Flagellar stator homologs function as motors for myxobacterial gliding motility by moving in helical trajectories
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Many bacterial species use gliding motility in natural habitats because external flagella function poorly on hard surfaces. However, the mechanism(s) of gliding remain elusive because surface motility structures are not apparent. Here, we characterized the dynamics of the *Myxococcus xanthus* gliding motor protein AglR, a homolog of the *Escherichia coli* flagella stator protein MotA. We observed that AglR decorated a helical structure, and the AglR helices rotated when cells were suspended in liquid or when cells moved on agar surfaces. With photoactivatable localization microscopy, we found that single molecules of AglR, unlike MotA/MotB, can move laterally within the membrane in helical trajectories. AglR slowed down transiently at gliding surfaces, accumulating in clusters. Our work shows that the untethered gliding motors of *M. xanthus*, by moving within the membrane, can transform helical motion into linear driving forces that push against the surface.

**Results and Discussion**

AglR Decorates a Rotating Helical Structure. To further investigate the mechanism of gliding, we monitored the movement of motor complexes as cells glide on 1.5% (wt/vol) agar surfaces. The motors of *M. xanthus* consist of protein complexes formed by AglR, a homolog of *E. coli* MotA, and two MotB homologs AglQ and AglS (6, 7). Direct evidence for the role of this complex in gliding was provided by a mutation in the predicted proton-binding site of AglQ that blocked gliding (7). Therefore, in this study, we investigated the structure and dynamics of AglR using superresolution microscopy. AglR was labeled with photoactivatable mCherry (pamCherry) fused to its C terminus (11). This strain maintained WT gliding motility (Fig. S2). Using structured illumination microscopy (SIM), we observed that AglR decorated a double helical structure in fixed cells (Fig. 2; Movies S1 and S2). The pitch of AglR-decorated helices was 1.34 ± 0.51 μm (mean ± SD, n = 10), similar to the pattern of AgmU, a putative motor-associated protein (5, 6). Additionally, the helices rotated with a similar velocity to that observed for AgmU when live cells were suspended in 1% (wt/vol) methylcellulose (Movies S3 and S4).

**Significance**

Gliding is a form of enigmatic bacterial surface motility that does not use visible external structures such as flagella or pili. This study characterizes the single-molecule dynamics of the *Myxococcus xanthus* gliding motor protein AglR, a homolog of the *Escherichia coli* flagella stator protein MotA. However, the *Myxococcus* motors, unlike flagella stators, lack peptidoglycan-binding domains. With photoactivatable localization microscopy (PALM), we found that these motor proteins move actively within the cell membrane and generate torque by accumulating in clusters that exert force on the gliding surface. Our model unifies gliding and swimming with conserved power-generating modules.
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molecules move in helical trajectories in three dimensions (Fig. S3). From these results we conclude that the movements of AglR at the single molecule level to better elucidate the dynamics of the gliding motors.

Single Molecules of AglR Move in Helical Trajectories. To follow the motility of individual complexes in live cells, we photoactivated a small fraction of AglR-pamCherry molecules and imaged them at 200-ms intervals. The photoactivated AglR-pamCherry molecules appeared isolated from each other. The intensity of each fluorescent spot was very similar, and each spot bleached out instantly in a one-step manner (Fig. S3). From these results we conclude that the fluorescence spots we tracked are single molecules of AglR rather than clusters of multiple AglR molecules. AglR moved along the cell widths and the cell lengths, projecting zigzag trajectories in two dimensions. Considering the fact that AglR moves within the restriction of cylindrical cell membranes, the only reasonable explanation of the observed zigzag tracks in two dimensions is that AglR molecules move in helical trajectories in three dimensions (Fig. S4; Movies S6 and S7). On average, AglR traveled 655 ± 283 nm (mean ± SD, n = 10) along the cell length when moving across the cell width, equivalent to half of the helical pitch of the AglR helix. The movement of AglR is a specific motility-related behavior, because another pamCherry-labeled MotA homolog (MXAN_6483) that has no function in gliding did not show any motion (Movie S8).

To track AglR movements near surfaces at 100-ms intervals, we imaged the bottom half of the cells under near total internal reflection (TIR) illumination (13, 14). Fig. 3B and Movies S9 and S10 show AglR molecules moving across cell widths, consistent with the rotational movements of the AglR-decorated helices shown in Movies S3 and S4. Because we frequently observed AglR molecules moving in opposite directions within the same cell, AglR movements were unlikely to be the result of cellular rotation (Fig. 3C; Movie S11). In fact, cellular rotation is only rarely observed during gliding (15). The observed displacements along the cell length were not due to gliding either, because cells moved less than 50 nm during the same time period (~500 ms). Collectively, these results show that AglR molecules move in helical trajectories.

We next deduced the 3D motion of AglR from our 2D images. The maximum 2D velocity (V2D) of AglR molecules was detected near the center of the projected cell surface (Fig. 4A), which represents their de facto maximum velocity in three dimensions (V3D). In contrast, slower V2D was usually detected near the cell borders due to the geometrical projection of V3D (Fig. 4A). The maximum linear velocity we detected (V2D,max) was 2,500–3,000 nm/s. Considering the dimensions of the AglR helices, we estimate that the revolving period of AglR molecules is ~700–1,000 ms. Indeed, we observed that some AglR molecules traversed the cell width (180°) in 500 ms (Fig. 3A and B). However, the collective revolving period of individual AglR molecules is much longer (about 5–10 s), suggesting that individual molecules may slow down during rotation.

If AglR moves in helical trajectories, in 2D images, the angle between AglR trajectories and the long axis of cells (θ) is predicted to increase from 0° at the cell boundaries to reach their peak numbers near the cell center (Fig. 4A). We generated a trajectory for each AglR molecule in every 100-ms interval (397 trajectories from five cells). The θ histogram matches well with

--

**Fig. 1.** Simplified helical rotor model of gliding motility. (A) The motors push against the looped helical track (gray band). At the sites where cells contact the gliding surface, motor complexes exert force against the cell envelope. As a result, the motor complexes slow down and aggregate into protein clusters, which appear as “focal adhesion” sites in previous reports (5, 17). (B) Zoom-in view of the motor complexes. The high drag on the red cargo protein results from its bulky geometry, which deforms the cell envelope locally. The bump formed at the surface induces a high drag force on the motor. This figure is adapted from ref. 6.

**Fig. 2.** AglR-pamCherry decorates helical macrostructures. SIM images of two typical fixed aglR-pamCherry cells are shown. For each cell, the surface sections are displayed, in which void areas are encircled by helical fluorescence. The distance between adjacent nodes of the helices (half of helical pitch) was 0.67 ± 0.25 μm. Traces of the helical macrostructures are shown on a magnified section of each cell. (Scale bar, 1 μm.) The Z-stack SIM images are shown in Movies S1 and S2.
the predicted distribution in an asymmetric pattern (Fig. 4B), consistent with helical trajectories. This unique behavior of AglR is different from the circumferential revolution of the MreB fragments in Bacillus subtilis and E. coli, where $\theta$ peaks at 90° (13, 14, 16).

**AglR Molecules Slow Down at the Sites Where Cells Contact Gliding Surfaces.** We also plotted $V_{2D}$ of individual AglR trajectories against its corresponding $\theta$ value (Fig. 4C). $V_{2D\text{-max}}$ was always detected near the centers of the projected cell surfaces ($\theta$ is 45–50°), whereas lower $V_{2D}$ was detected near the cell boundaries ($\theta$ is 0–20°), consistent with the helical model. Interestingly, 40% of the AglR molecules slowed down near the center of the projected cell surfaces, where the ventral sides of cells were in contact with the substratum during gliding (Fig. 4C and D; Movie S12). This observation is consistent with the helical rotor model in which the motor units slow down due to the resistance of the underlying surface. The data explain why the maximum rotation

---

**Fig. 3.** The motility of single AglR molecules. (A) 2D trajectory of a single AglR-pamCherry was recorded at 200-ms intervals. The cell boundaries were marked with blue lines. The overlay shows the AglR position in consecutive frames. (B) Bottom half of the cells were excited by TIR, and AglR motility was recorded at 100-ms intervals. (C) Two individual AglR molecules rotate in opposite directions, suggesting that AglR rotation is not a result of cell body rotation. (Scale bars, 1 $\mu$m.) Only sections of cells are shown in this figure. The images of whole cells are shown in Movies S6, S7, S9, S10, and S11.

---

**Fig. 4.** AglR moves in helical trajectories. (A) A mathematical model in which the 3D movement of AglR molecules is projected into 2D images. $V_{2D\text{-max}}$ and $V_{2D\text{-min}}$ are expected near the cell center (green circle) and the cell boundaries (red circle), respectively. (B) Distribution of the angles ($\theta$) between AglR trajectories in each 100-ms period and the long axis of cells. Red dotted line shows the predicted asymmetric angle distribution. The angle distribution was derived from 397 AglR trajectories in five cells. (C) $V_{2D\text{-max}}$ is detected near the cell center, where $\theta$ is 45–50° (green arrow). $V_{2D\text{-min}}$ appears near the cell boundaries where $\theta$ is low (red arrow). However, low $V_{2D}$ is also detected near the cell center (yellow arrow), indicating that AglR molecules slow down at surface contact sites. (D) Single AglR molecules slow down at the center of the projected cell surface. (Scale bar, 1 $\mu$m.) Only a section of cell is shown in this figure. Images of the whole cell are shown in Movie S12.
speed of individual molecules (60–85 rpm) is much higher than that of the AglR- and AgmU-decorated helices (6–12 rpm). We anticipate that the sites where AglR motors slowed down were also the sites where motors exerted force on the cell envelope (7). Due to the periodic helical conformation of the trajectories, many motor complexes likely slow down and cluster into a limited number of sites/cell. These sites appear as evenly spaced protein clusters (previously described as “focal adhesion” sites), observed with the gliding proteins AgmU, AglZ, and AglQ (5, 6, 17, 18).

Previously, we observed that the hardness of the gliding surface impacted cluster formation of AgmU (5). The V_{2D-max} of AglR was similar on both 1.5% and 5% (wt/vol) agar surfaces. However, on 5% (wt/vol) agar, most of the AglR molecules remained sequestered in clusters (Fig. 5A). When surface hardness was increased from 0.8 to 5% (wt/vol) agar, both the number of molecules that moved faster than 800 nm/s and their average velocity (V_{2D-avg}) decreased dramatically (Fig. 5 B and C). The data suggest that the gliding machineries slow down more frequently as they encounter more resistance from harder surfaces. We then embedded cells in 1.5% (wt/vol) agar to allow the entire cell surface to contact the agar matrix. Under this condition, less than 5% of the AglR in 1.5% (wt/vol) agar to allow the entire cell surface to contact more resistance from harder surfaces. We then embedded cells in 1.5% (wt/vol) agar to allow the entire cell surface to contact the agar matrix. Under this condition, less than 5% of the AglR molecules moved faster than 800 nm/s and their average velocity (V_{2D-avg}) decreased to ~1,000 nm/s, indicating that most of the motors were retarded by frictional forces encountered at the cell surface (Fig. 5 B and C; Movie S13).

**Rotation of Motors Depends on PMF and MreB.** Previously, we showed that blocking PMF by cyanide-m-chlorophenylhydrazide (CCCP) or disrupting the MreB cytoskeletal filaments by A22 rapidly blocks gliding motility and the rotation of the AgmU helices (6, 7). Like AgmU, AglR stopped moving immediately when cells were treated with CCCP or A22 (Fig. 6).

**Other Gliding Proteins Are Required for the Movements of Motor Complexes in Helical Trajectories.** Besides the genes encoding the motor complex (aglR, aglQ, and aglS), ~40 other genes are also required for gliding motility (19). For example, the AglRQS complex was shown to directly interact with another complex of gliding proteins including AgmU and AglZ (12). The ΔagmU and ΔaglZ mutants were unable to move by gliding, despite the existence of functional AglQRS motor complexes (5). To investigate these defects, we expressed AglR-pamCherry at low levels in the ΔagmU and ΔaglZ strains. Using photoactivation, we observed that AglR showed aberrant movements in the ΔagmU strain: 80% of AglR molecules remained stationary; those molecules that were still motile followed linear trajectories with frequent pauses and reversals but displayed the same V_{2D-max} as WT (Fig. 7 A and B; Movie S14). V_{2D} of motile AglR molecules showed no correlation with 0; thus, the helical motion of AglR was replaced by linear motion along the cell axes (Fig. 7C). In contrast, in the ΔaglZ strain, AglR moved faster (V_{2D-max} > 3,500 nm/s) but lost its directionality (Fig. 7 D–F; Movie S15). The data are consistent with the regulatory function of AglZ in gliding motility (20). We propose that AgmU, AglZ and other uncharacterized components in the gliding machinery may guide the motor complexes into helical trajectories and regulate their slowdown behaviors.

In this study, we provide evidence that the flagella stator complex, AglRQS, together with many motor associate proteins, moves actively within the cell membrane following helical trajectories, generating torque by accumulating in clusters that exert force on the gliding surface. In *E. coli*, MotA and MotB form proton channels and generate torque by the association and dissociation of protons on a critical Asp residue of MotB. The conformational changes of MotB were shown to affect the cytoplasmic domains of...
MotA, which drive the rotation of the flagella rotor (21, 22). In *M. xanthus*, complexes of AglR, AglQ and AglS serve as motors in gliding motility. The torque generated by the proton channels could enable the motor complexes to move along cytoplasmic filaments. At the same time, the motor complexes could carry protein cargos such as AgmU and AglZ, and exert force against cell envelope through these protein cargos. The bidirectional motion of motors explains why the gliding proteins move toward both cell poles (6).

Our observations are consistent with the helical rotor model (6) (Fig. 1) and redefine the previously reported focal adhesion sites as the “traffic jam” macrocomplexes formed by the slowed-down motors and their associated proteins. We propose that motor complexes exert forces at these sites by pushing against the cell envelope without breaching through the peptidoglycan layer. Although some of the motility proteins in myxobacteria are absent in other species, similar propelling mechanisms may exist in other gliding and swimming bacteria such as *Flavobacterium johnsoniae* and *Synechococcus*. In both species, rotational motion is required for linear movement (23, 24). The reinvention of novel motility systems from the reconfiguration of conserved flagella motor motifs may enable bacteria to better adapt to difficult habitats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strain Construction, Growth, and Phenotype Analysis.** To avoid the possible interference of the motility that is powered by type IV pili, we constructed *M. xanthus* strains that lack type IV pili and therefore can only move by gliding. Strain construction and growth were performed as described in ref. 5. Phenotype analyses shown in Fig. S2 were performed as described (5). Cells were photographed with a WTI charge-coupled device (CCD)-72 camera, on a Nikon Labophot-2 microscope, with 10× objective.

**Cell Embedding.** To embed cells in agar, 1.5% (wt/vol) agar was melted with boiling and cooled down to ∼40 °C. *M. xanthus* cells expressing AglR-pamCherry
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**Fig. 6.** AglR movement depends on proton motive force and the MreB filaments, as evidenced by 10 µM CCCP and 100 µg/mL A22 treatments. For each condition, four AglR molecules were tracked continuously at 100-ms intervals in a 1.5-s time period (60 trajectories).

**Fig. 7.** Helical motility of AglR is disrupted in the ΔagmU and ΔaglZ backgrounds. (A) AglR moves in linear trajectories in the ΔagmU strain, with frequent pauses and reversals. (B) Angle distribution of AglR motility deviates significantly from that of WT (dotted lines) at θ < 30°. (C) Projected V_2D of motile molecules is slow and shows no correlation with θ. (D) AglR molecules move actively along irregular trajectories in the ΔaglZ strain. (E) Angle distribution of AglR motility (n = 203) deviates significantly from that of WT (dotted lines) in the ΔaglZ strain. (F) V_2D-max of AglR in the ΔaglZ strain is higher than in the WT. AglR slowed down at random positions, evidenced by the irrelevancy between V_2D and θ.

(Scale bars, 1 μm.) Only sections of cells are shown in this figure. The images of cells with at least one cell pole in sight are shown in Movies S14 and S15.
as the sole source of AglR were grown in casitone yeast extract (CYE) medium, which contains 10 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), pH 7.6, 1% (wt/vol) Bacto casitone (BD Biosciences), 0.5% (wt/vol) Bacto yeast extract, and 4 mM MgSO4 (25) to OD600 = 1. Cells (200 μL) were harvested by 7,000 × g centrifugation for 30 s. The pellet was suspended with 20 μL 1x PBS and mixed with 800 μL 1.5% (wt/vol) agar by brief vortexing. The mixture was then dropped onto a microscope slide and covered by another slide. One slide was removed after the agar solidified, and the agar pad was covered with a coverslip.

**Regular Fluorescence Microscopy.** Regular fluorescent microscopy experiments in Movies S3, S4, and S5 were performed as previously described (6). For regular fluorescent and photoactivatable localization microscopy (PALM) experiments, 700 μL melted agar was dropped onto a microscope slide and covered by another slide. One slide was removed after the agar solidified, leaving the agar pad on the other slide. Cells were grown in CYE medium to OD600 = 1, and 20 μL culture was dropped onto each agar pad and covered by a coverslip.

SIM. Cells were grown in CYE medium to OD600 = 1, harvested, and fixed with 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde onto 0.1% (wt/vol) poly-l-lysine–treated coverslides, as previously described (9). For imaging, we used the Zeiss Elyra PALM. Cells were grown in CYE medium, which contains 10 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), as the sole source of AglR were grown in casitone yeast extract (CYE) medium, to OD600 = 1. The imaging for single molecule tracking was done on an inverted Nikon Eclipse-Ti microscope with a 100×1.46 NA objective, grid 4, 42 μm. Images were acquired using the Zeiss Zen software. The 561-nm laser was used; exposure time was 100 ms.

**PALM.** AglR-pamCherry expression was reduced by cloning the gene behind the copper-inducible cuoA promoter (26) in the AglR strain. In the absence of copper, expression of AglR-pamCherry was very low but detectable; it did not cause any defects in gliding motility (Fig. S2). *M. xanthus* cells were grown in CYE to OD600 = 1. The imaging for single molecule tracking was done on a Nikon Eclipse-Ti microscope with a 100x:1.49 NA TIRF objective, and the images were collected using an electron-multiplied CCD camera (trademarked product of Andor Ixon BV897, effected pixel size = 78 nm). The photoactivatable mCherry AglR strains were activated using a 405-nm laser and were excited and imaged using a 532-nm laser. Images were acquired at 100- or 200-ms time resolutions.

**Particle Tracking and Data Analysis.** The single molecules that were observed continuously in more than 10 sequential frames were analyzed. Single molecules of AglR were tracked with the SpotTracker plugin (27) in the ImageJ software suite (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Some obvious off-target spot recognitions were manually corrected. Cell borders and axes were defined with the MicroTracker software, as described (14, 28). Trajectory of each 100-ms step was defined as the straight-line segment connecting the center of the spot in one frame (Xt, Yt) and the center of the same spot in the frame acquired 100 ms later (Xt+1, Yt+1). The distance (in pixels) between two positions (d) was generated directly by the SpotTracker plugin (27). V2D = (d2 − X2tY2t − Y2tX2t − X2tY2t − Y2tX2t − X2tY2t − Y2tXt)1/2, where (Xt, Yt) and (Xt+1, Yt+1) denote the coordinates of the two ends of the local cell axis. Generally, our tracking method gave high accuracy near the centers of the projected cell surfaces. However, displacements in three dimensions are projected to very short distances near the cell borders, which lower the accuracy of angle calculation at low d values. Lower accuracy near the cell borders may explain that in Fig. 2B more trajectories with d between 0 and 5 were observed than predicted. Another reason for this observation is that some molecules at the opposite 180° of cell surface were detected at cell borders, increasing the number of trajectories with low values.
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Fig. S1. Sequence alignment of *Myxococcus xanthus* MotB homologs AglS and AglQ with *Escherichia coli* MotB and TolR. Both AglS and AglQ lack the C-terminal peptidoglycan attachment motif of MotB, making the motor complexes free to move in the membrane.

| ECOL_MotB | MQRQIIVVREATIONALGALGASKAGAPADAIAATMAMTVLIVLIVLISSEITPQATPLALTQGDGNVLSIPDDGGDLTOSQRNP 99 |
| ECOL_TolR | HAIARGK-HEDSVNRVILVLLVILLVHMAA-TAPTIDKSVLDPARFAAIVSVN-{}DNPPITVIEVGI 72 |
| MIA_MotS | HAHQHIVVRE AGREALEG AKGALKGAPAADGATAAATMAMTVLIVLIVLISSEITPQATPLALTQGDGNVLSIPDDGGDLTOSQRNP 99 |
| MIA_MotQ | HAHQHIVVRE AGREALEG AKGALKGAPAADGATAAATMAMTVLIVLIVLISSEITPQATPLALTQGDGNVLSIPDDGGDLTOSQRNP 86 |

Ruler: 10.00 20.00 30.00 40.00 50.00 60.00 70.00 80.00 90.00 100.00

| ECOL_MotB | ONLEPLRVAOSLKLRLDDDOSPVLDAAEEDPLAVVVFQESVFEQLEQDKWPNKPDGRAEVE弗 199 |
| ECOL_TolR | OQVYVEPVELEPVEQVSEVSEIVAQP-{}EPTLIGREVEQLEDEIQSDNSMAM东风 142 |
| MIA_MotS | HIEITPSPDRERDDKEDMQDSDQDSADADDDVITIAADFVDSIENPVLNIHEDQAIILSAEDEPYESALEEPEAFOVS 181 |
| MIA_MotQ | HIEITPSPDRERDDKEDMQDSDQDSADADDDVITIAADFVDSIENPVLNIHEDQAIILSAEDEPYESALEEPEAFOVS 162 |

Ruler: 110.00 120.00 130.00 140.00 150.00 160.00 170.00 180.00 190.00 200.00

| ECOL_MotB | PFAALGKAELSAALDNSAHLEHVVGLDSECRVLVVCAATHELGLGPDPPAVRRISLLLVEHQAQAILHESAISPYESALEEE 299 |
| ECOL_TolR | 142.00 |
| MIA_MotS | AEHAAASAAT 194 |
| MIA_MotQ | AEHAAASAAT 162 |

Ruler: 210.00 220.00 230.00 240.00 250.00 260.00 270.00 280.00 290.00 300.00

![Sequence alignment of *Myxococcus xanthus* MotB homologs AglS and AglQ with *Escherichia coli* MotB and TolR.](image)

Fig. S1. Sequence alignment of *Myxococcus xanthus* MotB homologs AglS and AglQ with *Escherichia coli* MotB and TolR. Both AglS and AglQ lack the C-terminal peptidoglycan attachment motif of MotB, making the motor complexes free to move in the membrane.

| pilA::tet | aglR-pamCherry | pMAT4-aglR-pamCherry |
| Gliding velocity (µm/min, n=10) | 2.4±1.5 | 2.1±1.3 | 2.5±1.7 |

Fig. S2. Expressing AglR-pamCherry as the sole (Center) or an extra (Right) source of AglR does not cause any defect in gliding motility comparing to the wild type (left). *M. xanthus* cells were grown on casitone yeast extract (CYE) plates containing 1.5% (wt/vol) agar. To solely display gliding motility, the type IV pili powered twitching motility was eliminated by an insertion into the pilA gene, which encodes pilin, the building block of pilus. (Scale bar, 100 µm.)

Nan et al. www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1219982110
Fig. S3. Bleaching analysis confirms that most fluorescent spots tracked represented single molecules of AglR-pamCherry. (A) Two examples of the bleaching behavior of typical AglR-mCherry single molecules are shown. Each fluorescence spot was bleached in a one-step manner. (B) Gaussian fitting of the fluorescence intensity of 53 spots. (C) Among 53 spots analyzed, 46 (87%) spots were bleached in a one-step manner. Only six (11%) spots were bleached in two steps and one (2%) spot in three steps. The spots that required multiple steps of bleaching were excluded from tracking and data analysis because they usually showed colliding or splitting behaviors during the process of imaging.

Movie S1. Z-stack images of two fixed cells expressing AglR-pamCherry (Fig. 2), obtained with structured illumination microscopy (SIM).
**Movie S2.** Z-stack images of two fixed cells expressing AglR-pamCherry (Fig. 2), obtained with SIM.

**Movie S3.** Lateral view of the rotational motion of AglR-pamCherry helix. To avoid possible artifacts caused by the unevenness of agar surface, aglR::pamCherry pilA::tet cells were suspended in 1% (wt/vol) methylcellulose solution. Images were captured in 2-s time resolution, and the image sequence was played with the speed of six frames per second (12 × real time).

**Movie S4.** Polar view of the rotational motion of the AglR-pamCherry macrostructure when aglR::pamCherry pilA::tet cells are suspended in 1% (wt/vol) methylcellulose solution. The helix rotates 1,180° in 20 s, indicating a rotation speed of ~9.83 rpm. Images were taken at 2-s intervals and played with the speed of six frames per second (12 × real time). Movies of polar view were used to estimate the rotation speed of the AglR-pamCherry macrostructure.
**Movie S5.** AglR-decorated macrostructure rotated as cells moved on 1.5% (wt/vol) agar surface. Images of a aglR::pamCherry pilA::tet cell were captured at 2-s intervals on the Olympus DeltaVision microscope with a Rhodamine filter. The movie was obtained by processing the series of images collected with QuickTime Pro software and played with the speed of six frames per second (12 × real time).

**Movie S6.** The typical behavior of AglR-pamCherry molecules observed by photoactivatable localization microscopy (PALM; Fig. 3A). Two molecules were imaged at 200-ms intervals in two different cells, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (2 × real time). The trajectories of AglR molecules are typically projected into zigzag traces in 3D, suggesting a 3D rotational motion along helical trajectories.

**Movie S7.** The typical behavior of AglR-pamCherry molecules observed by PALM (Fig. 3A). Two molecules were imaged at 200-ms intervals in two different cells, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (2 × real time). The trajectories of AglR molecules are typically projected into zigzag traces in 3D, suggesting a 3D rotational motion along helical trajectories.
Movie S8. MXAN_6483, another E. coli MotA homolog in M. xanthus, does not show any rotational motion, indicating that the observed movement of AglR is a specific motility-related behavior. The MXAN_6483 molecule was imaged at 100-ms intervals, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).

Movie S9. The typical behavior of AglR-pamCherry molecules observed by PALM, combined with total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3B). Two molecules were imaged at 100-ms intervals in two different cells, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time). In the movie, only half (180°) of the cylindrical cell surfaces were imaged, which allows fast imaging with high signal-to-noise ratio. Due to geometrical projection, AglR-pamCherry molecules usually display maximum $V_{2D}$ at the centers of the projected cell surfaces and minimum $V_{2D}$ at the cell borders.

Movie S10. The typical behavior of AglR-pamCherry molecules observed by PALM, combined with TIRFM (Fig. 3B). Two molecules were imaged at 100-ms intervals in two different cells, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time). In the movie, only half (180°) of the cylindrical cell surfaces were imaged, which allows fast imaging with high signal-to-noise ratio. Due to geometrical projection, AglR-pamCherry molecules usually display maximum $V_{2D}$ at the centers of the projected cell surfaces and minimum $V_{2D}$ at the cell borders.
Movie S11. Two AglR-pamcherry molecules rotate in opposite directions in the same cell, suggesting that the rotation observed with PALM is not a result of the rotation of cell bodies (Fig. 3C). Two molecules were imaged at 100-ms intervals in each cell, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).

Movie S12. AglR molecules slow down near the center of cell surfaces, the sites cells contact substratum during gliding. An example is shown in this movie (also see Fig. 4D). The AglR molecule was imaged at 100-ms intervals, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).

Movie S13. We embedded cells in 1.5% agar to mimic an extreme condition in which cells contact with gliding substratum with their whole surfaces. In this condition, less than 5% AglR molecules showed significant motion (>800 nm/s; Fig. 5 B and C), indicating that almost all of the motors slowed down. This result further proved our hypothesis that motors slow down at the cell–substratum contact sites. The AglR molecule was imaged at 100-ms intervals, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).
Movie S14. The abnormal behavior of AglR in the agmU deletion mutant (Fig. 7 A–C). AglR molecules move with much lower velocity, and many molecules were observed moving in linear trajectories with frequent pauses and reversals. The AglR molecule was imaged at 100-ms intervals, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).

Movie S14

Movie S15. The abnormal behavior of AglR in the aglZ deletion mutant (Fig. 7 D–F). AglR molecules move actively, with the maximum $V_{2D}$ even faster than in the WT. However, AglR molecule movement seems to be undirected and slows down at random positions. The AglR molecule was imaged at 100-ms intervals, and the movie was played with the speed of 10 frames per second (real time).

Movie S15