Corrections

AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES. For the article “A global perspective of the richness and evenness of traditional crop-variety diversity maintained by farming communities,” by Devra I. Jarvis, Anthony H. D. Brown, Pham Hung Cuong, Luis Collado-Panduro, Luis Latournerie-Moreno, Sanjaya Gヤwali, Tesema Tanto, Mahamadou Sawadogo, Istvan MЯr, Mohammed Sadiki, Nguyen Thi-Ngь Hue, Luis Arias-Reyеs, Didier Balма, Iwala Bジャracharya, Fernando Castiλlo, Deepak Rijal, Loubna Belqadi, Ram Rana, Seddik Sаdi, Jeremy Ouedraogo, Roger Zangre, Kelтoum Rhьb, Jose Luis Chаvez, Daniel Schoen, Bhuwon Sthаpit, Paola De Sаnts, Carlo Faddа, and Toby Hodgkin, which appeared in issue 14, April 8, 2008, of Proc Natл Acad Sci USA (105:5326–5331; first published March 24, 2008; 10.1073/pnas.0800607105), the authors note that Table 1 was incorrect in part. In row 8, column 1, the genus name Cucurbitа appeared in error. Also in row 8, column 2, the footnote symbol on “Peru” should have appeared as §. In addition, the wording of some of the footnotes was clarified, and the reference citation for Sadiki et al. was corrected to read “(16)”. The corrected table appears below.

Table 1. Rationale and procedure for defining units of diversity in 27 crops species in eight countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Variety name–units-of-diversity relationship</th>
<th>Breeding system</th>
<th>Major starch source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley (Hordeum vulgare)</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (Oryza sativa)</td>
<td>Nepal, Vietnam</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger millet (Eleusine coracana)</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)</td>
<td>Burkina Faso, Mexico, Peru</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus)</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Oc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squash (Cucurbitа maxima, C. mixtа, C. moschата, C. pepo, Luffа cylindrica)</td>
<td>Mexico, Nepal</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Oc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chili (Capsicum annuum, C. chinense, C. baccatum, C. pubescens, C. frutescens)</td>
<td>Peru, Mexico</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taro (Colocasia esculenta, Xanthosoma spp.)</td>
<td>Nepal, Vietnam</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley (H. vulgare)</td>
<td>Ethiopia, Morocco</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durum wheat (Triticum durum)</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum)</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Oc</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean (P. vulgaris)</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize (Zeа mаyѕ)</td>
<td>Mexico, Peru</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Oc</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum (Sorghum bicорor)</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faba bean (Vicia fabа)</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Po</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava (Manihot esculenta)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A, variety names equal to the units farmers manage; B, variety names underestimate the units farmers are using to manage diversity; C, variety names both overestimate (different names used for the same variety) and underestimate (same name used for different varieties) the units farmers manage. See Sadiki et al. (16) and SI for further details on variety names–units-of-diversity relationships.

†Cl, clonal; In, inbreeding; Po, partially outcrossing; Oc, outcrossing.

‡Beans (common bean, lima bean, and cowpea), chili, squash, and taro are managed as crop complexes. Each crop complex occupies the same ecological and cultural niche and is considered by farmers as a single crop type.

§Average household area planted to traditional varieties of the crop is <50 m² (i.e., crop is managed as a few plants in home gardens).
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PROFILE. For the article “Profile of Hans Joachim Schellnhuber,” by Kaspar Mossman, which appeared in issue 6, February 12, 2008, of Proc Natл Acad Sci USA (105:1783–1785; first published February 6, 2008; 10.1073/pnas.0800554105), the author notes that on page 1784, in column 2, the first full paragraph, line 4, the phrase “the West German Academy of Sciences was ‘dismantled’ and reincorporated in Potsdam,” should instead read: “the East German Academy of Sciences was ‘dismantled,’ and elements of it were reincorporated in Potsdam.”

MEDICAL SCIENCES. For the article “The cyclic AMP effector Epac integrates pro- and anti-fibrotic signals,” by Utako Yokoyama, Hemal H. Patel, N. Chin Lai, Nakon Aroonsakool, David M. Roth, and Paul A. Insel, which appeared in issue 14, April 29, 2008, of Proc Natл Acad Sci USA (105:6386–6391; first published April 23, 2008; 10.1073/pnas.0801490105), the authors note that, due to a printer’s error, the Received for Review date appeared incorrectly. The correct date is July 12, 2007. The online version has been corrected.
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The cyclic AMP effector Epac integrates pro- and anti-fibrotic signals

Utako Yokoyama*, Hemal H. Patel†, N. Chin Lai§, Nakon Aroonsakool*, David M. Roth†§, and Paul A. Insel*‡¶

Departments of *Pharmacology, †Anesthesiology, and §Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; and ¶Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA 92161

Edited by Robert J. Lefkowitz, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, and approved February 29, 2008 (received for review July 12, 2007)

Scar formation occurs during the late stages of the inflammatory response but, when excessive, produces fibrosis that can lead to functional and structural damage of tissues. Here, we show that the profibrogenic agonist, transforming growth factor β1, transcriptionally decreases expression of Exchange protein activated by cAMP 1 (Epac1) in fibroblasts/fibroblast-like cells from multiple tissues (i.e., cardiac, lung, and skin fibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells). Overexpression of Epac1 inhibits transforming growth factor β1-induced collagen synthesis, indicating that a decrease of Epac1 expression appears to be necessary for the fibrogenic phenotype, an idea supported by evidence that Epac1 expression in cardiac fibroblasts is inhibited after myocardial infarction. Epac and protein kinase A, a second mediator of cAMP action, have opposite effects on migration but both inhibit synthesis of collagen and DNA by fibroblasts. Epac is preferentially activated by low concentrations of cAMP and stimulates migration via the small G protein Rap1 but inhibits collagen synthesis in a Rap1-independent manner. The regulation of Epac expression and activation thus appear to be critical for the integration of pro- and anti-fibrotic signals and for the regulation of fibroblast function.

exchange protein activated by cyclic AMP | fibrosis | protein kinase A | tissue remodeling

Tissue fibrosis in the late stages of inflammation leads to organ damage and loss of function but is largely untreatable (1). Fibrosis results from the conversion of resting fibroblasts to profibrogenic myofibroblasts in response to certain growth factors, e.g., transforming growth factor (TGF) β1, and hormones, such as angiotensin II and endothelin (2).

The CAMP pathway is a potential target to blunt fibrosis: Increases in cAMP levels can decrease fibroblast function after cell injury and inhibit fibroblast-to-myofibroblast conversion (3), which accompanies repair after injury, including ischemic death (e.g., myocardial infarction) (2). The action of cAMP in eukaryotic cells was thought to occur exclusively via activation of protein kinase A (PKA) and PKA-mediated changes in protein expression and function (4, 5), but Epac, a guanine nucleotide exchange protein activated by cyclic AMP (PKA) and PKA-mediated changes in protein expression and function (4–9). Epac has been implicated in a variety of cellular responses, including proliferation, differentiation, migration of fibroblasts (6, 7, 10, 11). However, neither the regulation of Epac expression nor the contribution of Epac to pathophysiological processes is well defined.

In the heart, fibroblasts and extracellular matrix derived therefrom form a framework upon which cardiac myocytes contract and the conversion of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts is an important event in repair after myocardial infarction and other injuries (2, 12). Intracellular cAMP levels evoke numerous cellular responses after cardiac injury (13, 14). The role of Epac in cardiac injury and repair is not known, although Epac activation can influence other aspects of cardiac function (15). Here, we show that profibrotic agents inhibit Epac expression and that Epac plays a key role in the integration of pro- and anti-fibrotic responses.

Results

Profibrogenic Agonists Attenuate Epac1 Expression. Two forms of Epac (Epac1 and Epac2) have been identified in a variety of cell types (8); passage 1 cardiac fibroblasts more abundantly express Epac1 than Epac2 (Fig. 1 A1). The fibrogenic agonist TGFβ1 promotes conversion of resting fibroblasts to profibrotic myofibroblasts (3), prominently decreasing expression of Epac1 (but not Epac2) mRNA and protein (Fig. 1 B–D and F) and not that of PKA catalytic subunits α and β mRNA (data not shown). Incubation with TGFβ1 negates an increase in Rap1 activity promoted by Me-cAMP [8-(4-chlorophenylthio)-2′-O-methyl-cAMP, an Epac-selective agonist] (Fig. 1 E). The G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) agonist angiotensin II also inhibits Epac1 mRNA expression (Fig. 1 G). Actinomycin D, TGFβ1 and angiotensin II produce similar rates of decay in Epac1 mRNA expression, implicating inhibition of transcription, and not enhanced RNA degradation, in TGFβ1- and angiotensin II-promoted decrease in Epac1 mRNA (Fig. 1 F and G). TGFβ1 decreases Epac1 mRNA expression in multiple types of fibroblasts: rat cardiac, lung, and skin fibroblasts; mouse hepatic stellate cells; and a human hepatic stellate cell line (Fig. 1 H–K). Thus, inhibition of expression of Epac1 occurs in responses to profibrotic activation of fibroblasts from multiple tissues and represents a type of cross-talk between tyrosine kinase receptors (e.g., TGFβ1) and GPCR signaling via cAMP. Our subsequent experiments focused on cardiac fibroblasts.

Overexpression of Epac1 Inhibits TGFβ1 Induced Collagen Synthesis: Decrease in Epac Expression Is Necessary but Not Sufficient for the Profibrotic Phenotype. Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer of Epac1 dose-dependently decreased expression of Epac1 mRNA and protein [supporting information (SI) Fig. S1] and negated TGFβ1-promoted enhancement of collagen type I mRNA (Fig. 1 H–K). Immunocytochemistry indicated that, akin to the observations in ref. 17, PKA activation (Phe-cAMP) rapidly (within 1 h) produces long branching processes that yield a stellate pattern, where activation of Epac promotes cardiac fibroblast migration via Rap1, whereas activation of PKA inhibits migration. Migration of fibroblasts is a key step in their entry into sites of tissue damage. Treatment with cAMP analogs that selectively activate Epac (Me-cAMP and 8-(4-chlorophenylthio)-2′-O-methyl-cAMPs, Sp-isomer [Sp-Me-cAMP], or PKA [N8-phenyl cAMP (Phe-cAMP)]) (7, 16) revealed that Epac activation promotes (by 2-to 3-fold), but PKA activation inhibits (by ~50%) fibroblast migration (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S2 A). Immunocytochemistry indicated that, akin to the observations in ref. 17, PKA activation (Phe-cAMP) rapidly (within 1 h) produces long branching processes that yield a stellate pattern, whereas activation of Epac promotes cardiac fibroblast migration via Rap1.
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whereas Epac activation (Me-cAMP) results in rounded cells without such processes (Fig. 2E). Epac1 is primarily cytoplasmic under resting conditions but localizes to perinuclear and nuclear regions after activation by Me-cAMP (Fig. 2E).

We assessed the role of Rap1, a downstream target of Epac (8, 9), on Epac-promoted migration of fibroblasts. Epac activation by Me-cAMP increased GTP-bound (activated) Rap1; PKA activation by Phε-cAMP had negligible effects on such binding (Fig. 2F). Silencing by Rap1-targeted siRNA (Fig. 2G) negated Me-cAMP-induced fibroblast migration (Fig. 2H). Pretreatment with TGFβ1 and angiotensin II inhibited Me-cAMP-induced migration (Fig. 2I), consistent with their ability to decrease Epac1 expression (Fig. 1). Thus, Epac activation promotes fibroblast migration via Rap1, whereas activation of PKA induces such migration.

Low Concentrations of cAMP Promote Fibroblast Migration, Whereas Higher cAMP Concentrations Inhibit Such Migration. Several cAMP-elevating agents, including stimulators of cAMP synthesis [e.g., adrenomedullin, beraprost (an IP receptor agonist), isoproterenol (a β-adrenergic receptor agonist), and the diterpene forskolin (Fig. 3 A–D)] and inhibitors of cAMP hydrolysis [cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (PDE), e.g., a nonspecific PDE inhibitor, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (200 μM), a PDE3 inhibitor, milrinone (10 μM) or a PDE4 inhibitor, rolipram (10 μM) (Fig. 3E)] stimulated fibroblast migration at lower concentrations but decreased migration at higher concentrations, as summarized in Fig. 3F. Lower and higher concentrations of these agents produce morphologic changes akin to those elicited by activation of Epac and PKA, respectively (Fig. S2B and Fig. 2E). Cell viability was maintained, as demonstrated by trypan blue staining.

Treatment with Epac1-targeted siRNA selectively decreases expression of Epac1 mRNA and protein for at least 24–48 h (Fig. S3A and data not shown). Epac1-targeted siRNA, but not Epac2-targeted siRNA (data not shown), negated the enhancement of migration induced by the GPCR agonist adrenomedullin (Fig. 3G and Fig. S3B). Thus, Epac1 appears to mediate the enhancement of fibroblast migration by cAMP-elevating agonists. Adrenomedullin also activates PKA in cardiac fibroblasts.
Data obtained with Wiptide, together with other data (Fig. 3 and Figs. S2 and S3), lead us to conclude that lower cAMP concentrations preferentially promote fibroblast migration via Epac.
Both Epac and PKA Activation Inhibit Collagen Type I and III Expression and DNA Synthesis. Despite their differing effects on fibroblast migration, Me-cAMP and Phe-cAMP both inhibit collagen Iα1, Iα2, and IIIα1 mRNA expression (Fig. 4 C–E) and DNA synthesis (Fig. 4D). Incubation with Rap1-targeted siRNA did not affect Me-cAMP-induced inhibition of collagen and DNA synthesis (Fig. 4 E and F). Activation of PKA inhibits expression of α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), a measure of fibroblast-to-myofibroblast conversion (2, 3); Epac activation does not alter αSMA expression (data not shown).

Epac1 Expression Decreases After Myocardial Infarction. Using a myocardial infarction model, we assessed TGFβ1 and Epac1 expression by immunostaining and assessed images of the border zone that abuts on regions of infarction. TGF β1 (red) was highly

---

**Fig. 4.** Epac and PKA activation inhibit collagen I and III expression and DNA synthesis of cardiac fibroblasts. (A–C) Cardiac fibroblasts were incubated in serum-free media for 48 h and then with either Me-cAMP (50 μM) or Phe-cAMP (50 μM) for 24 h. Cells were assayed by using real-time RT-PCR to quantify collagens Iα1, Iα2, and IIIα1; the data are normalized to GAPDH, n = 4. ***, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 compared with CTRL. (D) Cells were serum-starved for 48 h and then incubated with either Me-cAMP (50 μM) or Phe-cAMP (50 μM) in presence of [3H]thymidine for 24 h and processed to quantify DNA synthesis. n = 6, ***, P < 0.01 compared with CTRL. (E) Cells were incubated with Rap1-targeted siRNA for 24 h and then stimulated with Me-cAMP for 24 h. Quantitative RT-PCR was used to analyze collagen type Iα1 mRNA expression. n = 4–6. *, P < 0.05. (F) Cells were incubated with Rap1-targeted siRNA for 24 h, then for 24 h with Me-cAMP and [3H]thymidine. n = 4. ***, P < 0.001.

**Fig. 5.** Epac1 expression is decreased after myocardial infarction in vivo. (A) Sections of cardiac intraventricular septa from rats subjected to myocardial infarction (MI) or sham operation (sham) were stained for TGFβ1 (red), Epac1 (green) and nuclei with DAPI (blue). The images of the border zone that abuts on regions of infarction. TGFβ1 (red) was highly...
expressed in the area of infarction (Fig. 5A Upper Right); TGF-β1-expressing cells had less Epac1 (green) expression than did TGF-β1-negative cells. αSMA (red) was expressed in the area of infarction and in vessels in sham-operated animals. Epac1 expression was decreased in αSMA-expressing cells (Fig. 5B). Fibroblasts isolated from the interventricular septa 1 week after myocardial infarction had decreased Epac1 mRNA and protein expression (Fig. 5C and D). We also found decreased expression of Epac1 and high levels of TGF-β1 in the border zone of mice with myocardial infarction (Fig. S4). Thus, a decrease in Epac1 expression parallels the increase in expression of TGF-β1 after myocardial infarction, results consistent with those we observed with isolated fibroblasts (Fig. 1).

Discussion

The current findings indicate that profibrotic agonists inhibit Epac1 expression in fibroblasts from multiple tissues and that overexpression of Epac1 inhibits TGF-β1-induced collagen synthesis, implying that a decrease in Epac expression is required for profibrotic response. Moreover, PKA and Epac, the two major effectors of cAMP action, differentially regulate fibroblast migration and morphology: Epac promotes migration via Rap1 and expression of a rounded morphology, whereas PKA inhibits migration, decreases fibrogenicity, and produces a stellate appearance. Findings obtained with cAMP derivatives that selectively activate Epac or PKA and with cAMP-elevating agonists indicate that lower cAMP concentrations preferentially promote migration via Epac. Activation of Epac or PKA has opposite effects on fibroblast migration, but both cAMP effectors inhibit collagen and DNA synthesis.

Our results, which show that TGF-β1 decreases Epac1 expression in fibroblasts from multiple tissues, are akin to data indicating a similar decrease in Epac1 mRNA expression in U937 monocytic cells (18): TGF-β1-mediated inhibition of Epac expression may thus be a widespread mechanism for controlling responses to mitogens. Smad3 is required for TGF-β1-induced gene expression in fibroblasts (19); its loss prevents interstitial fibrosis in the heart and attenuates cardiac remodeling (20), suggesting a role for Smad3 in the decrease of Epac1 by TGF-β1. Epac1 protein can interact with type I TGF-β receptor and inhibit transcriptional activation and phosphorylation of Smad2 (21). Functionally, the decrease in Epac expression would facilitate localization of fibroblasts at sites where fibrogenesis is required and contribute to this process by blunting the negative impact of Epac activation on collagen synthesis. The ability of overexpression of Epac to block profibrotic response (Fig. 2) suggests that such an approach has the therapeutic potential to inhibit or perhaps reverse tissue fibrosis.

Epac-promoted fibroblast migration appears to occur via Rap1, although PKA may also phosphorylate and regulate Rap1 (22, 23). The Epac target Rap1-RAPL, a Rap1-binding molecule, can move to a cell’s leading edge and activate integrins to promote cell polarization and migration (24). Our data regarding localization of Epac1 are consistent with evidence that Epac1 localizes perinuclearly in migrating cells, suggesting that Epac1 activates Rap1 in the location where activated Rap1 may associate with RAPL (24). Perhaps the ability of cAMP-elevating agonists to regulate cell migration to varying degrees results from increases in cAMP that activate compartmentalized PKA isoforms and Epac (25). By contrast with our data, in fibroblasts, Epac activation inhibits migration of endothelial cells (26), implying that Epac activation has cell type-differences in its effect on migration.

Our data (Fig. 3) implicate Epac as the preferential effector (vs. PKA) in fibroblast migration in response to increases in cAMP. Previous studies have reached inconsistent conclusions regarding which effector is preferentially involved in cAMP actions (7, 27, 28). The physiologically relevant cAMP affinities for Epac vs. PKA have not been clearly defined, in part because of limitations in the assays currently available and the difficulty in knowing the contribution of other cellular proteins and constituents to Epac activity in intact cells.

Plasma and tissue levels of cAMP-elevating agonists, including catecholamines and adrenomedullin, rapidly increase in many organs, including the heart, after injury (13). TGF-β1 expression increases at wound sites 1-3 days after myocardial infarction (29). Our data indicate that TGF-β1 inhibits fibroblast migration via inhibition of Epac expression and suggest a mechanism for facilitation of TGF-β1 action by promotion of the synthesis of extracellular matrix at sites of injury (3, 29) (Fig. 5E). This idea is consistent with our in vivo data showing that TGF-β1 is increased and Epac1 expression is decreased in the border zone after myocardial infarction. The ability of TGF-β1 to inhibit expression of Epac1 provides an example of cross-talk between tyrosine kinase receptors and GPCR that occurs at a more distal site in the GPCR signaling pathway that is different than previously noted (30).

In conclusion, the current data show that inhibition of Epac expression and action contributes to responses induced by profibrotic agents and that increases in intracellular cAMP concentrations (produced by activators of adenylyl cyclase or inhibitors of PDE) preferentially promote migration of fibroblasts via the Epac-Rap1 pathway. Decreased fibroblast migration in response to profibrotic agents occurs via inhibition of Epac1 expression; prevention of this decrease in Epac expression can inhibit profibrotic response. Because Epac appears to integrate pro- and anti-fibrotic signals, treatments that enhance Epac expression have the potential to blunt and perhaps reverse tissue fibrosis.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies and Regents. Forskolin, adrenomedullin, isoproterenol, TGF-β1, and antibody to α-smooth muscle actin were purchased from Sigma. 8-(4-chlorophenylthio)-2′-O-methyladenosine-3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate, 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP, (Me-cAMP), N′-phenyladenosine-3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate (Ac-8-cAMP), and 8-[(4-chlorophenylthio)-2′-O-ethyl-cAMPs (Sp-me-8-cAMP) were purchased from the BIOLOG Life Science Institute. The PKA inhibitor (5–22) (Wiptide) and beraprost were purchased from AnaSpec and Cayman Chemical, respectively. Antibodies to Epac1 and Epac2 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Antibody to TGF-β1 was purchased from R&D Systems.

Isolation and Culture of Adult Rat Cardiac, Lung, and Skin Fibroblasts. Cardiac fibroblasts were isolated from adult Sprague–Dawley rats (250–300 g, male) and cultured as described in ref. 3. To obtain lung and skin fibroblasts, lung and skin were isolated from anesthetized/heparinized adult Sprague–Dawley rats (250–300 g, male). Isolated tissues were placed in Ca2+- and Mg2+-free PBS, rinsed, minced, and placed into a 60-mm dish and allowed (10 min) to adhere to the dish. Tissue explants were then incubated in medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 5% NCS, l-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, and antibiotic-antimycotic), and allowed to incubate in a humidified 5% CO2–95% atmospheric air incubator at 37°C. Tissue fragments were removed by aspiration; fibroblasts were washed twice with Ca2+- and Mg2+-free PBS, trypsinized, and passed once over a 5-day period. All animals were cared for in compliance with the guiding principles of the American Physiologic Society and as approved by the UCSD Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Isolation and Culture of Adult Mouse and Human Hepatic Stellate Cells. Mouse hepatic stellate cells were isolated as described in ref. 31. Passage 1 cells were used. The immortalized human hepatic stellate cell line was used as described in ref. 32.

Adenovirus Construction. Full-length cDNA-encoding human Epac1 (kindly provided by J. L. Boz) was cloned into a shuttle vector by using an AdenoX adenovirus construction kit (Clontech) kindly provided by Y. Ishikawa (Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan). A control adenovirus vector with GFP was used at the control level. Construction of adenovirus vectors was performed based on the nucleotide sequences of Epac1; Epac2; collagen I α1; and GAPDH. Each forward and reverse primer set was designed between multiple exons, and PCR products were confirmed by sequencing (the information of
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**DNA Interference (siRNA)**. Double-stranded siRNAs to the selected regions of Epac1, Rap1, and negative siRNA used as a control were purchased from Ambion. siRNA was transfected into the cells using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen).

**RNA Interference.** Immunocytochemistry was performed as described in ref. 9 and in SI Methods.

**Cell Migration Assay.** Migration was assayed by using the Boyden chamber method, as described in ref. 34. The cells were maintained in serum-free conditions for 48 h before the experiments and suspended in serum-free DMEM at a density of 1 × 10⁶ cells per 100 μl in the inserts without coating. Basal migration was assessed by adding 600 μl of serum-free DMEM to the lower surface.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data shown are the mean ± SEM of n independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student’s t test or one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls multiple comparison test, using GraphPad Prism software, Version 4.0. P < 0.05 was considered significant.
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