Identification of a BAHD acetyltransferase that produces protective acyl sugars in tomato trichomes
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Glandular secreting trichomes on the surface of tomato plants and many of its relatives in the Solanaceae produce a mixture of O-acyl sugars that contribute to insect resistance. The majority of acyl sugars produced by the cultivated tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) contain three or four short chain aliphatic acyl esters, and tetra-acyl sugars have an acetyl group as one of the acyl chains. We previously reported overlapping S. lycopersicum × Solanum pennellii introgression lines (ILs) that fail to accumulate high levels of acetylated tetra-acyl sucroses. A survey of the annotated genes in this region of cultivated tomato chromosome 1 revealed three candidate acyltransferases that were tested for function using virus-induced gene silencing. A member of the BAHD family of acyltransferases (Soly01g105580, SlAT2) was shown to encode an acetyl-CoA-dependent acyltransferase enzyme capable of acyl sucrose acetylation in vitro. RNAi suppression of SlAT2 in transgenic S. lycopersicum cv. M82 resulted in reduced acyl sugar acetylation, whereas expression of the functional S. lycopersicum allele of SlAT2 in the triacyl sucrose producing IL1-3 restored the ability of the IL to synthesize acetylated tetra-acyl sugars. Transgenic plants with the SlAT2 promoter driving GFP expression showed fluorescence in tips cells of long, slender trichomes that is consistent with acyl sugar acetylation occurring in these cells.

Acyl sugars typically consist of aliphatic acyl groups of varying chain length esterified to hydroxyl groups of glucose or sucrose. Several previous studies showed that the acyl chains are primarily short- to medium-chain length branched and straight-chain aliphatic acids (9–11). For tomato acyl sucroses, the primary short acyl chains are acetate (C2), 2-methyl-propanoic acid (iso-C4; iC4), 3-methyl-butyric acid (iso-C5; iC5), and 2-methyl-butyric acid (anteiso-C5; aC5); the four- and five-carbon groups are derivatives of branched-chain amino acids (12). Longer acyl groups are produced through a process of chain elongation that has been studied in a variety of species. Evidence for two-carbon elongation was presented for Solanum pennellii LA0716 and Datura metel (13, 14). In contrast, one-carbon elongation was demonstrated for several tobacco species and Petunia (13). This pathway is also used for production of other specialized metabolites, including the well-characterized methionine-derived glucosinolate pathway (15).

Despite the wide distribution and importance of acyl sugars, our understanding of how acyl chains are attached to sugars is rudimentary. The synthesis of acyl sugars having branched-chain acyl groups involves the branched-chain keto acid dehydrogenase complex that generates activated acyl-CoA esters (16). How these acyl-CoAs are used for synthesis of acyl sugars is unclear.

Work using the wild tomato species S. pennellii suggests that a glucosyl transferase activity could form 1-O-acyl glucose using free acids and UDP-glucose (17). Activated 1-O-acyl glucose molecules were shown to be substrates for a serinecarboxypeptidase-like (SCPL) acyltransferase that catalyzes a disproportionation reaction to give free glucose and 1,2-di-O-acyl glucose (18). However, the relationship between this proposed pathway and acyl sucrose synthesis is unclear.

Aside from the SCPL gene from S. pennellii, no other genes are implicated in playing a role in assembly of the acyl sugars, and no enzymes are known that are directly involved in assembly of acyl sugars. We report identification of a tomato enzyme, ACYLTRANSFERASE2 (AT2), that is required for adding the acetyl (C2) group found in the major detectable tetra-acyl sucroses of the cultivated tomato Solanum lycopersicum cv. M82. This gene encodes an enzyme belonging to the BAHD class of acyltransferases (named based on the first four characterized enzymes of the family: BEAT, AHCT, HCBT, and DAT) (19). Enzyme assays, transient in vivo silencing, and testing in transgenic plants all provide evidence for the role of SlAT2 enzyme in acyl sucrose biosynthesis. As expected for an enzyme of acyl sucrose biosynthesis, the SlAT2 promoter drives reporter gene expression in the single tip cell of the long glandular trichomes that are proposed to produce and secrete acyl sugars. The AT2 gene is nonfunctional in the acyl glucose producing S. pennellii LA0716, consistent with the lack of acetylated acyl sugars in glands of this accession.

**Results**

**Identification of Acyltransferase Candidates Within the IL1-3/IL1-4 Overlap Region.** We are using a combination of genetics, genomics, and biochemistry to identify enzymes that produce the specialized metabolites found in tomato glandular trichomes (20). Cultivated tomato M82 trichomes produce a variety of acyl sugars, most of which are tetra-acyl sucroses containing a single acetyl (C2) group (21). Screening for altered trichome chemistry in nearly isogenic introgression lines (ILs) derived by crossing the cultivated tomato S. lycopersicum cv. M82 and the wild tomato S. pennellii LA0716 (22) revealed changes in leaf trichome chemistry, including acyl sugars (21). Of particular interest, introduction of two overlapping regions of chromosome 1 from the wild relative causes loss of acetylation typically found on tetra-acyl sugars of M82 (Fig. L4; i.e., S4:17, which is an acyl sucrose with four acyl groups of carbon chain lengths 2, 5, 5, and 5 for a total of 17
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As a result, IL1-3 and IL1-4 accumulate predominantly triacyl sucrases (Fig. 1A; i.e., S3:15, which is an acyl sucrose with three acyl groups of carbon chain lengths 5, 5, and 5 for a total of 15 carbons). Because *S. pennellii* LA0716 does not produce acetylated acyl sugars (Fig. 1A), we hypothesized that introgressions 1-3 and 1-4 cover a region of chromosome 1 harboring an acyltransferase that is functional in *S. lycopersicum* but either absent or non-functional in *S. pennellii* LA0716 (note the majority of acyl sugars are acyl glucoses, for example G3:19).

Genetic markers that define ends of the IL1-3 and IL1-4 introgressions on chromosome 1 (Fig. 1B) were used to identify the genomic sequence of the overlap region present in the assembly of the tomato genome available at the Sol genomics network (SGN, assembly ITAG2.3; http://solgenomics.net) (23). This region was predicted to contain 352 genes, including three annotated to produce functional BAHD class acyltransferases (Solyc01g105550, *SlAT1*; Solyc01g105580, *SlAT2*; and Solyc01g105590, *SlAT3*) (Fig. 1B). In contrast to the cultivated tomato, DNA sequence alignments with the *S. pennellii* LA0716 alleles of each gene indicate that both *SpAT1* (JQ899260) and *SpAT2* (JQ899261) are mutated and predicted not to encode functional proteins (Fig. S1). *SpAT1* has a 10-bp deletion compared with *SlAT1*, located starting at 665 bp of the predicted ORF, which would cause a frame shift leading to an early stop codon. *SpAT2* has a more complex change that causes a 172-bp deletion at the beginning of the *SlAT2* ORF to no longer align with *SpAT2* (yellow highlighted sequence in Fig. S1). In contrast, the *SpAT3* (JQ899262) sequence seems to encode a functional ORF.

A search of the EST data at SGN gave no evidence for expression of the three AT genes in any publicly available *S. lycopersicum* cDNA library. Similarly, *S. lycopersicum* trichome RNAseq data (24) showed no contigs with sequence matching any of the candidates. The only publicly available evidence of expression for any of the *AT* genes came from a total leaf trichome RNAseq dataset from the wild relative of tomato *Solanum habrochaites* LA1777; a small number of reads have >95% identity to *SlAT2* (25). To analyze directly whether any of the three AT genes are expressed in *S. lycopersicum* M82 trichomes, gene-specific PCR primers were used to test for expression using cDNA prepared from intact stems and petioles, isolated stem and petiole trichomes, and stem and petioles from which trichomes have been removed. *SlAT2* transcript was detected in the intact stems and petioles (Fig. S2); however, no RT-PCR product was seen when using *SlAT1* and *SlAT3* primers that could produce a product from a genomic DNA-positive control. Furthermore, the expression of *SlAT2* was specific to the isolated trichomes and was not detected in the underlying stem and petiole tissue after the trichomes were removed (Fig. S2).

**SlAT2 Is Responsible for Tetra-Acyl Sugar Acetylation in Vivo.** Differences in *AT* sequence between *S. lycopersicum* and *S. pennellii* together with mRNA expression in trichomes suggested that this gene product functions in acyl sugar acetylation. To pursue this hypothesis, virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) constructs were prepared to test for an in vivo role for *SlAT1–* *SlAT3* acyltransferases in acyl sugar production. The sequences were designed to suppress expression of each individual gene (sequences highlighted in blue, Fig. S1) or all three genes simultaneously (sequence highlighted in green, Fig. S1). Analysis of acyl sugars in plants 14 d after infiltration showed a reduction in acyl sugar acetylation only upon suppression of *SlAT2* alone or when all three *SlATs* were targeted together (*SlAT*, Fig. S3A). In contrast, no statistically significant change in acyl sugars was found when only *SlAT1* or *SlAT3* were targeted for suppression. Although the change in acyl sugar acetylation, as shown by the ratio of S3:15/S4:17, was significantly altered by VIGS, the ratio is still ∼1,000 times lower than that seen for IL1-3 or 1-4 plants. The relatively small reduction in acyl sugar acetylation is presumably due to the nature of VIGS in tomato, where silencing is variable and incomplete (Fig. S3B) (26), and the fact that VIGS of *SlAT* genes did not cause morphological changes that allowed identification of silenced regions.

![Fig. 1.](image-url) A region of *S. lycopersicum* chromosome 1 controls acyl sucrose acetylation. (A) LC-time of flight MS total ion chromatograms from trichome and leaf surface extracts of M82, IL1-3, and LA0176 plants showing the major acyl sugar peaks. Peak annotation is defined as S for acyl sucrose or G for acyl glucose, followed by the number of acyl chains and the total number of carbons in the acyl chains (for example, S4:17 = acyl sucrose with four acyl chains of length 2, 5, 5, and 5 for a total of 17 carbons). The structure shown for M82 is S4:17 (2, 5, 5, 5), which lacks an acetyl group. (B) Diagram of introgressions covering chromosome 1, with markers defining the ends of IL1-3 and IL1-4 listed, and region of overlap shaded gray. Expanded region shows the organization of the three predicted BAHD acyltransferase-encoding genes, labeled *SlAT1*, *SlAT2*, and *SlAT3*.  

The unique ability of VIGS-mediated SlAT2 suppression to shift the acyl sugar composition toward increased triacyl sugars lacking the C2 chain is consistent with an in vivo role for this BAHD acyltransferase in acetylation. To test the impact of more-complete suppression of SlAT2 on production of tetra-acyl sucroses containing the C2 chain, stably transformed AT2 RNAi lines were generated in the tetra-acyl sucrose accumulating S. lycopersicum M82 background. The same 443-bp fragment corresponding to the 5′ UTR and the first 168 bp of the ORF used for VIGS was used to create an RNAi construct in the pHELLSGATE8 vector (27). Thirty-six independent transgenic tomato lines were generated and their acyl sugar composition analyzed (Fig. 2A). Wild-type M82 plants have an average S3:15/S4:17 ratio of 0.14 ± 0.04. Nineteen of the primary RNAi transformants had at least a 10-fold higher S3:15/S4:17 ratio than the WT parent, with some lines having very low levels of acetylated acyl sugars similar to that of IL1-3.

Because of the introgression on chromosome 1, IL1-3 and IL1-4 plants harbor an apparently nonfunctional S. pennelli allele of AT2. We tested the hypothesis that this defect is responsible for reduced accumulation of C2-containing tetra-acyl sucroses in these ILs by generating transgenic IL1-3 lines expressing SlAT2 under the control of its own promoter. Of the 26 independent transgenic lines, 16 transformants had a S3:15/S4:17 ratio 100 times lower than the IL1-3 control plants (Fig. 2B). Eleven of these had a ratio >1,000 times lower than IL1-3, with acyl sugar acetylation levels nearly the same as M82. Together, the RNAi and IL1-3 complementation results indicate that SlAT2 is responsible for the majority of trichome acyl sugar acetylation in S. lycopersicum.

**AT2 Acetylates Triacyl Sucroses in Vitro.** Predicted protein sequence comparisons for the cultivated tomato SlAT genes compared with characterized BAHD proteins from other plant species showed that amino acid identities range from ~16% to 34%, with the highest identity to a *Clarkia breweri* benzylalcohol acetyltransferase (Fig. S4). Because of the low similarity to other BAHD enzymes and the wide variety of substrates used by members of this family, protein sequence cannot be used to predict what reaction these candidates catalyze (28). However, VIGS and transgenic plant results led us to hypothesize that SlAT2 enzyme has triacyl sucrose acetylation activity. Expression in *Pichia pastoris* yeast cell lines yielded soluble protein suitable for enzyme assays. Recombinant SlAT2 was engineered to include a C-terminal combined 6XHis-cMyc tag to detect expression using anti-cMyc antibodies and to purify protein by Ni-affinity chromatography (Fig. S5). This SlAT2 enzyme preparation was used to test activity with acetyl-CoA as the acyl donor and three different acceptor substrates: glucose, sucrose, and a mixture of the two major and several minor unacylated triacyl sucroses from IL1-3 trichomes. Reaction products were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). No activity was seen when using glucose or sucrose as an acceptor. In contrast, the acyl sugars isolated from IL1-3, primarily S3:15 and S3:22 triacyl sucroses (Fig. 3A), were acetylated in vitro to produce the tetra-acyc sucroses S4:17 and S4:24, respectively (Fig. 3C; Fig. S6 shows the mass spectra of peaks labeled in Fig. 3). In addition to these two major acyl sugars, other triacyl sucroses of lower abundance were also acetylated (Table S1). SlAT2 and the triacyl sucrose substrate mixture were also tested for activity using the commercially available substrates isobutyryl-CoA (iC4) and isovaleryl-CoA (iC5), because these acyl chains are commonly found on *S. lycopersicum* acyl sugars. No activity was detected with either of these longer chain length acyl-CoAs. During Ni-affinity purification of SlAT2 expressed in *Pichia*, a yeast mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase copurified with SlAT2 (Fig. S5). However, no acyl sugar acetylation was observed when using protein from untransformed *Pichia* as the enzyme source (Fig. 3B). These results demonstrate that SlAT2 is capable of in vitro acetylation of a variety of triacyl sucroses.

**SlAT2 Is Expressed in the Tip Cells of Type I/IV Trichomes.** To identify the site of acyl sugar acetylation among the different trichome types of tomato, the *SlAT2* promoter was used to drive expression of a GFP-beta-glucuronidase (GUS) fusion protein in M82 plants. Nine independent transgenic lines analyzed showed GFP fluorescence in the tip cell of the long and slender type I/IV trichomes (Fig. 4A and B). No fluorescence was seen in other parts of the type I/IV trichome or in other trichome types including type VI trichomes (Fig. 4A). GFP fluorescence was seen in all type I/IV trichomes, regardless of what type of tissue they were located on (i.e., hypocotyl, cotyledon, stem, leaf, etc.) and whether from young growth chamber-grown seedlings or older greenhouse-grown plants.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that glandular trichomes of tomato express a BAHD-type acyltransferase (*SlAT2*) that acetylates triacyl sucroses. SlAT2 was identified through phenotypic screening of ILs (21) derived from an interspecific cross between *S. lycopersicum* M82 and...
S. pennellii LA0716 (22). These two parental species have distinct differences in their acyl sugar profiles, including accumulation of acetylated acyl sucroses in the cultivated species, and nonacetylated acyl glucoses in S. pennellii. Analysis of the tomato genome sequence covered by the specific chromosome substitution regions led to the discovery of a cluster of three BAHD acyltransferases in cultivated tomato. The allelic differences between the cultivated tomato M82 and wild species accession LA0716, combined with RT-PCR analysis of transcripts in M82, led us to test the hypothesis that SlAT2 is responsible for acyl sucrose acetylation. Functional analysis of SlAT2 by a combination of VIGS, RNAi, and transgenic complementation of IL1-3 confirmed the in vivo role of this gene in acyl sucrose acetylation.

We demonstrated that the SlAT2 gene is expressed in the single tip cell of the long glandular trichomes using the endogenous promoter to drive expression of GFP in transgenic tomato plants (Fig. 4). Previous studies using S. pennellii implicated type IV trichomes (tall trichomes with a single cell at the tip) in acyl sugar production (2). The expression of SlAT2 in the tip cells of type IV trichomes is consistent with acetylated acyl sugars being produced in these glands.

Although next-generation sequencing of cDNA was done using isolated trichomes from tomato (24), no ESTs were found for SlAT2. This is in contrast to ESTs of terpene biosynthetic enzymes, which represent ~0.3% of the sequences from both total trichome and type VI gland cell preparations (20). We consider two non-mutually excluding hypotheses for the paucity of SlAT2 mRNA reads. First, the mRNAs for these enzymes may not accumulate to high levels in the tip cells. Second, the cDNA library consisted of total trichomes shaved from stems and petioles, which included the multicellular stalks along with the gland cells from these and other trichomes. The cell of the single tip cells represents a small percentage of the total cells isolated (Fig. 4), which could cause transcripts from these small apical gland cells to be underrepresented.

In S. habrochaites, where acyl sugar production is higher than in S. lycopersicum M82, ESTs of the putative ShAT2 ortholog are found in a cDNA library from mixed leaf trichomes (25). If the remaining unknown steps of acyl sugar biosynthesis also take place in the gland cells, discovery of the other biosynthetic enzymes would be facilitated by RNAseq data from isolated tip cells.

Only one other qualitative difference in acyl sucrose composition was identified in our screening of the M82 × LA0716 ILs...
transferases. These catalyze acyl-CoA–dependent transacylation reactions that transfer the acyl moiety from a CoA ester to an acceptor molecule, forming an acyl ester or an acyl amide linkage (25). Characterized members of this family catalyze diverse acyl transfer reactions using a range of acyl-CoA donors to modify a variety of metabolites, including terpenes, phenylpropanoids, polyamines, alkaloids, and aliphatic alcohols (19, 28). Assays with SlAT2 showed that this enzyme uses acetyl-CoA as acyl donor and triacyl sucrases as acceptor molecules (Fig. 3). SlAT2 did not show activity with glucose or sucrose as the acceptor, or when other commercially available acyl-CoAs (isobutyryl-CoA and isovaleryl-CoA) were tested as acyl donors. These assay results are consistent with the phenotype of IL1-3 and IL1-4, which accumulate nonacetylated triacyl sucrases. Other plants within the Solanaceae produce acetylated acyl glucoses (29); it remains to be seen whether SlAT2 or its orthologs are responsible for acetylating acyl glucose substrates.

Although SlAT2 uses acetyl-CoA as a substrate for acetylation of acyl sucrases, previous studies using S. pennelli LA0716 suggested that acetyl-CoAs might not be the precursors for the initial steps of acyl glucosyl biosynthesis (17). Rather than using acyl-CoAs as the activated acyl donor, a glucosyltransferase (GT) activity was identified that could generate 1-O-acyl glucose from a free acid (isobutyrate) and UDP-glucose in vitro (17, 30). The 1-O-acyl glucose product could then serve as the activated donor in an acyl-transfer reaction catalyzed by an SCPL-like acyltransferase. In this reaction, the acyl moiety of one molecule of 1-O-acyl glucose is transferred to another molecule of 1-O-acyl glucose, giving a di-acyl glucose product (18, 31).

Although the disproportionation activity was shown for acyl glucose production, it was not demonstrated that this mechanism is also used in the synthesis of acyl sucrases. Early labeling studies in tobacco led to the proposed pathway whereby α-keto acid intermediates of branched-chain amino acid metabolism are converted to acyl-CoAs (11). More recently, evidence for the in vivo involvement of acyl-CoAs in acyl sucrose production was reported (16): suppression of the branched-chain keto-acid dehydrogenase complex, which generates C4 and C5 branched-chain acyl-CoAcs, caused a reduction in acyl sugars in tobacco and tomato. In contrast to our demonstration of acyl sucrose acetylation, we are not aware of genetic evidence for the role of the GT and SCPL steps in acyl sugar biosynthesis.

A variety of other questions remain regarding acyl sucrose biosynthesis in secretory glandular trichomes of S. lycopersicum. Where is the acetyl-CoA substrate for SlAT2 synthesized? The absence of apparent subcellular targeting signals suggests that SlAT2 resides in the cytoplasm. Therefore, a cytosolic acetyl-CoA pool seems the most likely source of the acetyl donor. This is consistent with prior results indicating that photosynthesis is not required to generate acetyl-CoA for acyl sucrose acetylation in tobacco (32). Does SlAT2 require a triacyl sucrose substrate, or could a mono- or di-acyl sucrose molecule serve as an acceptor substrate? Answering this question will require access to mono- and di-acyl sucrases. If the GT–SCPL route is not involved in acyl sucrose biosynthesis, do other BAHD enzymes play a role? In addition to SlAT2, two other acyltransferase genes were found on chromosome 1 in the introgression region. These are predicted to encode proteins with 68–74% identity to SlAT2.

Similar to SlAT2, there is no evidence of SlAT1 or SlAT3 expression in any cDNA libraries from tomato trichome or any other tissue. However, unlike SlAT2, expression of SlAT1 and SlAT3 was not detected by RT-PCR in the tissues examined. It remains to be seen whether SlAT1 or SlAT3 play any role in acyl sugar biosynthesis.

Recently, C5 acyl chains released from N. attenuata acyl sucrases after insect feeding and metabolism were shown to act as attractants of herbivore predators (3). The wide variety of sugar backbones, chain length, and structural isomer combinations suggests the possibility of other functions for the array of naturally occurring acyl sugars. In addition to elucidation of the pathway, identification of stress-specific acyl sucrose biosynthetic genes will allow transgenic manipulation of specific acyl sugars to further test their roles in stress adaptation and potentially to engineer resistance.

Materials and Methods
Candidate Gene Identification. A map of the S. lycopersicum × S. pennelli Ils (http://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/sulpennelli-Ills.pdf) was used to identify genetic markers defining ends of the introgressions on chromosome 1. The sequence of markers TG37 and TG17 was used in a BLAST search of the tomato genome, and the sequence between represents the region covered by the overlap of Ils 1-3 and 1-4. Sequence of the S. pennelli alleles was kindly provided by Alisdair Fernie and Bjorn Usadel (Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Potsdam-Golm, Germany). DNA sequences were aligned using MultAlin (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/).

Virus-Induced Gene Silencing. VIGS was performed using the tobacco rattle virus gene (33). Sequences targeting specific Chr. 1 acyltransferases (Fig. 51) were amplified using the primers listed in SI Materials and Methods, followed by cloning into pTRV2-LIC and transformation into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101. Agrobacterium growth, inoculation, and plant growth conditions for VIGS are described in ref. 34. Acyl sugars were analyzed 14 d after inoculation of plants, as previously described (21).

Tomato Transformation. Transformation into S. lycopersicum cv. M82 was performed using A. tumefaciens strain AGLO according to published methods (35). The same SlAT2-specific sequence used for VIGS was also used to create a construct for RNAi suppression of SlAT2 expression in M82 plants. Using primers AT2F1-at and AT2R1-at (sequence in SI Materials and Methods), a 443-bp fragment of SlAT2 was amplified by PCR. This product was then used as template for another PCR using the attB1 and attd2 adapter primers followed by recombination into pDONR221 using BP Clonase II (Invitrogen). The RNAi transformation construct was made by recombination with LR Clonase II of AT2-PDONR221 and pHELLSGATE8 (27). To express a functional AT2 under the control of its native promoter, the full-length SlAT2 ORF with 1,494 bp upstream of the translation start site was amplified from an S. lycopersicum BAC clone (LeHiB2040G10; http://solgenomics.net) using primers pAT2-F1 and pAT2-R1. The resulting construct was cloned into pGWCTOPO (Invitrogen), then moved into the plant transformation vector pK7WG (36) and transformed into IL1-3. Acyl sugars were analyzed in primary transfectants after transfer to soil, as described previously (21). For in planta reporter gene analysis of SlAT2 expression, a 1,486-bp fragment (JQ975012) was amplified using the primers pAT2-F1 and pAT2-R2 and cloned into pCRBI/GWTOP (Invitrogen), followed by recombination into pKGW57 (36). The resulting construct expressed a GFP-GUS fusion protein under the control of the SlAT2 promoter in stably transformed M82 plants. Plant growth conditions were as previously described (21).

Fluorescence Microscopy. GFP expression driven by the SlAT2 promoter was visualized using an Olympus Fluoview 1000 laser scanning confocal microscope. Fluorescence from GFP was detected by excitation at 488 nm and a 505- to 525-nm emission filter. Chlorophyll autofluorescence was detected using an excitation wavelength of 543 nm and 650 nm long-pass emission filter.

Protein Expression and Enzyme Assay. Initial attempts to express SlAT2 in Escherichia coli resulted in insoluble protein; however, expression in the methlyotrophic yeast P. pastoris gave soluble protein suitable for enzyme activity assays. The full-length SlAT2 ORF was amplified using the forward primer 5′-GATCCTACATGATGTTATATTGAAAT and the reverse primer 5′-GGCGCGCGCG-TCCAAAGGAATGGAATGCTA that introduced KpnI and NotI restriction sites, 5′-GGTACCATGAATTGTTATATTGAAAT and the reverse primer 5′-GGCGCGCGCG-TCCAAAGGAATGGAATGCTA that introduced KpnI and NotI restriction sites, 5′-GGTACCATGAATTGTTATATTGAAAT and the reverse primer 5′-GGCGCGCGCG-TCCAAAGGAATGGAATGCTA that introduced KpnI and NotI restriction sites.
mation of P. pastoris strain X-33 by electroporation and induction of protein expression was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fifty-milliliter cultures of induced Pichia cells were washed once with ice-cold breaking buffer [50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.3), 150 mM NaCl, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, and 5 mM [β-mercaptoethanol] and lysed in 5 mL breaking buffer by vortexing with 0.5-mm glass beads (seven times, 30 s each with 30 s on ice between each vortexing). Recombinant myc-His-tagged SIAT2 was purified by Ni-affinity chromatography. Imidazole used to elute protein from the Ni-resin was removed by combining fractions containing SIAT2 and diluting 10-fold with breaking buffer, followed by concentrating protein using a centrifugal filter with 10,000 Da cutoff (Amicon). Protein measurement was performed using the bicinchoninic assay (BCA) assay (Pierce) with BSA as quantification standard.

SIAT2 enzyme activity assays were performed by incubating 1 µg of the partially purified recombinant protein in 30 µL of 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.5) buffer containing 5 mM [β-mercaptoethanol with 100 µM acetyl-CoA and a mixture of acyl sugar acceptor substrates collected from IL1-3 or IL1-4 plants. After incubating for 30 min at 30 °C, reactions were terminated by adding 60 µL of hot (60 °C) methanol/isoamyl alcohol/formic acid (1:1:0.001). Ten microliters of the reaction mixture were analyzed by LC-MS as previously described (21). Acyl sugar acceptor substrate was collected from IL1-3 plants, as described in SI Materials and Methods.
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PCR Primer List. Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) primers containing ligation independent cloning (LIC) cloning adapters:

**AT1-F:** 5\'CGACGACAAGACCCTCATTTTGCTACCCCGGTG-3\'
**AT1-R:** 5\'GAGGAGAAGGCTTCTATTTCCCTTCCTTGTGTGTTATGTC-3\'
**AT2-F:** 5\'CGACGACAAGACCCTGCAAGAAAATGCA-ACAGGTA-3\'
**AT2-R:** 5\'GAGGAGAAGCCCTATTTGCGATATGCTATGTG-AAGTGCCTTTCC-3\'
**AT3-F:** 5\'CGACGACAAGACCCTGCTGTTACCGATATGCTATGTG ACTGCTATG-3\'
**AT3-R:** 5\'GAGGAGAAGCCCTATTTGCGATATGCTATGTG-AAGTGCCTTTCC-3\'
**AT*-F:** 5\'CGACGACAAGACCCTGTTATTATAGTATCGTGTTCATCAACCAAATCT-3\'
**AT*-R:** 5\'GAGGAGAAGCCCTGTTATTATAGTATCGTGTTCATCAACCAAATCT-3\'

Primers for creating tomato transformation constructs:

**AT2F1-attB:** 5\'-AAAAAGCAGGCTGCTACCCCAAGAAAAATGCA-ACAGGTA-3\'
**AT2R1-attB:** 5\'-AGAAAGCTGCTGCTAGGATTGACATATGATG-AAGTGCCTTTCC-3\'

Acyl Sugar Substrate Preparation. Acyl sugars were collected from IL1-3 plants by washing leaflets (1.5 g dry weight) in 30 mL of extraction solvent [acetonitrile/isopropanol/water 3:3:2 (vol/vol/vol) containing 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid]. To partially purify acyl sugars, the extract was diluted (1:4) with water, then 20 mL were loaded onto two strong cation exchange solid phase extraction columns (Supelco, 500 mg bed weight) preequilibrated with 10% (vol/vol) acetonitrile. Acyl sugars were collected by eluting from each column with 3 mL 100% acetonitrile. After evaporation to dryness under vacuum, the resulting residue was dissolved in 600 μL 50% (vol/vol) ethanol and used directly in the SIAT2 enzyme assays.
Fig. S1. Sequence alignment of *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Solanum pennellii* chromosome 1 acetolactate synthase alleles. The region of SpAT1 containing a 10-bp deletion is highlighted in blue. The 5’ region of SpAT2 lacking similarity to SIAT2 is highlighted in yellow. The regions used for creating the VIGS constructs to suppress a single AT gene are highlighted in light blue, and the region used to suppress all three AT genes at once is highlighted in gray. Nucleotides where 80% of the sequences match the consensus are red, and those where 50% match the consensus are blue. Start and stop codons are underlined. There are no introns in these genes.
Fig. S2. RT-PCR analysis of SlAT2 expression. RNA from stem and petiole tissue (+), trichomes isolated from stems and petioles (T), or stem and petiole tissue after removal of trichomes (−) was used for RT-PCR analysis of SlAT2 expression. Expression was detected in the intact stem and petiole sample, as well as the isolated trichome sample. No SlAT2 expression was detected in stem and petiole tissue after removal of the trichomes, indicating that expression was primarily in the trichomes.

Fig. S3. (A) VIGS of SlAT2 results in an increase in the ratio of unacylated S3:15 to acetylated S4:17. Sequences for VIGS were chosen either to target a specific SlAT mRNA (SlAT1, -2, and -3) or to silence all three genes (SlAT*). Data are shown as box and whisker plots of the ratios of triacyl sucrose (S3:15) to tetra-acyl sucrose (S4:17), with whiskers showing the minimum and maximum. Only the SlAT2 and SlAT* constructs showed a significant change in the ratio of S3:15/S4:17 compared with M82 (P < 0.05, Mann-Whitney rank sum test). Similar results were obtained for the ratio of the other pair of major acyl sucroses, S3:22 and S3:24. Sample number: M82 n = 20; SlAT1, SlAT2, and SlAT3 n = 10; SlAT* n = 17. (B) Suppression of phytoene desaturase (PDS) by VIGS in M82 plants illustrating heterogeneous reduction in gene expression.
Fig. S4. Phylogeny of a subset of characterized BAHD proteins and SIAT1, -2, and -3. Protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle). An unrooted neighbor-joining phylogeny was inferred using Phylip (http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html). The numbers near the branch points are bootstrap values based on 1,000 replications. GenBank accession numbers: Zea mays Glossy2, CA61258; Arabidopsis thaliana CER2, AAM64817; Petunia x hybrida PhCFAT, ABG75942; Hordeum vulgare, HvACT, AAO73071; Arabidopsis thaliana, AtHHT1, AED94629; Solanum tuberosum SfFHT, FJ825138; Musa sp. BanAAT, CAC09063; Taxus Canadensis DBNTBT, AAM75818; Taxus cuspidata BAPT, AAL92459; Taxus cuspidata DBBT, Q9FPW3; Taxus cuspidata DBAT, AAF27621; Taxus cuspidata TAT, AAF34254; Arabidopsis thaliana CHAT, AAN09797; Lupinus albus HMT/HLT, BAD82975; Malus x domestica MaAAT1, AAU14879; Vitis labrusca AMAT, AAW22989; Clarkia breweri CBBET, AAN09796; Cucumis melo. CmAAT3, AAW51125; Petunia x hybrida BPBT, AAU06226; Nicotiana tabacum NtBEF, AAO7979; Dianthus caryophyllus HCBT, CA06430; Nicotiana tabacum NHCT, CAE46932; Avena sativa AsHHT1, BAC78633; Nicotiana tabacum NHCT, CAD47830; Arabidopsis thaliana AtHCT, NP_199704; Rauwolfia serpentina RSVinorine, CAD89104; Cucumis melo CmAAT4, AAWS1126; Rosa hybrid cultivar RhaAT1, AAW31948; Fragaria x ananassa SAAAT, AAG13130; Fragaria vesca VAAT, CAC09062; Papaver somniferum SaIAT, AAK36661; Capsicum annuum CaPun1, AAV6311; Catharanthus roseus MAT, AAO13736; Catharanthus roseus DAT, AAC9311; Salvia splendens Ss5MaT2, AALS566; Clarkia breweri CBBET, AAC18062; Solanum lycopersicum, SIAT1, IQ899257; Solanum lycopersicum, SIAT2, IQ899258; Solanum lycopersicum, SIAT3, IQ899259; Arabidopsis thaliana AtDcr, NP_197782; Gentiana triflora GtsAT, BAA74428; Nicotiana tabacum MtMAT1, BADD93691; Glandularia x hybrida Vh3MAT1, AAS77402; Lamium purpureum Lp3MAT1, AAS77404; Perilla frutescens PfSMaT, AALS565; Perilla frutescens PfMAT, BAA893475; Dahlia pinnata Dv3MAT, AAO12206; Pericallis cruenta Sc3MaT, AAO38058; Chrysanthemum x morifolium Dm3MAT1, AAO63615; Chrysanthemum x morifolium Dm3MAT2, AAO63616.
Fig. S5. Purification of recombinant SlAT2 fusion protein from *Pichia pastoris* yeast cells. Total soluble protein isolated from untransformed *Pichia* X33 cells or cells expressing SlAT2 were used for Ni-affinity chromatography. Shown are Coomassie blue-stained SDS/PAGE gels for X33 control (A) and SlAT2-expressing cells (B). The predicted molecular mass of recombinant SlAT2 is 56 kDa. The other protein binding to the Ni-resin at ~40 kDa was identified by mass spectrometry to be a *Pichia* mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase (mADH; GenBank accession CAY69102). (C) Results from an immunoblot of a gel identical to B that was probed with anti-cMyc-HRP antibody. Lanes are as follows: 1, crude extract; 2, protein not bound to Ni-resin; 3, 20 mM imidazole wash; 4–8, 200-mM imidazole elution fractions. Sizes of protein markers (kDa) are shown on left.
Fig. S6. Negative ion mass spectra of peaks labeled in Fig. 3. Mass spectra of acyl sucroses at low collision energy (10 V; A, C, E, and G) show primarily the formate adduct ion. Increasing the collision energy to 40 V (B, D, F, and H) results in fragmentation of the acyl sucroses due to loss of acyl chains. For example, the mass spectrum of triacyl sugar substrate S3:15 (5,5,5) at low energy (10 V) shows a formic acid adduct ion at m/z 639.29 (A). Fragmentation of S3:15 (5,5,5) due to increased energy (40 V) shows appearance of the pseudomolecular ion (M-H)− at m/z 593.28, as well as fragment ions from successive neutral loss of the acyl chains as ketenes of mass 84 Da (B). Acetylated acyl sugars show a characteristic [M-H-C2]− ion that is 42 Da less than the pseudomolecular ion [M-H]− (for example, m/z 593.29 in D, and m/z 691.41 in H).
Table S1. Peak areas from extracted ion chromatograms of the formate adducts of acyl sugars from IL1-3 incubated with an untransformed X33 control or with SIAT2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acyl sugar</th>
<th>Nominal m/z of [M+formate]</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>X33 control peak area</th>
<th>AT2 peak area ion counts/minute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S3:15 (5,5,5)</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2,177.321</td>
<td>1,300.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:17 (2,5,5,5)</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>10.348</td>
<td>1,164.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3:16 (5,5,6)</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>87.728</td>
<td>26.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:18 (2,5,5,6)</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>34.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3:20 (5,5,10)</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>245.849</td>
<td>54.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:22 (2,5,5,10)</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>139.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:23 (2,5,5,11)</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>275.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3:22 (5,5,11)</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>2,071.95</td>
<td>1,180.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:24 (2,5,5,12)</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>30.595</td>
<td>1,662.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3:23 (5,6,12)</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>240.331</td>
<td>48.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4:25 (2,5,6,12)</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>187.259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acyl sugars were identified according to their m/z and retention time, as well as from mass spectra generated using higher collision energy (1). All triacyl sucroses identified were acetylated regardless of the length of the attached acyl chains, as shown by the increase in peak areas for the corresponding tetra-acyl sucroses containing an acetyl group. ND, not detected.