.

ChxR Expression and Its Interaction with the *ompF* and *ompC* Promoters. To test its function, chxR was expressed in *E. coli* for ChxR purification and functional assays. Interestingly, we observed that outer-membrane fractions of *E. coli* clones expressing ChxR had increased expression of one or more *E. coli* proteins of ~40,000 Da (Fig. 2A). Their relative abundance, size, and location in the outer-membrane fraction were consistent with the likelihood that these were outer-membrane porin proteins. Because ChxR was homologous to OmpR, we reasoned that it might affect expression of the OmpR-regulated porin genes *ompF* and *ompC* in *E. coli*. A lacZ reporter system was used in which lacZ was placed downstream of *E. coli* *ompF* and *ompC* promoters and their respective upstream
regulatory regions. Even in the presence of wild-type OmpR, the induction of ChxR stimulated both ompF–lacZ and ompC–lacZ expression ∼4-fold (Fig. 2B). Activation of ompF and ompC by ChxR was a surprising result; most other response regulators in the OmpR subfamily, such as PhoB, do not activate expression of the porin genes (V. Tran, D.W., and L.J.K., unpublished data). However, recent studies have shown that the CpxR/A and EnvZ/OmpR regulons overlap and that both response regulators affect porin gene expression by binding to the regulatory regions (15).

**DNA Binding by ChxR.** Because ChxR activated expression of the ompF–lacZ and ompC–lacZ fusions, we determined whether activation was mediated by ChxR binding to the porin regulatory regions. Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays using DNA fragments containing the ompf and ompc promoter regions and increasing concentrations of ChxR resulted in electrophoretic shifts of both ompf and ompc DNA (Fig. 3A). Multiple band-shifting patterns were observed with increasing concentrations of ChxR, suggesting the likelihood of multiple binding sites, as is typical for OmpR-like transcription factors (16–19). Furthermore, ChxR has higher affinity for ompf compared with ompc DNA (Fig. 3A).

The expression and electrophoretic mobility-shift assay results demonstrated that ChxR interacted directly with DNA sequences upstream of ompf and ompc. Thus, DNase I protection assays were performed to compare OmpR binding to ChxR binding at ompf and ompc (Fig. 3B). In lanes 2–4, the protection in the presence of increasing concentrations of OmpR is shown, whereas ChxR alone is shown in lanes 5–7. Differences in the protection pattern are clearly evident when comparing the two response regulators. At ompf, ChxR binds farther upstream (−206 to −149) than does OmpR, and ChxR does not bind close to the promoter (only down to −56) as does OmpR (to −40).

At ompC, a pattern of ChxR protection similar to that observed at ompf was evident. Sites upstream of the high-affinity OmpR binding site C1 were protected (−168 to −148 and −130 to −92), whereas C1 (−95 to −78) is not protected. The furthest downstream site that was protected by ChxR is C2 (−74 to −51). The precise boundary of the promoter proximal site was difficult to discern because of the paucity of DNase I sites in this region (see Discussion). The footprinting results indicate that ChxR can recognize similar (but not identical) sequences compared with those bound by OmpR and are consistent with ChxR transcriptional activation of both ompf and ompc (Fig. 2B). However, the results from DNase I protection demonstrated that ChxR binds to regions of ompf and ompc that are further upstream than the promoter-proximal OmpR site. In a previous study using OmpR mutants, it was shown that sites closest to the −35 region (ompC3 and ompF3) needed to be occupied for OmpR-dependent transcription to occur (20, 21). Either ChxR is capable of activating transcription from this upstream position on the DNA or it interacts with OmpR to activate transcription.

To determine whether OmpR and ChxR could bind simultaneously at ompf or ompc, cofootprinting experiments were performed in which the same concentrations of OmpR were used (as shown in Fig. 3B, lanes 2–4) and the ChxR concentration was varied (Fig. 3B, lanes 8–16). At every OmpR concentration, ChxR protection was evident, especially at the upstream sites and those overlapping F2 and C2. At the highest OmpR concentration, the OmpR protection pattern at F3 and C3 was observed. When both proteins were present, the protection pattern demonstrated features of each protein alone, consistent with the conclusion that both proteins were bound. Therefore, both proteins can bind simultaneously to their nonoverlapping binding sites, but they appear to compete for binding to the overlapping sites. At the ompc promoter, similar results were observed.

**Genome-Wide Screen for Targets of ChxR.** Having demonstrated that ChxR can function as a transcriptional activator of *E. coli* genes, gene targets of ChxR were sought in *Chlamydia*. The inability to make mutants in *Chlamydia* precludes such strategies as the isolation of chxR-deficient or overexpression mutants to aid in identification of its target genes. Although only a small percentage of chlamydial genes are capable of being transcribed by *E. coli* σ32 (unpublished observations), we used a microarray approach using mRNA isolated from *in vitro* transcription of chlamydial genomic DNA by *E. coli* σ32. The rationale was that, because ChxR was a
transcriptional activator for *E. coli* genes when expressed in *E. coli*, it should activate transcription of target genes in the *in vitro* σ^70^-based system.

With a few exceptions, differences between transcript levels from reactions with and without ChxR were all determined to be low (~2-fold or less), and the level of transcription did not show a dose-response with higher concentration of ChxR (see supporting information). Of the genes that appeared to be significantly up-regulated (P < 0.05), five were selected for further study (chxR, oppA, infA, tufA, and CT084), and lacZ reporter fusions were constructed. Two additional genes, tyrP and omcA, which showed almost no change in transcription in response to ChxR, were included as additional controls. The chxR-dependent activity of the tyrP-lacZ and omcA-lacZ fusions varied (28–42 Miller units), and the stimulation above that level varied from 2-fold (tufA) to 9-fold (infA). Although the tyrP-lacZ and omcA-lacZ fusions exhibited an increase in activity in the presence of chxR, this low level was not considered to be meaningful, nor was it substantially different from the control (first and second columns in Fig. 4).

ChxR Activates Transcription of chxR. One of the most highly regulated genes was chxR itself. It displayed an 11-fold change over transcripts produced in the absence of ChxR, suggesting that chxR is autoregulated. The *in vitro* microarray results were confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown) and by testing promoter-lacZ reporter fusions in *E. coli* (Fig. 4). To determine whether ChxR was capable of binding to the regulatory regions of chxR, electrophoretic mobility-shift assays were performed. Increasing concentrations of ChxR added to DNA sequences upstream of chxR resulted in a mobility shift (Fig. 5A), indicating that ChxR acts directly to activate its own transcription by binding to DNA. Like the interaction with ompC and ompF, ChxR showed multiple shifted species, suggesting the existence of multiple binding sites at the regulatory region.

The transcriptional start site for chxR in *Chlamydia* was determined and thus permitted the prediction of the promoter region that contains a σ^70^-like -10 and -35 promoter sequence (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, despite similarity to σ^70^ promoters, there was little transcription without ChxR in *E. coli* or *in vitro*. To identify the precise regions of ChxR binding, a DNase I protection assay was performed. Increasing concentrations of ChxR added to DNA sequences upstream of chxR resulted in a mobility shift (Fig. 5A), indicating that ChxR acts directly to activate its own transcription by binding to DNA. Like the interaction with ompC and ompF, ChxR showed multiple shifted species, suggesting the existence of multiple binding sites at the regulatory region.

ChxR activates transcription of chlamydiaal genes chxR, infA, tufA, CT084, and oppA. β-Galactosidase assays measured transcriptional activity from chxR-lacZ, infA-lacZ, tufA-lacZ, oppA-lacZ, and CT084-lacZ. The lacZ reporter gene was cloned downstream of each gene and expressed in an *E. coli* strain containing IPTG-inducible chxR on a compatible plasmid. The background activity in the presence of the vector alone is shown in the lightly shaded bars, and the activity in the presence of chxR is shown in the darker bars. The standard error of the mean is indicated by the error bars; measurements were performed in triplicate. The “control” shown was the pACYC184 vector lacking a promoter region in front of the lacZ gene.
performed by using *chxR* and *infA*, because *infA* was also highly up-regulated (Fig. 4). *ChxR* protected five distinct regions spanning a total of 173 nucleotides upstream of the *chxR* coding sequence. At *infA*, *ChxR* protected one region between −252 and −224 upstream from the translational start site. When the regions protected by *ChxR* at the *chxR* and *infA* regulatory regions were aligned, there was some limited homology to OmpR binding sites.

**Discussion**

Two-component signal transduction systems enable microorganisms to sense changes in the external environment and translate this input into changes in transcriptional response. Chlamydiae are deeply separated from other bacteria (22) and have been genetically isolated from other bacteria for hundreds of millions of years (11). Nevertheless, chlamydiae contain a conserved ortholog of the OmpR subfamily of response regulators, called *ChxR*. The function of OmpR in gene regulation is complex and is not completely understood (12). Recent evidence suggests that other subfamily members, such as CpxR, may additionally modulate OmpR regulation of target genes by competitive or cooperative interaction at DNA-regulatory binding sites (15, 23).

The N terminus of *ChxR* has limited similarity to receiver domains of response regulators. The essential residues in the catalytic site are not conserved, suggesting that *ChxR* is not a classical response regulator. Moreover, no cognate histidine kinase was identified for *ChxR* in the chlamydial genome. Only one histidine kinase-response regulator pair, CtcB–CtcC, has thus far been identified (4). The presence of a glutamate residue in place of the conserved phosphorylated aspartate suggests that *ChxR* inherently mimics the structure of an activated response regulator, enabling it to function constitutively (24). Thus, *ChxR* is a transcriptional activator whose OmpR-like DNA-binding domain is located in the C-terminal half of the protein, but whose N-terminal domain is unique in comparison to most other previously characterized activators.

OmpR binding sites are not well conserved; aligning the areas of DNase I protection by OmpR and *ChxR* identifies few common features, apart from A-T-rich regions. No consensus sequence for *ChxR* binding was identified from the DNase I protection assays. At *ompF*, *ChxR* protects three regions upstream from where OmpR binds (−206 to −180, −173 to −149, and −124 to −103). The high-affinity OmpR binding site F1 (−97 to −83) is not protected by *ChxR*, but the F2 site (−83 to −56) is protected by *ChxR*. Unlike OmpR, *ChxR* does not protect closer to the RNA polymerase binding sites (e.g., C3 and F3); these are sites where OmpR is required to bind to maximally activate transcription (20, 25).

If the *ChxR*2 binding site is compared with the 18-bp OmpR binding sites at *ompF* and *ompC*, the best fit is to C3, a very-low-
affinity site, in which 12 of 18 bases are identical (see supporting information). However, ChxR did not protect at this site (Fig. 3), suggesting that the variable nucleotides might be important for making base contacts with ChxR.

A commonly held view now being challenged is that homologous response regulators activate a unique repertoire of genes (ref. 15 and this work). Of special interest was that ChxR activated transcription of E. coli genes ompF and ompC but protected regions of ompF and ompC that were shifted with respect to the OmpR binding sites. Activation by ChxR was observed in E. coli in the presence of OmpR and in vitro at chlamydial promoters without OmpR, suggesting that ChxR binds promoter-proximal activating sites at ompF and ompC and activates transcription. Genetic evidence indicates that OmpR activates transcription by interaction with RpoA, the α-subunit of RNA polymerase (26–28), and it is tempting to speculate that ChxR might employ a similar mechanism of activation. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators interact with different subunits to activate transcription (26, 29, 30). The fact that ChxR activated transcription in the presence of OmpR and that their DNA interaction sites overlapped suggests that ChxR and OmpR may interact or that they compete with one another for binding. Similar findings have also been reported for CpxR regulation of the porin genes (15).

The replacement of active-site aspartate residues with glutamate suggests that ChxR activity is not regulated by posttranslational activation and, when present, is capable of binding DNA and activating transcription. Although autoactivation of chxR provides an explanation for its regulation of expression during the midcycle phase of chlamydial growth, how chxR transcription is initially activated is unknown. Because a large percentage of the chlamydial genome is activated at the same time as chxR (14), there may exist a common mechanism of transcriptional control for this stage that is presently undefined. Alternatively, small amounts of ChxR may be produced by low basal transcription until a critical level is reached, allowing for optimal transcriptional activation by ChxR.

Transcripts of chxR were first detected in Chlamydia by 10 h after infection, corresponding to the midcycle metabolic burst (14). ChxR has been conserved throughout the chlamydial phylogenetic tree. Thus, it is an essential protein and, by temporal association, is likely responsible for activating a subset of genes necessary for chlamydial development. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators can interact with different subunits to activate transcription of activation. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators can interact with different subunits to activate transcription.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial Strains.** C. trachomatis serovar D was propagated in monolayers of HeLa 229 cells, and serovar L2 was propagated in L929 cells in suspension cultures. RPMI medium 1640 (Invitrogen) was supplemented with 5–10% heat-inactivated FBS plus 50 μg/ml vancomycin. Chlamydial organisms were isolated by sonic treatment (26–28), and it is tempting to speculate that ChxR might employ a similar mechanism of activation. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators may interact with different subunits to activate transcription (26, 29, 30). The fact that ChxR activated transcription in the presence of OmpR and that their DNA interaction sites overlapped suggests that ChxR and OmpR may interact or that they compete with one another for binding. Similar findings have also been reported for CpxR regulation of the porin genes (15).

The replacement of active-site aspartate residues with glutamate suggests that ChxR activity is not regulated by posttranslational activation and, when present, is capable of binding DNA and activating transcription. Although autoactivation of chxR provides an explanation for its regulation of expression during the midcycle phase of chlamydial growth, how chxR transcription is initially activated is unknown. Because a large percentage of the chlamydial genome is activated at the same time as chxR (14), there may exist a common mechanism of transcriptional control for this stage that is presently undefined. Alternatively, small amounts of ChxR may be produced by low basal transcription until a critical level is reached, allowing for optimal transcriptional activation by ChxR.

Transcripts of chxR were first detected in Chlamydia by 10 h after infection, corresponding to the midcycle metabolic burst (14). ChxR has been conserved throughout the chlamydial phylogenetic tree. Thus, it is an essential protein and, by temporal association, is likely responsible for activating a subset of genes necessary for chlamydial development. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators can interact with different subunits to activate transcription of activation. However, members of the same subfamily of response regulators can interact with different subunits to activate transcription.
isolated from purified C. trachomatis organisms 36 h after infection (14) by using SuperScript III (Invitrogen), annealing at 55°C, and the chxR 3′ RACE primer 5′-GAAAGAACACGTATTGCG-3′. Terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase reactions were incubated at 37°C, 30 min before heat inactivation (65°C, 10 min). Primary PCR used 30 cycles of 94°C, 50°C, and 72°C, each for 30 seconds, using the chxR-nested RACE primer 5′-GAATTAAT-ACCCAAAAACCACTGATT-3′. Secondary PCRs (30 µl) used 2 µl of a 1:500 dilution of the primary PCR (annealing at 60°C). Amplicons were separated on a 1.8% agarose gel, and DNA was isolated from excised bands (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Direct sequencing of amplicons was performed by using RACE nested primer. The 5′ end of the RNA was confirmed by using amplicons that were cloned into pTOPO-TA 2.1 and by sequencing individual clones.

**In Vitro Transcription and Microarray Analysis.** In vitro transcription reactions were performed by adding transcription buffer (40 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0/10 mM MgCl₂/5 mM DTT/50 mM KCl/50 µg/ml BSA), 0.5 mM ribonucleoside NTPs, and 20 units of RNasin (Promega) to 2 µg of EcoRI-digested C. trachomatis L2 genomic DNA. EcoR (178 nM; Epicentre, Madison, WI) was added to 0, 100 nM, or 1.6 µM chxR and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. DNA was removed by the addition of 20 units of RQ1 DNase (Promega) and RNA-purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen). Eluted RNA was fluorescently labeled and hybridized to chlamydial DNA microarrays as described in ref. 11.

**Electrophoretic Mobility-Shift Assays.** TOPO-TA vectors containing ompF and ompC upstream regulatory regions were digested with HindIII and SphI (New England Biolabs), and each fragment was gel-purified. Fragments were digoxigenin-labeled by using 3′-end labeling (Roche, Indianapolis). Reactions containing 10 ng of DNA and increasing concentrations of ChxR (16 nM, 72 nM, 160 nM, 720 nM, 1.6 µM, 7.2 µM, and 16 µM) in reaction buffer (40 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0/0.1 mM EDTA/100 mM NaCl/250 mM KCl/1 mM DTT/1 µg of poly[d(I-C)]/10% glycerol) were incubated at 30°C for 15 min. Reactions were mixed with 2 µl of 50% sucrose and separated by electrophoresis in 5% TBE gel, 0.5× TBE buffer (90 mM Tris/64.6 mM boric acid/2.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.3) at 20 V for 2 h. Gels were transferred to nylon membranes in an electrotransfer buffer in 0.5× TBE at 400 mA for 40 min. After UV-crosslinking of the membranes, digoxigenin-labeled DNA was detected by using digoxigenin-specific Fab antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (1:10,000), and immune reactions were detected by chemiluminescence (Roche).

**DNase I Protection Assays.** Genomic DNA from C. trachomatis serovar L2 was used to amplify the chxR and infA promoters. Plasmids pDW99 (chxR) and pDW110 (infA) served as PCR templates to generate the DNase I footprinting templates. Before PCR, the oligonucleotide was phosphorylated with [γ-32P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol, PerkinElmer) using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). PCR products were purified with QIAquick columns and a 5% TAE polyacrylamide gel. DNA was extracted from polyacrylamide fragments by using QIAex II gel purification (Qiagen). Template DNA was quantified, and 30,000 cpm was used per reaction. Binding reactions were performed in 12% glycerol, 4 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 20 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mM DTT, and 1 µg of poly[d(I-C)] (Roche) for 10 min at room temperature. DNase I (Roche) was diluted in binding buffer containing 10 mM MgCl₂ and added to each reaction for 30 seconds (0.1 units of DNase I/30,000 cpm labeled DNA). Cleavage was stopped by the addition of 0.375 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2), 20 mM EDTA, and 0.5 µg of glycerol, and ethanol precipitation followed. DNase I reactions were resolved on an 8% urea-TBE acrylamide gel with sequencing ladders generated by Thermo Sequencing Cycle Sequencing Kit (USB, Swampscott, MA). DNase I footprinting templates of the ompF and ompC promoters were generated as described in ref. 21 and performed as above, except that 3 µg of poly[d(I-C)] was used in each binding reaction.

We thank T. Nicholson for microarray analysis and C. Shields for technical support of this research. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Fellowship F32-GM68364 (to D.W.), National Institutes of Health Grants AI042156 (to R.S.S.) and GM 58746 (to R.S.S.), and National Science Foundation Grant MCB0243085 (to L.J.K.).