Autophagic activity dictates the cellular response to oncogenic RAS
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RAS is frequently mutated in human cancers and has opposing effects on autophagy and tumorigenesis. Identifying determinants of the cellular responses to RAS is therefore vital in cancer research. Here, we show that autophagic activity dictates the cellular response to oncogenic RAS. N-terminal Apoptosis-stimulating of p53 protein 2 (ASPP2) mediates RAS-induced senescence and inhibits autophagy. Oncogenic RAS-expressing ASPP2(ΔN/Δ3) mouse embryonic fibroblasts that escape senescence express a high level of ATG5/ATG12. Consistent with the notion that autophagy levels control the cellular response to oncogenic RAS, overexpressing ATG5 but not autophagy-defective ATG5 mutant K130R, bypasses RAS-induced senescence, whereas ATGS or ATG3 deficiency predisposes to it. Mechanistically, ASPP2 inhibits RAS-induced autophagy by competing with ATG5/ATG12 and preventing ATG16/ATG5/ATG12 formation. Hence, ASPP2 modulates oncogenic RAS-induced autophagic activity to dictate the cellular response to RAS: to proliferate or senesce.

Active mutations of RAS, one of the first oncogenes identified, occur in about 20% of human tumors (1). Oncogenic RAS can transform cells and promote tumorigenesis, although it can also induce senescence and suppress tumor growth (2). Senescent cells are arrested and incapable of responding to mitogens, although they are viable and metabolically active. Senescence is characterized by dramatic cellular remodelling, which is energetically demanding. Autophagy, a genetically regulated process responsible for the turnover of cellular proteins and damaged or superfluous organelles, is a stress response involved in energy homeostasis (3). It was shown that autophagy is a critical mediator of oncogenic RAS-induced senescence, suggesting a negative role of autophagy in tumorigenesis (4). In contrast, a number of recent studies showed that active RAS requires autophagy to maintain its oncogenic function in tumorigenesis, arguing for a positive role of autophagy in tumorigenesis (5–8). The underlying reason for the conflicting observations remains unclear.

RAS activation inhibits autophagy by activating the PI3K/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (9), and rapamycin, an mTOR inhibitor, is a potent inducer of autophagy. RAS also inhibits autophagy by reducing Beclin-1 expression in intestinal epithelial cells, an important mediator of autophagy (10). However, RAS was reported to induce autophagy by increasing the expression of key components of the autophagy machinery, such as ATG5 (11) and Beclin-1 (12). These reports suggest that RAS signaling performs a finely regulated balancing act to control autophagy. Identification of switching molecules that determine the cellular responses to RAS is thus needed urgently.

The tumor suppressor p53 is one of the most well-established pathways by which RAS mediates cellular senescence. A recent study also showed that p53 is able to regulate autophagic activity by inducing the expression of LC3 (13). However, it remains unknown whether the ability of p53 to induce autophagy is required to mediate oncogenic RAS-induced senescence. Interestingly, an NMR study showed that the N-terminal ASPP2, a known activator of p53, shares high structure similarity with LC3 and ATG12 (14). Additionally, we showed recently that ASPP2 deficiency can bypass oncogenic RAS-induced senescence independent of p53 (15). ASPP2 was first identified as a p53 binding protein, with subsequent studies showing that it enhances p53-induced apoptosis in vitro (16) and p53-mediated tumor suppression in vivo (17). Nonetheless, recent studies showed that ASPP2 is able to suppress cell proliferation through p53-independent pathways. By binding to Par3, ASPP2 is able to maintain the integrity of cell polarity and suppress excessive growth of neural progenitors (18). The ability of ASPP2 to suppress cell proliferation independent of p53 is conserved because dASPP inhibits cell proliferation in Drosophila independent of p53 (19). Knowing that the N terminus of ASPP2 shares structure similarity to ATG12 and LC3 and that this region of ASPP2 does not bind p53, we hypothesized that ASPP2 may mediate RAS-induced senescence by regulating autophagic activity independent of p53.

Results

N-Terminal ASPP2 Mediates RAS-Induced Senescence and Inhibits Autophagy. Oncogenic RAS induces senescence in primary fibroblasts. We showed recently that ASPP2 deficiency bypasses oncogenic RAS-induced senescence independent of p53 (15). To identify the region of ASPP2 that mediates RAS-induced senescence, two ASPP2 mutants were generated: ASPP2(1–360) and ASPP2(123–1,128). ASPP2(1–360) does not bind p53 but contains a ubiquitin-like fold similar to ATG12 or LC3 and binds Par3 (18, 20). ASPP2(123–1,128) binds p53 and Par3 but lacks the ubiquitin-like fold and represents a naturally occurring ASPP2 splice variant (21) (Fig. 1, Upper). Retroviruses expressing full-length or truncated ASPP2 were infected into HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(ΔN/Δ3) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). As expected, reintroducing ASPP2(1–1,128) induced senescence in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(ΔN/Δ3) MEFs. Senescence associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) activity was detected in around 30% or 40% of cells infected with retroviruses expressing ASPP2 (1–1,128) or ASPP2(1–360), respectively (Fig. 1A), whereas SA-β-gal activity was not detected in ASPP2(123–1,128)-infected cells. Reintroducing ASPP2(1–1,128) or ASPP2(1–360) also significantly repressed the tumorigenicity of HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(ΔN/Δ3) MEFs in vivo, reflected by the size and weight of the tumors (Fig. 1B and C), whereas ASPP2(123–1,128) had no effect. The lack of complete repression of tumor growth and size


The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹Y.W. and X.D.W. contributed equally to this work.
²Present address: Genetic Nephrology Department, South Building, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100033, China.
³Present address: Cancer Research UK, London WC2A 3PX, United Kingdom.
⁴Present address: Cell Signaling, Institute of Cancer, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London EC1M 6QY, United Kingdom.
⁵To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: xin.lu@ludwig.ox.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1120193109/-/DCSupplemental.
was consistent with the percentage of SA-β-gal-positive cells observed, and it was most likely caused by the low infection efficiency. Nevertheless, the results demonstrate that N-terminal ASPP2(1–123) is required to mediate senescence and to suppress the tumorigenicity of oncogenic HRAS independent of p53.

Because N-terminal ASPP2(1–123) contains the ubiquitin-fold sharing motif with high structural similarity to ATG12 and LC3 (14), we tested whether ASPP2 may influence autophagic activity. Autophagy is the principal method by which long-lived proteins are degraded. Interestingly, oncogenic RAS significantly enhanced basal protein degradation by about eightfold in ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) but not in ASPP2(Δ3/+). Moreover, rapamycin induced long-lived protein degradation under all conditions, but the most profound increase of about 17-fold was observed in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs (Fig. 1D). Biochemically, the autophagosome-associated lipoidal form of LC3II can be distinguished from unmodified LC3 (LC3I) by immunoblotting. Oncogenic RAS induced lipilated LC3II expression in ASPP2(+/+) and ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs in response to amino acid starvation or rapamycin treatment (Fig. 1E, compare lanes 2 and 4 vs. lanes 6 and 8 and lanes 14 and 16). However, the maximum increase was observed in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs. LC3II expression was further elevated in the presence of NH4Cl, which prevents autophagic degradation, indicating that autophagic flux is increased. There was little difference in the amount of basal LC3II in ASPP2(+/+) and ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs (Fig. 1E, compare lanes 1 and 9), suggesting that this effect is predominantly RAS-dependent. Autophagic activity was further analyzed by introducing GFP-tagged LC3. On treatment with rapamycin, around 50% of HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs showed punctate GFP-LC3-positive autophagic vesicles, compared with 15% in control ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs. In HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(+/+) MEFs, only 20% of cells produced a similar pattern of GFP-LC3 with rapamycin treatment (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1D)

The ability of ASPP2 and its mutants to inhibit autophagy was also tested in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs. Expression of ASPP2(1–128) or ASPP2(1–360) significantly inhibited rapamycin-induced autophagy, as demonstrated by a reduction in the LC3II/LC3I ratio (Fig. 1G and Fig. S1B), whereas ASPP2(123–1,128) failed to do so under the same conditions. These results suggest that N-terminal ASPP2 may mediate RAS-induced senescence via its ability to inhibit autophagy.

Elevated ATG5/ATG12 Expression Accompanies the Bypass of RAS-Induced Senescence. The involvement of autophagy in the bypass of RAS-induced senescence was further examined in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs. Expression levels of key autophagy genes were examined in early and late passages of HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs. ATG5/ATG12 and
ATG3 expression remained unchanged 1 wk after HRAS V12 infections in both ASPP2+/+ and ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs (Fig. S2A). A small increase in expression of ATG5/ATG12 was observed 2 wk after HRAS V12 infection in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs (Fig. S2B). At 3 wk after infection, the expression of ATG5/ATG12 was significantly enhanced in these cells (Fig. 2A), with no observed increase in ATG5 mRNA (Fig. S2C). In contrast to ATG5/ATG12, the expression levels of ATG3 (Fig. 2B and Fig. S2A and B) and Beclin-1 (Fig. S2D) were not affected in MEFs.

To understand how ASPP2 deficiency induces ATG5/ATG12 expression, the half-life of ATG5/ATG12 was determined in oncogenic RAS-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 and ASPP2+/+ MEFs. The half-life of ATG5/ATG12 was longer in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs than in ASPP2+/+ MEFs (Fig. 2B). Similar results were also observed in human HCT116 cells, which contain endogenous oncogenic RAS, on RNAi-mediated depletion of ASPP2 (Fig. 2C). ASPP2 may therefore inhibit autophagy by specifically affecting the stability of ATG5/ATG12 on RAS activation. The appearance of elevated ATG5/ATG12 in late-passage HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs also suggests that elevated autophagy activity may provide survival signals for the bypass of RAS-induced senescence.

Levels of Autophagy Dictate the Cellular Response to Oncogenic RAS.

To test whether high levels of autophagic activity may be sufficient to bypass oncogenic RAS-induced senescence, ATG5 was introduced into ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs to enhance autophagy in the presence or absence of HRAS V12. Overexpression of ATG5 induced autophagy, as demonstrated by detecting elevated amounts of LC3II (Fig. 3A), which was increased further on coexpression of HRAS V12. Remarkably, HRAS V12 failed to induce senescence in ATG5-expressing MEFs. ATG5- and HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs grew rapidly on top of each other, presenting a typical transformed cell phenotype (Fig. S3A). Overexpression of ATG5 K130R, an ATG5 mutant that can neither bind ATG12 nor induce autophagy, failed to bypass RAS-induced senescence measured as either SA-β-gal-positive or BrdU labeling (Fig. 3B and C and graphs in Fig. S3 B–D); this bypass therefore requires ATG5 autophagic activity. In contrast, there was a minimal difference in the number of SA-β-gal–positive senescent cells or BrdU-labeled positive cells between ATG5 K130R-expressing MEFs and control HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs (Fig. 3B and C and graphs in Fig. S3 C and D). These data suggest that autophagic activation contributes to the bypass of oncogenic RAS-induced senescence.

To confirm that the levels of autophagy are crucial in dictating the cellular response to RAS activation, ATG5 expression was depleted using shRNAs against ATG5 (Fig. 3D). This led to an increased number of enlarged flat cells with positive SA-β-gal staining in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs, in contrast to a minimal effect on ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs (Fig. 3E and Fig. S3E). In addition, depletion of ATG5 significantly reduced the number of colonies formed by HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs from 30 ± 3 to 12 ± 4 per well (Fig. 3F). To confirm further that it is autophagic activity and not ATG5 itself that dictates RAS-induced senescence, ATG3 Cre-ER MEFs were used (22). ATG3 expression is deleted on the addition of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) in ATG3 Cre-ER MEFs (Fig. S3F). ATG3 deletion enhanced oncogenic RAS-induced senescence, as demonstrated by a fourfold increase in the percentage of SA-β-gal–positive cells after 4-OHT addition and a comparable decrease in the percentage of BrdU-positive cells, compared with MEFs expressing ATG3 (Fig. 3 G and H). These data demonstrate that autophagic activity dictates the cellular response to oncogenic RAS, with elevated autophagy leading to a bypass of RAS-induced senescence and a reduction in, or a lack of, autophagy sensitizing to it.

We then asked how high levels of autophagic activity help bypass oncogenic RAS-induced senescence. Yang et al. (8) reported recently that autophagy inhibition in pancreatic cancer results in increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and DNA damage. Hence, we tested whether high levels of autophagy may overcome RAS-induced senescence by reducing ROS production and the level of DNA damage. This was tested by measuring ROS levels in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 or ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs using MitoSOX (Invitrogen) staining. Oncogenic RAS induced ROS production in both ASPP2Δ3Δ3 and ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs. However, ASPP2 status did not affect the ability of RAS to induce ROS production (Fig. S3I). DNA damage is the other inducer of senescence; this occurs predominantly through its ability to induce the p53/p19Arf/p21Waf1 pathway. We found in our cell system that overexpression of ATG5 did not prevent oncogenic RAS from inducing p53/p19Arf/p21Waf1 expression (Fig. S3J). However, this induction failed to induce senescence in these

Fig. 2. Increased expression and stability of ATG5/ATG12 in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs that have escaped oncogenic RAS-induced senescence. (A) Expression of ATG5/ATG12 is induced by HRAS V12 in late passage of ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs. Western blot of ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEF lysates, with or without HRAS V12 and ATG3/ATG12 or ATG3/ATG12 vector, shows the expression level of ATG5/ATG12 or ATG3 and RAS. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (B) ATG5/ATG12, but not ATG3, is more stable in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs than in ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs. ASPP2Δ3Δ3 or ASPP2Δ3Δ3 MEFs were infected with or without RAS and then treated with 10 μM cycloheximide (CHX) for 12 or 24 h as indicated. Western blots were used to detect ATG5/ATG12 and ATG3 levels. Graphs show the relative expression of ATG5/ATG12 or ATG3 with the indicated treatments. (C) ATG5/ATG12, but not ATG3, is more stable in ASPP2 siRNA-transfected HCT116 cells than in control cells. HCT116 cells were transfected with control siRNA or ASPP2 siRNA for 4 d, followed by treatment with 10 μM CHX for the indicated time. Western blots were used to detect ATG5/ATG12 and ATG3. The graph shows the relative expression of ATG5/ATG12 with the indicated treatments.
cells. These data suggest that increased autophagic activity uses other mechanisms independent of p53 to bypass RAS-induced senescence.

**ASPP2 Inhibits Autophagy by Preventing ATG16/ATG5/ATG12 Complex Formation.** To investigate how ASPP2 inhibits RAS-induced senescence, ASPP2 was depleted either in malignant cells expressing punctate LC3, where autophagic activity is increased, or in malignant cells expressing punctate LC3, where autophagic activity is decreased. ASPP2 depletion in malignant cells expressing punctate LC3 resulted in an increase in the number of cells expressing punctate LC3 (Fig. 4A and Fig. S4A). Moreover, RAS activation, together with ASPP1 or ASPP2 depletion, had a synergistic effect on autophagy, reflected by a significant increase in the number of cells expressing punctate LC3 (Fig. 4A and Fig. S4A). Consistent with the observed morphological changes, we also observed an increase in the ratio of LC3II/LC3I in ASPP1- or ASPP2-deficient HKe3 cells on RAS activation (Fig. 4B and C). iASPP knockdown did not induce autophagy, because LC3II production was not induced, but rather resulted in a decrease in the expression of LC3II/LC3I complexes with LC3I (Fig. 4B). Together, these results suggest that only ASPP1/ASPP2 but not iASPP inhibits RAS-induced autophagy. The ability of ASPP1/ASPP2 to inhibit endogenous oncogenic RAS-induced autophagy was further tested in the HCT116 cell line expressing endogenous mutant RAS. In HCT116 cells, ASPP1/2 knockdown inhibited basal and amino acid starvation-induced autophagic activity, as indicated by an increased ratio of LC3II/LC3I (Fig. 4D and Fig. S4B). These results are in keeping with the increased stabilization of ATG5/12 attributable to ASPP2 knockdown shown in Fig. 2C and demonstrate that the ability of ASPP2 to inhibit RAS-induced autophagy is a general phenomenon. ASPP1/ ASPP2 may inhibit RAS-induced autophagy via its N terminus, because iASPP does not have this domain. The data are also in agreement with the observation in MEFs showing that ASPP2 (1–123) is required for inhibition of RAS-induced autophagy.

The high structural similarity between N-terminal ASPP2 and ATG12 (14) suggests that ASPP2 may bind ATG5/12/GFP to affect autophagy directly. This possibility was tested in HKe3 ER: HRAS V12 cells. We observed that in these cells, on RAS activation, ASPP2 translocated from cell/cell junctions to the cytoplasm (Fig. S4C) and it also coimmunoprecipitated with ATG5/ ATG12 (Fig. 4E). In addition, in vitro translated ASPP2(1–360) was able to coimmunoprecipitate with in vitro translated ATG5 (Fig. 4F), suggesting that N-terminal ASPP2 may bind ATG5 directly. The formation of a complex between ATG16 and ATG5/ ATG12 plays an essential role in autophagy (26). Knowing that the ASPP2/ATG5/ATG12 complex was best detected in HKe3 cells on RAS activation, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments in HCT116 cells. ASPP2 knockdown enhanced the formation of the complex between ATG16 and ATG5/ATG12 in HCT116 cells (Fig. 4G). Similar results were observed in HKe3 ER:HRAS V12 cells. We observed that ASPP2 knockdown resulted in a decrease in the expression of the ASPP2/ATG5/ATG12 complex (Fig. 4H). Together, these results suggest that ASPP2 may compete with ATG16 to form the complex with ATG5/ATG12.

**Discussion**

Human tumors frequently express activated RAS because of mutations. RAS inhibitors were thus developed. However, because of the complexity of the RAS pathway, therapies are not successful with most RAS inhibitors (1). The identification of molecules that determine the cellular response to RAS is therefore timely for the development of better strategies to treat mutant RAS-expressing tumors. Here, we show that ASPP2 is one such regulator that determines the cellular response to RAS signaling by controlling autophagic activity and cellular senescence.

ASPP1 and ASPP2 are common activators of p53 (16). They share high sequence similarity in their N terminus, which has similar structural folds to ATG12 (14). ATG12 covalently modifies ATG5. The ATG5/ATG12 conjugate further forms a complex with ATG16, which plays an essential role in autophagy. The
The present study suggests that it is this unique structural feature of ASPP2 that allows it to compete with ATG16 to bind to ATG5/ATG12 in cells on RAS activation. As a result, ASPP2 inhibits RAS-induced autophagy by preventing the formation of the ATG16/ATG5/ATG12 trimeric complex. In epithelial cells, ASPP2 binds and colocalizes with Par3 at the tight/adherens junctions in vitro and in vivo (18, 20). Here, we show that on RAS activation, ASPP2 translocates from cell/cell junctions to the cytoplasm. How RAS induces ASPP2 translocation is not yet known, but RAS-induced cytoplasmic ASPP2 is able to bind to ATG5/ATG12 and prevent ATG16 from complexing with ATG5/ATG12. The functional importance of the proposed ASPP2-ATG5/ATG12 interaction in regulating autophagy is supported by the findings that (i) ASPP2 expression status affected the stability of ATG5/ATG12 and (ii) a significant increase in the expression levels of ATG5/ATG12 conjugates, but not ATG3, was only seen in late-passage HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2Δ3/Δ3 MEFs.

The observed increase in ATG5/ATG12 expression in RAS-expressing ASPP2Δ3/Δ3 MEFs that escaped RAS-induced senescence argues strongly that autophagy provides a survival signal that allows cells to bypass RAS-induced cellular senescence. This agrees with recent findings in mouse and human tumor models showing that transformation by oncogenic RAS causes an addiction to survival signals provided by autophagy (7, 8) (Fig. 4I). One of the important questions is how elevated autophagy provides signals to maintain tumor growth. High levels of nuclear cyclin D1/CDK4 kinase activity are essential for cells to phosphorylate retinoblastoma protein (Rb) and to bypass cell cycle arrest and
cellular senescence. We observed recently that ASPP2 uses a p53/p19/p21-independent pathway to mediate RAS-induced senescence by inhibiting RAS-induced nuclear accumulation of small ubiquitin-modifier (SUMO)-modified cyclin D1 (15). Because ASPP2 deficiency enhances RAS-induced autophagy and elevated autophagy is sufficient to bypass RAS-induced senescence, it is possible that elevated autophagic activity may use the same pathway as that of ASPP2 deficiency to bypass RAS-induced senescence. Future studies are needed to investigate whether autophagic activity may dictate this cellular response by directly modulating the cell cycle machinery.

Although results presented here seem to contradict those of Young et al. (4), whose data suggested that autophagy, and its consequent protein turnover, mediates the acquisition of the senescence phenotype (4), the discrepancy could be explained by our findings that the levels of autophagic activity are critical in dictating the cell’s response to RAS-induced senescence. We observed that when RAS induced small increases in autophagic activity, this was accompanied by senescence in ASPP2 WT MEFs. In ASPP2−/− MEFs, however, RAS-induced autophagic activity was over twofold higher than that observed in RAS-expressing ASPP2 WT MEFs, illustrating how ASPP2’s status may explain why HRAS V12 induces autophagy in some cells and fails to do so in others (9, 27). The observed large increase in autophagic activity in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2+/+ MEFs was the result of a significant increase in ATG5/ATG12 expression, and this increase was most profound in HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2−/− MEFs that escaped senescence. This initial finding led us to hypothesize that different levels of autophagic activity may dictate the cellular response to RAS. This conclusion is supported by the following two findings. First, we showed that elevated autophagy is sufficient to bypass RAS-induced senescence, as demonstrated by the finding that overexpressing WT ATG5, but not the autophagy-defective ATG5 mutant ATG5 K130R, can bypass RAS-induced senescence in ASPP2 WT MEFs. Second, we showed that a significant reduction or lack of autophagy can predispose cells to RAS-induced senescence. This is demonstrated by the finding that ATG5 shRNAs or deletion of ATG3 sensitizes MEFs to RAS-induced senescence. These data support the notion that different levels of autophagic activity dictate the cellular response to RAS-induced senescence: High levels of autophagic activity bypass senescence, low levels accompany it, and a significant reduction or lack of autophagy sensitizes cells to it. This agrees with several recent reports (5–8) showing that activated RAS requires autophagy to maintain tumorigenicity in vivo.

The identified growth inhibitory property of ASPP2’s N terminus presents us with a potentially unique therapeutic target. Deregulated autophagic activities are known to be critical in neuronal degenerative diseases, liver disease, and heart disease (28). The role of autophagy in cancer therapy is also of great importance because autophagy is often induced in chemotherapeutic- or radiation-treated tumor cells (29). Studies have shown that autophagy has opposing effects on cell survival and death and that these effects are likely to be cell type-dependent (30). Finally, down-regulation of ASPP2 is frequently observed in human tumors and is linked to poor prognosis (16, 31). The knowledge that ASPP2 inhibits autophagy, enhances cellular senescence, and inhibits tumor growth, in addition to its role in mediating p53-induced apoptosis, supports the development of autophagy inhibitors (e.g., chloroquine and its derivatives) (8) as therapeutic agents with which to treat cancers with reduced ASPP2 expression and mutated RAS.

Materials and Methods

MEFs were prepared from day 13.5 embryos from XTV-ASPP2−/− intercrosses. Genotyping was performed by PCR using specific primers (17). All animal procedures were approved by local ethical review and licensed by the UK Home Office. For autophagy activity assays, measurement of the degradation of long-lived proteins, GFP-LC3 immunofluorescence, and LC3II Western blotting were performed. Analysis of senescence in cell culture was performed using a senescence β-gal staining kit (Cell Signaling) or BrdU incorporation experiments (15). To quantify SA-β-gal– or BrdU-positive readings, at least 200 cells were counted in random fields in each of the duplicated wells. More details are provided in SI Materials and Methods.
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SI Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Genotyping. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were derived from day 13.5 embryos by XRT-ASPP2Δ3/Δ3 intercrosses. Genotyping was performed by PCR using the following primers:

A: (5′-CCTCTCAAAAAAGGATAAACCCTG-3′)
B: (5′-AGGAACCCACCCACCTTCAC-3′)
C: (5′-TACCCGTCTTCCATTGCTCAG-3′)

Primers A and B amplify a 900-bp fragment from the ASPP2 WT allele, and primers B and C amplify a 1,100-bp fragment from the ASPP2 exon 3 KO allele (1). All animal procedures were approved by local ethical review and licensed by the UK Home Office. HKe-3 estrogen receptor (ER) knockout (ER-KO) RAS V12 cells were a gift from Julian Downward (Signal Transduction Laboratory, Cancer Research UK London Research Institute, London, United Kingdom). Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics at 37 °C and 10% CO2.

Western Blot Analysis. Western blot (WB) analysis was performed with lysates prepared 6–8 d after transduction of RAS from subconfluent cells with urea buffer (8 M urea, 1 M thiourea, 0.5% CHAPS, 50 mM DTT, 24 mM spermine) or Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate in PBS) as described (4). Primary antibodies were from Santa Cruz (Bcl-2, Bax, Bcl-xL, Bcl-w), Cell Signaling (ATG3, ATG16, ATG5, phospho-S6, and S6), Upstate (RAS Clone 10), Abcam (Beclin-1, ATG16, and p21), Cell Signaling (ATG3, ATG16, p62), and Antibodies (LC3 and 5F10), ASPP1 (LX54.2), ASPP2 (DX54.10) Nanotools (LC3 and 5F10), ASPP1 (LX49.3), and Novocastra (p53 CM-5). Signals were detected using an ECL detection system (GE Healthcare).

Autophagic Activity Assays. Autophagy was induced by several treatment regimes. To visualize rapamycin (Calbiochem)-induced autophagy, cells were infected with EGF-P-LC3 adenovirus the day before treatment and then incubated in full medium containing 1% FBS in the presence of 50 μg/mL rapamycin for the indicated periods. For endogenous LC3 analysis by WB, cells were treated with the regimes indicated in medium containing 10 μg/mL E-64d (Calbiochem) for 4 h, washed with cold PBS, and then lysed in PBS containing 2% Triton X-114 and protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche). After tumbling end over end at 4 °C for 1.5 h, tubes were spun at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, a sample of the supernatant was precipitated with acetone, and pellets were dissolved in 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.8) and 1% SDS. After normalizing protein concentrations, 50 μg of each sample was separated by SDS/PAGE, transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with anti-LC3 antibody. To inhibit autophagy, ASPP2Δ3/Δ3 and ASPP2Δ7/Δ7 MEFS transduced with pBABE-Puro HRAS V12 were infected twice with viral supernatants from pKD-Atg5-GFP (ATG5 shRNA) constructs overnight in the presence of 8 μg/mL polybrene. Forty-eight hours after infection, lysates were used for WB analysis.

Measurement of the Degradation of Long-Lived Proteins. Cells were plated onto 35-mm dishes and cultured in cysteine/methionine-free media containing 10 μCi of L-[35S]cysteine/methionine for 12 h at 37 °C. Unincorporated radioisotopes and degraded amino acids released from short-lived proteins were removed by rinsing three times with PBS. Cells were then chased with culture medium containing 10% FBS and 2 mM cold cysteine/methionine. After 6 h of incubation, at which time short-lived proteins were degraded, the chase medium was replaced with fresh medium with or without 50 μg/mL rapamycin. Incubation continued for an additional 5 h. The medium was harvested, and 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added. Samples were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min, and acid-soluble radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting. Cells were fixed by adding 1 mL of 10% TCA directly to the culture dishes, washed with 10% TCA, and dissolved in 1 mL of 0.2 M NaOH. Radioactivity in the samples was then measured. The percentage of protein degradation was calculated by dividing the amount of acid-soluble radioactivity in the culture medium by the sum of acid-soluble and acid-precipitable radioactivities.

Analysis of Senescence. Analysis of senescence in cell culture was performed using a Senescence β-galactosidase staining kit (Cell Signaling) as described previously (4). To quantify senescence-associated-β-galactosidase–positive findings, at least 200 cells were counted in random fields in each of the duplicated wells.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed in 4% PBS-parafomaldehyde for 15 min; incubated in 0.2% Triton X-100 for 5 min and then in 0.2% fish skin gelatin in PBS for 10 min; and stained for 1 h with anti-LC3 (Nano Tools, anti-ASPP2 (DSX54.10), anti-ATG5 (Abgent), or anti-Brdu FITC antibody (BD Biosciences). Antibodies were used at a 1:100 dilution in 0.2% fish skin gelatin in PBS. Staining with the secondary antibody and TO-PRO-3 (Invitrogen) was then performed, followed by visualization under a fluorescence microscope. To quantify BrdU–positive findings, at least 200 cells were counted in random fields in each of the duplicated wells.

MitoSOX Red (Invitrogen) was used to detect reactive oxygen species levels in cells. In brief, MEFS were stained with MitoSOX (2.5 μM) for 20 min at 37 °C, protected from light, followed by fixation in 4% PBS-parafomaldehyde, and counterstained with TO-PRO-3. To quantify MitoSOX–positive findings, at least 200 cells were counted in random fields in each of the duplicated wells.
Colony Formation Assay. HRAS V12-expressing ASPP2(+/-) or ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEFs were infected with shRNA against Atg5 (hp7) three times. For colony formation assay, 10,000 cells per well were seeded in six-well plates and kept in culture for 14 d. The colonies were stained with crystal violet and counted.

Quantitative RT-PCR. Real-time RT-PCR was performed using gene-specific primers (QuantiTect Primer Assays; Qiagen) for ATG5 or GAPDH with the QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen). Relative transcript levels of target genes were normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels.

Immunoprecipitations. Cells were lysed in NETN buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, protease inhibitor mixture (Roche)]. After lysis, extracts were precleared with 100 μL of protein G beads (50% slurry) for 60 min at 4 °C. For each immunoprecipitation, anti-ASPP2 (6), anti-ATG16 (Santa Cruz), or IgG was used, 25 μL of a 50% slurry of protein G beads was added, and samples were then rotated at 4 °C overnight. Beads were washed five times with 1 mL of NETN buffer, and 20 μL of 2× SDS loading buffer was added. Immunoprecipitations were analyzed by WB as indicated.

In Vitro Translation and in Vitro Immunoprecipitation. V5-tagged ASPP2 fragments, ATG5, and ATG16 were translated in vitro with cold methionine using the TNT T7 Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega). The reticulocyte lysates containing indicated proteins were combined and incubated together for 1 h at 30 °C. The samples were precleared in 1 mL of PBS by rotating for 1 h at 4 °C with protein G Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences). Following removal of the beads, V5 antibody (AbD Serotec) or ATG16 antibody immobilized on protein G Sepharose beads was added to the binding reactions and rotated at 4 °C for 16 h. The beads were then washed with PBS. The bound proteins were released in SDS sample buffer and analyzed by SDS/PAGE/immunoblotting.

Fig. S1. ASPP2 inhibits oncogenic RAS-induced autophagy. (A) Graph shows the percentage of cells with at least a twofold increase of LC3-puncta compared with controls (as seen in Fig. 1F). Error bars indicate SD. (B) N terminus of ASPP2 is required and sufficient to inhibit oncogenic RAS-induced autophagy. The ratio of LC3-II against LC3-I (as seen in Fig. 1G) was calculated by densitometry. Error bars indicate SD.
Fig. S2. Expression of autophagy components in indicated MEFs. (A and B) WB of ASPP2(+/+) or ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEF lysates, with or without HRAS V12 expression for 1 or 2 wk, shows effects on ATG5/ATG12 and ATG3 expression. β-Tubulin was used as a loading control. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of ATG5 mRNA levels in MEFs with the indicated treatments. (D) WB of ASPP2(+/+) or ASPP2(Δ3/Δ3) MEF lysates, with or without HRAS V12 expression for 3 wk, shows effects on Beclin-1 expression. GAPDH was used as a loading control.
Fig. S3. High levels of autophagy bypass oncogenic RAS-induced senescence, whereas reduced levels of autophagy sensitize it. (A–D) Overexpression of ATG5, but not ATG5 K130R, prevents HRAS V12 from inducing senescence in ASPP2+/- MEFs. (A) Phase contrast (Upper) and senescence-associated-β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining (Lower) images illustrate senescent cells. Images were taken with a ×20, air objective lens. (B) WB shows expression of exogenous GFP-ATG5/ATG12, GFP-ATG5 K130R, or endogenous ATG5/ATG12. (C) Graph shows the percentage of BrdU-positive cells with indicated infections (as seen in Fig. 3B). (D) Graph shows the percentage of SA-β-gal-positive cells with indicated infections (as seen in Fig. 3C). (E–H) Depletion of ATG5 or deletion of ATG3 accelerates RAS-induced senescence. (E) ASPP2+/- or ASPP2 Δ3/Δ3 MEFs with or without HRAS V12 expression were infected with shRNA constructs against mouse ATG5 (hp7) or control shRNA (Vector). Phase contrast images are shown to illustrate senescent cells as indicated by arrows. Images were taken with a ×20, air objective lens. (F) Legend continued on following page.
Fig. S4. ASPP2 inhibits autophagy by preventing ATG16 from complexing with ATG5/ATG12. (A) Graph shows the percentage of cells staining positive for LC3 puncta in HKe3 ER:HRAS V12 cells with the indicated treatments (as seen in Fig. 4A). Error bars indicate SD. (B) HCT116 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA for 4 d, followed by amino acid starvation (A.A.S) for 5 h. Indicated protein levels were detected by WB. Phospho-S6 (p-S6) levels indicate mammalian target of rapamycin activity. (C) ASPP2 colocalizes with ATG5 on RAS activation in HKe3 cells. Immunofluorescence staining of ASPP2 or ATG5 in HKe3 ER:HRAS V12 cells treated without (control) or with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) for 1 d. Arrows indicate colocalization. Images were taken with a ×63, oil-immersion objective lens. (D) ASPP2 depletion enhances the binding between ATG16 and ATG5/ATG12 in HKe3 cells. HKe3 ER:HRAS V12 cells transfected with control siRNA or siRNA against ASPP2 for 3 d were treated with or without 100 nM 4-OHT for another day. Total cell lysates from HKe3 ER:HRAS V12 cells with the indicated treatments were immunoprecipitated with an anti-ATG16 antibody or control IgG. IP, immunoprecipitation.