E-cadherin mediates contact inhibition of proliferation through Hippo signaling-pathway components
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Contact inhibition of cell growth is essential for embryonic development and maintenance of tissue architecture in adult organisms, and the growth of tumors is characterized by a loss of contact inhibition of proliferation. The recently identified Hippo signaling pathway has been implicated in contact inhibition of proliferation as well as organ size control. The modulation of the phosphorylation and nuclear localization of Yes-associated protein (YAP) by the highly conserved kinase cascade of the Hippo signaling pathway has been intensively studied. However, cell-surface receptors regulating the Hippo signaling pathway in mammals are not well understood. In this study, we show that Hippo signaling pathway components are required for E-cadherin-dependent contact inhibition of proliferation. Knockdown of the Hippo signaling components or overexpression of YAP inhibits the decrease in cell proliferation caused by E-cadherin homophilic binding at the cell surface, independent of other cell–cell interactions. We also demonstrate that the E-cadherin/catenin complex functions as an upstream regulator of the Hippo signaling pathway in mammalian cells. Expression of E-cadherin in MDA-MB-231 cells restores the density-dependent regulation of YAP nuclear exclusion. Knockdown of β-catenin in densely cultured MCF10A cells, which mainly depletes E-cadherin-bound β-catenin, induces a decrease in the phosphorylation of S127 residue of YAP and its nuclear accumulation. Moreover, E-cadherin homophilic binding independent of other cell interactions is sufficient to control the subcellular localization of YAP. Therefore, our results indicate that, in addition to its role in cell–cell adhesion, E-cadherin-mediated cell–cell contact directly regulates the Hippo signaling pathway to control cell proliferation.
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In unicellular organisms, cell growth and division are unlimited and mainly controlled by nutrients in the environment. In contrast, metazoans restrain cell growth and division through an interplay between growth factor signaling and contact inhibition. Regardless of external growth factor-containing medium and active internal cellular metabolism, human cells restrict proliferation and cell division when the culture becomes confluent (1). This so-called contact inhibition of proliferation is a well-known property of normal differentiated tissues and needs to be tightly regulated for proper tissue morphogenesis (2). Contact inhibition is overcome in rapidly growing tissues during embryonic development, tissue regeneration, and wound healing. Furthermore, uncontrolled growth because of the loss of contact inhibition of proliferation is a hallmark of solid tumors (3, 4). Despite these insights, the underlying regulatory mechanisms of the contact inhibition of proliferation remain poorly understood, although cadherin-mediated cell–cell adhesion is thought to play an important role (2).

Cadherins are key regulators of embryonic development and adult tissue homeostasis (5). Cadherins mediate Ca2+-dependent cell adhesion and cell junction formation, and their cytoplasmic domains are associated with various catenins that mediate cytoskeletal association and signaling (6). E-cadherin is expressed in epithelial cells and intercellular homophilic binding of E-cadherin leads to the formation of the epithelial junctional complex and a tight polarized cell layer (7). Loss of E-cadherin expression through genetic or epigenetic alterations promotes tumor progression and metastasis (8, 9). On the other hand, overexpression of E-cadherin in cancer cells impedes tumor progression and invasion (10–12), not only because of E-cadherin’s adhesive function at the cell surface, which physically blocks the movement of cells and facilitates other cell–cell interactions, but also because of its inhibition of β-catenin signaling and other growth signaling pathways (12, 13). Independent of other cell–cell interactions, homophilic binding of E-cadherin directly transduces growth inhibitory signals through modulation of growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) and Src family kinase signaling pathways (13).

Recent studies suggest that NF2 tumor-suppressor Merlin plays a role in contact inhibition of proliferation by modulating RTK signaling through interaction with cadherins and catenins (14–16). Merlin directly associates with α-catenin to promote maturation of the adherens junction (17) and links it to the junctional polarity complex. Merlin is also known to regulate the Hippo signaling pathway through its interaction with Kibra and Expanded (18). The Hippo signaling pathway controls organ size by inhibiting cell proliferation and promoting apoptosis. The protein kinase cascade of the Hippo signaling pathway stimulates the nuclear exclusion and inactivation of transcriptional coactivator Yes-associated protein (YAP) and its paralog TAZ (transcriptional activator with PDZ binding motif) (19). The core kinase cascade of the pathway in mammals consists of the Ste20-like protein kinase Mst1/2, the WW domain containing protein WW45, the adaptor protein Mob, and nuclear Dbf4-related (NDR) family protein kinase large tumor suppressor (Lats1/2). YAP has also been shown to be involved in contact inhibition, as its phosphorylation and nuclear localization are regulated by cell density through the Hippo signaling pathway in a Merlin-dependent manner (20, 21).

The upstream mechanisms regulating Hippo pathway activation have not been studied as intensively as the kinase cascade and regulation of YAP (22). In Drosophila, but not in mammals, genetic studies have identified the Fat atypical cadherin as the transmembrane protein acting upstream of the core Hippo kinase cascade (23–25). Recently, in Drosophila, polarity proteins including the apical transmembrane protein Crumbs and the membrane-associated signaling proteins Lgl and aPKC have been found to regulate the Hippo signaling pathway through Expanded and Hippo (Hpo) (26, 27). However, transmembrane receptors that deliver the contact-dependent growth inhibitory...
signals to the Hippo signaling pathway in mammals have not yet been identified. In this study, we show that E-cadherin directly mediates contact inhibition of proliferation via Hippo signaling pathway components and the regulation of the subcellular localization of YAP.

Results

Hippo Pathway Components Are Required for E-Cadherin–Dependent Contact Inhibition of Proliferation. E-cadherin homophilic ligation directly regulates cell proliferation independent of other cell–cell interactions (13). This mechanism is dependent on cadherin-associated β-catenin, as depletion of β-catenin eliminates proliferation inhibition by E-cadherin ligation (13) (Fig. 1). Similar to depletion of β-catenin, knockdown of α-catenin in MCF-7 and SW480/E-cadherin cells blocked the inhibition of cell proliferation by E-cadherin (Fig. 1 A and B). E-cadherin ligation also partially inhibits EGFR-mediated growth signaling by inhibiting the transphosphorylation of Tyr-845 of EGFR by Src family kinases (13).

Recent studies suggest that the N2 tumor-suppressor Merlin plays an important role in the contact inhibition of proliferation (14, 15, 28). Upon cell-cell contact, together with the adaptor protein NHERF (Na+*/H+ exchanger regulatory factor), Merlin interacts with the cadherin/catenin complex and attenuates downstream signaling from the EGFR (15). We thus wished to determine whether the proliferation inhibitory role of E-cadherin, regardless of other cell–cell interactions, depends on Merlin or NHERF. To address this question, we performed proliferation assays using E-cadherin–coated beads to create pure cadherin contacts (13). Binding of extracellular domain of E-cadherin-IgG Fc domain chimera (Fc-hE)-coated protein-A microspheres caused a decrease in proliferation of control MCF-7 cells (control siRNA), as shown previously (13), whereas depletion of Merlin or NHERF using specific siRNA reversed the proliferation inhibitory signal from E-cadherin bead homophilic ligation (Fig. 1 C and D). This finding suggests that Merlin and NHERF are required for proliferation inhibition mediated by E-cadherin ligation at the cell surface.

Importantly, Merlin is known to be an upstream regulator of the Hippo signaling pathway, which has been implicated in organ size control, as well as contact inhibition of growth (18, 20, 21). We therefore examined whether E-cadherin mediates contact inhibition through the Hippo signaling pathway in MCF-7 and MCF10A cells. siRNA-mediated depletion of the Lats1/2 kinases, which phosphorylate and regulate the activity of YAP, inhibited the E-cadherin bead-induced decrease in cell proliferation, similar to depletion of β-catenin (Fig. 1 E and F, and Fig. S1A). Depletion of endogenous Kibra, which is known to bind Merlin and activate the Hippo signaling pathway, reversed the E-cadherin bead-induced proliferation inhibition (Fig. 1G). These data show that upstream and downstream components of the Hippo signaling pathway are required for E-cadherin ligation-mediated contact inhibition of proliferation. Knockdown of the Mst1/2 kinase, however, showed no significant effect on the cell proliferation inhibition induced by E-cadherin ligation at the surface of MCF10A cells (Fig. 1H and Fig. S1B), suggesting that it may not be involved, similar to other recent findings for mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) using knockout mice (29, 30). As proposed, another kinase may mediate phosphorylation of Lats kinases in these cells.

E-Cadherin Is Required for Cell Density-Dependent YAP Subcellular Localization. The phosphorylation and localization of YAP is regulated by cell density via the Hippo signaling pathway (21). In sparse cell cultures, YAP is predominantly localized in the nucleus, but in dense cell cultures it is phosphorylated by Lats kinase and translocated to the cytoplasm. Because depletion of Hippo signaling components (Merlin, Lats1/2, and Kibra) resulted in the loss of proliferation inhibition by E-cadherin ligation, we hypothesized that E-cadherin is an upstream regulator of the Hippo signaling pathway. We therefore investigated whether YAP localization is controlled by the E-cadherin using MDA-MB-231 cell lines, which express different types of doxycycline-inducible

Fig. 1. Hippo pathway components are required for E-cadherin–dependent contact inhibition of proliferation. At 12 to 24 h posttransfection of siRNA, cells were harvested and seeded at very low density on fibronectin-coated coverslips. Fc-hE–coated microspheres were presented to create pure E-cadherin homophilic binding. Anti-HLA or polylysine-coated microspheres were used as controls for bead binding to MCF-7 or MCF10A cells, respectively. Control and Fc-hE beads were bound for 24 h and cells were treated with 50 μM of BrdU for the last 6 h. BrdU incorporation was calculated by counting the number of positive BrdU immunofluorescence staining cells from the population of completely isolated, DAPI stained cells. (A and B) Depletion of α-catenin blocks E-cadherin–dependent contact inhibition of proliferation in SW480/E-cadherin (A) or MCF-7 (B) cells. (C and D) Depletion of Merlin (C) or NHERF (D) eliminates E-cadherin–dependent contact inhibition of proliferation in MCF-7 cells. (E and F) Depletion of β-catenin or Lats1/2 inhibits the E-cadherin bead-induced inhibition of proliferation in MCF-7 (E) and MCF10A (F) cells. Decrease of endogenous β-catenin or Lats1 protein levels by siRNA transfection in MCF-7 cells is shown in Fig. S1A. (G) Depletion of Kibra leads to the elimination of the E-cadherin bead-induced inhibition of proliferation in MCF10A cells. (H) Compared with β-catenin or Lats1/2 depletion, knockdown of Mst1/2 does not inhibit E-cadherin–dependent contact inhibition of proliferation in MCF10A cells. Decrease of endogenous Mst1/2 by siRNA transfection in MCF-7 cells is shown in Fig. S1B.
E-cadherin (11). The parental MDA-MB-231 cell line expresses no E-cadherin (11). In sparse cell cultures, most YAP protein was localized in the nucleus of all MDA-MB-231 cell lines, whether expressing E-cadherin or the E-cadherin-negative parental MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2 A and C). The YAP protein remained localized in the nucleus of parental MDA-MB-231 cells, even under high cell density (Fig. 2 B and C). Interestingly, doxycycline-induced expression of full-length E-cadherin caused the redistribution of YAP from nucleus to cytoplasm in dense cell cultures (Fig. 2 B and C), suggesting that E-cadherin is required for the density-dependent regulation of YAP localization. Induced expression of E-cadherin Δp120 mutant (which is incapable of binding to p120) in MDA-MB-231 cells showed density-dependent YAP subcellular localization, indicating that direct binding of p120 to E-cadherin is not necessary for the E-cadherin–dependent YAP localization control. However, expression of an E-cadherin Δβ-catenin mutant, which binds neither endogenous β-catenin nor α-catenin but can still mediate some degree of physical cell adhesion (11), showed no density-dependent YAP subcellular localization regulation (Fig. 2 B and C). A majority of these cells exhibited YAP in the nucleus, even at high cell density. Expression of an E-cadherin/α-catenin fusion, which bypasses the need for β-catenin to mediate strong cell adhesion (11), also mediated density-dependent redistribution of YAP to the cytoplasm. Taken together, these results show that E-cadherin regulates YAP localization in response to cell density and that E-cadherin associated β-catenin and α-catenin, but not p120, are involved in regulating the Hippo signaling pathway.

**Depletion of β-Catenin Induces the Nuclear Accumulation of YAP in Dense Cell Cultures.** Induced expression of E-cadherin in MDA-MB-231 cells demonstrates its involvement in the regulation of YAP sublocalization in response to cell density. In addition, E-cadherin–blocking antibody was found to increase nuclear YAP in internal cells of mouse preimplantation embryos (31). However, either cadherin expression or treatment with E-cadherin–blocking antibody could potentially influence other cell interactions indirectly through its role in cell–cell adhesion. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that E-cadherin–associated β-catenin and α-catenin specifically are involved in regulating the Hippo signaling pathway. To test whether cadherin-associated catenins regulate contact-dependent Hippo signaling independent of the cell-adhesion function of E-cadherin, we depleted endogenous β-catenin in MCF10A cells through siRNA transfection and examined the localization of YAP at different cell densities. We first confirmed previous observations that YAP is excluded from the nuclei of MCF10A cells when they reach high density and that siRNA-mediated depletion of Lats1/2 leads to nuclear accumulation of YAP in dense cell cultures (Fig. 3 A and B). Similar to results in previous studies (13), depletion of β-catenin did not lead to the loss of adhesion, presumably because of functional compensation by plakoglobin (γ-catenin), a related cadherin-associated junctional protein (32, 33). β-Catenin depletion also did not change the expression level and localization pattern of E-cadherin expression (13). Depletion of β-catenin in densely cultured MCF10A cells resulted in increased nuclear accumulation of YAP (Fig. 3 A and B) and decreased YAP phosphorylation on the S127 residue (Fig. 3C). Similar results were observed for A431 cells and MCF-7 cells, supporting the generality of the phenomena (Fig. S2). In sparse cell cultures, depletion of β-catenin or Lats1/2 did not significantly change the nuclear localization of YAP protein (Fig. 3B). Because almost all of the β-catenin proteins in dense epithelial cells lacking Wnt signaling are E-cadherin–bound (13), these data suggest that E-cadherin–bound β-catenin can influence the downstream activity of the Hippo signaling pathway.

**E-Cadherin Directly Controls the Localization of YAP, and YAP Is Involved in Growth Inhibition by E-Cadherin.** To further test whether E-cadherin homophilic binding independent of other cell interactions directly controls the Hippo signaling pathway, we examined whether homophilic E-cadherin ligation alone could change the subcellular localization of YAP. In sparse cell cultures, most YAP proteins were localized in the nuclei of MCF10A cells (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, either plating MCF10A cells sparsely on E-cadherin protein-coated coverglass (Fig. 4A) or attachment of E-cadherin beads to the surface of isolated MCF10A cells (Fig. 4B and Fig. S3) led to a decrease in nuclear YAP relative to cytoplasmic YAP. Moreover, siRNA-mediated depletion of either β-catenin or Lats1/2 inhibited the effect of E-cadherin ligation, resulting in increased nuclear YAP (Fig. 4 A and B, and Fig. S3), suggesting that regulation of YAP activity by E-cadherin depends on E-cadherin–bound β-catenin and the Hippo signaling kinase cascade.

The involvement of E-cadherin in the regulation of the Hippo signaling pathway led us to test the effect of increased YAP activity on E-cadherin–dependent contact inhibition of proliferation. The Hippo signaling pathway regulates YAP through either of two mechanisms: phosphorylation of S127 residue
immuno-stimulate contact inhibition. In contrast to control MCF10A cell lines stably overexpressing Flag-tagged YAP or ubiquitination, and degradation (34). We therefore generated densely cultured MCF10A cells. (Magnification, 400×.) Localization of endogenous YAP was identified by immunofluorescence staining (A) and quantified (B). (C) Knockdown of β-catenin or Lats1/2 decreases the phosphorylation of YAP S127 residue in densely cultured MCF10A cells.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that Hippo signaling-pathway components are required for E-cadherin-mediated contact inhibition of proliferation. Depletion of Hippo signaling-pathway components (Merlin, Lats, and Kibra) or overexpression of YAP blocks the E-cadherin–mediated contact inhibition of proliferation. Because our experiments examine the role of E-cadherin homophilic ligation in contact inhibition, independent of other cell interactions, these findings also suggest that E-cadherin directly stimulates the Hippo tumor-suppressor pathway to trigger growth-inhibitory signaling. Indeed, we find that the E-cadherin–β-catenin complex regulates the nuclear localization of YAP, the transcriptional effector of the Hippo pathway. Re-expression of E-cadherin in MDA-MB-231 cells reconstitutes the density-dependent control of YAP subcellular localization; knockdown of β-catenin in densely cultured MCF10A cells induces the nuclear accumulation and a decrease in the phosphorylation of S127 residue of YAP; and homophilic ligation of E-cadherin alone directly decreased the level of nuclear YAP relative to the cytoplasmic YAP in a process dependent on β-catenin and Lats1/2. These results provide the evidence that E-cadherin homophilic binding, independent of other cell–cell interactions, directly regulates the Hippo signaling pathway. Therefore, we identify E-cadherin as an upstream cell-surface receptor that regulates Hippo signaling in mammalian cells (Fig. 6).

Although the signal transduction cascade of the core kinases in the Hippo signaling pathway has been intensively studied, the upstream cell-surface regulators have not been well understood (22). In Drosophila, the Fat atypical cadherin has been identified as a transmembrane protein regulating the Hippo signaling pathway within epithelial cells (23). However, in mouse, the homolog Fat4 is predominantly expressed in mesenchymal cells and Fat4 mutant mice exhibited none of the phenotypes associated with defects in the Hippo signaling pathway nor any effects on Yap or Lats1 (24, 25). These results suggest that Fat4 may not be an important cell-surface receptor for the Hippo signaling pathway in mammals; and we propose instead that classic cadherins, especially E-cadherin, play this role.

We also identify NHERF as a potentially unique upstream membrane-associated regulator of Hippo signaling, because depletion of NHERF blocks the E-cadherin ligation-dependent inhibition of proliferation. NHERF is an adaptor protein that is known to bind numerous proteins, including Merlin, β-catenin, EGFR, TAZ, and YAP (35). In fact, YAP had been previously
YAP (39). In contrast to our negative regulator of Yap through binding to 14-3-3, cell adhesion, and transcriptional activity of nuclear β-catenin. Depletion of β-catenin in nuclear cell cultures in the absence of Wnt signaling mainly decreases E-cadherin–β-catenin interaction because the cytoplasmic and nuclear levels of β-catenin are kept low by degradation (40). In contrast to α-catenin, depletion of β-catenin in mammalian cells does not disrupt cell–cell adhesion (ref. 13 and present study), presumably because of functional compensation by plakoglobin (32, 33). Therefore, β-catenin knockdown in this context selectively reveals its role in Hippo signaling independent of its role in cell adhesion or Wnt signaling. Note also that this context, β-catenin-bound to E-cadherin may serve a tumor suppressor-like function by controlling the Hippo signaling pathway, in contrast to its more well-known function as an oncogene in the context of the Wnt signaling pathway. This finding is not really surprising as E-cadherin, which functions in association with catenins, is well known to be a tumor suppressor.

Recent studies have also shown that the Hippo signaling pathway restricts Wnt signaling either by TAZ interaction with DVL2 (41) or by inhibiting the interaction of YAP with β-catenin on target genes in the nucleus (42). These interactions of TAZ/YAP with the Wnt pathway are distinct from our observations of a Wnt pathway-independent role for β-catenin upstream of the Hippo signaling pathway.

Molecular components and interactions are known that can potentially explain the link between cadherins and the Hippo signaling pathway. Merlin interacts with Hippo pathway components Expanded and Kibra, which interacts with the Hpo kinase in Drosophila (18). Merlin also interacts with the catenin-associated catenins, which we have implicated in the pathway (14–17, 28). NHERF is another potential candidate that may provide part of a molecular link, and there may be other components as well. Ultimately, it will be important to understand how E-cadherin receptor activation (i.e., homophilic binding by another E-cadherin protein) alters these protein interactions or their posttranslational modifications so as to activate downstream signaling events in the Hippo pathway.

E-cadherin can effect the growth of cells in tissues in a number of ways. Its adhesive function at the cell surface leads to a junctional barrier, limiting the accessibility of growth factors to their receptors, inhibition cell movement out of the epithelium, and the establishment of many cell–cell interactions that indirectly inhibit cell growth, including tight junction, gap junctions, and juxtaclerins ligand–receptor interaction (43). Furthermore, E-cadherin also directly interacts with other effector proteins, including β-catenin, to inhibit its nuclear transport during Wnt signaling, and receptor tyrosine kinases to regulate their signaling activities (9). E-cadherin is also known to modulate the activity of Rho/Rac family GTPases (9) and is involved in the establishment and maintenance of polarity (44). Linking E-cadherin to the Hippo signaling pathway adds an important new aspect of cadherin function and may help explain how its adhesive functions and other signaling interactions are integrated to regulate cell growth in various developmental processes or adult tissue homeostasis.

**Methods**

**Preparation of Protein-Coated Microspheres and Protein-Coated Glass Coverslips.** Protein A-coated beads were prepared as described in ref. 13, with minor modifications. Twenty-five microliters of protein A-coated polystyrene microspheres (Bangs Laboratories) were washed in 1 mM so-

---

**Fig. 6.** A model for an E-cadherin–mediated Hippo signaling pathway. Homophilic binding of E-cadherin between two cells stimulates the Hippo signaling pathway, which control proliferation by inhibiting the activity of YAP in the nucleus. Broken arrows indicate steps unresolved by the present study (see Discussion for more details).
Cell monolayers were washed in PBS and coated with 1% BSA in PBS for 1 h before being washed twice in PBS, and incubated with 10 μg of antibody or protein-coated beads, cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Six hours before fixing, 50 μM BrDU was added. Coverslips were washed and BrDU incorporation was detected by immunofluorescence staining using an anti-BrDU monoclonal antibody, whereas nuclei were detected by staining with DAPI. The BrDU-labeled cells were counted from the population of completely isolated cells present on the coverslips, and the percentage of BrDU incorporation in this population was calculated. To examine the effect of E-cadherin bead on localization of YAP, MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 5% of horse serum, 0.5 μg/mL hyaluronidase, 100 ng/mL cholecalciferol, and 10 μg/mL insulin. E-cadherin beads were attached as described above and endogenous YAP protein was determined by indirect immunofluorescence staining.
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SI Methods

Cell Culture and Antibodies. MCF-7 cells obtained from ATCC were maintained in DMEM/F12 medium with 10% of FBS. MCF10A cells (a gift from Joan S. Brugge, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 5% of horse serum, 0.5 μg/mL chola toxin, 10 μg/mL insulin, and 20 ng/mL recombinant human EGF. Parental MDA-MB-231 cells and E-cadherin inducible MDA-MB-231 cell lines were maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS (1). Expression of full-length or mutant E-cadherin was induced by 2 μg/mL doxycycline for 2 d. Induction of E-cadherin expression was confirmed by Western blot. β-Catenin #3 siRNA in our previous study (2) was used to knockdown endogenous β-catenin. For knockdown of α-catenin, two different siRNAs (α-catenin siRNA #1, GUAAGGGCC-CUCUAUAUAAUU; α-catenin siRNA #2, GAAGAGAGGUC-GUUCUAAGUU) were used. Smart pool siGENOME duplexes (Dharmacon RNA Technologies) were used to knockdown the expression of other genes. siRNA duplex oligonucleotides were transfected using RNAiMax (Invitrogen). Antibodies used for immunofluorescence staining or Western blot include BrdU (Millipore; BU-1), β-catenin (BD), α-catenin (BD), E-cadherin (BD), Flag (Sigma; M2), Lats1 (Santa Cruz), Mst1/2 (Bethyl Laboratories), Phospho-YAP (Yes-associated protein) (S127, Cell Signaling), and YAP (Santa Cruz; 63.7).

Immunofluorescence Staining. For immunofluorescence staining, cells were cultured on fibronectin-coated coverslips. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min and washed three times for 5 min each in 100 mM glycine containing PBS, followed by permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. After blocking in 2% BSA, 5% normal goat serum for 30 min, coverslips were incubated with primary antibody diluted in 2% BSA overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, coverslips were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488- or 594-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. For quantification of immunofluorescence staining, the BlobFinder software supplied by Olink (http://www.cb.uu.se/~amin/BlobFinder/) was used.


Fig. S1. Verification of protein knockdown by siRNA transfection. (A) Knockdown of β-catenin or Lats1 in MCF-7 cells was verified by Western blot. (B) Knockdown of Mst1/2 in MCF10A cells was verified by antibodies against Mst1/2. (C) Knockdown efficiency of α-catenin siRNAs were monitored by Western blot. (D) Depletion of α-catenin in MCF-7 cells disrupts cell–cell adhesion. (Magnification, 200×.)
Fig. S2. Depletion of β-catenin or Lats1/2 leads to the nuclear accumulation of YAP in densely cultured A431 or MCF-7 cells. (Scale bars, 50 μm.)

Fig. S3. E-cadherin homophilic ligation changes the subcellular localization of YAP. As represented by arrowheads, binding of E-cadherin-IgG Fc domain chimera (Fc-hE)-coated microspheres to the surface of MCF10A cells decreases the nuclear YAP relative to cytoplasmic YAP. Depletion of β-catenin or Lats1/2 siRNA in MCF10A cells, however, blocked this effect. The count of isolated cells with a higher ratio (>3) of nuclear to cytoplasmic YAP staining is represented in Fig. 4B. (Magnification, 100×.)