Prostate cancer-associated mutations in speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) regulate steroid receptor coactivator 3 protein turnover
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The p160 steroid receptor coactivators (SRCs) SRC-1, SRC-2 [nuclear receptor coactivator (NCOA2)], and SRC-3 [amplified in breast cancer 1 (AIB1)/NCOA3] are key pleiotropic “master regulators” of transcription factor activity necessary for cancer cell proliferation, survival, metabolism, and metastasis. SRC overexpression and overactivation occur in numerous human cancers and are associated with poor clinical outcomes and resistance to therapy. In prostate cancer (PC), the p160 SRCs play critical roles in androgen receptor transcriptional activity, cell proliferation, and resistance to androgen deprivation therapy. We recently demonstrated that the E3 ubiquitin ligase adaptor speckle-type poxvirus and zinc finger (POZ) domain protein (SPOP) interacts directly with SRC-3 and promotes its cullin 3-dependent ubiquitination and proteolysis in breast cancer, thus functioning as a potential tumor suppressor. Interestingly, somatic heterozygous missense mutations in the SPOP substrate-binding cleft recently were identified in up to 15% of human PCs (making SPOP the gene most commonly affected by nonsynonymous point mutations in PC), but their contribution to PC pathophysiology remains unknown. We now report that PC-associated SPOP mutants cannot interact with SRC-3 protein or promote its ubiquitination and degradation. Our data suggest that wild-type SPOP plays a critical tumor suppressor role in PC cells, promoting the turnover of SRC-3 protein and suppressing androgen receptor transcriptional activity. This tumor suppressor effect is abrogated by the PC-associated SPOP mutations. These studies provide a possible explanation for the role of SPOP mutations in PC, and highlight the potential of SRC-3 as a therapeutic target in PC.
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Prostate adenocarcinoma (PC) arises as an androgen-sensitive, androgen receptor (AR)-dependent malignancy, as highlighted by the clinical anticancer activity of gonadal androgen suppression and of recently approved agents such as the androgen synthesis inhibitor abiraterone (1) and the AR antagonist enzalutamide (2). The importance of the AR axis in PC pathophysiology is further illustrated by the frequent overexpression in PC, especially after exposure to androgen deprivation therapy, of enzymes involved in androgen synthesis (3), as well as AR itself (3) and AR coactivators (4, 5).

The p160 steroid receptor coactivators (SRCs) SRC-1, SRC-2 (also known as TIF2, GRIP1, and NCOA2), and SRC-3 (also known as AIB1, ACTR, and NCOA3) are key pleiotropic “master regulators” of transcription factor activity necessary for cancer cell proliferation, survival, metabolism, and metastasis (6). SRC overexpression and overactivation occur in numerous human cancers due to a variety of genomic, transcriptional, and posttranslational mechanisms, and are associated with poor clinical outcomes and resistance to therapy, suggesting that the SRCs are important therapeutic targets (6). In PC, the p160 SRCs play critical roles in AR transcriptional activity, cell proliferation, migration, and resistance to androgen deprivation therapy (7–9). Depletion of p160 SRCs in hormone-dependent PC and in CRPC cell lines impedes cell proliferation and AR transcriptional activity, including that of the AR-dependent induction of TMPRSS2-ERG (4, 6, 10–13). Elevated expression of all three p160 SRCs occurs in PC and is associated with a shorter time to recurrence and overall more aggressive disease (4, 12). Gene amplification, point mutations, and widespread overexpression of SRC-2 (NCOA2) have been reported in PC and are associated with increased AR transcriptional activity and inferior clinical outcomes (5).

However, the mechanisms underlying the overexpression of SRC-3 protein in PC are less well defined, especially as SRC-3 gene amplifications or mRNA overexpression are not commonly encountered in PC (5, 14, 15). Instead, it is possible that SRC-3 expression could be regulated posttranscriptionally.

We recently demonstrated that the E3 ubiquitin ligase adaptor speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) interacts directly with SRC-3 and promotes its cullin 3 (Cul3)-dependent ubiquitination and proteolysis in breast cancer, thus functioning as a potential tumor suppressor (10). SPOP contains two conserved domains: an N-terminal MATH (Meprin and Traf Homology) domain that recruits substrate proteins, and a C-terminal BTB (Bric-a-brac–Tramtrack–Broad complex) domain that interacts with Cul3 (17, 18). Somatic heterozygous missense SPOP mutations, clustered in the MATH domain (Fig. 1A), were recently identified in 6–15% of PCs (19–21), but their contribution to PC pathophysiology remains unknown.

In the present study, we wished to determine the impact of wild-type (WT) and mutant (mt) SPOP on SRC-3 protein turnover and AR transcriptional activity. Our data suggest that SPOPWT plays a critical tumor suppressor role in PC cells by promoting the turnover of SRC-3 protein and, thus, suppressing AR transcriptional activity. This tumor suppressor effect is abrogated by the PC-associated SPOP mutations. These studies provide a possible explanation for the role of SPOP mutations in PC, and highlight the potential of SRC-3 as a therapeutic target in PC.
We next examined the capacity of PC-

expression vectors for 2 d. Cell lysates containing approximately equal amounts of each expressed HA-SPOP (WT or mutant) and SRC-3 protein were mixed overnight at 4 °C and immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG M2 antibody. SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting were used to detect HA-SPOPs and FLAG-SRC-3. The input was loaded at 1/10 of the total lysate amount subjected to each immunoprecipitation experiment. SPOPWT was immunoprecipitated together with FLAG-tagged SRC-3. This interaction between SPOP and SRC-3 was attenuated in the case of the PC-associated SPOP mutants.

Because the PC-associated SPOP mutations cluster in the substrate-binding MATH domain (Fig. 1 A), we hypothesized that they interfere with the capacity of SPOP to recruit SRC-3. Using communoprecipitation (co-IP) in transiently transfected HEK293T cells, we confirmed that SPOPWT can be immunoprecipitated together with FLAG-tagged SRC-3 (Fig. 1 B), but not SRC-1 or SRC-2 (Fig. S1A). The interaction between SPOP and SRC-3 was attenuated in the case of the PC-associated SPOP mutants (Fig. 1 B).

**Results**

**PC-Associated SPOP Mutants Lack the Capacity of SPOPWT to interact with SRC-3.** Because the PC-associated SPOP mutations cluster in the substrate-binding MATH domain (Fig. 1 A), we hypothesized that they interfere with the capacity of SPOP to recruit SRC-3. Using communoprecipitation (co-IP) in transiently transfected HEK293T cells, we confirmed that SPOPWT can be immunoprecipitated together with FLAG-tagged SRC-3 (Fig. 1 B), but not SRC-1 or SRC-2 (Fig. S1A). The interaction between SPOP and SRC-3 was attenuated in the case of the PC-associated SPOP mutants (Fig. 1 B).

**PC-Associated SPOP Mutants Lack the Capacity of SPOPWT to Promote SRC-3 Protein Turnover.** We next examined the capacity of PC-associated SPOP mutants to promote degradation of SRC-3 protein. In agreement with our previous report (16), exogenous expression of SPOPWT in HEK293T cells efficiently promoted degradation of SRC-3 protein in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2 A). Furthermore, we also documented that this action of SPOP is unique to SRC-3, as SPOPWT did not promote degradation of the other two p160 SRC family members, SRC-1 or SRC-2 (Fig. S1B). However, none of the PC-associated SPOP mutants could promote degradation of SRC-3 protein (Fig. 2 A). Whereas certain SPOP mutants induced no change in SRC-3 protein levels (loss-of-function effect), other SPOP mutants (such as F102C, F125V, and W131G) increased SRC-3 protein levels above baseline (i.e., no exogenous SPOP) levels, suggesting a possible gain-of-function “dominant-negative effect.”

Reverse transcription–quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis demonstrated that SRC-3 mRNA levels were not affected by any SPOP (WT or mutant), which confirmed our prior finding that the impact of SPOPWT on SRC-3 expression is post-translational (16).
SPOP WT, but Not Its PC-Associated Mutants, Promotes Ubiquitination of SRC-3 Protein Through a Mechanism That Requires the SPOP BTB Domain. We have previously reported that deletion of the 203 carboxy-terminal amino acid residues from SPOP (amino acids 172–374, a region that includes amino acids 184–297, the BTB domain; Fig. 1A) abrogates its capacity to bind cullin 3 and promote degradation of SRC-3 (16). We now generated an expression vector encoding SPOP that lacks only amino acids 184–297, the BTB domain [SPOP(ΔBTB)]. As a result, SPOP(ΔBTB) cannot bind cullin 3. We found that, although SPOP(ΔBTB) retains the capacity to bind SRC-3 (Fig. 2B), it cannot promote degradation of SRC-3 in prostate cancer PC-3 cells (Fig. 2C). For comparison, the PC-associated SPOP F133V cannot bind SRC-3 (Fig. 2B) and cannot promote its degradation (Fig. 2C).

These data suggest that SPOP-mediated degradation of SRC-3 requires the BTB domain-mediated recruitment to cullin 3 (which is necessary for substrate ubiquitination). Indeed, in agreement with our prior report (16), we confirmed that SPOP WT promotes SRC-3 ubiquitination (Fig. 2D). However, PC-associated SPOP mutants and SPOP(ΔBTB) also failed to promote SRC-3 ubiquitination (Fig. 2D), thus providing an explanation for their inability to promote SRC-3 degradation. Of note, a subset of SPOP mutants decreased SRC-3 ubiquitination below baseline (i.e., no exogenous SPOP) levels, again suggesting a possible dominant-negative effect of these SPOP mutants on the function of endogenous (WT) SPOP.

PC-Associated SPOP Mutants Lack the Capacity of SPOP WT to Attenuate the Coactivator Function of SRC-3 on AR Transcriptional Activity. Because SRC-3 is an important coactivator of the transcriptional activity of AR, we next examined the impact of these PC-associated SPOP mutants on AR activity in vitro. First, we transiently expressed SPOP WT or SPOP mutants in HepG2 cells and quantified their capacity to modulate the impact of SPOP WT on AR transcriptional activity, using a reporter plasmid carrying a 6-kb fragment of the promoter/enhancer region of the prostate-specific antigen (PSA; gene name: kallikrein-related peptidase 3; KLK3) gene. This region harbors three functionally active AR-binding sites (androgen response elements; AREs) (22). As anticipated, we found that SRC-3 can robustly stimulate the transcriptional response of the KLK3 gene promoter/enhancer to androgen. This effect is significantly attenuated by SPOP WT, but not by the PC-associated SPOP mutants (Fig. 3). Once again, a subset of mutants (including F102C, F125V, and W131G) increased AR transcriptional activity above baseline (i.e., no exogenous SPOP) levels, suggesting a possible gain-of-function dominant-negative effect of these SPOP mutants on the function of endogenous (WT) SPOP.

SPOP WT, but Not Its PC-Associated Mutants, Binds SRC-3 andSuppresses Endogenous SRC-3 Protein Levels in PC Cells. To study the detailed biological functions of SPOP and its PC-associated mutants in PC cells, we transfected the PC cell line LNCaP-Ab1 with tetracycline-inducible expression vectors encoding for SPOP WT or each of the SPOP mutants and established stable cell lines under antibiotic selection. Endogenous SRC-3 protein in these PC cells could be communoprecipitated with doxycycline-induced SPOP WT, but not by mutant SPOP (Fig. 4A). Induction of SPOP WT with doxycycline potently suppressed endogenous SRC-3 protein levels. On the contrary, this suppressive effect was not seen upon induction of SPOP mutants by doxycycline (Fig. 4B).

SPOP WT, but Not Its PC-Associated Mutants, Suppresses AR Transcriptional Activity and Is a Potent Tumor Suppressor in PC Cells. Because SRC-3 is an important coactivator of the transcriptional activity of AR, we next explored the impact of SPOP WT and each of the PC-associated SPOP mutants on AR signaling in PC cells. RT-qPCR analysis for PSA (KLK3) gene expression levels in LNCaP-Ab1 PC cells demonstrated that doxycycline-induced expression of SPOP WT significantly suppressed the expression of this AR-dependent gene (suggesting suppression of AR transcriptional activity). However, this suppressive effect was attenuated in the case of mutant SPOPs (Fig. 5A). Similar results were seen (Fig. S2) for the expression of IGF1 [a gene known to be SRC-3–dependent in PC cells (8)] and FKBP5 (also known to be AR-dependent in PC cells). Finally, using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay, we examined the impact of doxycycline-induced WT and mutant SPOPs on the proliferative rate of LNCaP-Ab1 PC cells. We documented a tumor suppressor effect for SPOP WT in LNCaP-Ab1 PC cells, which was attenuated in the case of mutant SPOPs (Fig. 5B).

Endogenous SPOP Regulates SRC-3 Protein Expression and Suppresses AR Transcriptional Activity in PC Cells. Finally, we explored whether endogenous SPOP regulates SRC-3 expression and AR transcriptional activity in a panel of six commonly used AR-positive PC cell lines (three androgen-dependent and three androgen-independent). All six cell lines had been previously found, by Sanger sequencing, to lack mutations in the SPOP-coding sequence. We found that silencing SPOP via siRNA resulted in increased SRC-3 protein levels and increased expression of the AR-target gene PSA (KLK3; Fig. S3). These data confirm that SPOP is an endogenous regulator of SRC-3 protein turnover and AR activity in PC cells and provide a possible explanation for the fact that it is the most commonly mutated gene in PC.

Discussion
Recent exome-sequencing studies have identified SPOP as the gene most commonly affected by somatic nonsynonymous point mutations in PC (19–21). However, the role of these SPOP mutants in PC pathophysiology was unknown. We have previously characterized a Cul3-based, SPOP-dependent complex as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that promotes ubiquitination and posttranslational turnover of SRC-3 protein (16). As SRC-3 can potently promote AR transcriptional activity and pleiotropic oncogenic signaling necessary for cancer cell proliferation, survival, metabolism, and metastasis, we hypothesized that SRC-3 could be one of the major SPOP substrates mediating the effect of mtSPOP in PC and investigated the impact of WT and mtSPOP on SRC expression and function. Overexpression of SPOP WT potently promoted the
Fig. 4. SPOP<sup>WT</sup>, but not its PC-associated mutants, binds SRC-3 and suppresses endogenous SRC-3 protein levels in PC cells. (A) LNCaP-Abi PC cells stably transfected with tetracycline-inducible constructs encoding for SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or PC-associated SPOP mutants were treated with 200 ng/mL doxycycline for a total of 48 h. During the last 24 h of the incubation, the cells were also treated with a proteasome inhibitor (PS341, 250 nM) or vehicle (DMSO). The cells were then lysed in lysis buffer, as in Fig. 1B. Immunoprecipitation was performed using antibodies against endogenous SRC-3 or HA or control IgG. In cells treated with the proteasome inhibitor, SRC-3 could coprecipitate SPOP<sup>WT</sup>, whereas its capacity to bind the PC-associated SPOP mutants was found to be impaired. (B) LNCaP-Abi (Abi) PC cells stably transfected with tetracycline-inducible constructs encoding for SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or each of the PC-associated SPOP mutants were treated with 0, 50, or 500 ng/mL doxycycline (Dox) for 72 h, lysed, and immunoblotted using antibodies against the HA tag (recognizing only the transfected WT or mutant SPOP), SPOP itself (recognizing both endogenous and transfected SPOPs), SRC-3, and actin.

degradation of SRC-3 protein, but not SRC-1 or SRC-2 protein. All PC-associated SPOP mutants tested failed to promote SRC-3 ubiquitination and protein degradation. We further documented the physical interaction of SPOP<sup>WT</sup> with SRC-3 (but not SRC-1 or SRC-2), and this interaction was abolished in these PC-associated SPOP mutants. In PC cells, SPOP<sup>WT</sup> suppresses SRC-3 protein expression, cell proliferation, and AR transcriptional activity, whereas this effect is abolished or significantly attenuated by the PC-associated SPOP mutations.

We have previously reported that the SPOP N-terminal MATH-containing domain directly binds to SRC-3, whereas the SPOP C-terminal BTB-containing domain directly binds to Cul3 (16). A five-residue φ-x-S-T-T/T (φ, nonpolar; x, polar) SPOP-binding consensus motif has been described (18), and a similar sequence is present in SRC-3 upon phosphorylation of SRC-3 at S102 (16). This site is phosphorylated by casein kinase Iε (16). Therefore, SPOP can act as an adaptor for this Cul3-based ubiquitin ligase complex and target the SRC-3 protein for degradation in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (16). We now demonstrate that this effect is restricted to SRC-3, and not present in SRC-1 or SRC-2. Importantly, this tumor suppressor effect of SPOP is abolished or significantly attenuated by the human PC-associated SPOP mutations that cluster in the MATH domain. These data highlight SRC-3 as an effector of the SPOP mutations in PC.

SRC-3 (AIB1/ACTR/NCOA3) is a key pleiotropic master regulator of transcription factor activity necessary for cancer cell proliferation, survival, metabolism, and metastasis. SRC-3 overexpression and overactivation occur in numerous human cancers, due to a variety of genomic, transcriptional, and posttranslational mechanisms, and are associated with poor clinical outcomes and resistance to therapy. Not surprisingly, SRC-3 protein turnover is controlled at multiple levels. Inactive, steady-state SRC-3 can be degraded in a ubiquitin- and ATP-independent manner by the REGγ proteasome (23). When activated by phosphorylation at a number of important sites (including the essential SRC-3 phosphodegron residues S101 and S102), SRC-3 stability is mainly controlled by the SPOP-Cul3-Rbx1 ubiquitin ligase complex, in a mechanism regulated by the balance between phosphorylation at S102 [e.g., by casein kinase Iε (16)] and dephosphorylation [e.g., by PP1 (24)]. Finally, upon recruitment to nuclear receptor transcription complexes on gene promoters, GSK3-dependent phosphorylation of S505 and S509 promotes SCF(Fbw7γ)-dependent SRC-3 ubiquitination, linking transcription and SRC-3 expression and overactivation occur in numerous human cancers, thereby driving AR transcriptional activity and is a potent tumor suppressor in PC cells. (A) Abi cells stably transfected with tetracycline-inducible constructs encoding for SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or each of the PC-associated SPOP mutants were treated with 0, 100, or 200 ng/mL doxycycline for 48 h. Expression of the AR-dependent gene KLK3 (PSA) was quantified by RT-qPCR. **P < 0.01 for doxycycline-treated versus vehicle-treated cells. (B) MTT assay was used to determine the proliferation of LNCaP-Abi cells when SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or SPOP mutants were expressed in these cells. Cells were treated with 0, 200, or 300 ng/mL doxycycline for 6 d. Experiments were repeated at least three times, with each experimental condition repeated at least in quadruplicate per experiment. **P < 0.01 for doxycycline-treated versus vehicle-treated cells.

Fig. 5. SPOP<sup>WT</sup>, but not its PC-associated mutants, suppresses endogenous AR transcriptional activity and is a potent tumor suppressor in PC cells. (A) Abi cells stably transfected with tetracycline-inducible constructs encoding for SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or each of the PC-associated SPOP mutants were treated with 0, 100, or 200 ng/mL doxycycline for 48 h. Expression of the AR-dependent gene KLK3 (PSA) was quantified by RT-qPCR. **P < 0.01 for doxycycline-treated versus vehicle-treated cells. (B) MTT assay was used to determine the proliferation of LNCaP-Abi cells when SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or SPOP mutants were expressed in these cells. Cells were treated with 0, 200, or 300 ng/mL doxycycline for 6 d. Experiments were repeated at least three times, with each experimental condition repeated at least in quadruplicate per experiment. **P < 0.01 for doxycycline-treated versus vehicle-treated cells.
degradation (25). This highly complex network of three post-translational modification mechanisms that control the stability and function of SRC-3 highlights its importance as a potential master regulator of gene expression.

Of note, our experimental results have raised the possibility that certain SPOP mutants (including F102C and W131G) may exert a “gain-of-function” oncogenic effect by increasing SRC-3 protein levels and AR transcriptional activity above baseline (i.e., no exogenous SPOP) levels. This phenomenon, which could be attributed to a putative dominant-negative effect on the function of SPOPWT, may acquire particular importance because SPOP mutations are heterozygous in prostate cancer specimens. However, it should be acknowledged that there was some variability in the behavior of these SPOP mutants across our wide spectrum of experimental models and readouts; that is, the same SPOP mutant did not always behave as a dominant-negative across all models and assays (although all SPOP mutants were consistently and universally found to have attenuated tumor suppressor properties compared with SPOPWT). Such variability is perhaps attributable to underlying variation in our model systems or other experimental conditions. As a result, the identification and delineation of a unifying model for the dominant-negative function of these SPOP mutants will require additional detailed studies. In any case, our data hint at possible functional differences between the various SPOP mutants regarding their oncogenic potential and even prognostic significance, which, obviously, will need to be validated in clinically annotated human prostate cancer specimens.

Obviously, SPOP is known to bind and promote the ubiquitination of other substrates, including the death domain-associated protein Daxx (26), the phosphatase Puc, the transcriptional regulator Ci/Gli (27), the variant histone MacroH2A (18), and several others. Therefore, SPOP mutations could possibly affect other signaling pathways beyond SRC-3/AR, and our data do not exclude such a hypothesis. However, we have provided strong evidence that the transcriptional coactivator SRC-3 and the nuclear hormone receptor AR, both critically important for PC pathophysiology and resistance to therapy, are downstream effectors of SPOP. As the PC-associated SPOP mutations are predicted to be loss-of-function, they may not be directly druggable for therapeutic purposes. A targeted-treatment approach to SPOP mutational significance, which, obviously, will need to be validated in clinically annotated human prostate cancer specimens.

In summary, we have demonstrated that SPOPWT plays a critical tumor suppressor role in PC cells by promoting the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of SRC-3 protein and, thus, suppressing AR transcriptional activity. This tumor suppressor effect is abrogated by the PC-associated SPOP mutations. Our data provide a possible explanation for the impact of the recurrent somatic heterozygous missense mutations in the SPOP MATH domain that were recently identified in a subset of human PC (19–21), and highlight again the potential of SRC-3 as a therapeutic target in PC.

Expression Constructs. Using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England BioLabs) and PCR primers containing an HA tag at the 5′ terminus, an expression construct of HA-tagged SPOP (pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP) was constructed by insertion of the PCR-amplified SPOP cDNA-encoding sequence into mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 Hygro (+) (Invitrogen). In vitro site-directed mutagenesis was used to obtain the HA-tagged SPOP mutants by two PCR amplifications using pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP as the template. The PCR-amplified DNA coding for mutated SPOPs were inserted into pcDNA3.1 Hygro (+) (Invitrogen) to generate the corresponding mammalian expression vectors: pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP F102C, pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP Y87C, pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP F133V, pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP Y87N, pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP F125V, pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP W131G, and pcDNA3.1-HA-SPOP F133L.

Lentiviral, doxycycline-inducible expression vectors for SPOPWT and its PC-associated mutants, plnducer-HA-SPOP, plnducer-HA-SPOP F102C, plnducer-HA-SPOP Y87C, plnducer-HA-SPOP F133V, plnducer-HA-SPOP Y87N, and plnducer-HA-SPOP F133L, were generated by the Gateway cloning technique using the PENTR/TEV-TOPo Cloning Kit (Invitrogen), Gateway LR Clonase Enzyme (Invitrogen), and lentiviral vector plnducer 20 [a kind gift from T. Westbrook, Baylor College of Medicine (29)]. For all constructs, correct insertion was confirmed with Sanger sequencing. The PCR primer sequences used to generate these constructs are listed in Table S1.

Lentiviral Production and Establishment of Prostate Cancer Cell Lines with Doxycycline-Inducible Expression of SPOPWT or Each of SPOP Mutants. The plnducer-HA-SPOPWt or -HA-SPOPmt vectors were cotransfected with lentiviral packaging plasmids (pHD-HsDMZ, pHDV-VisG, pHD-tat1.6, and PRC-CMV-PolII; generous gifts from T. Westbrook) into 293T cells as previously described (29). Two days after the transfection, the virus-containing medium was collected from each transfection and sterilized by passing through a 0.45-μm low-protein-binding filtration cartridge. The virus particles were directly used to infect LNCaP-AB1 cells in the presence of polybrene (4 μg/mL) for 48 h before 600 μg/mL G-418 (Invitrogen) was introduced for 3 wk to select the stable cell lines. Afterward, the obtained stable cell lines LNCaP-AB1-SPOPmt, LNCaP-AB1-SPOP F102C, LNCaP-AB1-SPOP Y87C, LNCaP-AB1-SPOP F133V, LNCaP-AB1-SPOP Y87N, and LNCaP-AB1-SPOP F133L were maintained in RPMI1640 culture medium supplemented with 10% tetracycline-free FBS (Atlanta Biologicals, Inc.) and G-418 (300 μg/mL) before analysis.

Comunoprecipitation. Comunoprecipitation was conducted according to a standard protocol described previously (30) with minor modification. Briefly, HEK293T cells were transfected with HA-SPOP (WT or each individual mutant) or FLAG-tagged SRC (1-, -2, or -3) expression vectors (pS55-SRC-1-FLAG, pS55-SRC-2-FLAG, or pCMV-tag2B-SRC-3, respectively). Two days after transfection, the cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40) containing protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). The expressed HA-SPOPs and SRC-3 in 293T cell lysates were determined and quantified by immunoblotting and DC protein quantification kit (Bio-Rad). For comunoprecipitation analysis of HA-SPOPs with SRC-3, cell lysates containing an approximately equal amount of each expressed HA-SPOP (WT or mutant) were incubated. The HA-SPOPs were incubated with anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma) overnight at 4°C with constant rotation before protein A Dynabeads (Invitrogen) were added to collect the immunocomplex. The beads were washed four times with lysis buffer and boiled in Laemmli sample-loading buffer for 10 min to elute the precipitated proteins. The supernatants were separated by SDS/PAGE, and immunoblotting was used to detect HA-SPOPs and FLAG-SRC-3. The input was loaded at 1/10 of the total lysate amount subjected to each immunoprecipitation experiment.

SDS/PAGE and Immunoblotting. The protein lysates were separated by SDS/PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoblotting was exquisitely conducted by using protein-specific antibodies as previously described (31, 32). Briefly, the membranes were first blocked with 5% Blocking Reagent (Bio-Rad) in phosphate buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T) before incubation with antibodies overnight. For co-IP Western blotting analysis using anti-FLAG-HRP and anti-HA-HRP, after washes with PBS-T buffer the membranes were imaged on X-ray films using SuperSignal Western Blotting Kits according to the manual instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Otherwise, the PBS-T-washed membranes were further incubated with anti-IgG-HRP (Sigma) and imaged as indicated above. The antibodies used in Western blotting were mouse anti-HA (Roche), monoclonal mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma), anti-SPOP (Abcam), monoclonal rabbit anti-SRC-3 (Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-SRC-1 (Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-GRIP/SRC-2 (Santa Cruz), anti-β-actin (Sigma), mouse anti-FLAG-HRP (Sigma), mouse anti-HA-HRP (Roche), anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Sigma), and anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Sigma).
Reverse Transcription–Quantitative Real-Time PCR. Reverse transcription and PCR reactions were performed with a TaqMan RNA-to-CT 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems) using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Results were normalized to the same amount of total RNA. The primers and probes used for KLK3, IGFI1, SRC-3, and FKBP5 transcripts are listed in Table S2.

Reporter Assay Analysis. Human hepatoblastoma HepG2 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained in 5% CO2 at 37 °C in Eagle’s MEM (Invitrogen). Cells were plated at 1 × 10^5 cells per well in 24-well tissue culture plates and transfected with 3 ng of pCMV5-AR, 100 ng of the PSA61-7002 luc reporter vector [that carries a 6-kb fragment of the PSA promoter/enhancer region, harboring three functional AREs, inserted upstream of the reporter gene (22)], 100 ng of pCR3.1-SRC-3 (or empty vector), and 100 ng of pcDNA3.1 plasmids encoding WT SPOP or each mutant SPOP (or empty vector), using Lipofectamine LTX and Plus Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). Twenty-four hours after transfection, synthetic androgen (R1881) or vehicle was added to the appropriate wells (final concentration of R1881, 10 nM). Luciferase activity was determined 24 h later using the Promega Luciferase Assay Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

In Vivo Ubiquitination Assay. For analysis of the ubiquitination of SRC-3 in cells, 293T cells were transiently cotransfected with His-UB, FLAG-tagged SARC, and either FLAG-NA-linked wild-type SPOP or HA-linked SPOP mutants. Forty-eight hours posttransfection, cells were treated with 20 μM MG132 for 2 h and harvested under denaturing conditions, as described (33). His-UB-conjugated cellular proteins were purified by Ni-NTA agarose resin. Ubiquitinated SRC-3 was detected using SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting with the anti-SRC-3 antibody.

MITT Assay. Cells were plated in 24-well plates in medium containing 10% FBS and allowed to adhere for 24 h. Then, drugs were added, and the cells were incubated for 96 more hours. Cell numbers were quantified by MITT (Sigma-Aldrich) as previously described (31, 32), and expressed as a percentage of the value of control wells. All experiments were repeated at least three times, with each experimental condition repeated at least in quadruplicate per experiment.

Statistical Analysis. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. Fold changes in mRNA levels (RT-qPCR), reporter assay activity, or cell number (absorbance in MITT assay) were compared between differently treated samples (with or without doxycycline treatment) using one-way ANOVA. In all analyses, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Fig. S1. Speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) does not interact with or regulate the expression of steroid receptor coactivator (SRC)-1 and SRC-2 proteins. (A) SRC-1 and SRC-2 proteins do not bind SPOP. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with HA-SPOP(WT), SRC-1-FLAG, or SRC-2-FLAG expression plasmid constructs. The coimmunoprecipitation was conducted as described in Materials and Methods. SRC-1– or SRC-2–containing protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG M2 antibody or normal mouse IgG (control) and protein A Dynabeads. The immunocomplexes bound to protein A Dynabeads were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti–HA-HRP antibody (to detect HA-SPOPWT) and anti–FLAG M2-HRP (to detect SRC-1 or SRC-2). The input was loaded at 1/10 of the total cell lysate mixture subjected to each immunoprecipitation reaction. (B) Stability of SRC-1 and SRC-2 proteins is not regulated by SPOP. HEK293T cells were cotransfected by 0.6 μg pSG5-SRC-1-FLAG or pSG5-SRC-2-FLAG (described in Materials and Methods), together with different amounts (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, and 2.4 μg) of pcDNA3.1-Hygro-HA-SPOP (WT). The cells were collected and analyzed by SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting after 72 h of transfection to detect the expression levels of SRC-1-FLAG, SRC-2-FLAG, HA-SPOPs, and actin (as loading control).
Fig. S2.  SPOP<sup>WT</sup>, but not its prostate cancer (PC)-associated mutants, suppresses endogenous expression of IGF1 and FKBP5. Abl cells stably transfected with tetracycline-inducible constructs encoding for SPOP<sup>WT</sup> or each of the PC-associated SPOP mutants were treated with 0, 100, or 200 ng/mL doxycycline (Dox) for 48 h. Expression of (A) IGF1 (a gene known to be SRC-3–dependent in PC cells) and (B) FKBP5 [also known to be androgen receptor (AR)-dependent in PC cells] was quantified by reverse transcription–quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). **P < 0.01 for doxycycline-treated versus vehicle-treated cells. Error bars represent SD.

Fig. S3.  Endogenous SPOP regulates SRC-3 protein expression and suppresses AR transcriptional activity in PC cells. Six commonly used AR-positive PC cell lines (the androgen-dependent LNCaP, VCaP, and LAPC4, and the androgen-independent LNCaP-Abl, 22Rv1, and C4-2 cell lines) were transfected with siRNAs (Silencer Select; Invitrogen) against SPOP or nontarget (NT) control for 48 h using RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). All six cell lines had been previously found, by Sanger sequencing, to lack mutations in the SPOP-coding sequence. RT-qPCR for SPOP mRNA confirmed that the SPOP siRNAs achieved adequate gene silencing (representative RT-qPCR data are shown for LNCaP-Abl cells in A). We found that silencing SPOP via siRNA resulted in increased SRC-3 protein levels (representative immunoblotting data are shown for LNCaP-Abl cells in B) and increased expression of the AR-target gene KLK3 (prostate-specific antigen; representative data are shown for LNCaP-Abl, VCaP, and 22Rv1 cells in C, D, and E, respectively). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 versus nontarget control.
Table S1. Primers used in PCRs to generate SPOP expression constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (transcripts)</th>
<th>Forward primers</th>
<th>Reverse primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HA-SPOP cds</td>
<td>5'-ATCGGATCCAGTACCTCAGGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTTGGCCTGGCAGCGTCCTTGGCCAGATC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcDNA3.1 Hygro cloning HA-SPOPs cds</td>
<td>5'-ATCGGATCCAGTACCTCAGGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTTGGCCTGGCAGCGTCCTTGGCCAGATC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway cloning HA-SPOPs-bGH polyA</td>
<td>5'-CACCATGCTTTACATGAGCT-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTTTCCGGCAGAAGCCATAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(F102C)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTGGGCAAAAATGAGCAGACGCTTTACGATGCGTAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(F125V)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(F133L)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(F133V)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(Y87N)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(Y87C)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(W131G)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP(S119N)</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underlined text indicates restriction enzyme digestion site; Bold italic text indicates coding for the HA tag (in the case of the HA-SPOP cds forward primer) or for the mutated amino acid residue (in the case of each of mutant SPOP). cds, coding sequence for protein.

Table S2. Primers and probes used in RT-qPCRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (transcripts)</th>
<th>Forward primers</th>
<th>Reverse primers</th>
<th>Probes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KLK3</td>
<td>5'-GATGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACTGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-FAM-CAGGAAACAAAGCGGTATCGCTTGG-TAMRA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1</td>
<td>5'-GATGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACTGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>Roche Applied Science, Universal ProbeLibrary probe 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKBP5</td>
<td>5'-GATGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACTGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>Roche Applied Science, Universal ProbeLibrary probe 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRC-3</td>
<td>5'-GATGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACTGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>Roche Applied Science, Universal ProbeLibrary probe 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOP</td>
<td>5'-GATGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACTGACGCTTTACGATGCGTACATCCCGATACGCA-3'</td>
<td>Roche Applied Science, Universal ProbeLibrary probe 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>