Vaccine-induced plasma IgA specific for the C1 region of the HIV-1 envelope blocks binding and effector function of IgG
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Analysis of correlates of risk of infection in the RV144 HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trial demonstrated that plasma IgG against the HIV-1 envelope (Env) variable region 1 and 2 inversely correlated with risk, whereas HIV-1 Env-specific plasma IgA responses directly correlated with risk. In the secondary analysis, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) was another inverse correlate of risk, but only in the presence of low plasma IgA Env-specific antibodies. Thus, we investigated the hypothesis that IgA could attenuate the protective effect of IgG responses through competition for the same Env binding sites. We report that Env-specific plasma IgA/IgG ratios are higher in infected than in uninfected vaccine recipients in RV144. Moreover, Env-specific IgA antibodies from RV144 vaccinees blocked the binding of ADCC-mediating mAb to HIV-1 Env glycoprotein 120 (gp120). An Env-specific monomeric IgA mAb isolated from an RV144 vaccinee also inhibited the ability of natural killer cells to kill HIV-1-infected CD4 T cells coated with RV144-induced IgG antibodies. We show that monomeric Env-specific IgA, as part of postvaccination polyclonal antibody response, may modulate vaccine-induced immunity by diminishing ADCC effector function.

The phase III RV144 ALVAC/AIDSVAX B/E HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trial in Thailand demonstrated 31.2% estimated vaccine efficacy through 42 mo of follow-up (1). Analysis of correlates of risk of infection indicated that envelope (Env)-specific plasma antibody responses were associated with a lower infection risk in vaccinees (2). Though plasma Env variable region 1 and 2 (V1/V2) IgG correlated with decreased infection risk, high levels of anti-HIV-1 Env plasma IgA correlated with increased infection risk (2). Interaction analyses demonstrated that, in the presence of low Env IgA antibodies, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) responses inversely correlated with risk of infection, whereas in the presence of high Env IgA plasma antibodies, there was no correlation with risk of infection (2). Because there was no overall enhancement of infection risk in the trial (1), we hypothesized that Env IgA might block potentially protective effector functions of Env IgG antibodies.

Antibody function depends, in part, on ability to bind to Fc receptors (FcR) on effector cells. The antibody isotype and subclass influences its affinity for different cellular FcRs (3, 4). IgG antibodies that mediate ADCC through natural killer (NK) cells bind to FcγRIIIa (CD16). In contrast, IgA antibodies do not bind to FcγRIIIa, but, rather, have high affinity for FcαRI (CD89) expressed by monocytes/macrophages and polymorphonuclear cells (PMN). This differential profile of FcR binding by IgG and IgA antibodies impacts the effector function capabilities of these antibody isotypes.

Here, we examined plasma IgA and monomeric IgA monoclonal antibodies from RV144 vaccine recipients to test the hypothesis that some fraction of the vaccine-elicited IgA response could block IgG-mediated ADCC function. We found that a fraction of the HIV-1–specific IgA response was directed against conserved functional epitopes in the first constant (C1) region of glycoprotein 120 (gp120), a specificity of RV144 vaccine trial antibodies previously shown to mediate ADCC via NK cells (5, 6). Two IgA monoclonal antibodies, CH38 IgA2 and CH29 IgA2, were targeted to gp120 ADCC epitopes expressed on the surface of HIV-1–infected CD4 T cells. These IgA antibodies did not mediate ADCC via NK effector cells themselves, but rather blocked ADCC effector function on infected CD4+ T-cell targets by HIV-1 Env IgG. Thus, the RV144 vaccine-elicited polyclonal antibody response included IgA antibodies with specificities that blocked IgG-mediated ADCC.

**Results**

Env IgA/IgG Ratios in an RV144 Case Control Study. The RV144 vaccine regimen elicited polyclonal IgG and IgA responses to HIV-1 Env (2). To determine if some fraction of this polyclonal IgA response could block Env IgG-mediated ADCC activity, we first determined the ratio of Env-specific IgA to IgG in a follow-up analysis of the RV144 case control study (2). Notably, there was a significant enrichment of higher Env IgA/IgG ratios (Fig. 1; Fig. S1) among the infected vaccinees (cases) compared with uninfected vaccinees (controls). We have previously devised a magnitude and breadth score as a measurement of the quality of Env-specific antibody binding based on the magnitude of binding to a panel of HIV-1 envelope proteins representing clades A, AE, B, C, and G (2). For the combined magnitude and breadth score, there was an increased odds ratio (odds ratio is a descriptive statistic that herein indicates the strength of association between risk of HIV-1 infection and an antibody measurement) and lower P value for the IgA/IgG ratio antibody measurement compared with the IgA measurement alone (Table 1). Because there was no enhanced infection risk in RV144 vaccinees compared with placebo, this
increased risk refers to decreased vaccine efficacy among those receiving the vaccine. Additionally, the IgA/IgG binding ratio response to the vaccine strain, AE.A244 gp120, directly correlated with infection risk. Not all Env IgA responses correlated with infection risk, as demonstrated by the Con6 Env gp120 IgA response (Table 1), which was similar to the HSV glycoprotein D (gD) IgA response that had no correlation with infection risk (neither decreased nor increased). Overall, by multiple measurements, Env IgA antibodies and the IgA/IgG ratio directly correlated with HIV-1 infection risk.

**Affinity of IgA and IgG Antibodies for Env Protein.** A dominant specificity of ADCC antibodies in RV144 vaccinees was targeted to a conformational Env C1 region epitope as measured by blocking of ADCC with the fragment antigen-binding (Fab) of mAb A32 against this epitope (5, 6). Two of these ADCC-mediating mAbs, CH29 and CH38, were isolated from two ALVAC/AIDSVAX vaccine recipients and shown to mediate ADCC when expressed as IgG, mAbs (5). The original natural isotypes in vivo of these two mAbs, however, were IgA1 and IgA2, respectively. Here, we expressed these antibody sequences as an IgA2 to test their functional properties. We first examined the binding $K_d$ of these two IgA2 mAbs to the vaccine strain envelope AE.A244 gp120 and determined that CH38 IgA2 mAb bound 2.9-fold higher in both magnitude and affinity than CH38 IgG (4.8 nM compared with 14.3 nM; Fig. 2A). However, CH50 IgA2 bound to the vaccine strain Env with 40-fold greater affinity (4.8 nM compared with 194 nM) than the ADCC-mediating CH57 IgG mAb, which also targets the C1 conformational region and was isolated from the same vaccinee (5). In contrast, CH29 IgA2 and CH29 IgG both bound to HIV MN gp120 with similar affinities (but did not bind to AE.A244 gp120; Fig. 2B). CH90, a C1 conformational IgG mAb generated from the same vaccinee as CH29 (5), also had similar affinity to MN gp120 as CH29 IgA2 (Fig. 2B). These data demonstrated both the superior binding of CH38 IgA2 vs. IgG to AE.A244 gp120 Env protein and the heterogeneous nature of the RV144 vaccine-elicited IgA responses.

**Cross-Blocking of C1 Region-Specific IgA and IgG mAbs.** We examined the ability of C1 conformational IgG mAbs from four different RV144 vaccinees to compete with CH38 IgA2 mAb Env binding (Fig. 3). CH38 IgA2 binding to AE.A244 gp120 Env was blocked by C1 region-specific mAbs (CH54, CH57, CH81, and CH91 mAbs), indicating the overlapping specificities of C1 region conformational IgG and IgA antibodies elicited by RV144. Because the plasma concentration of IgG is considerably higher than plasma IgA, we tested whether the differences in affinity between CH38 IgA2 mAb and CH57 IgM mAb to the same epitope could result in a lower molar concentration of the IgA blocking higher molar concentrations of IgG (Fig. S2). Increasing concentrations of CH38 IgA2 (0–100 μg/mL) were used to block binding of a fixed concentration of a C1 conformational region mAb generated from RV144: CH57 IgM (100 μg/mL) to AE.A244 gp120 Env. At a molar ratio of IgA: IgG = 0.2, CH38 IgA2 blocked 50% of CH57 IgM binding. These data indicate that ratios of IgA/IgG mAbs that are comparable to the IgA/IgG ratio in plasma, the affinity differences in the antibody isotypes for binding to the same or overlapping epitopes can enable blocking of IgG Env binding by IgA.

**C1 Conformational Region-Specific Plasma IgA.** We purified IgA from IgG-depleted plasma from RV144 vaccinees to quantify conformational C1 region-specific IgA antibodies. We chose vaccinees from the RV144 pilot study who had plasma Env antibody binding breadth and detectable ADCC activity. Ten of the 16 purified IgAs from vaccinee plasma were capable of inhibiting the C1-specific
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**Table 1. IgA/IgG Env ratio significantly correlates with increased risk of infection (decreased vaccine efficacy)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Envelope protein/peptide</th>
<th>IgA</th>
<th>IgG</th>
<th>IgA/IgG</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine strain clade AE.A244 gp120*</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env panel IgA primary score**</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF01 AE.C1 peptide</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clade A1ConEnv gp140</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con6 gp120</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HIV* HSV gD peptide</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The odds ratio (univariate) for HIV-1 Env IgA and IgG binding to Env panel for the RV144 case control study are shown. As part of the RV144 correlates analyses, we previously reported the odds ratio and $P$ values for the risk of infection for Env IgG and Env IgA measurements individually (2). For the two HIV-1 Env IgA responses with the strongest odds ratio for increased risk of infection (CRF01 AE.C1 peptide and A1Con gp140), there was an increase in the odds ratio when the IgA/IgG ratio was measured (compared with Env IgA alone), although the $P$ value was unchanged ($P < 0.001$; $P = 0.0004$ for both IgA alone and IgA/IgG ratio). Significant $P$ values with increased odds ratio (increased risk of infection) are shown. ns, not significant.

*Measurements that become significantly correlated with increased risk of infection when examined as a ratio of IgA to IgG Env binding.

**Env IgA breadth score (univariate analysis) as reported (2). The multivariate analysis of this same breadth IgA score had an odds ratio of 1.54 ($P = 0.03$). Pink indicates significant correlation with increased risk of infection (decreased vaccine efficacy). Gray indicates significant correlation with decreased risk of infection.

---

**Fig. 1.** Enrichment of higher Env IgA/IgG ratio in infected RV144 vaccinees. The ratio of IgA/IgG HIV-1-specific Env binding was calculated for each sample and analyzed for differences between infected and uninfected vaccine recipients in the case control study. There was a significantly greater number of infected vaccinees with IgA/IgG ratio >1e-02 (A1 Congo140 Env) than uninfected vaccinees (weighted logistic regression using the method as reported in ref. 2; $P = 0.0015$).
conformational IgG mAb A32 mAb binding to the AE.A244 gp120 (Fig. 4; Table S1). An average of 7 μg/mL CH38 IgA equivalent concentrations of purified IgA from plasma was present per mg/mL of IgA from these 10 RV144 vaccinees (Table S1; range 1.1–18.2 μg/mL CH38 equivalent per milligram per milliliter IgA).

Blocking of Plasma Anti-C1 mAb-Mediated ADCC by CH38 IgA mAb. CH38 and CH29 mAbs expressed as IgG1 mediated ADCC against CM235-infected cells in the presence of NK effector cells at an endpoint concentration of 0.04 and 21.3 μg/mL, respectively (5) (Fig. 5A). These data are in agreement with the affinity data showing that CH38 mAb better recognize Env antigens expressed on the surface of HIV-1-infected cells than CH29 mAb. ADCC mediated by both mAbs expressed as IgG1 was blocked by the A32 Fab, indicating that the epitope recognized by the two mAbs was overlapping with that of the A32 C1 conformational epitope (5). The IgA2 versions of CH38 and CH29 were used to evaluate their ability to block ADCC mediated by other RV144 vaccinee IgG1 mAbs. Thus, we incubated HIV CM235-infected CD4+ target cells with CH38 or CH29 IgA2 mAbs and evaluated their ability to inhibit ADCC activity mediated by the RV144 mAbs CH54, CH57, CH58, and CH90. As a negative control, we tested 7B2 IgA mAb (with specificity to a gp41 epitope that is not present in the RV144 vaccine) and, as expected, this mAb did not significantly inhibit ADCC of any of the gp120 mAbs (Fig. 5B). Similar results were observed for CH29 IgA2 (Fig. 5C). In contrast, CH38 IgA2 mAb significantly inhibited ADCC mediated by RV144 CH54, CH57, CH58, and CH90 mAbs in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5D; 65–90% blocking). These data indicated that some but not all vaccine-induced IgA Env antibodies can effectively block IgG antibody-mediated ADCC effector function.

Blocking of Purified IgG-Mediated ADCC from RV144 Vaccine Plasma by CH38 IgA2 and CH29 IgA2. To determine whether the CH38 IgA2 and CH29 IgA2 mAbs could block ADCC mediated by plasma IgG, we purified IgG from the plasma of vaccine recipients with known ADCC activity. HIV-1 Env gp120-coated target cells were preincubated with serial concentrations of IgG mAbs, and then purified IgG from vaccinees was added at concentrations where peak ADCC was previously measured. The combination of CH38 IgA2 and CH29 IgA2 was capable of inhibiting 50% of the ADCC responses at ~1 μg/mL (range 0.9–1.2 μg/mL; Fig. 6). These data demonstrate that purified IgA2 mAbs derived from RV144 vaccine B cells can directly block binding of C1-specific conformational IgG antibodies that mediate ADCC.

Discussion

We have shown that HIV-1 Env IgA antibodies elicited by the RV144 vaccine can interfere with binding and functional activity of vaccine-induced IgG responses. First, we demonstrate that the HIV-1 Env-specific IgA/IgG ratio directly correlated with infection risk, suggesting that for some HIV-1 Env specificities, the balance of Env IgA and Env IgG might influence vaccine efficacy. Second, we demonstrated that IgA mAbs isolated from RV144 vaccinees can both inhibit Env binding and block ADCC function of vaccine-induced IgGs that target the same epitope as the vaccine-induced IgAs. Thus, here we have identified a potential mechanism by which vaccine IgA might attenuate protective vaccine efficacy. The findings provide a rationale to test this concept in nonhuman primate passive protection studies with RV144 mAbs, and to include the measurement of Env IgA/IgG ratios, including C1 conformational-specific IgA/IgG ratios, in the evaluation of future HIV-1 vaccine studies.

The correlation of the IgA/IgG ratio with infection risk could be due to HIV-1–specific plasma IgA interfering with potentially protective IgG effector functions. Interference of IgG function by IgA antibodies has been reported for host immunity to bacteria (7, 8), for the regulation of autoantibodies (9), and for ADCC activity of EBV-infected target cells in the setting of nasopharyngeal cancer (10). However, this mechanism of action has not been previously reported for vaccine-elicited IgA antibodies. Here, we report, that a portion of the Env-specific IgA response in RV144 was capable of inhibiting ADCC activity of vaccine-elicited IgG.

In this study, two mAbs, CH38 and CH57, were generated from the same vaccine recipient. CH38 IgA2 mAb had significantly higher affinity for the vaccine strain Env protein than CH57 IgG1 mAb, and both bound to the C1 region of gp120. CH38 IgA2 also had higher affinity for HIV-1 Env than the corresponding CH38 IgG1 mAb.
IgG. Our finding that an IgA could have higher affinity for its epitope than an IgG of the same epitope specificity is consistent with previous reports. It was recently reported that 2F5 IgA (IgA1) mAb had higher affinity to its epitope than 2F5 IgG (11). Prior work by Pritsch et al. (12) also reported that an IgA isotype had ~10-fold higher affinity than the IgG mAb (anti-tubulin mAb from serum of a lymphoma patient). These data indicate that antibody isotype can influence affinity and suggest that there may be differences both in the secondary structure of the antibody constant region CH1 domain and, potentially, interactions with VH (13) as well as in the length of the hinge region (14) that may influence antibody binding properties.

In contrast to IgG, human IgA can exist in multiple forms as monomeric, dimeric, and secretory IgA. Moreover, there are two different IgA subclasses (IgA1 and IgA2) that can be influenced by the nature of the antigen stimulation. IgA1 is predominant in the serum, whereas IgA2 is higher in mucosal secretions. Recombinant mAbs are generally made as an IgA2 due to the longer hinge region of IgA1 that makes IgA1 more susceptible to bacterial proteinases (reviewed in ref. 15). CH29 was originally an IgA1, and thus further studies are merited to determine whether HIV-1 vaccines can elicit differential IgA subclasses with particular specificities and function.

Plasma IgA is predominantly monomeric, whereas mucosal IgA is either predominantly dimeric or polymeric with secretory component (16). Effector functions of IgA are different from IgG due to the differences in antibody binding to FcR receptors (3), and the lack of binding of IgA to C1q complement. Dimeric and monomeric IgA can mediate ADCC or phagocytosis by monocytes and PMNs, but in contrast to IgG cannot mediate ADCC via NK cells. Thus, other effector functions of vaccine-elicited IgA with antiviral activity (either through engaging different effector cells or through presence at mucosal sites) are plausible. Mucosal samples were not collected as part of the RV144 efficacy trial, so mucosal IgA levels and functions could not be evaluated as correlates of infection risk. Notably, we have found that plasma antibody responses do not predict mucosal responses, indicating a dichotomy between systemic and mucosal antibody responses (17, 18). In the VAX004 efficacy trial, Env-specific plasma IgA was detected (~60% response rate), but there were no cervicovaginal or gingival IgA elicited (19). It is important to emphasize that our current study only addresses the ability of plasma (monomeric) IgA to block IgG NK-mediated effector function, and does not address the issue of vaccine-induced mucosal IgA or IgG (nor does it address effector functions mediated by cells other than NK cells). Follow-up studies using the RV144 ALVAC prime/AIDSVAX B/E boost vaccine regimen are in progress (RV305, RV306) to search for the presence of specific mucosal antibody responses with the RV144 vaccine regimen. Our data indicate that Env-specific monomeric IgA may block vaccine-induced IgG ADCC activity. Thus, it will be important to determine IgA/IgG responses with vaccine regimens that elicit ADCC-protective epitopes in new vaccine trials. Understanding how plasma IgA antibodies are induced and how they may be modulated by vaccine immunogens or adjuvants is a new and important area of vaccine development research.

Materials and Methods

Plasma and Cellular Samples from Vaccine Recipients. All trial participants gave written informed consent as described for both studies (1, 20). Samples were collected and tested according to protocols approved by institutional review boards at each site involved in these studies. Plasma samples were obtained from volunteers enrolled in the phase III clinical trial (20) and in the community-based, randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III efficacy trial (1); both trials tested the prime-boost combination of vaccines containing ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521) (Sanofi Pasteur) and AIDSVAX B/E (Global Solutions for Infectious Diseases, South San Francisco, CA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from two vaccine recipients enrolled in the phase II (T141449) and phase III (347759) trials whose plasma showed ADCC activity were used for inhibition of memory B cells and mAbs. Both subjects had negative serology for HIV-1 infection at the time of collection.

Fig. 4. RV144-purified IgA inhibition of ADCC mediating A32 mAb binding to HIV-1 Env. Plasma IgA was purified by peptide M columns after IgG depletion. Purity of IgA was confirmed by HIV-1 binding antibody multiplex assays. Known concentration of purified IgA were tested for blocking biontinylated A32 IgG binding to HIV-1 Env. CH38 IgA2 was used as the positive control (A). Percentage blocking of IgA preparations (concentration range 0.5–1.3 mg/mL) at 1:2 dilution are shown (B).

Fig. 5. CH38 IgA2 does not mediate ADCC, but can inhibit IgG-mediated ADCC. (A) ADCC endpoint concentration (EC in micrograms per milliliter) of the IgG; (black bars) and IgA2 (white bars) version of the CH29, CH38, and 7B2 mAb. The 7B2 mAb was used as control. HIV-1 AE.CM235-infected CEM.NKR cells were used as target cells. (B–D) Blocking of ADCC by 7B2 IgA, CH29 IgA2, and CH38 IgA2. IgA mAbs were tested at four different concentrations to inhibit the ADCC mediated by 10 µg/mL of the IgG-mAbs CH38, CH54, CH57, CH81, and CH90. Each bar represents one of the four used IgA mAb concentrations. HIV-1 AE.CM235-infected target cells were used in the GranToxiLux assay. The 782 IgA2 was used as negative control. Each mAb combination was tested in duplicate, and each bar represents the average results from duplicate experiments ± SEM. The results are reported as a percentage of inhibition of the percentage of the granzyme activity observed in absence of preincubation with the CH38 IgA2 and CH29-IgA2 mAbs.
activity was observed. The combination of CH29 and CH38 IgA2 mAbs was
from the RV144 vaccine recipients (each line is one vaccinee). Each prepa-
T141449 were screened using this method. IgG
single cells as described (22). A total of 54,621 memory B cells from vaccinee
VH and VL genes were cloned into a pcDNA 3.1 expression vector expressing
347759 were screened using two methods as previously described (5).

CH38 IgA2 heavy-chain and light-chain gene plasmids and puri-
IgA2 antibodies were produced in 293F cells by cotransfection with CH29 and
lambda light chain constant region gene (25). Recombinant CH29 and CH38

target mAb added at the EC50 (determined by a direct binding of biotinylated-
mAb to JRFL). Biotin-mAb binding was detected with streptavidin alkaline
phosphatase at 1:1,000 (Promega V5991) followed by substrate (carbonate
bicarbonate buffer (CBC) buffer + 2 mM MgCl2 + 1 mg/mL 4-nitrophenyl
carbonylphenylphosphate). Plates were read at 405 nm at 45 min. Duplicate wells were well
strated and averaged. Percent blocking was calculated as follows: 100 −
purified IgA triplicate mean/no inhibition control mean) × 100.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Kinetics and K0 Measurements. Env gp120 binding
K0 and rate constant for IgG mAbs were calculated on BIAcore 3000 instru-
ments using an anti-human IgG fc capture assay as described previously (27–29).
Anti-respiratory syncytial virus mAb Synagis (Palivizumab) was captured on the
same sensor chip as a control surface. Nonspecific binding of Env gp120 to the
control surface and/or blank buffer flow was subtracted for each mAb-gp120
binding interactions. IgA antibodies were directly coupled via amine coupling
chemistry to the sensor surfaces, and Env gp120 was flowed and data collected
as above. All curve-fitting analyses were performed using global fit of multiple
three-parameter equations. The 1:1 Langmuir model. Mean and SD of rate constants and K0
were calculated from at least three measurements on individual sensor surfaces
with equivalent amounts of captured antibody. All data analysis was performed using
BIAEvaluation 4.1 analysis software (GE Healthcare).

Surface Plasmon Resonance Antibody Blocking Assay. Surface plasmon reso-
nance (SPR) antibody blocking was measured on BIAcore 3000 instru-
ments by immobilizing the test mAb (IgG or IgA) on a CMS sensor chip to
~5,000–6,000 resonance units using standard amine coupling chemistry.
ADCC-mediating blocking antibodies were preincubated with Env gp120
in solution at a molar ratio of Env to mAb at 1:3. The 1:3 molar ratio used
gave binding to saturation level, which was predetermined by testing
binding of the Env–mAb mixture to a blocking antibody immobilized on the
chip. Following each binding cycle, surfaces were regenerated with a
short injection (10–15 s) of either glycine-HCl (pH 2.0) or 100 mM phosphoric
acid. Blocking percentages were calculated from the ratio of binding
response as follows: [percent blocking = 1 − (response with gp120 + blocking
mAb/response with gp120 + control mAb Synagis) × 100].

Competitive Blocking of Env gp120 Binding of IgG by IgA. The RV144 PBMC-
derived CH54 mAb was incubated at a fixed saturating concentration (100 μg/mL) with 20 μg of Env gp120 (A244 D11 gp120), and varying concentrations of CH38 IgA2 monomers (0–100 μg/mL) were added to the Env–IgG mixture,
which was then incubated at either 4 °C overnight or at room temperature
for ~4 h. The percent blocking of IgG binding was calculated by measuring
the concentration of unbound IgG in the antibody–antigen mixture with
IgA, and following its capture and calibration on an anti-fc IgG immobilized
surface by SPR measurements. The molar ratio of IgA:IgG blocking was fi-
nally calculated from the above measurements.

Virus, Infectious Molecular Clones for ADCC GranToxiLux Assay. The HIV-1
reporter virus used was replication-competent infectious molecular clones
(IMC) designed to encode the CM235 (subtype A/E) Env genes in cis within
an isogenic backbone that also expresses the Renilla luciferase reporter
gene and preserves all viral ORFs (30). The Env-IMC-LucR viruses were
subtype A/E NL-LucR T2A-AE CM235-ecto (IMC001); GenBank accession
no. AF2699954; plasmid provided by J.H.K.). Reporter stocks were
generated by transfection of 293T cells with proviral IMC plasmid DNA and
titrated on TZM-bl cells for quality control.

Tomaras et al.
ADCC GranToxLex Assay. ADCC activity was detected according to our previously described ADCC-GranToxLux (GTL) procedure (31). CM235-infected CEM.NKR.CCR5 (32) were used as target cells. Purified NK effector cells were obtained from PBMCs collected from an HIV-1 seronegative donor with the F/F phenotype of Fcγ receptor 3A and used at the effector to target (E:T) ratio of 10:1. The mAb A32 (James Robinson, Tulane University, New Orleans), Palivizumab Synagis (MedImmune, LLC; negative control), and vaccine-induced mAbs were tested as fourfold serial dilutions with a starting concentration of 40 μg/mL (range 40-0.039 μg/mL). The results are expressed as the endpoint concentration (EC) in μg/mL as previously described (5).

Inhibition of ADCC Activity by the IgA mAbs. To demonstrate the blocking activity of the CH38 IgA2 and CH29 IgA2 mAbs on the IgG mAbs, we incubated (15 min) the IgA mAbs, as previously described (de 15 min) and 0.4 μg/mL to block ADCC mediated by the purified plasma IgG. Purified IgG was prepared as previously described (2). Each IgG preparation was tested against the A244A11 gp120-coated CEM.NKR.CCR5 target cells at three concentrations representing the range of peak activity for each sample. The IgA version of the 782 mAb was used as negative control. The results are reported as percentage of ADCC inhibition, calculated as percentage of ADCC activity reduction based on the ADCC mediated by the IgG mAb or IgG preparation in the absence of the IgA2 mAbs.
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Fig. S1. Weak correlation between envelope (Env) IgG and Env IgA score in RV144. RV144 elicited heterogeneous IgG and IgA binding responses among vaccinees. The binding score (weighted average of 14 Env) was calculated individually for each vaccinee (represented by black circles for uninfected vaccinees and red circles for infected vaccinees). There was a modest correlation (Spearman rank correlation 0.46; 95% confidence interval 0.31–0.52) between IgA and IgG magnitude and breadth binding scores. The adjusted ratios equal 0.01 for points on the black line, and equal the median of all adjusted ratios for points on the gray line.

Fig. S2. HIV-1 Env IgA mAb (CH38 mAb) blocks IgG mAb (CH57 mAb) binding to vaccine strain A244 Δ11 glycoprotein (gp120) at a molar ratio of IgA:IgG of 0.2. The percent blocking of IgG binding was calculated relative to anti-IgG binding in the absence of CH38 IgA. Increasing concentrations of CH38 IgA (0–100 μg/mL) were mixed with A244 Δ11 gp120 and then flowed over CH57 mAb immobilized on the sensor surface (A). Schematic of surface plasmon resonance setup for competition measurements. (B) Percentage of blocking is plotted against IgA/IgG molar ratio, and 50% inhibition was calculated from curve-fitting analysis. The red and black lines indicate separate experiments. (C) The calculated percentage of blocking per molar concentration of IgA/IgG for each experiment is shown.
Table S1. RV144-purified IgA inhibition of ADCC mediating A32 mAb binding to HIV-1 Env

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccinees (PTIDS)</th>
<th>CH38 mAb IgA equivalent, μg/mg IgA</th>
<th>Blocking, % (dilution)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200118</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>39.6 (1:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109041</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36.8 (1:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210884</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>35.8 (1:8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828279</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>30.7 (1:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609107</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>40.9 (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501467</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>35.6 (1:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223604</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>38.1 (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>849565</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>28.1 (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327722</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>25.2 (1:2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347759</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>20.6 (1:2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plasma IgA was purified by peptide M columns after IgG depletion. Purity of IgA was confirmed by binding antibody multiplex assays. Known concentrations of purified IgA were tested for blocking biotinylated A32 IgG mAb binding to HIV-1 Env. CH38 IgA at known concentrations was titrated as the positive control. The average concentration of purified IgA blocking of A32 mAb was 7.0 μg/mg total IgA.

*The dilution of the purified IgA preparation where the blocking level (chosen for calculation, one that is within the linear range of the assay) was achieved. The concentrations of the purified IgA preparations range from 0.5 to 1.3 mg/mL.