Three-dimensional microfluidic model for tumor cell intravasation and endothelial barrier function
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Entry of tumor cells into the blood stream is a critical step in cancer metastasis. Although significant progress has been made in visualizing tumor cell motility in vivo, the underlying mechanism of cancer cell intravasation remains largely unknown. We developed a microfluidic-based assay to recreate the tumor-vascular interface in three-dimensions, allowing for high resolution, real-time imaging, and precise quantification of endothelial barrier function. Studies are aimed at testing the hypothesis that carcinoma cell intravasation is regulated by biochemical factors from the interacting cells and cellular interactions with macrophages. We developed a method to measure spatially resolved endothelial permeability and show that signaling with macrophages via secretion of tumor necrosis factor alpha results in endothelial barrier impairment. Under these conditions intravasation rates were increased as validated with live imaging. To further investigate tumor-endothelial (TC-EC) signaling, we used highly invasive fibrosarcoma cells and quantified tumor cell migration dynamics and TC-EC interactions under control and perturbed (with tumor necrosis factor alpha) barrier conditions. We found that endothelial barrier impairment was associated with a higher number and faster dynamics of TC-EC interactions, in agreement with our carcinoma intravasation results. Taken together our results provide evidence that the endothelium poses a barrier to tumor cell intravasation that can be regulated by factors present in the tumor microenvironment.

Tumor-endothelial cell interactions are critical in multiple steps during cancer metastasis, ranging from cancer angiogenesis to colonization. Cancer cell intravasation is a rate-limiting step in metastasis that regulates the number of circulating tumor cells and thus presents high risk for the formation of secondary tumors (1, 2). During the metastatic process tumor cells migrate out of the primary tumor (3), navigate into a complex tumor microenvironment, and enter into blood vessels (4). Cell-cell communication and chemotaxis (5) are key to this process and can occur via paracrine signals and/or direct contact between different cell types during tumor cell invasion (6) and metastatic colonization (7). Studies using multiphoton imaging in animal models have demonstrated that the ability of tumor cells to enter into the blood stream can be controlled both by tumor cell intrinsic factors (8–11) and other cells present in the tumor microenvironment, such as macrophages (12) and neutrophils (13). However, because of the lack of physiologically relevant in vitro models and the challenges of investigating cell-cell interactions in vivo, the underlying mechanism of intravasation remains poorly understood (14). In particular, a number of fundamental questions remain as to whether intravasation is an active or passive process (15) and whether tumor cells cross the endothelial barrier through cell-cell junctions (paracellular) or through the endothelial cell body [transcellular (16)]. Therefore, the development of experimental platforms enabling real-time visualization of tumor cell interactions with a vascular interface will enable studies for delineating the underlying molecular mechanisms, whereas these tools could also be used for drug screening and discovery.

Tumor blood vessels are highly heterogeneous, hyperpermeable, and become abnormal early during carcinogenesis (17). Despite progress in characterizing these vessels (18, 19), it is not clear whether tumor cells require abnormal blood vessels to intravasate and how tumor cells and other factors in the tumor microenvironment (e.g., macrophages) contribute to vessel remodeling. Although in vivo imaging studies in animals have demonstrated the highly dynamic interaction between tumor and endothelial cells (9, 10, 12, 20), quantitation of, or control over, vessel permeability and tumor microenvironmental factors is challenging. On the other hand, studies employing transwell inserts (21) that allow for manipulation of tumor-endothelial interactions do not enable visualization of intravasation events in real-time or precise control over cell-cell distance and growth-factor gradients. Progress in microfluidic technology has enabled the development of in vitro assays that facilitate the study of cellular behavior under tightly controlled microenvironments with high spatiotemporal resolution. Hence, modeling the tumor microenvironment by integrating the interactions among multiple cell types with biochemical and biophysical factors is a very attractive target for microfluidics. Toward this end, a number of microfluidic designs have been developed to study growth-factor gradients in cancer cell migration (22, 23), tumor-stromal cell interactions (24), and tumor-endothelial cell interactions (25).

We present an in vitro three-dimensional (3D) microfluidic model of the tumor-vascular interface designed to integrate live imaging, precise control of microenvironmental factors, and endothelial barrier measurement. In this study, we employ our model to explore the relationship between tumor cell intravasation and endothelial permeability in the context of cytokine-induced endothelial cell activation and paracrine signaling loops involving macrophages and tumor cells. Increases in endothelial permeability via signaling with macrophages or stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) were associated with a higher intravasation rate. Blocking macrophage-secreted TNF-α reduced the intravasation rate and normalized endothelial barrier integrity. Furthermore, modulation of endothelial barrier function regulated the number and dynamics of tumor-endothelial cell interaction events. Interestingly, macrophage M1/M2 polarization status in the device during signaling with tumor and endothelial cells was similar to the macrophage monoculture conditions. These results demonstrate the utility of our microfluidic...
based approach for direct observation of different tumor cell phenotypes and heterotypic cell-cell interactions during intravasation, and provide evidence for the interplay between endothelial barrier function and tumor cell intravasation.

Results
Design of the 3D Tumor-Endothelial Intravasation Microfluidic-Based Assay. The microfluidic assay consists of two independently addressable microchannels (Fig. 1A), where tumor and endothelial cells are seeded. These two channels are interconnected via a 3D ECM hydrogel, which includes 37 regions (Fig. 1B) enabling multiple simultaneous observations. The tumor cells invade in 3D in response to externally applied growth-factor gradients [e.g., epidermal growth factor (EGF) (26, 27)] or paracrine signals by the endothelial cells or other stromal cell types [e.g., macrophages (28, 29)]. On the 3D ECM-endothelial channel interface, a continuous endothelial monolayer is formed, which enables the observation of intravasation across a hollow vascular lumen, and allows for access to the basal and apical endothelial surfaces through the microchannels. Another important advantage compared to two-dimensional and transwell assays, is the introduction of a 3D matrix, which allows for both paracrine and juxtacrine signaling between tumor and endothelial cells.

To demonstrate the formation of confluent, 3D endothelial barriers (Fig. 1C), we visualized the endothelial cell-cell junctions using a vascular endothelial-cadherin (VE-cadherin) antibody. The endothelial cells formed continuous cell-cell junctions spanning the full area of the microchannel surfaces and the 3D ECM-endothelial channel interface (Fig. 1C). These confluent endothelial monolayers formed in the presence of invading tumor cells in 3D (Fig. 1D). High-resolution imaging allowed us to monitor endothelial cell-cell cadherin junctions as the tumor cells invaded toward the endothelial monolayer (Fig. 1E) and contacted the endothelial cells (Fig. 1F).

Characterization of Endothelial Monolayer Barrier Function. Using fluorescence conjugated dextran we established a diffusion-based solute flux across the endothelial monolayer (Fig. 2A) that was used to measure the diffusive endothelial permeability ($P_D$). Detailed computational modeling (Fig. 2B) based on device geometry and measured permeability coefficients predicted the experimental concentration distribution, thus confirming the validity of our quantification framework. By monitoring the intensity profiles (Fig. 2C) in time, we could also measure the temporal response of the endothelium to biochemical factors (Fig. S1). $P_D$ was measured in the presence of tumor cells for 10 and 70 kDa dextrans, yielding values of $4.08 \pm 1.11 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s and $0.75 \pm 0.093 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/s, respectively. The ratio of 10 to 70 kDa $P_D$ values was 5.5 (Fig. 2D), indicating that the endothelial monolayer within our devices forms a size-selective barrier for transendothelial transport.

Macrophages Regulate Tumor Cell Intravasation Across the Endothelium. To model a physiologically relevant intravasation phenotype, we seeded human breast carcinoma cells in the 3D matrix and

---

**Fig. 1.** Microfluidic tumor-vascular interface model. (A) Endothelial channel (green), tumor channel (red), and 3D ECM (dark gray) between the two channels. Channels are 500 μm wide, 20 mm in length, and 120 μm in height. Black arrow shows the y-junction. (Scale bar: 2 mm.) (B) Phase contrast image showing the fibrosarcoma cells (HT1080, red) invading through the ECM (gray) toward the endothelium (MVEC, green). A single 3D ECM hydrogel matrix region is outlined with the white dashed square. (Scale bar: 300 μm.) (C) VE-cadherin and DAPI staining to show the confluency of the endothelial monolayer on the 3D ECM (outlined with white square in B). (D) Three-dimensional rendering of a confocal z-stack of a single region showing the tumor cells invading in 3D and adhering to the endothelium. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (E) HT1080 cell (white arrow) invading in 3D toward the endothelium. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (F) HT1080 cells in contact with the endothelial monolayer. In C–F all scale bars are 30 μm. Green, VE-cadherin; blue, DAPI; red, HT1080-mCherry. x-, y-, z-coordinate indication is appropriately adjusted in A, C, and D.

**Fig. 2.** Characterization of endothelial permeability. (A) Single confocal slice showing the distribution of a 10 kDa fluorescent dextran in a 3D hydrogel ECM region. Warmer colors indicate higher fluorescent intensities. (Scale bar: 50 μm.) (B) Computational simulation of biomolecular transport under the experimental conditions of A. (C) Normalized fluorescent intensity profile along the dashed line in A illustrating the sharp drop of dextran concentration across the endothelial monolayer and the steady diffusive flux inside the 3D ECM. (D) Diffusive permeability ($P_D$) of endothelial monolayer (MVEC) in the presence of tumor cells (HT1080) for 10 and 70 kDa dextrans. Average values across $n =$ 10 regions within a single device; error bars represent SEM ($P = 0.013$). Fluorescence intensity in C was normalized with respect to the value at the starting point of the dashed line in A.
investigated whether the presence of macrophages in contact with the endothelium regulates intravasation. Intravasation is indicated by the migration of tumor cells from within the 3D matrix across the basal endothelial surface and subsequent appearance on the apical endothelial surface inside the vascular lumen. Fig. 3A, demonstrates a characteristic example of an intravasation event, where the breast tumor cell has adhered to the apical surface of the endothelium, as shown by the top and side views. In the absence of macrophages, tumor cells were observed predominantly on the basal side of the endothelial monolayer (Fig. 3B). This finding was also confirmed by quantifying the tumor cell numbers in contact with the endothelium (Fig. S2A) and was further validated by live cell imaging, (Fig. 3C and Movie S1). Intravasation was a rare and inefficient event and occurred for a small fraction of the tumor cells in contact with the endothelial monolayer. In the presence of macrophages a significantly ($P = 0.048$) higher percentage of tumor cells (4.08 ± 0.87%; 13 out of 289 cells) intravasated, compared to control conditions (0.45 ± 0.28%; 2 out of 304 cells), i.e., a ninefold increase (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, we showed that macrophages enabled tumor cell intravasation for endothelial cells of different origin, and that the percentage of tumor cells that had intravasated (Fig. S2B) was similar for human microvascular endothelial (MVEC) and human umbilical vein endothelial (HUVEC) cells. To examine the effects of macrophages on the endothelial monolayer, we monitored changes in endothelial barrier function and measured a statistically significant ($P = 0.003$) 2.83-fold increase in endothelial diffusive permeability to 70 kDa dextran (Fig. 3E). Macrophages were present in both the subluminal and luminal endothelial spaces (Fig. S2C) at similar numbers (6.42 ± 1.88 vs. 5.29 ± 1.00 cells/region, $P = 0.61$).

**TNF-α Stimulation of Endothelial Monolayer Modulates Tumor Cell Intravasation, Tumor-Endothelial Cell Interactions, and Endothelial Barrier Function.** To test the hypothesis that the macrophage-induced permeability increase results in higher intravasation rates, we perturbed the endothelial barrier using biochemical factors and measured intravasation rates. We modulated endothelial permeability by stimulation of the endothelial monolayer with 2 ng/mL TNF-α in the presence of breast carcinoma cells alone, while simultaneously establishing a 20 ng/mL per mm EGF gradient to guide carcinoma cells toward the endothelial channel. We observed a significantly ($P = 0.034$) higher number (9 out of 124 cells) of intravasated tumor cells compared to control (2 out of 304 cells), suggesting that TNF-α stimulation increased endothelial permeability (Fig. S1C) and enhanced intravasation rate (Fig. S3A). Moreover, to characterize the TNF-α-induced endothelial barrier disruption in detail we measured permeability at different doses of TNF-α (0, 0.2, 2 and 20 ng/mL) and observed a graded response in endothelial permeability (Fig. S1A).

We further investigated the role of endothelial permeability in tumor-endothelial interactions during tumor cell invasion. Here, we used a highly invasive human fibrosarcoma (HT1080) cell line, in the absence of macrophages. To facilitate analysis, we developed a quantification framework that enabled the automatic detection (SI Materials and Methods) of tumor-endothelial interaction events, defined as a tumor cell in direct physical contact with the endothelial monolayer. This event can occur in the endothelial channel, or on the ECM-endothelial channel interface after migration of the tumor cell from the 3D matrix toward the endothelial barrier. Fig. 4A and B show two confocal image 3D volume renderings at $t = 0$ and $t = 10$ h of the HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells inside the 3D matrix as they invade toward the endothelial monolayer. The number of tumor cells that had migrated beyond the ECM-endothelial channel interface (Fig. 4C and D) increased with time, as the tumor cells were migrating in response to the EGF gradient toward the endothelium. Stimulation of the endothelium with 2 ng/mL TNF-α resulted in a 1.7-fold ($P = 0.006$) increase in percentage of tumor cells that interacted with the endothelium compared to the control (Fig. 4E). We confirmed that at $t = 0$ h, prior to tumor cell migration toward the endothelium, the number of tumor cells located within 250 μm from the ECM-endothelial channel interface was similar between the two conditions (Fig. S3B).

In addition to changes in the number of tumor-endothelial interaction events, the TNF-α stimulated endothelium showed a fivefold increase ($P = 0.002$) in endothelial permeability compared to the control (Fig. 4F). Real-time measurements of $P_d$ also confirmed the endothelial barrier impairment for 10 and 70 kDa dextran (Fig. S1A). The TNF-α concentration (2 ng/mL) used was determined by titration experiments on endothelial monolayers seeded on collagen hydrogels to ensure a confluent endothelial monolayer was present after 24 h of stimulation (SI Materials and Methods and Fig. S3C).

**Characterization of Macrophage Polarization and Role of Macrophage-Secreted TNF-α in Regulating Tumor Cell Intravasation and Endothelial Barrier Function.** To characterize whether macrophages were polarized in the microfluidic device, we performed immunostaining for M1 and M2 markers (SI Materials and Methods and
Represent SEM.

ECM-endothelial channel interface (outlined with a thick black line) at slices showing all tumor cells (red dots) located within 250 orientation in the device. (Scale bar: 50 μm.)

change in permeability after 10 h, for the control and TNF-α (MVEC, green) at time t = 0 h (A) and t = 10 h (B). Inset shows image orientation in the device. (Scale bar: 50 μm.) (C and D) Projected confocal slices showing all tumor cells (red dots) located within 250 μm from the 3D ECM-endothelial channel interface (outlined with a thick black line) at t = 0 h (C) and t = 10 h (D). (Scale bar: 50 μm.) (E) Percentage of tumor cells that interacted with the endothelium to the total cells in the hydrogel region (P = 0.006). Average values (n = 3 devices) for each condition. (F) Normalized change in permeability after 10 h, for the control and TNF-α conditions (P = 0.002). Average values for at least n = 10 hydrogel regions; error-bars represent SEM.

Fig. S4). Although we found that macrophages within the device could be driven toward an M1 or M2 phenotype through stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or interleukin-4 (IL4) (Fig. S4C), respectively, when in the presence of tumor cells and endothelial cells the macrophages were variable in their expression for M1 or M2-specific markers (Fig. S4 D and E). Furthermore, coculture of macrophages with tumor cells within the device did not significantly change their phenotype vs. culture of macrophages alone (Fig. S4 D and E). We also characterized macrophage-secreted factors under control conditions and M1/M2 polarization and confirmed that the macrophages secreted TNF-α (Fig. S4 A and B).

To investigate whether the effects of macrophages on invasation and endothelial permeability are regulated via paracrine signals, we performed antibody blocking experiments to neutralize soluble TNF-α and measured significant changes in permeability (Fig. 3E, 1.67-fold decrease, P = 0.04) and invasation (Fig. 3D, 2.45-fold decrease, P = 0.03) compared to control IgG antibody. We also performed permeability measurements to investigate the effects of other cell types (tumor and epithelial cells) on endothelial barrier function compared to macrophages. Interestingly, the presence of all cell types resulted in increased endothelial permeability (Fig. S2D) compared to the control condition. However, the presence of macrophages resulted in a significant increase in P_D compared to tumor cells (5.6 × 10^{-5} cm/s vs. 3.9 × 10^{-5} cm/s, P = 0.049), whereas there was no significant difference compared to the epithelial cell (5.6 × 10^{-5} cm/s vs. 4.5 × 10^{-5} cm/s, P = 0.36) condition.

Dynamics of Tumor-Endothelial Cell Interactions. Our ability to visualize the endothelium en face allows a qualitative characterization of the process of tumor cell migration at a 3D endothelial monolayer with a well-defined lumen (Fig. 1C). Such dynamic observation of tumor-endothelial cell interactions can offer valuable insights into the timescales, spatial organization, and mechanism of tumor cell invasation, complementary to detailed immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5). Fig. 5A shows a time series of images demonstrating one example of a fibrosarcoma cell migrating from the 3D matrix to the endothelial monolayer. Analysis of the time-lapse movies led to a number of interesting observations: (i) Invasive protrusions form dynamically and appear to probe the surrounding 3D environment. (ii) Tumor cells exhibit significant cell shape changes as they migrate from the 3D matrix, adhere to the endothelium, and migrate through it to the endothelial channel. (iii) Tumor cells were observed to migrate toward remodeled regions (Fig. S5) of the endothelium or next to a macrophage (Fig. S2C).

We studied the dynamic interactions of tumor-endothelial cells under control and TNF-α conditions by tracking tumor cell trajectories (Fig. 5B) and quantifying the time (Fig. 5C) required for tumor cells to migrate a specific distance (60 μm) across the ECM-endothelial channel interface. Tumor cells migrated faster from the 3D matrix into the endothelial channel in the TNF-α stimulated (1.35 ± 0.25 h) compared to the control monolayer (2.42 ± 0.38 h) (P = 0.024). To confirm that TNF-α did not modulate tumor cell invasion in the 3D matrix, we quantified tumor cell migration speeds (Fig. 5D) and found similar values (29.59 ± 2.57 μm/s vs. 29.53 ± 4.16 μm/s, P = 0.99) under the two conditions. These findings suggest that impaired endothelial barrier function facilitates faster tumor cell invasion across the cell migrating from the 3D matrix to the endothelial monolayer. (Inset) Tumor cells were observed to migrate over a 60 μm distance (μm) from the 3D matrix, adhere to the endothelium, and migrate through it to the endothelial channel. (iii) Tumor cells were observed to migrate toward remodeled regions (Fig. S5) of the endothelium or next to a macrophage (Fig. S2C).

To confirm that TNF-α did not modulate tumor cell invasion in the 3D matrix, we quantified tumor cell migration speeds (Fig. 5D) and found similar values (29.59 ± 2.57 μm/s vs. 29.53 ± 4.16 μm/s, P = 0.99) under the two conditions. These findings suggest that impaired endothelial barrier function facilitates faster tumor cell invasion across the
EC-matrix barrier and are in agreement with our observations of increased endothelial permeability and higher number of tumor cells interacting with the TNF-α stimulated endothelium.

Discussion
Despite progress in identifying critical regulators (16, 30–32) of tumor-endothelial interactions, it is not clear whether tumor cell entry into the blood stream requires an impaired endothelial barrier (30, 33). In this work, we present a unique approach using a microfluidic-based in vitro assay that enables real-time visualization and quantification of the interactions between tumor cells and an endothelial monolayer in the context of tumor cell invasion and intravasation. Because tumor blood vessels are structurally (18) and functionally (19) abnormal, we hypothesized that endothelial barrier function impairment contributes to tumor cell intravasation. We found that modulation of endothelial barrier permeability by added soluble biochemical factors, such as TNF-α, and via macrophages can facilitate intravasation, and regulate the number and dynamics of tumor-endothelial cell interactions. Our findings are consistent with in vivo observations of high tumor cell counts in the portal venous blood in metastatic actions. Our findings are consistent with in vivo observations of high tumor cell counts in the portal venous blood in metastatic tumors with higher blood vessel density (34) and with a study that demonstrated that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) overexpression by tumor cells induced endothelial barrier disruption and facilitated transendothelial migration (32).

Previous studies of cancer cell intravasation have largely taken a cancer cell-centric approach identifying signaling pathways that increase tumor cell dissemination (9–11). For example, a recent in vitro study identified changes in endothelial myosin light chain kinase upon tumor-endothelial cell contact (16), however this method did not allow for measurement of endothelial permeability or the accurate control of the microenvironmental stimuli. Compared to other in vitro models (16, 21) and microfluidic models of tumor-endothelial interactions (25, 35), our assay provides a number of unique features, such as high-resolution live cell imaging, the formation of an endothelial monolayer on a 3D ECM enabling us to model intravasation, and for precise quantification and control of critical tumor microenvironmental factors. The readily accessible apical side of the endothelium allows for the introduction of cell types in the tumor microenvironment, such as macrophages, the precise establishment of growth-factor gradients, fluid flow, and real-time spatially resolved endothelial barrier function measurements. All three cell types (tumor, endothelial, macrophages) can interact in a 3D environment with an ECM which can be remodeled by cells, enabling autocrine, paracrine, and juxtacrine cell-cell interactions mimicking the angiogenic tumor microenvironment (17) more faithfully than studies on two-dimensional substrates (36).

Endothelial barrier function quantitation in the presence of invading cells showed size-selective transendothelial transport and the $P_d$ values in our microfluidic model agree well with measurements in transwell systems (37) and in engineered blood vessels in 3D matrices (38). Although our measurements are significantly higher than in vivo values of healthy vasculature (39), they are of the same order of magnitude (Fig. 2A) as those measured in murine tumors with 70 kDa dextran (40). Contrary to the traditional transwell method that provides only a single $P_d$ value across the entire monolayer, our approach allows for (i) detailed regional investigation of endothelial permeability changes in response to tumor-stromal cell interactions and (ii) the accurate measurement of the endothelial permeability dynamics (in cm/s) for direct comparison between different studies.

The ability to image the tumor-endothelial cell dynamics in high-resolution allowed us to visualize the diverse array of tumor cell phenotypes: 3D invasion in response to growth factor gradients, direct physical contact with, and migration on an endothelial monolayer. Detailed single tumor cell tracking was performed to quantify the timescales of tumor-endothelial cell interactions in the context of intravasation. We found that these timescales and the number of tumor cells interacting with the endothelium were dependent on endothelial barrier function. Under conditions of unperturbed endothelial barrier function, carcinoma cells were observed predominantly on the basal endothelial side, whereas increased permeability facilitated intravasation and enhanced the number of tumor-endothelial interactions. Our results agree with in vivo studies in a zebrafish model (10) that found increased intravasation by tumor cells that overexpressed angiogenic growth factors, with studies in murine models (9, 12), and in clinical specimens (41) that demonstrated macrophage-assisted intravasation. Interestingly, our measured timescales of tumor cell migration across the EC-matrix interface (Fig. 5C) in the device are comparable to in vivo measurements (12). The measured increase in intravasation rate associated with the presence of macrophages (Fig. 3E) may also be facilitated by the EGF/Colony stimulating factor 1 (CSF-1) paracrine loop between tumor cells and macrophages, leading to enhanced tumor cell invasiveness (42). In the absence of macrophages the TNF-α-induced intravasation rate enhancement (Fig. S3A) may also involve proinvasive effects of TNF-α on the tumor cells (43). Moreover, blocking antibody experiments demonstrated an important role for macrophage-secreted TNF-α (Fig. 3E), increasing endothelial permeability in the presence of macrophages. Interestingly, blocking TNF-α did not reduce intravasation to baseline levels, suggesting that there may be additional macrophage-secreted factors or juxtacrine interactions that facilitate intravasation. Permeability experiments with tumor and epithelial cells in place of macrophages (Fig. S2D) showed that although all cell types resulted in increased permeability values macrophages are the most potent. The measured permeability increases for the tumor and epithelial cells may be facilitated via secretion of angiogenic factors such as VEGF (44). Our observations of enhanced tumor cell intravasation rate and tumor-endothelial interactions may also be linked to physical remodeling of the endothelial barrier. Through immunofluorescence imaging we observed that at locations of tumor-endothelial cell contact the VE-cadherin junctions appear remodeled (Fig. S5) in agreement with other studies (31). Finally, although we could polarize macrophages in an M1 or M2 state in the device through LPS or IL4 stimulation (Fig. S4C), the macrophages were variable in their expression of M1 and M2 marker during coculture conditions, forming a mixed and heterogeneous population similar to in vivo (45).

In summary, we present a microfluidic-based approach to investigate tumor cell intravasation through the integration of high-resolution live imaging with endothelial barrier function measurement in the presence of macrophages. Also, by virtue of the small amounts of reagents and cells needed, and the capability to embed clinical tissue in the 3D ECM, our microfluidic model may find applications in personalized medicine, enabling economical drug screening and discovery integrating two or more cell types. Here, we characterize the previously unexplored timescales of tumor-endothelial cell interactions during intravasation and demonstrate the interplay of endothelial permeability and tumor-endothelial signaling. The ability to model the interactions of invading cells with an endothelium in a 3D microenvironment also offers the possibility to study the interplay of endothelial barrier function and transendothelial migration in other physiological and pathological processes, such as immune cell trafficking and cancer cell extravasation.

Materials and Methods
Cell Culture, Staining, and Reagents. The human fibrosarcoma HT1080 [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)] and breast carcinoma MDAMB231 overexpressing GFP-tagged MDA/MV (Gitter Lab) cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with antibiotics and 10% FBS (Invitrogen) and grown until 70% confluence. Primary MVEC (Lonza) and macrovascular endothelial cells (HUVEC; Chan Lab, National University of Singapore, Singapore) were grown in Endothelial cell medium (EGM2-MV) (Lonza) to confluence. For
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the invasation studies, we used the murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (ATCC) cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS.

Microfluidic Device Design. The microfluidic device design is based on previous work from our lab on forming endothelial sprouts under growth-factor gradients and the fabrication protocols are described in detail elsewhere (46). Compared with previous microfluidic systems from our group, two unique characteristics of the present design are (i) the incorporation of a y-junction for precise control of concentration gradients, required for accurate measures of endothelial permeability and (ii) the high number (n = 37) of hydrogel ECM regions (Fig. 18).

Endothelial Barrier Function Characterization. We developed a quantitative framework to measure the local diffusive permeability (Pd) of the endothelial monolayer using fluorescent dextran and an analytical model based image analysis (see SI Materials and Methods for details and data analysis).

Tumor-Endothelial Cell Interactions Assay. HT1080 cells were seeded in the tumor channel and were allowed to invade for 3 d into the 3D ECM, when, a confluent endothelial monolayer was formed on the 3D ECM-endothelial channel. Prior live cell imaging was performed an EGF gradient and TNFα stimulation were applied. Images were analyzed using Imaris (Bitplane) to identify the ECM-endothelial channel interface and track tumor cell centroids (see SI Materials and Methods for detailed methods and data analysis).
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SI Text

SI Materials and Methods. Supplementary cell culture methods. The human mammary epithelial cell line MCF10A (Brugge Lab, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) was cultured as described previously (1) and grown until 70% confluence, before seeded inside the three-dimensional (3D) ECM as described in the section of macrophage permeability measurements. All tumor, macrophage, epithelial, and endothelial cells used were from fresh frozen stocks and the macrophage and tumor cells were passed less than six times, whereas the endothelial cells (EC) less than three times.

Live cell imaging and immunofluorescent staining. For live cell imaging, microvascular endothelial cells (MVEC) and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were stained with 5 μM green cell tracker 5-chloromethylfluorescein diacetate (CMFDA) (Invitrogen) and mCherry-HT1080 cells were constructed using an in-lab modified murine stem cell virus (pMSCV) (Clontech) vector including the mCherry sequence. For the endothelial barrier function measurements confocal image stacks (slice thickness 7 μm) were captured every 60 min with a Leica TCSP5 confocal microscope and a 10 × (N.A. = 0.3) objective. For the tumor-endothelial interaction assays time-lapse confocal image z-stacks of fibrosarcoma and endothelial cells were acquired simultaneously with the dextran (10 kDa dextran with a similar molecular weight to EGF) fluorescent intensity data. In the tumor-endothelial interaction, intravasation, and macrophage polarization studies, devices were fixed with 4% Paraformaldehyde and stained for DAPI (Invitrogen), Alexa488 phalloidin (Invitrogen), or PE/Cy5 anti-mouse CD11b (Clone M1/70; BioLegend) and rabbit anti-human VE-cadherin (polyclonal; Alexis Biochemical) detected with a secondary Alex568 anti-rabbit antibody (Invitrogen). DAPI (Invitrogen), Alexa647 phalloidin (Invitrogen), or Alexa647 were used to stain the nuclei, actin filaments, and other specific markers.

Quantifying endothelial monolayer barrier function: Experiments and simulations. Fluorescent dextran (10 kDa Cascade Blue and 70 kDa Texas Red [Invitrogen]) were mixed with culture medium at a concentration of 12.5 μg/mL. The quantification framework included a computational model of growth-factor transport in the device for predicting the concentration profiles within the device and confirming the method used to analyze experimental data. Imaging of the concentration profiles was performed in real-time and analysis of dextran transport was performed in MATLAB (MathWorks). The diffusive permeability coefficient, $P_D$, of the endothelial barrier was computed using

$$ P_D = \frac{\Delta C \cdot A_{MONOLAYER}}{D \cdot dC/dx \cdot A_{GEL}} $$

where $C$ is the dextran concentration (proportional to fluorescence intensity), $\Delta C$ the step drop in concentration across the monolayer, $\beta$ is an area correction factor, $dC/dx$ the slope of the concentration profile, and $D$ the dextran diffusion coefficient inside the ECM.

The equation for $P_D$ was derived by considering mass conservation inside the ECM gel region at steady state, where the total dextran flux $P_D \cdot \Delta C \cdot A_{MONOLAYER}$ across the endothelial monolayer into the gel region is equal to the dextran outflux $D \cdot dC/dx \cdot A_{GEL}$. The area correction factor mentioned in the main text is given by $\beta = A_{GEL}/A_{MONOLAYER}$ and accounts for the linear changes in cross-sectional area along the direction of dextran transport. The diffusion coefficients of the 10 kDa and 70 kDa dextran were assumed to be $9 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s and $4.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s, based on a scaling law for soluble factor diffusion in buffer solutions ($D_{BUFFER}$) (2). These values are very similar ($D_{GEL}/D_{BUFFER} \sim 0.94$) to the diffusion coefficients ($D_{GEL}$) in 2.5 mg/mL collagen gels, that have a very large pore size compared to the hydrodynamic radius of the dextrans (3).

The raw fluorescent intensity images were analyzed in MATLAB to compute the concentration gradient ($dC/dx$) and step drop in concentration ($\Delta C$) across the endothelium. To obtain a single value of $dC/dx$ and $\Delta C$ for each hydrogel region, we performed averaging across 30 pixel lines over the $y$-axis. A finite element model was developed in COMSOL (Burlington) for analyzing transport across the endothelial monolayer within the microfluidic device and validating the evaluation framework. Constant concentration boundary conditions were defined at the inlet ($C_{SOURCE}$) and sink conditions at the outlet ($C_{SINK}$) of the control channel and at the gel filling port. The numerical grid for performing the simulations consisted of approximately 70,000 finite elements.

To determine the tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) concentration for impairing endothelial barrier function during tumor cell intravasation, we seeded MVEC on collagen type I ECM and observed the monolayer morphology (Fig. S3), 24 h after stimulation with different concentrations of TNF-α (2 and 20 ng/mL). To minimize the formation of gaps, while still observing a significant change in permeability, we choose to stimulate the monolayers in the microfluidic devices with 2 ng/mL. A dose-response to TNF-α was also performed (Fig. S1A). The endothelial permeability was measured with the method described above, where different doses of TNF-α (0.2, 2, and 20 ng/mL) were applied for 48 h, after a confluent monolayer had formed (48 h after endothelial cell seeding) in the absence of any additional cell type. The reported permeability values were calculated as averages from twelve ($n = 12$) gel regions (treating each gel region as an independent experiment and including data from two devices with six gel regions analyzed per device).

Macrophage cytokine secretion, macrophage polarization, blocking antibody experiments and permeability measurements. To investigate whether the macrophage-mediated permeability changes are specific to this cell type and whether other cell types could also induce changes in endothelial permeability we performed coculture of tumor (breast carcinoma) and epithelial cells (MCF10A) with the endothelial cells and measured permeability. In these experiments, macrophages, tumor, and epithelial cells were seeded at 1 × 10$^5$ cells/mL within the 3D hydrogel, similar to the intravasation experiments and allowed interactions for 48 h after the endothelium had formed before measuring endothelial permeability (Fig. S2D). The reported permeability values were calculated as averages from twelve ($n = 12$) gel regions (treating each gel region as an independent experiment and including data from two devices with six gel regions analyzed per device).

We performed immunofluorescence staining in the devices to investigate whether macrophage interactions with tumor cells, endothelial cells and the 3D matrix induce a macrophage polar-
ization to an M1 or M2 state. M1 and M2 states were assessed by staining against IL12 and CD206, respectively (Fig. S4C). We also included positive controls of M1 or M2 phenotype, by treating devices for 48 h with 10 ng/mL LPS (Sigma) and 10 ng/mL IL4 (Peprotech), respectively. To quantify the expression of IL12 and CD206 markers (Fig. S4D) we used ImageJ and measured the mean fluorescent intensity per cell for at least 10 cells per condition using the method described in ref. 4.

Macrophage TNF-α (M1 marker) and IL10 (M1 marker) cytokine secretion measurements (Fig. S4C) were performed in 24-well plates. One hundred thousand RAW264.7 cells were plated in each well and stimulated with 24-well plates. One hundred thousand RAW264.7 cells were plated in each well and stimulated with 10 ng/mL IL4 or 10 ng/mL LPS to induce polarization to M1 or M2 phenotypes, respectively. Culture medium supernatant was collected 24 h after cell seeding and was centrifuged and stored at −80°C prior to cytokine measurement. To detect the absolute concentrations of TNF-α and IL10 we used the Bio-Plex bead-based cytokine array (Bio-Rad) and performed experiments in duplicate. To establish whether the macrophage secreted TNF-α facilitates intravasation, we performed blocking antibody experiments against soluble TNF-α (polyclonal; R&D Systems) and an IgG control antibody (R&D Systems). The blocking antibody concentration was selected to be 2 μg/mL (10 higher than the ND50, neutralization dose).

**Tumor cell intravasation assay.** We formed a 3D ECM (2.5 mg/mL collagen type I) seeded with breast carcinoma cells (0.8 × 10⁶ cells/mL) in the presence or absence of macrophages (0.4 × 10⁶ cells/mL). Twenty-four hours after the cell-ECM mixture was injected in the devices we seeded MVEC or HUVEC (density 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL), that were allowed to form an endothelial monolayer for 48 h. A 20 ng/mL per mm EGF gradient was established in all experiments, and in the devices with macrophage embedded in the ECM we also seeded macrophages (0.25 × 10⁶ cells/mL) in the endothelial channel. The cells were allowed to interact for 48 h, after which fixation, staining, and imaging were performed. Image stacks were visualized using Imaris, and we quantified the number of tumor cells in contact (distance <10 μm) with the endothelium and the cells that were located on the apical endothelial side. Intravasated tumor cell metrics represent the total number of tumor cells scored for n = 3 independent devices (approximately 100 cells/device). Live cell imaging was also performed to confirm the occurrence of intravasation in real time. To quantify macrophage localization, we counted the total number of macrophages in subluminal and luminal endothelial spaces, per hydrogel region (300 × 300 × 120 μm³).

**Tumor-endothelial cell interactions assay.** HT1080 cells were seeded in the tumor channel by flowing 60 μL of a 3 × 10⁶ cells/mL cell suspension and were allowed to invade for 3 d into the 3D ECM (2.5 mg/mL collagen type I). Subsequently, a confluent endothelial monolayer was formed on the 3D ECM-endothelial channel interface by flowing 60 μL of a 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL cell suspension through the endothelial channel and allowing 48 h for adhesion and growth. Next, we performed live cell imaging and established a 20 ng/mL per mm EGF gradient across the 3D ECM, while the endothelium was stimulated with 2 ng/mL TNF-α (when noted), by adding the EGF and TNF-α solution to the endothelial channel only. Images were analyzed using Imaris (Bitplane) to identify the ECM-endothelial channel interface and track tumor cell centroids, as described in the next section.

**Quantifying intravasation metrics.** We used the cell tracking algorithm in Imaris to capture the x, y coordinates of the endothelial monolayer interface and the tumor cell centroids. These coordinates were in turn analyzed with a custom-written MATLAB script to automatically identify the distance of each tumor cell from the monolayer. To characterize the tumor-endothelial interactions during intravasation, we computed the number of tumor cells that have migrated beyond the ECM-endothelial channel interface over 10 h. To analyze the dynamics of tumor-endothelial interactions we computed the time required for each tumor cell to migrate across a total distance of 60 μm across the ECM-endothelial channel interface. We also characterized the migration dynamics of tumor cells inside the 3D ECM hydrogel by calculating the average migration speed of each tumor cell (ratio of total distance migrated to total time observed).

**Data analysis and quantification.** All intravasation metrics were calculated by averaging the mean values of at least three microfluidic devices, with each device representing one independent experiment. This mean value for each device was calculated as an average of at least 10 hydrogel ECM regions, which was equivalent to scoring at least 50 tumor cells per device. Student’s t tests (two-sample, P < 0.05) and correlation analysis were performed in MATLAB.
Endothelial barrier function response to TNF-α stimulation. (A) Endothelial monolayers were formed in the device and stimulated for 48 h with different doses (0, 0.2, 2, and 20 ng/mL) of TNF-α, after which permeability was measured. Stimulation with 2 ng/mL and 20 ng/mL resulted in statistically significant changes compared with the control (P = 0.008 and P = 3 × 10⁻⁴), whereas stimulation with 0.2 ng/mL did not result in statistically significant changes (P = 0.16). Error bars represent SEM for 12 regions. (B) Real-time measurement of diffusive endothelial (MVEC) permeability for 10 (blue) and 70 kDa dextrans (red), after TNF-α (2 ng/mL) stimulation at t = 0 h. Measurements taken at 1 h, after steady-state concentration profiles were established. Permeability values were normalized with the initial value at t = 1 h. Error bars represent SEM for 10 hydrogel regions in a single device. (C) Quantification of endothelial permeability after 12 h of 2 ng/mL TNF-α stimulation for 10 and 70 kDa dextrans. Normalization method and error bars are the same as in C.

Fig. S2. Tumor cells in contact, effect of endothelial cell origin, and macrophage localization. (A) Number of tumor cells (TC) in contact with the endothelium (HUVEC) within each 3D ECM region for the intravasation assay. (B) Effect of endothelial cell origin (MVEC and HUVEC) on the number of TC that have intravasated. (C) Breast carcinoma cell (green) interacting with the endothelial monolayer (red, VE-cadherin), in the presence of macrophages (magenta) in the luminal (orange arrow) and subluminal (white arrow) endothelial sides. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) Blue, DAPI. (D) Macrophage (MΦ), tumor (TC), and epithelial (10A) cells were seeded in the 3D ECM and interacted with the endothelial cells (EC) for 48 h prior to permeability measurements. The presence of macrophages resulted in a 1.99-fold increase (P = 0.002), a 1.43-fold increase (P = 0.049), and a 1.25-fold increase (P = 0.36) compared with EC, TC, and 10A conditions, respectively. Error bars represent SEM.
Fig. S3. TNF-α effects on intravasation and endothelial monolayer confluency. (A) Effect of TNF-α on tumor cell intravasation across HUVEC monolayers. (B) Number of tumor cells within 250 μm from endothelial (MVEC) monolayer for the tumor-endothelial interaction assay. (C) Titration of TNF-α concentration for ensuring confluent endothelial (MVEC) monolayer (red arrows show gaps in endothelial monolayer).
Macrophage secreted cytokine measurement and characterization of M1/M2 polarization using immunostaining in the device. (A) Measurement of macrophage secreted TNF-α (M1 marker) in cell culture supernatant, 24 h after stimulation with control, IL4 (10 ng/mL), and LPS (10 ng/mL) conditions in 24-well plates. (B) Measurement of macrophage secreted IL10 (M2 marker) in cell culture supernatant, 24 h after stimulation with control, IL4 (10 ng/mL), and LPS (10 ng/mL) conditions in 24-well plates. (C) (Top) Immunostaining of IL12 (M1 marker) and DAPI in the microfluidic device for control conditions (MF only), coculture with carcinoma tumor cells (TC), and endothelial cells (EC) as performed in the invasation assays, IL4, and LPS stimulation for 48 h. (Bottom) Immunostaining of CD206 (M2 marker) and DAPI in the microfluidic device for control conditions (MF only), coculture with carcinoma tumor cells (TC), and endothelial cells (EC) as performed in the invasation assays, IL4, and LPS stimulation for 48 h. Each image corresponds to a single confocal slice. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (D) Quantification of IL12 intensity per cell in C. Bars represent average across at least n = 10 cells, and error bars represent standard deviation. (E) Quantification of CD206 intensity per cell in C. Bars represent average across at least n = 10 cells, and error bars represent standard deviation.

VE-cadherin remodeling at regions of tumor-endothelial cell contact. (A) Fibrosarcoma cells (HT1080, red) at regions of VE-cadherin (green) remodeling at an MVEC monolayer (shown by the orange arrow). Blue, DAPI; white, F-actin. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (B) VE-cadherin (red) remodeling at an MVEC monolayer was also observed for the breast carcinoma cells (green). Blue, DAPI; white, F-actin. (Scale bar: 20 μm.)
Movie S1. Real-time movie of breast carcinoma cell intravasation. Human breast carcinoma cells (green) migrating (from the Right to Left of the image) toward an endothelial (HUVEC) barrier and intravasating in the presence of RAW264.7 macrophages. Each cell type is labeled in the movie. Total movie duration: 12 h, time-step: 1 h. (Scale bar: 20 μm.)

Movie S1 (MOV)