Oscillations in supercoiling drive circadian gene expression in cyanobacteria
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The cyanobacterium \textit{Synechococcus elongatus} PCC 7942 exhibits oscillations in mRNA transcript abundance with 24-h periodicity under continuous light conditions. The mechanism underlying these oscillations remains elusive—neither cis nor trans-factors controlling circadian gene expression phase have been identified.

Here, we show that the topological status of the chromosome is highly correlated with circadian gene expression state. We also demonstrate that DNA sequence characteristics of genes in \textit{Escherichia coli}. Furthermore, perturbation of superhelical status within the physiological range elicits global changes in gene expression similar to those that occur during the normal circadian cycle.

\textbf{C}ircadian rhythms in gene expression have been identified in many organisms. In general, 5–15% of an organism’s transcriptome oscillates with 24-h periodicity in the absence of external cues such as light to dark or dark to light transitions (1). These transcriptional rhythms are controlled by an endogenous biological clock and allow organisms to schedule processes at appropriate times during the day and night cycle. The cyanobacterium \textit{Synechococcus elongatus} PCC 7942 (hereafter, \textit{S. elongatus}) is particularly striking because the majority of its gene expression is under circadian control in continuous light conditions. A “promoter trap” analysis using a bacterial luciferase reporter integrated at approximately 30,000 random loci showed circadian oscillations in bioluminescence across all 800 locations where bioluminescence signal was detected (2). A recent measurement of mRNA levels by microarray analysis demonstrated that at least 30% of transcript levels oscillated in circadian fashion (3). The discrepancy between promoter trap and microarray analysis is not surprising and is at least partially attributable to a combination of: (i) the limited time-resolution of microarrays and; (ii) the time-averaging of transcript levels observed in the bioluminescence output of promoter trap studies due to finite luciferase protein lifetime. The actual percentage of circadian transcripts in \textit{S. elongatus} is likely between 30 and 100%; here we observe that 64% of transcripts oscillate with circadian periodicity (Fig. 1A).

In \textit{S. elongatus}, circadian oscillations in transcriptional activity require three genes, \textit{kaiA}, \textit{kaiB}, and \textit{kaiC}, whose products comprise the core circadian oscillator. The proteins encoded by the \textit{kai} genes interact with one another to generate circadian rhythms in KaiC phosphorylation at serine and threonine residues (4). Amazingly, an in vitro mixture of the \textit{Kai} proteins and ATP reproduces in vivo oscillations in the phosphorylation state of KaiC (5). Inactivation of any \textit{kai} gene abolishes circadian oscillations in both transcription and phosphorylation, and when \textit{kaiC} is mutated such that phosphorylation oscillations occur with periods other than 24 h, the period of transcriptional oscillation is similarly affected (3, 4, 6). Although the link between KaiC phosphorylation and transcription is strong, it is still conditional—when \textit{S. elongatus} is exposed to constant darkness, oscillations in KaiC phosphorylation persist for several cycles, but transcriptional activity is greatly reduced and no longer appears circadian (3, 7).

Although a role for the \textit{Kai} proteins in circadian gene expression is evident, the mechanism of promoter control is unclear. Circadian gene expression in \textit{S. elongatus} is primarily divided into two phases—one subset of transcripts peaks at subjective dawn and the other subset at subjective dusk (2, 3). Systematic analysis of single promoters has yet to identify specific cis-elements controlling expression phase (8, 9), and neither random mutagenesis nor transposon insertion screens have identified trans-factors responsible for expression phase (10). Interestingly, several heterologous noncircadian promoters from \textit{Escherichia coli} can drive circadian expression when integrated in \textit{S. elongatus}, suggesting that a specific cis-sequence is not necessary for circadian promoter activity (11). The elusiveness of cis and trans factors has prompted the “oscilloid model” for circadian control of gene expression (12). In this model, circadian rhythms in the topology of the \textit{S. elongatus} chromosome are thought to impart circadian gene expression patterns by modulating the affinity of the transcription machinery for promoters during the circadian cycle. After this model was proposed, two studies demonstrated circadian oscillations in the compaction and superhelical status of the \textit{S. elongatus} chromosome and an endogenous plasmid, respectively (13, 14). Although circadian oscillations in the topology of the chromosome are evident, it is unclear whether these oscillations cause circadian changes in gene expression. To test whether changes in chromosome topology are responsible for generating circadian gene expression, we concurrently measured gene expression and endogenous plasmid topology. We demonstrate that each topological state corresponds to a distinct state in gene expression. We also observe that DNA sequence features of promoters and coding regions of \textit{S. elongatus} genes that appear monotonically relaxation repressed or monotonically relaxed during the circadian cycle are similar to features found in relaxation sensitive genes in \textit{E. coli}. Furthermore, we show that perturbation of topological status results in rapid and predictable changes in global transcript levels.

\textbf{Results}

\textbf{Measurement and Analysis of Circadian Gene Expression in \textit{S. elongatus}}. We analyzed changes in transcript abundance in \textit{S. elongatus} using whole-genome microarrays. Cells were entrained by two consecutive alternating 12-h light–dark cycles and subse-
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approximately 30% more genes peaking in the subjective dawn (Figs. S1 and S2) (3). Circadian genes existed with amplitudes as high as a 32-fold change in expression, but approximately 90% of oscillating genes had amplitudes <2-fold (Fig. S1C). Surprisingly, a few genes exhibited oscillations with periods <24-h. For example, rpaA—encoding a two component transcriptional regulator—has transcript levels that oscillate with a 12-h period. Genes with a 12-h period may be inherently 24-h period genes whose expression is controlled (activated or repressed) by another 24-h period gene.

To investigate whether chromosomal location influences gene expression we explored the location dependence of gene expression profiles. We found that genes located on the same operon had almost identical gene expression profiles. For example, the Pearson correlation of the temporal profiles of kaiB and kaiC, two genes known to exist on the same operon, is 0.99 (6). In the extreme case of the ribosomal protein cluster, a contiguous 8.5-kb segment featuring 18 genes showed remarkable coregulation with mean Pearson correlation between neighbors of 0.89 (3). Despite the highly correlated expression profiles of neighboring genes, the overall transcription architecture of the chromosome appears random (Fig. S3A) (3). In fact, when the spatial organization of expression profiles is conditioned on putative operon structure, the correlation between neighboring operons is close to random (Fig. S3B and C). That is, genes on the same mRNA transcript are highly correlated, but neighboring transcripts show close to no correlation. Similarly, the amplitude distribution along the chromosome appears random after conditioning on putative operon structure (Fig. S4). In addition to an approximate 2.7 Mb chromosome, S. elongatus contains two endogenous plasmids, pANL and pANS. We see several circadian genes on both endogenous plasmids. The existence of circadian genes on both the chromosome and plasmids suggests that circadian gene expression is regulated by a global mechanism.

To explore the function of circadian gene expression, we investigated the distribution of subjective dawn and subjective dusk genes in various cellular and metabolic pathways (3). Interestingly, we found that 41 of 46 circadian genes involved in photosynthesis were most highly expressed in the subjective dawn. Since protein levels have been shown to lag transcript abundance by 4–6 h (15, 16), these photosynthesis proteins are likely up-regulated during the subjective day and down-regulated during the subjective night. Several other metabolic and cellular pathways show significant enrichment for subjective dawn or subjective dusk genes (Dataset S1 and Fig. S5). In total, 70% of genes annotated in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (17) exhibit circadian periodicity in their expression. Although it is clear that circadian expression has major metabolic and cellular consequences in continuous light, it is unclear whether and how this control is used in more physiologically relevant conditions where light and dark periods alternate.

**Correlation Between Topological State and Gene Expression.** The oscilloid model suggests that circadian change in the topology of the chromosome drives circadian gene expression. If this model is true, we expect each topological state to map to a single gene expression state. As a proxy for the topological status of the S. elongatus chromosome, we quantified the superhelical status of the endogenous pANS plasmid (Fig. 1B) sampled from the same time-course as the expression analysis (Fig. S6) (14). A comparison of the topological analysis with the microarray data suggests that each topological state corresponds to a unique state of gene expression suggesting that oscillations in supercoiling can drive oscillations in gene expression.

![Fig. 1. Circadian gene expression and topology in S. elongatus. (A) Heat map of circadian gene expression (1748/2724, 64% of total predicted ORFs) ordered by phase. Each gene is normalized between 0 and 1 such that yellow (1) and blue (0) indicate a peak and trough of expression, respectively. Circadian genes were identified on the chromosome and both endogenous plasmids. (B) Oscillations in the superhelicity of an endogenous plasmid (pANS) from same time-course as expression analysis. Each superhelical state corresponds to a unique state of gene expression suggesting that oscillations in supercoiling can drive oscillations in gene expression.](image-url)
immediately decrease in gene expression. (During relaxed circadian times immediately increase in gene expression.) Inhibition at T increases supercoiling changes during the circadian cycle (black). Supercoiling was measured 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 150, 240, 330, and 480 min after novobiocin addition. Novobiocin addition immediately relaxes the pANS plasmid to a level similar to the most relaxed state during the circadian cycle. (Relaxation while purF pANS plasmid (black). Relaxed supercoiling states have lower relative mobility than negatively supercoiled states.

**Sequence Characteristics of Genes Suggest Supercoiling Mediated Control.** Genes that are monotonically relaxation activated (blue) and monotonically relaxation repressed (green) have increased and decreased AT content (in promoter and coding region), respectively, compared to all of the genes in the genome. Genes were aligned by translational start site and the mean AT content along −2,000–2,000 was calculated with a smoothing window of 100 nucleotides.

Repressed in response to relaxation have a lower than expected AT content in both the coding region and promoter, whereas genes monotonically activated by relaxation have a higher than expected AT content (18, 19). Similarly, we see a significant difference in the AT content of genes that appear monotonically repressed versus monotonically activated by relaxation (Fig. 2A). This difference in AT content is highly significant in both the promoter region and coding region (all P < 0.05) (Fig. S7).

**Perturbation of Topology Results in Predictable Expression Changes.** If superhelical state does in fact regulate circadian gene expression, then artificial manipulation of supercoiling should result in a predictable change in gene expression. In particular, if we are able to rapidly change the superhelical status of the chromosome from its most supercoiled to most relaxed state, then the instantaneous change in gene expression should be very similar to the change in gene expression caused by normal circadian relaxation. That is, the expression of genes that are expressed most strongly during supercoiled circadian times (Fig. 3A, purple) should immediately decrease, whereas the expression of genes that are expressed most strongly during relaxed circadian times (Fig. 3A, red) should immediately increase.

To test this hypothesis, we treated cells with the gyrase inhibitor novobiocin (0.1 μg/mL novobiocin sodium salt) during the most supercoiled state in the circadian cycle (CT 16, T = 64 h). At this concentration of novobiocin, the growth rate is not significantly affected and supercoiling resumes the circadian course after a brief excursion. Within 5 min of novobiocin treatment, the pANS plasmid reached a supercoiling state similar to the most relaxed state observed during the normal circadian cycle (Fig. 3B and Fig. S8 A and B). As expected, expression from the well characterized supercoiling sensitive promoters in *E. coli*—gyrA, gyrB, and topI—exhibited a homeostasis-generating response upon relaxation (20) (Fig. S8C). That is, since gyrase induces negative supercoils and topoisomerase I induces positive supercoils (relaxation), the expression of gyrase increases and the expression of topoisomerase I decreases in response to relaxation to regain the original superhelical state. Amazingly, genes whose expression is decreased or increased with circadian relaxation took opposite and predictable trajectories after novobiocin-induced relaxation (Fig. 3C). In fact, there is high correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.85) between the change in gene expression after only 5 min of drug-induced relaxation and the change in gene expression due to relaxation in the circadian cycle (Fig. 4). Of the 1,748 circadian genes, 1,380 (79%) moved in the expected direction 5 min after novobiocin treatment. Of the genes whose expression differed by >2-fold between relaxed and supercoiled circadian time-points, none moved in the direction contrary to that expected. These results

**Fig. 2.** Sequence characteristics of genes suggest supercoiling mediated control. Genes that are monotonically relaxation activated (blue) and monotonically relaxation repressed (green) have increased and decreased AT content (in promoter and coding region), respectively, compared to all of the genes in the genome. Genes were aligned by translational start site and the mean AT content along −2,000–2,000 was calculated with a smoothing window of 100 nucleotides.

**Fig. 3.** Manipulation of supercoiling via gyrase inhibition results in expected changes in gene expression. (A) Canonical subjective dawn (purF, red) and subjective dusk (kaiC, purple) genes oscillate with opposite phase in gene expression. Superimposed is the circadian oscillation in superhelicity of the endogenous pANS plasmid (black). Relaxed supercoiling states have lower relative mobility than negatively supercoiled states. KaiC expression is decreased during circadian relaxation while purF expression is increased. (B) Quantification of pANS plasmid supercoiling before and after addition of novobiocin at T = 64 h (blue) superimposed on supercoiling changes during the circadian cycle (black). Supercoiling was measured 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 150, 240, 330, and 480 min after novobiocin addition. Novobiocin addition immediately relaxes the pANS plasmid to a level similar to the most relaxed state during the circadian cycle. (C) Gyrase inhibition at T = 64 h in the circadian cycle results in an immediate change in gene expression due to drug-induced relaxation. Genes that have higher expression during relaxed circadian times immediately increase in gene expression (purF, red) whereas genes that have lower expression during relaxed circadian times immediately decrease in gene expression (kaiC, purple).
Supercoiling have been observed during a variety of stress nucleoid-like proteins, and transcription factors with the pro-torsional stress on DNA, which affects transcription by modu-lating the stability of interactions between RNA polymerase, nucleoid-like proteins, and transcription factors with the promoter or coding region of genes (27). Changes in the level of supercoiling have been observed during a variety of stress responses—temperature, peroxide, and osmotic—as well as growth conditions (23–25, 28), and changes in supercoiling have been shown to elicit a global transcriptional response (29). A role for supercoiling in mediating changes in expression is not limited to E. coli and has been observed in a variety of bacteria including Salmonella typhimurium, Vibrio cholera, Bacillus subtilis, and Synechocystis PCC 6803 (30–33).

Supercoiling mediated control of circadian gene expression poses several advantages over other modes of regulation: (i) supercoiling can globally affect all promoters, enabling genome-wide oscillations in transcription; (ii) promoters can have differential sensitivity to supercoiling, allowing different phases of circadian oscillation; and (iii) supercoiling is already under feedback control in bacteria, so evolution of an oscillator requires only a circadian perturbation of supercoiling levels since the return to homeostasis is already preprogrammed.

In S. elongatus, the extent of supercoiling mediated circadian gene expression, natural variation in superhelical status, and robust outputs—amplitude and phase—of supercoiling sensitivity make it an ideal system for understanding how supercoiling affects transcription. Although several mechanisms have been proposed for how supercoiling affects the transcription of individual promoters in E. coli, genome-wide analysis in S. elongatus may reveal the common mechanistic and sequence motifs of supercoiling mediated transcriptional control. By studying how sequence determines phase and how the association of transcrip-tion machinery with promoters and genes is modulated during the circadian cycle, we may be able to gain a better understanding of how supercoiling can affect transcription.

Materials and Methods

Continuous Culture of Cyanobacteria. A continuous culture apparatus was developed to keep cells in constant conditions and provide real-time bioluminescence readings. S. elongatus (strain AMC 408 (8); psbA::luxCDE fusion in NS1 (34) (spectinomycin) and purF::luxAB fusion in NS2 (34) (chloramphenicol)) was grown in a 6-L cylindrical spinner flask (Corning) at a volume of 4.5 L. Cells were grown in modified BG-11 medium (35) with the following modifications: 0.0010 g/L FeNH₄ citrate was used instead of 0.0012 g/L FeNH₄ citrate and citric acid was supplemented at 0.00066 g/L. Cells were initially inoculated in the presence of antibiotics (5 µg/mL spectinomycin and 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol), and subsequently diluted with modified BG-11 only. Cells were exposed to surface flux of approximately 25 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ white light, bubbled with 500 mL/min 1% CO₂ in air, maintained at 30 °C, and stirred at 1 rotation per second. Constant optical density (OD750 = 0.15) and volume are achieved via a two state controller. OD does not fluctuate >8% during an experiment.

Cells are exposed to two light–dark cycles for entrainment before release into continuous light, collected at designated times by vacuum filtration, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in −80 °C. Duplicate 120-µL cultures were harvested at each time-point—one for the supercoiling assay and one for the expression analysis.

Expression Microarrays. RNA was extracted from frozen cells in two steps. First, cells were lysed in 65 °C phenol/SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) by vortexing and the total RNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction. Second, total RNA was subjected to DNase I (Promega) treatment followed by a second phenol/chloroform extraction. Total RNA was analyzed on agarose gel and the total RNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction. Continuous Culture of Cyanobacteria. A continuous culture apparatus was developed to keep cells in constant conditions and provide real-time bioluminescence readings. S. elongatus (strain AMC 408 (8); psbA::luxCDE fusion in NS1 (34) (spectinomycin) and purF::luxAB fusion in NS2 (34) (chloramphenicol)) was grown in a 6-L cylindrical spinner flask (Corning) at a volume of 4.5 L. Cells were grown in modified BG-11 medium (35) with the following modifications: 0.0010 g/L FeNH₄ citrate was used instead of 0.0012 g/L FeNH₄ citrate and citric acid was supplemented at 0.00066 g/L. Cells were initially inoculated in the presence of antibiotics (5 µg/mL spectinomycin and 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol), and subsequently diluted with modified BG-11 only. Cells were exposed to surface flux of approximately 25 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ white light, bubbled with 500 mL/min 1% CO₂ in air, maintained at 30 °C, and stirred at 1 rotation per second. Constant optical density (OD750 = 0.15) and volume are achieved via a two state controller. OD does not fluctuate >8% during an experiment.

Cells are exposed to two light–dark cycles for entrainment before release into continuous light, collected at designated times by vacuum filtration, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in −80 °C. Duplicate 120-µL cultures were harvested at each time-point—one for the supercoiling assay and one for the expression analysis.

Expression Microarrays. RNA was extracted from frozen cells in two steps. First, cells were lysed in 65 °C phenol/SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) by vortexing and the total RNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction. Second, total RNA was subjected to DNase I (Promega) treatment followed by a second phenol/chloroform extraction. Total RNA was analyzed on agarose gel and Agilent Bioanalyzer for integrity.

Expression was measured using custom designed two-color 8 × 15 k microarrays (Agilent, Array ID 020846). Microarrays were designed using genome and plasmid sequences from GenBank CP000100, CP000101, and S89470. Four separate melting temperature matched probes (80 °C) for each predicted ORF were designed using eArray (Agilent). All probes <60 nucleo-tides were appended with the Agilent standard linker to reach 60 nucleotides. Probes against luxA through luxE and Arabidopsis splice-in controls (Ambion) were also included on the microarray.

cDNA was prepared for each individual time-point (foreground channel) as well as for a pool of all time-points (background channel). Spike-in RNA was introduced at different concentrations and ratios to the foreground and background channels before reverse transcription to ensure proper ratio detection in a wide dynamic range. Five micrograms total RNA (plus spike-ins) was reverse-transcribed with random 15-mer primers (Oribon and a 2:3 ratio of amino allyl-UTP:dTTP (Sigma) using SuperScript III reverse-transcriptase (Invitrogen) without amplification. RNA was hydrolyzed and cDNA was puri-fied using Microcon 30 spin column (Millipore).

cDNA was labeled with N-hydroxysuccinimide-ester cyanine 3 (Cy3, fore-
subjective dusk genes (Dataset S1 and Fig. S2). Generated clusters that peaked at unique times during the circadian cycle (CT 0.005% N-lauryl sarcosine buffer for 1 min, and then Agilent drying and hybridization. Constant spike-in signal also ensures that rRNA to mRNA ratio is constant during all experimental conditions.

Identification and Classification of Circadian Genes. The melting temperature matched probes per predicted ORF were normalized and averaged. A modified Cosiner method was used to identify cycling genes and their period, phase, and amplitude (36). Briefly, the expression data for each predicted ORF was linearly detrended and the first Fourier component was calculated assuming periods between 12 and 36 with increment of 0.1. The period that minimized the Euclidian distance between the first Fourier component and the experimental signal was designated the period of the predicted ORF. If the period was between 22 and 26, the ORF was considered circadian and its phase, period, and amplitude were given by the first Fourier component. To separate subjective dawn and subjective dusk genes as well as genes that peaked midway through the circadian cycle, the circular-statistic subject to K–means clustering by Euclidean distance. Clustering with K = 6, generated clusters that peaked at unique times during the circadian cycle (CT 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20). Genes peaking at CT 20, 0, and 4 were designated subjective dawn genes and genes peaking at CT 8, 12, and 16 were designated subjective dusk genes (Dataset S1 and Fig. S2).

Fig. S9 compares the data from this study with Ito et al. (3). Here, we identify as circadian 86% of the genes identified as circadian in Ito et al. (Fig. S9A). In addition, for the genes which both studies identified as circadian, the phase of expression was almost identical (Fig. S9B).

Isolation of Plasmid DNA and Chlorophyll Aeorose Gel Electrophoresis (CAGE). Plasmid isolation and CAGE technique were performed similarly to (14). Endogenous S. elongatus plasmid (pANS) was isolated using Qiaprep mini prep kit (Qiagen). Approximately 150 ng of isolated plasmid was run on a gel containing 0.8% agarose, 0.5% TBE, and 1 µg/mL chloroquine diprophosphate (1T× TBE = 90 mTris, 64.5 mM boric acid, and 2.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.3). Plasmid topoisomers were separated by electrophoresis at 50 volts/14 cm for 24 h in the dark at room temperature with buffer exchange. Gels were incubated for 1 h in 0.5× TBE and 1× SybrGold stain (Invitrogen). Gels were imaged on Typhoon Imager (GE). Chlorourine titration and gyrase inhibition (novobiocin treatment) were used to determine the position of relaxed and supercoiled forms of gel. Gel mobility was determined by the position of the mean of the Bottman distribution of topoisomers relative to the position of the open circular (oc) and relaxed (rel) forms. Relative Mobility (RM) = 1 – (mean – oc/loc – rel).
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