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A method is proposed to determine the fraction of the tautomeric forms of the imidazole ring of histidine in proteins as a function of pH, provided that the observed $^{13}$C and $^{13}$C$^2$ chemical shifts and the protein structure, or the fraction of H$^+$ form, are known. This method is based on the use of quantum chemical methods to compute the $^{13}$C NMR shielding of all the imidazole ring carbons ($^{13}$C, $^{13}$C$^2$, and $^{13}$C$^3$) for each of the two tautomers, N$^\delta$$^1$-H and N$^\gamma$-H, and the protonated form, H$^+$, of histidine. This methodology enabled us (i) to determine the fraction of all the tautomeric forms of histidine for eight proteins for which the $^{13}$C and $^{13}$C$^2$ chemical shifts had been determined in solution in the pH range of 3.2 to 7.5 and (ii) to estimate the fraction of tautomeric forms of eight histidine-containing dipeptide crystals for which the chemical shifts had been determined by solid-state $^{13}$C NMR. Our results for proteins indicate that the protonated form is the most populated one, whereas the distribution of the tautomeric forms for the imidazole ring varies significantly among different histidines in the same protein, reflecting the importance of the environment of the histidines in determining the tautomeric forms. In addition, for ~70% of the neutral histidine-containing dipeptides, the method leads to fairly good agreement between the calculated and the experimental tautomeric form. Coexistence of different tautomeric forms in the same crystal structure may explain the remaining 30% of disagreement.

Among all 20 naturally occurring amino acids, histidine (His) is a unique residue for a number of reasons, among others because ~50% of all enzymes use His in their active sites (1). This is mainly because of the chemical versatility of its imidazole ring, which includes two neutral, chemically distinct forms, and a protonated form, referred to as N$^\delta$$^1$-H and N$^\gamma$-H tautomers, and H$^+$, respectively, with one form favored over the other by the protein environment and pH. Moreover, His with a pK$^a$ of 6.6 (2) titrates around neutral pH, allowing the deprotonated nitrogen of its imidazole ring to serve as an effective ligand for metal binding (3). In particular, it has been suggested that tautomerization and variations of $\chi_1$ of His are crucial parts of the proton-transfer process (4). In addition, it has also been recognized (5) that many imidazole-containing ligands could exhibit large chemical-shift variations when bound to a molecular target, such as a protein, offering valuable information about changes in the local structure of the ligand or target. Hence, characterization of the tautomers of drug molecules could have important consequence in the pharmaceutical industry. It is particularly interesting that the most abundant type of tautomers in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) correspond to derivatives of azoles, such as pyrazoles, imidazoles, etc. (6).

Since chemical shifts were first observed by Arnold et al. in 1951 (7), use of NMR spectroscopy to identify the different protonation states and the tautomeric states of the His residue has been the object of numerous experimental studies (4, 8–14). In addition, detailed theoretical analysis has been carried out at the quantum chemical level of theory, to investigate the origin of the $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts of the imidazole group of histidine (5, 15, 16). In particular, almost 40 years ago, Reynolds et al. (17) recommended “…the $^{13}$C chemical shift titration curves of the imidazole ring to determine the tautomeric form of histidyl residues in polypeptides and proteins….” Despite all this, determination of the fraction of the tautomeric forms of the imidazole ring of His in proteins in solution has been a long-standing problem in the biophysical chemistry of proteins, among other reasons because the tautomeric forms of the imidazole ring are coupled to the protonated state of His; hence, it is necessary, first, to obtain an accurate determination of the average degree of charge of His at the pH at which the NMR experiments are carried out and, second, to identify a nucleus or nuclei that could provide reliable information with which to determine the fraction of tautomers of the imidazole ring of His, unambiguously. The fact that protein structures are determined by NMR spectroscopy in the pH range of 5.8 to 7.5 (18) only exacerbates this problem because His can undergo variable states of protonation in this pH range.

In a seminal work, Cheng et al. (5) investigated the solid-state $^{13}$C NMR spectra of eight His-containing dipeptides at the quantum chemical level of theory, with the structure of all eight species obtained by X-ray crystallography, to determine the major contributions of the large chemical-shift ranges observed experimentally and the factors affecting such chemical-shift dispersion. Their study provides a physical basis for the origin of the $^{13}$C$^2$, $^{13}$C$^2\gamma$, and $^{13}$C$^2\delta$ chemical-shift variation of His in peptides and shows how accurately the chemical shifts of His-containing dipeptides can be calculated by using quantum mechanical methods. For the eight dipeptide structures, investigated by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography, the authors (5) determined that four structures exist only in the N$^\delta$$^1$-H tautomer, three in the N$^\gamma$-H tautomeric form and only one in the imidazolium, or protonated H$^+$ form. Among many very interesting observations from their study (5) of His-containing dipeptides, which includes a shielding tensor-orientation analysis to understand the origin of the shielding changes associated with the tautomeric forms and hydrogen-bond formation, the authors found that (i) incorporation of a “lattice-partner” effect (or, in a protein, a “neighboring-residue” effect) would enable them to reproduce imidazole C$^2$, C$^2\gamma$, and C$^2\delta$ NMR chemical shifts in dipeptides and (ii) knowl...
edge of $^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ shifts would enable them, in most cases, to obtain good predictions of the tautomeric state. Despite the latter conclusion, and the availability of the structure and the $^{13}\text{C}$ chemical shifts for all carbons of the imidazole rings of His for eight proteins (Table S1 and SI Text), seven of them solved by X-ray crystallography, a computation of the distribution of the tautomeric forms of these proteins, was not carried out.

Regarding conclusion (i) above, even if a single structure is determined accurately, as in X-ray crystallography, the coexistence of protein conformations in solution (from which the $^{13}\text{C}$ chemical shifts are observed) requires the evaluation of the chemical shifts of each member of an ensemble, rather than a single conformation, making the computational task of considering the lattice-partner effect, e.g., hydrogen-bond effects for each imidazole ring of His during the computation of the chemical shifts at the quantum chemical level of theory, almost impossible. To solve this problem, some approximation must be adopted. Considering that the shielding variations observed for the $^{13}\text{C}$ carbons of the imidazole ring of His are determined mainly by their tautomeric forms (5), it is reasonable to assume other contributions, such as those that arise from hydrogen-bond effects, can be considered as minor contributions because such effects are likely to be averaged out in the ensemble of conformations. Evidence for the validity of this assumption for the nuclei of interest, $^{13}\text{C}$, $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{13}\text{C}^1$, is provided in Results and Discussion by comparing the variation of the computed shielding ranges with observed chemical-shift data for proteins, from the Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (BMRB) (19), and for eight dipeptide structures listed in Table S2.

Therefore, the goal of this investigation is to develop a method to determine the fraction of tautomeric forms of His in proteins in solution as a function of the pH. This is an important problem for a number of reasons: (i) Proteins in solution exist as an ensemble of conformations with a population of rapidly interconverting tautomers, at a given fixed pH; (ii) the chemical shifts for proteins are usually determined in neutral or acidic solutions in which His may be protonated, e.g., the chemical shifts of the above-mentioned set of eight proteins listed in Table S1 were obtained in the pH range of 3.2 to 7.5 (5), and, hence, the protonation of each His residue in a given protein may vary significantly with the environment; (iii) determination of the tautomeric content of His, at a given pH, will be useful for assigning the location of hydrogen atoms in protein structures; (iv) because the exchange between different protonation states is assumed to occur in the fast exchange regime (4), the NMR resonances of a given nucleus, which include rotation, protonation, and tautomeration, merge into a single average signal. As to whether the information from these exchange processes can be decoded offers the possibility to determine the extent to which the His residues in proteins behave as free His, where the $^{13}\text{N}^{2-}\text{H}$ tautomer is favored over the $^{13}\text{N}^{4+}\text{-H}$ tautomer in a ratio of 4:1 (17); and (v) the existing solid-state NMR data for His-containing dipeptides offer the possibility to analyze the tautomeric content in crystals.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 shows the computed shielding values for each form of the imidazole ring of His in a model tripeptide. Focusing on the computed relative heights of the bars in Fig. 1, it can be seen that the $^{13}\text{C}^1$ nucleus (gray bars in Fig. 1) is not sensitive to changes in the form of the imidazole ring. Therefore, we confine our interest to those nuclei that are sensitive to such changes, namely $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$ and $^{13}\text{C}^1$ (red and green bars, respectively, in Fig. 1), for each of the two tautomeric forms of the imidazole ring of His and the H$^+$ form. Hence, we carry out a validation analysis of the computed ranges of shielding variations only for $^{13}\text{C}^1$ and $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$, using chemical-shift data deposited in the BMRB (19), and data from solid-state NMR (5). This is accomplished by comparing the histogram of the computed shielding distribution to the histogram of the observed chemical-shift distribution obtained from the BMRB. Then, a series of applications to eight different proteins listed in Table S1 and to eight His-containing dipeptides (5) are discussed.

Validation Using BMRB-Obtained Data. The deposited chemical shifts for His residues in proteins in the BMRB are a very important source of data for comparison with the computed range of shielding variations of the $^{13}\text{C}^1$ (green bars) and $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$ (red bars) nuclei of the imidazole ring of His in Fig. 1. For each of these nuclei, 84 and 2,267 observed chemical shifts, respectively, were deposited in the BMRB (as of October 2010). The range of the observed chemical-shift variations, computed as the difference between maximum and minimum chemical shifts, are 14.5 ppm and 18.6 ppm, for the $^{13}\text{C}^1$ and $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$ nuclei, respectively. It is important to note that these values were obtained after excluding outliers, i.e., those observed chemical shifts that are greater or smaller than 3 standard deviations from the BMRB-reported mean average value.

The largest difference in shielding between any of the two tautomers and the H$^+$ form, for each of the $^{13}\text{C}^1$ (green bars) and the $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$ (red bars) nuclei, occurs between the $^{13}\text{C}^{4+}\text{-H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}^{2-}\text{-H}$ tautomers (Fig. 1). For any pair of these two $^{13}\text{C}$ nuclei, the difference between shielding computed for these two tautomers was fit with a Gaussian function characterized by a mean value and a standard deviation. By this criterion, the following results are obtained for the largest range of computed shielding variations of the $^{13}\text{C}^1$ and $^{13}\text{C}^{12}$ nuclei: 14.4 ± 3.5 ppm and 16.2 ± 2.5 ppm, respectively. These ranges of shielding are in good agreement with the above observed range of variation for the chemical shifts from the BMRB data, although caution must be exercised in interpreting the very good agreement for
the $^{13}$C/ nucleus because of the low number, 84, of observed values.

**Validation Using Solid-State NMR Data for His-Containing Dipeptides.** As for proteins, there is a wide range of variations for the observed $^{13}$C/ and $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts among all tautomeric forms of the His-containing dipeptides studied by Cheng et al. (5), namely 12.7 ppm and 13.8 ppm, respectively. These values are within the range of variations computed above for these two nuclei in the model tripeptides, namely $14.4 \pm 3.5$ ppm and $16.2 \pm 2.5$ ppm.

The good agreement obtained for the computed range of shielding variations compared to the chemical-shift data from both the BMRB and solid-state NMR validates our hypothesis that, from a statistical point of view, the main contribution to the observed chemical shifts, for the $^{13}$C/ and $^{13}$C/ nuclei, is dominated by the tautomeric equilibria, with other contributions in the observed data, such as those arising from "local interactions," averaged-out in an ensemble of conformations. This assumption is important because we are interested only in properties of the ensemble of conformations in solution and in the solid state, not for individual conformers (see discussion of the physical meaning of Eqs. 1 and 2 in Materials and Methods).

**Analysis of the Histogram of the Computed Shielding Distribution in a Model Tripeptide.** We consider here the shielding distribution for each of the carbon nuclei of the imidazole ring of His.

$^{13}$C/ Nucleus. A plot of the histogram for the $^{13}$C/ nucleus for all the imidazole ring forms of His without distinction among them shows three peaks (indicated as I, II, and III in the blue-line profile of Fig. S1A). Each of these three peaks corresponds to the most highly populated computed shielding (red bars in Fig. 1) of the N$^{\delta+}$-H and N$^{\alpha+}$-H tautomers, and the H$^+$ form, respectively. The histogram, given by the blue-line profile shown in Fig. S1A, is the one that would be observed if all imidazole ring tautomers of His and the H$^+$ form were equally probable for a protein in solution. But they are not. In fact, an analysis of the histogram of the distribution of 2,267 observed $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts, from the BMRB, indicates (Fig. S1B) the existence of only two rather than three major peaks, with the peak centered at $\sim 119$ ppm having a significantly higher frequency of the chemical-shift distribution than the other peak centered at $\sim 127$ ppm. This observation is a clear indication that there is an imbalance in the distribution of the imidazole ring forms of His in proteins in solution and, hence, deserves further analysis.

There is an $\sim 8$-ppm difference between these two observed peaks in the histogram of the chemical-shift distribution for the $^{13}$C/ nucleus in Fig. S1B. On the other hand, the computed average shielding difference for the $^{13}$C/ nucleus between (N$^{\delta+}$-H and H$^+$), (N$^{\delta+}$-H and N$^{\alpha+}$-H), and (N$^{\alpha+}$-H and H$^+$) forms, respectively, are $\sim 10$ ppm, $\sim 16$ ppm, and $\sim 6$ ppm, respectively (Fig. 1). Certainly, the tautomeric forms are not dominant in solution; otherwise, the observed difference, $\sim 8$ ppm, between peaks in Fig. S1B should be larger, i.e., close to $\sim 16$ ppm for (N$^{\delta+}$-H and N$^{\alpha+}$-H) as in Fig. 1. This result also provides evidence that the observed peak centered at $\sim 127$ ppm (Fig. S1B), showing lower frequency of the chemical-shift distribution, contains contributions mainly from the N$^{\delta+}$-H tautomer (low shielding peak I, in Fig. S1A), whereas the observed peak centered at $\sim 119$ ppm, showing higher frequency of the chemical-shift distribution, contains contributions from both the H$^+$ and the N$^{\alpha+}$-H form (high-shielding peaks II and III in Fig. S1A).

The above conclusion, that the highest frequency of the chemical-shift distribution contains contributions from both the H$^+$ form and the N$^{\alpha+}$-H tautomer, is not surprising given that the structures of most of the proteins in solution are determined in a pH range where His is mostly protonated, rather than neutral. To illustrate this qualitatively, we arbitrarily assume that 70% of the total computed $^{13}$C/ shielding in Fig. S1A came from the H$^+$ form with the remaining 30% provided by the N$^{\delta+}$-H (15%) and N$^{\alpha+}$-H (15%) tautomers, respectively. With this assumption, the histogram of the shielding distribution shows a profile given by the red line in Fig. S1A, which exhibits two rather than three peaks and resembles the one observed from the histogram of the $^{13}$C/ chemical-shift distribution (centered at $\sim 119$ ppm) obtained from the BMRB (Fig. S1B). On the whole, the peak showing the high frequency of the chemical-shift distribution (centered at $\sim 119$ ppm) appears to contain contributions from two forms, rather than one.

$^{13}$C/ Nucleus. Even though the $^{13}$C/ nucleus is not considered in our method, an analysis of the histogram of the shielding distribution for this nucleus shows the existence of essentially a single peak (Fig. S2A). Not surprising, a single peak is also seen for the histogram of the distribution of 1,754 observed $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts, from the BMRB (Fig. S2B). In general, the existence of a single peak validates the idea (4) that the $^{13}$C/ nucleus is not a very sensitive indicator of the changes of forms of the imidazole ring of His, as already seen by the similar heights of the gray bars in Fig. 1.

$^{13}$C/ Nucleus. An analysis of all computed $^{13}$C/ shielding shows the existence of two peaks, rather than three or one computed for the $^{13}$C/ and $^{13}$C/ nuclei, respectively (blue-line profile in Fig. S3A). This peak distribution for the $^{13}$C/ nucleus reflects the fact that the most-probable shielding value for the N$^{\delta+}$-H and the protonated, H$^+$, forms are comparable but differ from that of the N$^{\delta+}$-H tautomer (green bars in Fig. 1). An analysis of the histogram of the distribution of the 84 observed $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts, from the BMRB, also appears to indicate the existence of two peaks (Fig. S3B). However, the low number of observed $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts, which is $\sim 30$ times smaller than that for the $^{13}$C/ chemical shifts, prevents us from carrying out a more accurate analysis.

Overall, the analysis of the computed shielding distributions enables us to rationalize the origin of the peaks in the histograms of the chemical shifts for all three $^{13}$C carbons of the imidazole ring of His, although correspondence with the observed chemical-shift peak values was possible only for $^{13}$C/ and $^{13}$C/ but not for $^{13}$C/, because the number of observed chemical shifts for $^{13}$C/ is not large enough to be statistically representative of proteins in solution.

**Computation of the Tautomeric Distribution of the Imidazole Ring of His in Proteins.** Among all eight proteins from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (20) analyzed in this study, one of them, namely IE1A (21), was chosen as an example to discuss the application of our methodology in detail to assess the fraction of His tautomers in solution, as a function of pH. This protein was selected because (i) among all eight proteins, it contains the largest number (namely six) of His residues in the sequence; (ii) biophysical and site-directed mutagenesis evidence indicates that one histidine (H289) is essential for enzymatic activity (21); (iii) at the pH at which the chemical shifts were determined, namely pH 6.5, several of the His residues show a significantly different average degree of protonation and, hence, a different distribution of the tautomeric forms; and (iv) among all eight proteins it is the only one for which the method was not applicable for one of the His residues (H183), as explained below.

**Analysis of Protein IE1A.** The structure of IE1A, a 314-residue calcium-binding protein, has been determined by X-ray crystallography at 1.8A resolution (21), and the chemical shifts for all six His residues have been obtained at pH 6.5 and their values deposited in the BMRB. The distribution of average degrees of
that of W246. As a result, a preference of N-1 ring of H226 is at 3.3 Å from a backbone carbonyl oxygen, namely also has a low degree of protonation (Fig. S5). The tautomeric form is the most populated one, whereas the imidazole tautomeric distribution of the histidine ring, namely for N^0-H and N^2-H, respectively, varies significantly among different histidines in the same protein. This conclusion is valid for a wide range of pH, even for pH > pH^K+. This is an important conclusion because it is common practice to assume that, for pH > pH^K+, the dominant Hist form would be that of the neutral species. On the contrary, our results indicate that this practice may be inaccurate. For example, for H34 of protein 1RCF, and H142 of protein 5FX2, the protonated H^+ form is the most populated one, i.e., representing more than 50% of all imidazole forms of the His ring, even though the chemical shifts were determined at pH 7.5 and 7.0, respectively (Figs. S6 and S11).

Computation of the Tautomeric Distribution of the His-Containing Dipeptides. Using the observed values, Δ^obs, obtained from solid-state NMR of eight His-containing dipeptides (5), listed in column 3 of Table S2, we have determined the tautomeric fractions of the imidazole ring of His for each of these eight compounds with Eqs. 1 and 2 (see columns 4 and 5 of Table S2). By adopting the fact (5) that the His in none of the compounds labeled 5–8 or 10–12 (in Table S2) is protonated, there is no need to know the crystal structure of these compounds in order to apply our method.

Consider these seven compounds with neutral His listed in Table S2. An analysis of the agreement between the experimental (column 2 of Table S2) and the computed tautomeric forms (columns 4 and 5 of Table S2) for each of these seven compounds leads to the following results, after considering a 10% error in the calculations: for the first three compounds, namely 5, 6, and 7 in Table S2, the imidazole ring of His is predicted to be in the N^0-H tautomeric form, in very good agreement with the experimental tautomeric form (5). For compounds 8 and 12 fairly good agreement is obtained; i.e., the method predicted the experimental tautomeric forms (5) as the most populated ones within ~20% accuracy. Finally, poor agreement is found for compounds 10 and 11 (Table S2); i.e., less than 50% of the computed tautomers agree with the experimental one.

The poor agreement between experimental and computed tautomeric forms for compound 10 may be caused by use of the idea that a given crystal structure can be represented by a single dominant form, whereas, in fact, different forms can coexist (statistically or dynamically) in the same crystal (23, 24). For example, the structure of compound 10 (His-Ala) was originally solved by Steiner (25) and confirmed by Cheng et al. (5) who reported His to be in the N^2-H tautomeric form (Fig. S13A). However, His-Ala molecules in the crystal (25) are connected by a network of hydrogen bonds mediated by water molecules. Because the positions of the hydrogen atoms were not reported (25), it is clear from Fig. S13A that the same crystal packing can be achieved by assuming an N^0-H rather than an N^2-H tautomeric form by rotation of the water molecules. On the other hand, because the hydrogen bond in His-Met (compound 6) is intramo-

As regards the different tautomeric distributions computed for H226 and H250, we analyze the environment of each nitrogen in the imidazole ring of these His residues. On one hand, the N^0 nucleus of H250 is located only 2.9 Å from the carbonyl backbone oxygen of S248, presumably forming a hydrogen bond, whereas the N^2 nucleus is exposed to the solvent but the imidazole ring is surrounded by fully protonated R264 and R266 (Fig. S4). In good agreement with the tautomeric distribution in Fig. 2. On the other hand, the N^0 nucleus of H226 is at 3.3 Å from a backbone carbonyl oxygen, namely that of W246. As a result, a preference of N-1 ring is the most populated one, whereas the imidazole tautomeric distribution of the histidine ring, namely for N^0-H and N^2-H, respectively, varies significantly among different histidines in the same protein. This conclusion is valid for a wide range of pH, even for pH > pH^K+. This is an important conclusion because it is common practice to assume that, for pH > pH^K+, the dominant Hist form would be that of the neutral species. On the contrary, our results indicate that this practice may be inaccurate. For example, for H34 of protein 1RCF, and H142 of protein 5FX2, the protonated H^+ form is the most populated one, i.e., representing more than 50% of all imidazole forms of the His ring, even though the chemical shifts were determined at pH 7.5 and 7.0, respectively (Figs. S6 and S11).

Computation of the Tautomeric Distribution of the His-Containing Dipeptides. Using the observed values, Δ^obs, obtained from solid-state NMR of eight His-containing dipeptides (5), listed in column 3 of Table S2, we have determined the tautomeric fractions of the imidazole ring of His for each of these eight compounds with Eqs. 1 and 2 (see columns 4 and 5 of Table S2). By adopting the fact (5) that the His in none of the compounds labeled 5–8 or 10–12 (in Table S2) is protonated, there is no need to know the crystal structure of these compounds in order to apply our method.

Consider these seven compounds with neutral His listed in Table S2. An analysis of the agreement between the experimental (column 2 of Table S2) and the computed tautomeric forms (columns 4 and 5 of Table S2) for each of these seven compounds leads to the following results, after considering a 10% error in the calculations: for the first three compounds, namely 5, 6, and 7 in Table S2, the imidazole ring of His is predicted to be in the N^0-H tautomeric form, in very good agreement with the experimental tautomeric form (5). For compounds 8 and 12 fairly good agreement is obtained; i.e., the method predicted the experimental tautomeric forms (5) as the most populated ones within ~20% accuracy. Finally, poor agreement is found for compounds 10 and 11 (Table S2); i.e., less than 50% of the computed tautomers agree with the experimental one.

The poor agreement between experimental and computed tautomeric forms for compound 10 may be caused by use of the idea that a given crystal structure can be represented by a single dominant form, whereas, in fact, different forms can coexist (statistically or dynamically) in the same crystal (23, 24). For example, the structure of compound 10 (His-Ala) was originally solved by Steiner (25) and confirmed by Cheng et al. (5) who reported His to be in the N^2-H tautomeric form (Fig. S13A). However, His-Ala molecules in the crystal (25) are connected by a network of hydrogen bonds mediated by water molecules. Because the positions of the hydrogen atoms were not reported (25), it is clear from Fig. S13A that the same crystal packing can be achieved by assuming an N^0-H rather than an N^2-H tautomeric form by rotation of the water molecules. On the other hand, because the hydrogen bond in His-Met (compound 6) is intramo-
molecular, the imidazole ring of His is not allowed to adopt any tautomeric form in the crystal other than N$_1^{\delta+}$-H (Fig. S13B). Conceivably, this observation may explain the good agreement obtained for compound 6 and the poor one obtained for compound 10. On the other hand, visual analysis of the crystal structure of compound 11 does not enable us to explain the disagreement between experimental and computed tautomeric forms (Table S2). Nevertheless, because the tautomeric arrangement for the dipeptide crystals was determined (5), presumably, on the basis of data on only the heavy atoms, the possibility of coexistence of tautomers (statically or dynamically) should not be ruled out.

Overall, we have obtained from good to moderately good agreement between calculated and experimental (5) tautomeric forms for five of seven compounds, i.e., for ~70% of the neutral His-containing dipeptides, with poor agreement for the remaining two compounds, which, likely, originates from the presence of more than one tautomeric form in the crystal.

For the only protonated compound, namely His-Asp (Table S2), the observed difference, $\Delta^{obs}$, between $^{13}$C$_2$O and $^{13}$C$_1$ chemical shifts, is only 8 ppm. This $\Delta^{obs}$ value is far lower than the observed values in a protein (1E1A) for which two His residues are almost fully protonated, namely H289 and H276, with each of them showing $\Delta^{obs}$ values of ~26 ppm and ~22 ppm, respectively (Table S1). Even more important, the value of $\Delta^{obs}$ = 8 ppm is less than $\Delta^{calc} = \sim 9$ ppm and, hence, our method does not apply (see Materials and Methods). Conceivably, the low $\Delta^{obs}$ value for His-Asp may indicate that an important fraction of the dipeptides in the crystal are in the N$_1^{\delta+}$-H rather than in the H$^+$ form that is shown in Fig. S14, because the value of $\Delta^{obs}$ for the N$_1^{\delta+}$-H tautomer is expected to be small (Table S2). To consider this option, based on the positions of the non-hydrogen atoms, we analyzed the crystal structure of the His-Asp dipeptide (5) in which both the imidazole ring of His and the Asp side chain are charged (Fig. S14). Visual analysis of the imidazole ring of His in Fig. S14 suggests that the N$_1^{\delta+}$ atom forms a hydrogen bond with the C-terminal carboxylate group of a neighboring dipeptide and, hence, N$_1^{\delta+}$ must be protonated (23). On the other hand, the N$_1$ atom forms a hydrogen bond with the side-chain carboxylate of Asp of a neighboring dipeptide and, hence, N$_1$ could be deprotonated. This rearrangement of charges would transform the imidazole H$^+$ form, shown in Fig. S14, to an N$_1^{\delta+}$-H tautomer and, hence, leave both residues (His and Asp) neutral, without any significant change in the crystal packing, i.e., the positions of the non-hydrogen atoms; it would also reduce potential electrostatic interactions between the H$^+$ form of His and the N-terminal NH$_1^+$ group of the dipeptide. A solution to the proper assignment of protons, which could lead to many possible combinations of forms compatible with the observed value of $\Delta^{obs}$, lies beyond this work and, hence, the reported values for $f^*$ and $f^0$ of the His-Asp dipeptide in Table S2 are denoted as "unknown."

Conclusions

We have presented a methodology to determine the tautomeric fractions of the imidazole ring of His for proteins in solution as a function of pH, based on the observed $^{13}$C$_2$O and $^{13}$C$_1$ chemical shifts and either the structure or alternatively the fraction of the protonated form. Results for eight proteins indicate that the protonated form is the most populated one, whereas the distribution of the tautomeric forms for the imidazole ring of His varies significantly among different His in the same protein, reflecting the importance of the environment of His in determining the tautomeric forms. Consequently, this method could be used to extend an important solid-state NMR study of the mechanism of His-mediated proton conduction of the M2 protein (26) to the pH region of 4.5 to 8.5 in which protonation/deprotonation of His occurs. In particular, our method can also be used to cross-validate $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-based tautomeric distributions for His (26) at pH 8.5 (see Cross-Validation Test).

We have provided evidence that the range of the computed shieldings of the $^{13}$C$_1$ and $^{13}$C$_2$ nuclei of the imidazole ring of His are within the range of values observed for chemical shifts of proteins in solution and for His-containing dipeptides. Using the computed shielding values, we have been able to rationalize the frequencies of chemical-shift distributions observed in proteins for all the imidazole carbon atoms. We also have provided evidence that the number of peaks in the histogram of the frequency of the chemical-shift distribution, and their relative separation, when more than one peak is observed, is a fingerprint to enable a given nucleus to sense tautomeric changes.

For seven out of eight proteins analyzed in this work, use has been made of the structure solved by X-ray crystallography, although the observed chemical shifts were determined in solution, at pH 5.2 to 7.5. Because determination of the fraction of tautomers for the imidazole ring of His, as a function of pH, is very sensitive to the degree of protonation of the His residue, interpretation of the results may differ significantly if the structure of the protein solved by X-ray crystallography disagrees with the structure that it could have in solution, because the observed pK$_a$'s of the ionizable groups depend on the conformation of the molecule and on the environment of these groups in the macromolecule (27).

For ~70% of the neutral His-containing dipeptides, i.e., five out of seven in Table S2, our method leads to fairly good agreement between computed and experimental (5) tautomeric forms. The disagreement obtained for the remaining 30% of the remaining dipetides with the neutral His, as for the dipeptide with the protonated His, may originate in the approximation that the experimental crystal structure is assumed to be represented by a single dominant form, i.e., without the static or dynamic coexistence of different tautomeric forms.

Materials and Methods

Computations of both the $^{13}$C shielding (28–31) and the average degree of charge ($\rho_f$), as a function of pH (22), were carried out according to previously published methods, and, hence, they are discussed here only briefly. In addition, in this section, the methodology adopted to compute the tautomeric fractions of the imidazole ring of His are described in detail.

Computation of the $^{13}$C Shielding for the Imidazole Ring of His. We represent each form of His as a terminally blocked model tripeptide with the sequence Ac-GH$^-\text{G-NMe}$ (28), with H$^+$ in the N$_1^{\delta+}$-H, the N$_2$-H tautomer form or the protonated form H$^+$, respectively. For the N$_1^{\delta+}$-H tautomer and the H$^+$ form, a set of more than ~35,000 conformations each, representing a uniform sampling of the whole Ramachandran map as a function of $\psi$, $\chi_1$, and $\chi_2$ torsional angles, was generated. For each of the ~35,000 conformations, the gas-phase, isotropic shielding value of the His residue was calculated with the Gaussian 03 package (32) by using a small basis set (6-31G/3-21G) and then extrapolating the shielding values to those for a large basis set [6-311+G(2d,p)/3-21G], following the identical procedure that was already used for the generation of the N$_1^{\delta+}$-H tautomer database (29). Finally, the distribution of the computed shielding for each $^{13}$C of the imidazole ring of His was analyzed. For all $^{13}$C nuclei, namely $^{13}$C$_1$, $^{13}$C$_2$, and $^{13}$C$_4$, the histogram of the shielding distribution, among all ~35,000 conformations, was fit by a Gaussian function with a mean value $\mu$ and standard deviation as shown in Fig. 1.

Method to Compute the Tautomeric Forms of His as a Function of pH. Determination of the average degree of charge ($\rho_f$) of a given His residue in a protein (22) enables us to determine the fraction of remaining neutral imidazole rings ($f$) in solution as $f = f^* + f^0 = 1$, with $f^*$ = ($\rho$) and the fraction of tautomer ($F$) given by $F = F^* + F^0$, with $F^*$ and $F^0$ representing the fraction of N$_2$-H and N$_1^{\delta+}$-H tautomers, respectively.

Use of first-order shielding differences for a pair of selected nuclei, namely $^{13}$C$_1$ and $^{13}$C$_2$ (red and green bars, respectively, in Fig. 1), rather than chemical shifts, is a very convenient approach because the experimental referencing problem may be a source of errors (5). Consequently, we define the first-order shielding difference, $\Delta^\delta$, as $\Delta^\delta = \langle \rho_f^2 \rangle - \langle \rho_f \rangle^2$, with $\xi$ denoting the form of the imidazole ring, and $\rho_f^{\xi}$ the computed mean values
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of the shielding distribution for the $^{13}$C and $^{15}$C nuclei, respectively (given by the height of the red and green bars, respectively, in Fig. 1). In other words, the following convention is adopted: $\zeta = A, B, C$, or $+\delta$ to designate the $N^+\cdot H, N^{-}\cdot H$, or $H^-\cdot H^+$ form, respectively.

From Fig. 1, it can be seen that the following inequality holds: $\Delta > \Delta_{\Delta} > \Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}}$, and $\Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}} = 0$. Therefore, once the fraction of protonated $H^+$ form, $f^+_p$, and $p_{\text{obs}}$ is $(^{13}\text{C}^{-13}\text{C}^{-15}\text{C})$, with $^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{C}$ being the observed chemical shifts in solution, at a given pH, are known, the fraction of the $N^+\cdot H$ form, $f^+_N$, can be obtained assuming (i) that all forms are in fast exchange on the NMR chemical-shift time scale (4), i.e., $\Delta_{\text{obs}} = f^+_p \Delta^+ + f^+_N \Delta^+ + f^- \Delta^-$, and (ii) that $\Delta^+ \gg \Delta^-$. To assure that $f^+_p \geq 0$ for any $\rho_i$, the following condition must be satisfied: $|1 - (\rho_i)\Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}}| \geq 0$, which means that $|(\Delta_{\Delta} / \Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}}) \leq (1 / \rho_i))|$. By definition $\Delta^{+}$ is a single value, i.e., the first-order shielding difference computed for the protonated form. But, because $\Delta_{\text{obs}}$ exhibits a large dispersion, e.g., for $\rho_i \approx 1.0$ (see Table S1), this relation would imply a large variation, rather than a single value, of $\Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}}$. To assure that $f^+_p \geq 0$ for any $\rho_i$, we introduce the constraint that $\Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}} = 1$. Consequently, $f^+_p$ can be computed from the following equation:

$$ f^+_p = \Delta_{\text{obs}} (1 - \rho_i) \Delta^{+} , \quad [1] $$

with $\Delta^{+}$ the single-valued first-order shielding difference computed for the $N^+\cdot H$ form, namely $\delta N^{-} = 3.17$ ppm (Fig. 1). In contrast to the solution proposed for $\Delta_{\Delta}$, we rely on adoption of a fixed value of $\Delta^{+}$, which was validated by the results obtained for the tautomeric fraction of distributions of five out of seven neutral dipeptides (see Table S2) as well as from a cross-validation of our method with results obtained for a neutral His (see Cross-Validation Test). The fraction of the $H^+$ form is obtained straightforwardly as

$$ f^+_H = 1 - \rho_i f^+_p . \quad [2] $$

For each His residue $i$ of a single conformation, as for an X-ray structure, determination of the average degree of charge, $\rho_i$, can lead to a noninteger number, although we know that a noninteger charge does not make physical sense. Because of the Boltzmann nature of the averaged value computed by the FAMBPE-$p$ method (22), a fractional charge should physically be interpreted as follows: For a given single conformation, there are many replicas (33) of such a conformation and, hence, a fractional charge, e.g., 0.60, means that, for 60% of these replicas, the imidazole ring of His of residue $i$ is in the $H^+$ form with an integer charge, whereas for the remaining 40% of the replicas, the imidazole ring of His of residue $i$ is in a neutral form, i.e., represented by fractions of $N^+\cdot H$ and $N^{-}\cdot H^+$ tautomers, as determined by Eqs. 1 and 2.

In this work we assume that the calculated fraction of each of the two tautomeric forms of the imidazole ring of His and the $H^+$ form, at a given pH, is affected by an estimated error of $\sim \pm 10\%$ (see Assessing the Accuracy of the Calculations). Moreover, if $\rho_i \approx 1.0$ and the value of $\Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta}}$ is lower than a certain cutoff, namely $\Delta_{\text{cut-off}} \approx 0$ ppm, the method cannot be applied (see Determine an Applicability Limit).
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Assessing the Accuracy of the Calculations. Among the possible sources of error in our predictive method, e.g., those that originate in the computation of the shielding values, we focus only on those directly affecting the computation of the relative amounts of the different forms of the imidazole ring of histidine.

On one hand, the Fast Adaptive Multigrid Boundary Element (FAMBE-pH) method (1) provides results for pK predictions within Δ ≈ ±0.3 pH units, and, hence, it affects the accuracy of the computed average degree of charge, ⟨ρ⟩, which represents the fraction of the protonated form. The errors in the computed ⟨ρ⟩ for a given residue would reach a maximum at pH ≈ pK*, although in most of the applications to proteins, the disagreements originating in structural differences between the protein determined in crystals and in solution are expected to dominate the uncertainty in the computation of an accurate average degree of charge ⟨ρ⟩.

On the other hand, from Fig. 1 (main text), it is clear that Δ0 ∼ 0, for the Nδ1-H tautomer, but not exactly zero. In fact, Δ0 = 2.0 ± 1.9 ppm. To derive Eqs. 1 and 2, in the main text, we have assumed that the first-order difference for the Nδ1-H tautomer is Δ0 = 0 ppm, rather than Δ0 ∼ 2.0 ppm. Let us illustrate the error introduced by this assumption. If no charged form exists and Δobs = ~32 ppm, then the average contribution of the Nδ1-H tautomer (Δ0 ∼ 2.0 ppm) would be less than Δobs of the Δobs value, with the remaining contribution, i.e., more than 90%, coming from the Nδ2-H tautomer (Δ0 ∼ 32 ppm, as shown in Fig. 1). On the other hand, if both a charged, H+, and the Nδ1-H tautomer coexist, then the same conclusion holds, i.e., about 90% of the Δobs value will be provided by the H+ form because the Δobs value would be greater than ~20 ppm, as for Residues H276 and H289 of protein 1E1A, which are fully protonated (Table S1).

Overall, from here on, we assume that the calculated fraction of each of the three forms of the imidazole ring of His, at a given pH, is affected by an estimated error of Δobs ∼ ±10%.

Determine an Applicability Limit. Residue H289 of protein 1E1A has the largest observed difference (Δobs ∼ 26 ppm) between 13Cδ and 13Cγ chemical shifts among all the eight proteins (Table S1). This value is in good agreement with the computed difference in the shielding between 13Cδ and 13Cγ nuclei in the protonated, H+, form (Fig. 1, main text); i.e., the shielding difference computed for this tautomer can be fit by a Gaussian distribution with a mean value Δ0 of 22.9 ppm and a standard deviation of ±4.7 ppm. This agreement is not surprising because the observed chemical shifts for H289 were obtained at pH 6.5, and, at this pH, the computed average degree of charge of H289 is ⟨ρ⟩ = 0.98. In other words, 98% of the imidazole rings of H289 are in the H+ form. It should be noted that the average degree of charge of H289 is significantly higher than that of a free His in solution at the same pH (⟨ρ⟩ = 0.56), because there is a favorable electrostatic interaction of the imidazole ring of H289 with the highly deprotonated (⟨ρ⟩ = 0.97) Glu39 side chain (Fig. S5). A detailed analysis of all five remaining His residues in protein 1E1A are discussed in Computation of the Tautomeric Distribution of the Imidazole Ring of His in Proteins, in the main text.

At this point, it is worth noting that ⟨ρ⟩ ∼ 1.0 does not always imply Δobs ∼ 26 ppm, e.g., as observed for H64 and H66 from protein 1HOE, with ⟨ρ⟩ = 1.0 and Δobs = [13Cδ,13Cγ] ∼ 10 ppm. Because of the nature of the Gaussian statistics of the differences between 13Cδ and 13Cγ nuclei, shielding values within ~3 standard deviations (~ ±14 ppm) from the mean value, Δobs ∼ 23 ppm, can be considered as likely to occur. However, Δobs values far from these likely ones, i.e., outliers, may be observed; see, for example, H183 of protein 1E1A, with ⟨ρ⟩ ∼ 0.9 and Δobs ∼ 1 ppm (Table S1). Conceivably, the origin of this inconsistency could be found in significant differences between the structure in solution, for which the chemical shifts are observed, and the one in the crystal, for which the averaged degree of charge is computed. Consequently, from here on, if ⟨ρ⟩ ∼ 1.0 and Δobs < Δt = ~9 ppm [as for the lowest limit, 3 standard deviations (14 ppm) of the mean value (23 ppm) of the Gaussian distribution], this combination of data will be considered incompatible and, hence, the method does not apply.

Overall, validation of the computed shielding ranges compared to the observed 13C chemical-shift values from single proteins enables us to define a cutoff for the Δobs value, namely Δt = ~9 ppm, with which to decide if the method can or cannot be applied.

Cross-Validation Test. A study of the mechanism of proton conduction in influenza M2 proton channels from solid-state NMR by Hu et al. (2) reveals important findings. In particular, by using two-dimensional 13C δ-13C γ and 15N δ-13C γ correlation spectra of labeled His37, the authors show that only the neutral imidazole ring of His37 exists at pH 8.5. From a graphic analysis of their figure 1, Hu et al. (2) conclude that the Nδ2-H tautomer and Nδ1-H tautomer exist in an ~3:1 ratio. Using their reported (2) [13Cδ (112.9 ppm) and 13Cγ (135.8 ppm)] chemical shifts for the Nδ2-H tautomer (see their figure 1E), we can compute the tautomeric fractions of His37. Thus, using the value of Δobs = [13Cδ,13C γ] ∼ 23 ppm in Eqs. 1 and 2 in the main text, we obtain f+ ∼ 0.72 and fδ ∼ 0.28, respectively. This result is in fair agreement with their calculated ~3:1 ratio.

Fig. S1. (A) The blue-line profile represents the histogram of the shielding distribution of the $^{13}$C$^{\delta_2}$ nucleus for all forms of the imidazole ring of His without distinction among them, i.e., with the surface under the blue line representing a collection of more than $\sim 110,000$ shieldings from the model tripeptide Ac-GHG-NMe. The heights of the peaks reflect the different frequencies of the shielding distributions. The red-line profile is the histogram of the assumed shielding distribution (see main text) with computed arbitrarily selected contributions of each form as follows: 70% from H$^+$, and 15% from each of the tautomers N$^{\delta_1}$-H and oN$^{\epsilon_2}$-H, respectively. (B) Histogram of the frequency of the chemical-shifts distribution of the 2,267 observed values of $^{13}$C$^{\delta_2}$, in yellow, as obtained from the Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (BMRB) (as of October 2010). The white frame on the y axis shows the chosen amino acids, and on the x axis the selected nucleus, among all nuclei for which chemical-shift values are deposited [courtesy of Kent R. Wenger (BMRB, Madison, WI)].
Fig. S2. (A) The blue-line profile represents the frequency of the shielding distribution of the $^{13}$C$_{\epsilon 1}$ nucleus for all forms of the imidazole ring of His, i.e., with the surface under the blue-line representing a collection of more than $\sim$110,000 shieldings with the same contribution among all three forms, as in the model tripeptide Ac-GHG-NMe. (B) Frequency of the chemical-shift distribution of the 1,754 observed values of $^{13}$C$_{\epsilon 1}$ in yellow, as obtained from the BMRB database (as of October 2010). The white frame on the y axis shows the chosen amino acids, and on the x axis the selected nucleus (courtesy of Kent R. Wenger of the BMRB).
Fig. S3. (A) The blue-line profile represents the frequency of the shielding distribution of the $^{13}$C$\gamma$ nucleus for all forms of the imidazole His ring, i.e., with the surface under the blue-line representing a collection of more than $\sim 110,000$ shieldings with the same contribution among all three forms. (B) Frequency of the observed $^{13}$C$\gamma$ chemical-shift distribution, in yellow, as obtained from the BMRB database (as of October 2010). The white frame on the $y$ axis shows the chosen amino acids, and on the $x$ axis the selected nucleus (courtesy of Kent R. Wenger of the BMRB).
Fig. S4. (A) Space-filling-sphere representation for H250, R264, and R266. The gray, blue, and red colors designate carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms, respectively. The background shows a ribbon diagram of part of the protein 1E1A; (B) same as A for H276, D234, and E309 in protein 1E1A.

Fig. S5. Space-filling-sphere representation for H289 and E39 in protein 1E1A. The gray, blue, and red colors designate carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms, respectively. The background shows a ribbon diagram of part of protein 1E1A.
Fig. S6. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for H34 of protein 1RCF, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 7.5. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the N²-H and N¹-H tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of the protonated form, H⁺. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H⁺ tautomer that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 7.5.

Fig. S7. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for each of the two His residues of protein 1HOE, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 3.2. Green bars represent the fraction of the H⁺ form for each His. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H⁺ form that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 3.2.
Fig. S8. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for each of the three His residues of protein 1EY7, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 5.5. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the N$^2$-H and N$^{11}$-H tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of the protonated form, H$^+$. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H$^+$ tautomer that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 5.5.

Fig. S9. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for H16 of protein 1HG6, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 7.0. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the N$^2$-H and N$^{11}$-H tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of the protonated form, H$^+$. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H$^+$ form that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 7.0.
Fig. S10. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for each of the four His residues of the protein 1QH7, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 5.3. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the N$_{\epsilon 2}$-H and N$_{\delta 1}$-H tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of the protonated form, H$^+$. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H$^+$ form that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 5.3.

Fig. S11. (A) Fraction of tautomeric distribution for the His of protein 5FX2, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 7.0. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the N$_{\epsilon 2}$-H and N$_{\delta 1}$-H tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of protonated form, H$^+$. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the H$^+$ form that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 7.0. (B) Space-filling-sphere representation for H142 and D143, in protein 5FX2. The gray, blue, and red colors designate carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms, respectively. The background shows a ribbon diagram of part of protein 5FX2.
Fig. S12. Fraction of tautomeric distribution for each of the four His residues of the protein 1EHK, for which the chemical shifts were determined in solution at pH 6.0. Red and blue bars represent the fraction of the $N^2$-$H$ and $N^{\delta 1}$-$H$ tautomer, respectively, and the green bar represents the fraction of the protonated form, $H^+$. The dotted horizontal line indicates the fraction of the $H^+$ form that a free His residue would have in solution at pH 6.0.

Fig. S13. The crystal-packing scheme and pattern of conventional hydrogen bonds (dark blue dashed lines) for (A) His-Ala, compound 10 (viewed along the $a$ axis) and (B) His-Met, compound 6 (viewed along the $b$ axis). The white, red, and light blue colors designate hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen atoms, respectively.
Fig. S14. Crystal-packing scheme, based on data of ref. 1, illustrating the pattern of conventional hydrogen bonds (dark blue dashed lines) formed by the imidazole ring of His in His-Asp (compound 9 in Table S2, viewed along the a axis). The white, red, and light blue colors designate hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen atoms, respectively.

### Table S1. Data used to compute the His tautomeric distribution in proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein*</th>
<th>BMRB†</th>
<th>His number†</th>
<th>Chemical shifts‡</th>
<th>Charge§(ρ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>$^{13}$C‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1RCF</td>
<td>1580 (7.5)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>123.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1HOE</td>
<td>1642 (3.2)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>117.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1EY7</td>
<td>1704 (5.5)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>128.9</td>
<td>118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1HG₆</td>
<td>5160 (7.0)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>118.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1QCF</td>
<td>5382 (5.3)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>117.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1H6G</td>
<td>5819 (6.0)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>119.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PDB (1) ID of the protein used in this work. The structures of all these proteins, except 1HG₆, have been determined by X-ray crystallography and are represented by a single conformation.
†BMRB (2) accession number from which the NMR-determined chemical shifts were deposited. In parentheses the pH at which the chemical shifts were determined.
‡List number of His in the BMRB protein sequence.
§Values for the observed $^{13}$C and $^{13}$C‡ chemical shifts, in ppm, from the accession number listed in column 2. The listed chemical-shift values are used to obtain Δ$^{obs}$ = $[^{13}$C‡−$^{13}$C] and, hence, to compute $f^i$ in Eq. 1. Values in italics were not used to compute the tautomer distribution for the imidazole His ring because $\rho = 1.0$ and the Δ$^{obs}$ value (~1 ppm) is much lower than the Δ$^{calc}$ value (~9 ppm).

### Table S2. Tautomeric distribution of His-containing dipeptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound*</th>
<th>Form†</th>
<th>Observed Δ$^{obs}$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$f^i$ (%)</th>
<th>$f^i$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His-Leu (5)</td>
<td>N₁-H</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-Met (6)</td>
<td>N₁-H</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly-His (7)</td>
<td>N₁-H</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu-His (8)</td>
<td>N₁-H</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-Ala (10)</td>
<td>N₂-H</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-Glu (11)</td>
<td>N₂-H</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-His (12)</td>
<td>N₂-H</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-Asp (9)</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequence of the unblocked dipeptides, crystallized by Cheng et al. (1), from which the Δ$^{obs}$ value was computed (see column 3), namely as Δ$^{obs}$ = $[^{13}$C‡−$^{13}$C], with $^{13}$C and $^{13}$C‡ being the observed chemical shifts (1), from $^{13}$C solid-state NMR. The number in parentheses designates the compound number notation used by Cheng et al. (1) in their table 2.
†Experimental form (1) for the His of each of the 8 crystallized dipeptides.
‡Tautomeric fractions of the imidazole ring of His computed here with Eqs. 1 and 2. For each of the first seven compounds, namely 5–8 and 10–12, we assume, as the experimental evidences indicates (1), that all His in the crystal are in the neutral form; hence $\rho = 0$ in Eqs. 1 and 2. Concerning the result “unknown” obtained for the protonated form, compound 9, see Computation of the Tautomeric Distribution of the His-Containing Dipeptides in the main text.
