The ubiquitous inducible transcription factor NF-κB plays central roles in immune and inflammatory responses and in tumorigenesis. Complex posttranslational modifications of the p65 subunit (RelA) are a major aspect of the extremely flexible regulation of NF-κB activity. Although phosphorylation, acetylation, ubiquitination, and lysine methylation of NF-κB have been well described, arginine methylation has not yet been found. We now report that, in response to IL-1β, the p65 subunit of NF-κB is dimethylated on arginine 30 (R30) by protein-arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5). Expression of the R30A and R30K mutants of p65 substantially decreased the ability of NF-κB to bind to κB elements and to drive gene expression. A model in which dimethyl R30 is placed into the crystal structure of p65 predicts new van der Waals contacts that stabilize intraprotein interactions and indirectly increase the affinity of p65 for DNA. PRMT5 was the only arginine methyltransferase that coprecipitated with p65, and its overexpression increased NF-κB activity, whereas PRMT5 knockdown had the opposite effect. Microarray analysis revealed that ∼85% of the NF-κB-inducible genes that are down-regulated by the R30A mutation are similarly down-regulated by knocking PRMT5 down. Many cytokine and chemokine genes are among these, and conditioned media from cells expressing the R30A mutant of p65 had much less NF-κB-inducing activity than media from cells expressing the wild-type protein. PRMT5 is overexpressed in many types of cancer, often to a striking degree, indicating that high levels of this enzyme may promote tumorigenesis, at least in part by facilitating NF-κB-induced gene expression.
suppressor p53 on R333, R335, and R337 in the oligomerization domain to increase the response to damaged DNA (18).

We find that the signal-dependent dimethylation of R30 of the p65 subunit NF-xB by PRMT5 has a major effect on the ability of NF-xB to bind to xB elements and on gene expression. Overexpressing PRMT5 increases NF-xB activity, but knocking this enzyme down has the opposite effect. Therefore, the arginine methylation of p65 is an important posttranslational modification that profoundly regulates NF-xB activity.

**Results**

**NF-xB Is Activated by Dimethylation of R30 of the p65 Subunit.** To explore the arginine methylation of NF-xB, we overexpressed Flag-tagged p65 in 293IL1R cells (4) and isolated p65 by using an anti-Flag-M2 antibody. Increased expression of p65 is well known to activate xB-dependent gene expression (4). Analysis by mass spectrometry revealed that p65 is dimethylated on R30, with an increase of 28 mass units (Fig. 1A). To test the functional significance of R30 dimethylation, we overexpressed the Flag-tagged R30A or R30K mutants, or wild-type p65 (WTp65) as a control, at similar levels in 293IL1R cells (Fig. 1B). To confirm that p65 is dimethylated on R30, we used anti-Flag to pull down either WT or R30A p65, followed by Western analysis of the level of total arginine methylation, using anti-dimethyl arginine (Fig. 1C). Overexpression of WTp65 induced the dimethylation of p65 and this modification was completely abolished when the R30A mutant protein was used. To assess the effect of the R30A mutation on NF-xB DNA binding activity, we carried out EMSAs. Overexpressing WTp65 strongly increased DNA binding activity in 293IL1R cells, but overexpressing the R30A or R30K mutants did not (Fig. 1D). An analysis of the activation of an NF-xB-dependent luciferase reporter in 293IL1R cells (Fig. 1E) showed strong activation in response to treatment with IL-1β upon overexpression of WTp65, but much less activation when the R30A or R30K mutant proteins were overexpressed. Similar results were obtained in p65-null mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF) (Fig. S1).

**PRMT5 Binds to p65 and Dimethylates R30.** A mass spectrometric analysis revealed that PRMT5 was the only arginine methyltransferase that coimmunoprecipitated with p65, suggesting that PRMT5 is very likely to be the enzyme that dimethylates p65 on R30. For confirmation, we treated 293IL1R cells with IL-1β for 1 h, used anti-p65 to pull down endogenous p65, and analyzed Western transfers of the coprecipitated proteins with anti-PRMT5. The result clearly shows that PRMT5 binds to p65 in a signal-dependent manner (Fig. 2A) and the reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation experiment confirmed this result (Fig. 2B). PRMT1 and PRMT4 were previously reported to play a role in activating some NF-xB-inducible genes. Even though PRMT5 is the only PRMT that was identified in our mass spectrometry experiment, to further confirm that PRMT1 and -4 do not bind to p65 in response to IL-1β treatment, we performed a similar coimmunoprecipitation experiment, using anti-p65, and probed with antibodies to PRMT1 and PRMT4 (Fig. S2). The data clearly show that PRMT1 and PRMT4 do not bind to p65 in response to IL-1β treatment in 293IL1R cells.

We generated stable pools of 293IL1R cells in which PRMT5 was either overexpressed or knocked down by using shRNA (Fig. 2C, Upper) and tested the effects of varying the level of PRMT5 expression on the activation of NF-xB in response to IL-1β at different times. Cells in which PRMT5 was overexpressed showed enhanced IL-1β-induced NF-xB activation, but cells in which the expression of PRMT5 was knocked down showed the opposite effect (Fig. 2C, Lower). To determine the effect of PRMT5 in cells with constitutively active NF-xB, the enzyme was either overexpressed or knocked down in human colon cancer HT29 cells (Fig. 2D, Upper), which have high constitutive NF-xB activity, as found in many cancer cells (17). Overexpressing PRMT5 enhanced the constitutive activity of NF-xB, but knocking this enzyme down greatly reduced this activity (Fig. 2D, Lower). Therefore, PRMT5 is a strong NF-xB activator in these cells. To test the effect of PRMT5 on the ability of NF-xB to bind to DNA, 293IL1R cells and the corresponding cells in which PRMT5 was stably knocked down were treated with IL-1β for different times, followed by EMSAs. Reducing PRMT5 levels greatly reduced the ability of NF-xB to bind to DNA (Fig. 2E, Left). On the other hand, increased PRMT5 expression greatly enhanced this ability (Fig. 2E, Right).

To confirm the effect of PRMT5 on the arginine methylation of NF-xB, coimmunoprecipitation experiments were carried out using the above three 293IL1R-derived cell lines, followed by analysis of the proteins coimmunoprecipitated with p65, by the Western method, using anti-dimethylarginine. The level of arginine dimethylation of p65 was enhanced by overexpressing PRMT5, but substantially reduced in cells in which PRMT5 had been knocked down (Fig. 2F), revealing that PRMT5 is responsible for the arginine methylation of p65. As shown in Fig. 2G, the activation of NF-xB by overexpressing WTp65 was
enhanced by coordinate overexpression of PRMT5. However, overexpression of the R30A mutant of p65 did not activate NF-kB, and coordinately overexpressing PRMT5 had no effect. These results reveal that PRMT5 activates NF-kB by dimethylating R30 of p65, and that there is very little methylation of additional arginine residues of p65 in this system.

**Dimethylation of p65 on R30 Is Essential for NF-kB-Regulated Gene Expression.** The 293IL1R cells, or these cells overexpressing WTp65 or the R30A mutant, were used to test the effect of this mutation on the expression of NF-kB target genes by microarray analysis. Compared with WTp65, 464 NF-kB target genes (~75%) were induced less well by a factor of 2 or more by the R30A mutant protein, but 152 NF-kB target genes (~25%) were not significantly affected. Only one gene was significantly up-regulated by the mutation (Fig. 3A). A short list of typical NF-kB-inducible genes whose induction is inhibited by the R30A mutation is shown in Fig. 3B. A full list of genes that are affected by the R30A mutation is given in Table S1. We performed quantitative PCR (qPCR) and RT-PCR analyses to confirm the expression of several candidate genes. The mRNA levels of TNF-α, IL-8, and NFKBIA were strongly induced in both IL-1β-treated cells and in cells overexpressing WTp65, but the induction was substantially less in cells with the R30A mutant protein (Fig. 3C and D).

We also performed microarray analyses with 293IL1R cells and with the same cells in which PRMT5 was knocked down by shRNA (Fig. 4A). The induction of about 75% of the NF-kB target genes was down-regulated in the knockdown cells. In a cross comparison, we found that about 85% of the genes whose induced expression was reduced by the R30A mutation were also down-regulated by knocking the expression of PRMT5 down (Fig. 4B), suggesting that regulation of NF-kB-inducible genes through R30 is mainly regulated by PRMT5-dependent dimethylation. About 15% of PRMT5-independent genes were affected by the R30A mutation, perhaps indicating the existence of another interaction involving R30. A qPCR experiment was carried out to confirm data from the microarray analysis of PRMT5-regulated genes. Interleukin 1-α (IL1A) and TNF receptor-associated factor 1 (TRAF1) were induced by overexpressing WTp65 and

![Fig. 2. PRMT5 binds to p65 and is responsible for NF-kB activation and dimethylation of R30. (A and B) Endogenous p65 communoprecipitates with PRMT5. 293IL1R cells were treated with 10 ng/mL of IL-1β for 1 h or were untreated. PRMT5 binds to p65 only when NF-kB is activated. Reverse immunoprecipitation, using anti-PRMT5, gave a similar result. (C, Upper) Western assay showing either overexpression or shRNA-mediated knockdown of PRMT5 in 293IL1R cells. (Lower) Luciferase assay of NF-kB in 293IL1R cells treated with 10 ng/mL of IL-1β for different times, showing that reduced PRMT5 expression decreased and overexpression increased NF-kB activation. The data represent the means ± SD for three experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group. (D, Upper) Western assays, showing either overexpression (Upper Left) or shRNA-mediated knock down of PRMT5 (Upper Right) in colon cancer HT29 cells. (Lower) Luciferase assay of NF-kB in HT29 cells, showing that overexpression of PRMT5 further increased NF-kB activation, whereas reduced PRMT5 expression decreased NF-kB activation. The data represent the means ± SD for three experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group. (E) EMSA, showing that in response to IL-1β treatment, NF-kB DNA binding ability was significantly decreased in 293IL1R cells in which PRMT5 has been knocked down, compared with control cells (Left), whereas increased PRMT5 expression greatly enhanced NF-kB DNA binding ability (Right). (F) Communoprecipitation and Western assays, showing that R30 of p65 is dimethylated by PRMT5 upon IL-1β treatment. Overexpression of PRMT5 increased the dimethylation of R30, but shRNA-mediated knockdown had the opposite effect. (G) Luciferase assay of NF-kB activity, showing that the R30A mutation decreased NF-kB activation. Overexpression of PRMT5 activated NF-kB in control cells and in 293IL1R cells overexpressing WTp65, but not in these cells overexpressing the R30A mutant protein. The data represent the means ± SD from three experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group; †P < 0.01 vs. WTp65 group; ‡P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl, PRMT5 group; ††P < 0.01 vs. WTp65, PRMT5 group.

![Fig. 3. Regulation of NF-kB-dependent gene expression by R30 methylation. (A) Illumina array data from 293IL1R cells overexpressing WTp65 or the R30A mutant protein. 293IL1R cells were used as the control. Among the 617 NF-kB-dependent genes induced by twofold or more in cells overexpressing WTp65 (WTp65 vs. 293IL1R), 464 (75%) were induced less well by a factor of 2 or more in cells with the R30A mutant protein (R30A/WT ≤ 0.5); the remaining 25% were not affected significantly. (B) A short list of typical NF-kB-inducible genes, showing that some were up-regulated by WTp65 by twofold or more (WT/CTRL ≥ 2), but were up-regulated less well, by twofold or more, by the R30A mutant protein (R30A/WT ≤ 0.5). (C) Confirmation of Illumina array data by qPCR analysis. The expression of TNFα, IL-8, and NFKBIA was tested, confirming that these genes were strongly induced upon IL-1β treatment or by the overexpression of WTp65 but not by the R30A mutant protein. The data represent the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group; †P < 0.01 vs. WTp65 group. (D) RT-PCR experiment further confirmed that the TNFα, IL-8, and NFKBIA genes were induced in response to IL-1β in 293IL1R cells or by the overexpression of WTp65, but not by the overexpression of the R30A mutant protein.]
the induction of both genes was inhibited by both the R30A mutation and knocking PRMT5 down, confirming that they are regulated by PRMT5 via dimethylation of R30 of p65.

As shown in Fig. 3B, many of the genes whose expression was inhibited by the R30A mutation encode cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors. To test the effects of this mutation on the secretion of these factors, conditioned media from 293IL1R cells overexpressing either WTp65 or the R30A mutant were used to treat NF-κB reporter cells (19). Media from cells with the R30A mutant protein had significantly less NF-κB-inducing activity than media from WTp65 cells (Fig. S3A and B, Left). Similar results were obtained with the R30K mutant protein (Fig. S3B, Left) in 293IL1R cells and also in p65-null MEFs in which the expression of WT or the R30A or R30K mutant of p65 had been restored (Fig. S3A and B, Right), further supporting the conclusion that R30 is a crucial site whose methylation regulates important biological function of NF-κB. We generated a model, based on the crystal structure of p65, to look at the effect of dimethylation of R30 on DNA binding (Fig. 5). Dimethylation of R30 is likely to stabilize domain interactions by creating new contacts between one of the methyl groups of modified R30 and the π electrons of the benzene ring of F184 at one end, and between the second methyl group and the aliphatic portion of D277 at the other end. This model indicates that methylation at R30 will have a major impact on DNA binding and thus on gene expression.

To summarize, in Fig. 6 we propose a model in which PRMT5 associates with NF-κB after it has been liberated from IκB, followed by the dimethylation of R30 of the p65 subunit, leading to increased affinity of NF-κB for the X element of many genes and enhanced induced expression of these genes, including many genes that encode cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors.

We also explored the expression levels of PRMT5 in various cancers (Fig. 7A), finding that this enzyme is substantially overexpressed in cancers of the colon, ovary, kidney, lung, bladder, liver, pancreas, breast, prostate, cervix, and skin, with especially high expression in colon cancer. Oncomine data (Fig. 7B) also show that the majority of the colon cancer patients evaluated express elevated levels of PRMT5. The data are strongly suggestive of the possibility that PRMT5 is a tumor promoter.

Discussion

Although the activity of NF-κB is regulated by several different posttranslational modifications, lysine methylation of the p65 subunit has been discovered only recently: We and other laboratories reported that NF-κB is methylated on lysines 37, 218, 221, 310, 314, and 315. We found that the combined activities of the histone methyl transferase LSD1 and the demethylase FBXL11 regulate NF-κB through the reversible methylation of K218 and K221 of p65. Remarkably, expression of the FBXL11 gene is induced in response to NF-κB activation, forming a unique negative feedback loop similar in effect to the one that involves the well-known negative regulator IκB (5). Recently, the Hur group (20) reported that glioma-expressed antigen 2 (PHF20) interacts with p65 by recognizing methylation at K218 and K221. This methylation-dependent interaction of PHF20 with p65 leads to persistent NF-κB phosphorylation and function by limiting the recruitment of protein phosphatase PP2A to p65 (20). Yang et al. (6) reported that K314 and K315 of p65 are monomethylated by SET7/9 in response to NF-κB activation, an inhibitory modification that stimulates proteosome-mediated degradation of promoter-associated p65. Ea and Baltimore (7) reported that SETD6 monomethylates p65 at lysine 37, and that this methylation is restricted to the nucleus and regulates the promoter binding of p65. The methylation of p65 at K37 affects the stability of DNA-p65 complexes, which in turn regulates the recruitment of p65 to the promoter and the induction of a subset of NF-κB-regulated genes. Levy et al. (8) reported that SETD6 monomethylates p65 on K310, leading to induction of a repressed state at NF-κB target genes through the binding of G9a-like protein.

In this study, we report that p65 is dimethylated on R30 in a signal-dependent manner, leading to activation of NF-κB that is responsible for the expression of nearly 75% of the inducible genes. These genes encode cytokines, chemokines, kinases, and cell-adhesion molecules, including TNF-α (21), IL-8 (22), mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 8 (MAP3K8) (23), and integrin β2 (ITGB2) (24), whose roles are widely accepted to be essential in inflammation and tumorigenesis. Therefore, p65 is dimethylated on R30 by PRMT5, which profoundly affects its biological activity.
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**Figure 4.** Comparison of regulation of NF-κB-dependent genes by PRMT5 and R30A. (A) Illumina array data, showing that 78% of genes were down-regulated by shPRMT5 by twofold or more, but the remaining 22% were not significantly affected. These percentages are similar to the percentages of R30A-dependent genes. (B) Illumina array data, suggesting that among the R30A dependent genes, about 85% were also PRMT5-dependent, meaning that they are also down-regulated by shPRMT5 by twofold or more, whereas the remaining 15% were not significantly affected. (C) A qPCR experiment was done to confirm that IL1A and TRAF1 were induced by the overexpression of WTp65, compared with control cells. However, this induction was greatly decreased after either R30A mutation or knockdown of PRMT5 by shRNA. Data represent the means ± SD for three independent experiments. *p < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group; †p < 0.01 vs. WT group.
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**Figure 5.** Crystal structure of p65 showing that methylated R30 can mediate van der Waals contacts with both F184 and D277 to enhance its binding to DNA. (Right) Ribbon presentation of the RelAκB DNA complex. A protein–protein interface away from the protein-DNA interface is highlighted to reveal the amino acid residues that are involved. Residues in red make DNA contacts. (Left) Surface presentation of the residues at the protein–protein interface. Dotted lines show that the atoms are in H-bonding distance. Methylated R30 can mediate van der Waals contacts with both F184 and D277.
PRMT5, a type II arginine methyltransferase, plays important roles in modulating transcription by methylating histones, such as H2A, H3R8, and H4R3 (16). For example, PRMT5 methylates histone H2AR3 (25), leading to its interaction with methylosome H2A, H3R8, and H4R3 in the oligomerization domain, leading to increased response to DNA damage. PRMT5 can also methylate transcription elongation factor SPT5 to decrease its interaction with RNA polymerase II, suggesting that PRMT5 might be involved in regulating transcriptional elongation. The Epstein-Barr virus 1 protein (EBNA1) is methylated at multiple arginine residues, from R325 to R376, by PRMT5. Inhibition of arginine methylation of EBNA1 altered its localization, resulting in the formation of EBNA1 rings around nucleoli (27). Another example is the homeobox transcription factor HOXA9, which is methylated on R140 by PRMT5, a modification that is essential for the expression of endothelial cell leukocyte adhesion molecules during the endothelial cell inflammatory response (26). PRMT5 has been recently shown to promote cell growth and transformation by other mechanisms. For example, Wang et al. (29) reported that PRMT5 inhibited transcription of the retinoblastoma protein by other mechanisms. For example, Wang et al. (29) reported that PRMT5 inhibited transcription of the retinoblastoma protein.

Using X-ray crystallography, several structural models of p65 dimers (p65 homodimers and p50:p65 heterodimers) bound to different kB sites have been generated over the last several years (34, 35). These structures provide a wealth of information concerning how p65 interacts with kB response elements in the DNA, revealing, in addition to specific protein-DNA contacts among several invariant amino acids and conserved DNA bases, protein–protein interactions between the N- and C-terminal domains and the linker region connecting the two domains. The most conserved of these interactions, seen in all structures, is between the base of loop L1 of the N-terminal domain and loop L5 of the C-terminal dimerization domain. A single residue from the domain-connecting loop L3 also participates in this multiside-chain assembly, away from the protein–DNA interface. The key residues that mediate the interaction are D277 and E279 from loop L5 and R30 and T191 from loops L1 and L3, respectively (Fig. 5). F184, emerging from the end of the last secondary structure of the N-terminal domain, lies in close proximity to R30 and T191. R30 is sandwiched between F184/T191 on one side and D277/E279 on the other side. It is important to note that the phosphorylation of Ser276, located in the same L5 loop, is known to significantly affect gene regulation, in part, by directly affecting protein-DNA contacts. This evidence strongly supports the important role of dimethyl R30 in increasing the ability of p65 to bind to DNA and thus to affect gene expression.

Histone-modifying enzymes have been shown to reversibly methylate a variety of transcription factors, with important functional consequences. Most of the examples involve mono- or dimethylation of lysine residues, as summarized by Stark et al. (36). In one report concerning the reversible lysine methylation of K140 of STAT3 and three reports concerning methylations of several different lysine residues of NF-kB (36), it was found that the reactions take place on chromatin-bound factors. In each of these cases and in the study of arginine methylation of NF-kB reported here, it is important to note that the steady-state fraction of methylated transcription factors is quite low, of the order of a few percent of the total protein, which seems counterintuitive given the important functional consequences of these reactions. A hypothesis that explains this apparent paradox is that only promoter-bound transcription factors are methylated, and that this modification does not take place on every promoter. The clearest evidence supporting this idea is found in the study of Yang et al. (37) of the reversible methylation of K140 of STAT3. Similar experiments for the several different methylation sites of NF-kB will be needed to extend this model further. The small fraction of a given transcription factor that is methylated in steady state also helps to explain why this important class of posttranslational modifications has only recently been identified. Careful analysis of other cases will surely yield additional examples.

Most methylations of transcription factors are likely to affect function in a manner similar to the same modifications of histones, by providing docking sites for accessory proteins (36). An excellent example is an analysis of the consequences of methylating p53, where different histone-modifying enzymes methylate different lysine (36) or arginine (18) residues to activate or repress activity, and sometimes mono- or dimethylation of the same lysine residue has opposing effects (36). For example, the dimethylation of K370 of p53 provides a docking site for the important accessory protein 53BP1, therefore strongly activating transcription, whereas monomethylation of the same residue leads to transcriptional repression (36). In our work with the p65
subunit of NF-κB, it is striking that the monomethylation of K218 and dimethylation of K221 (4) and, reported here, the dimethylation of R30, are important to facilitate tight binding to DNA. Coordinating methylation of all three sites on a single p65 molecule would provide the maximum increase in affinity, and modulation of affinity at specific p65 sites might be achieved by limiting methylation to only one or two of these three lysine and arginine residues. Detailed analysis of the events at specific promoters will provide important information regarding the fine-tuning of NF-κB-dependent gene expression in the future.

Given the important role of NF-κB in cancer and knowing how PRMT5 regulates NF-κB by dimethylating R30 of the p65 subunit, together with evidence that PRMT5 is overexpressed in many types of cancer, our findings suggest that PRMT5 is an oncogene and raise the possibility of focusing on PRMT5 as a drug target and biomarker.

Materials and Methods

The 293T/1R cell line was described previously (4). The colon cancer HT29 cell line was purchased from the American Tissue Culture Collection. Luciferase assays were done by using the b-gal luciferase construct pSXP10 b-gal (4). EMoSAs were done by using the oligomer for an NF-κB binding site (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). qPCR experiments were done by using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master ROX (Roche). Detailed methods are provided in SI Materials and Methods.
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SI Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Materials. The 293IL1R cell line was described previously (1). The colon cancer HT29 cell line was purchased from the American Tissue Culture Collection. Anti-protein-arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5) and anti-dimethylarginine were purchased from Abcam; anti-IκBα and anti-p65 were from Santa Cruz, and anti–β-actin was from Sigma; anti-PRMT5 and -4 were from Cell Signaling.

Transfection and Luciferase Assay. Constructs were transfected into cell lines by using the Lipofectamine and PLUS Reagents (Invitrogen). To establish stable pools, cells were cotransfected with a plasmid encoding a puromycin resistance gene, and selected in 1 μg/mL of puromycin 48 h later. For NF-κB luciferase assays, the κB-luciferase construct p5XIP10 κB (1) was transfected transiently into the cells and luciferase activity was assayed 48 h later. A β-galactosidase construct was cotransfected to normalize for transfection efficiency. Transfections and luciferase assays were carried out essentially as described by Lu et al. (1).

Western Analysis. Cells were cultured to 95% confluence, and samples were collected and assayed by the Western blotting as described by Lu et al. (1).

EMSA. The oligomer used for an NF-κ binding site (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), was 5′-AGTTGAGGGGACCTTCCCAGGC-3′, labeled with [γ-32P]ATP by the polynucleotide kinase method, following the protocol provided by Promega. Detailed experimental procedures have been described by Lu et al. (2).

Quantitative PCR Analyses. For Quantitative PCR (qPCR) experiments, cells cultured to 80–90% confluence were transfected with different p65 constructs. RNA samples were collected 48 h later. cDNA was made by reverse PCR from total RNA of 293IL1R cells by using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master ROX (Roche) was used for the qPCR reactions. Primers were designed by Primer Express 3.0 software.

Tandem Mass Spectrum Analysis. Analysis of proteolytic digests was performed by using an Orbitrap XL linear ion-trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), coupled with an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Dionex). The digests were injected onto a reverse-phase C18 column (0.075×150 mm, Dionex) eluted with 0.1% formic acid/4% acetonitrile (vol/vol). A linear gradient of acetonitrile from 4 to 40% in water in the presence of 0.1% formic acid over a period of 45 min was used, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The spectra were acquired by data-dependent methods, consisting of a full scan (m/z 400–2,000) and then tandem MS on the five most abundant precursor ions. The previously selected precursor ions were scanned once during 30 s and then were excluded for 30 s. The obtained data were analyzed by Mascot software (Matrix Science) to search for methylated, dimethylated, and tri-methylated arginine residues in the p65 protein. The tandem mass spectra of candidate modified peptides were further interpreted manually.

Coimmunoprecipitations. Cells cultured in 15-cm plates to 95% confluence were lysed in commounoprecipitation buffer [1% Triton X-100 (vol/vol), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 20 μM aprotinin, and 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride and pepstatin A]. Prewashed immobilized protein A/G (Pierce) was premixed with anti-p65, or anti-PRMT5 for 1 h, then mixed with cell lysates with equivalent amount of proteins at 4 °C overnight. Gel beads were washed four times with 20 volumes of coimmunoprecipitation buffer with rotation at 4 °C for 5 min each time. At the last step, the gel beads were resuspended in an equal volume of SDS sample loading buffer [6% (vol/vol) glycerol, 1% (vol/vol) β-mercaptoethanol, 2% (wt/vol) SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.7, 0.004% (wt/vol) bromophenol blue] (1). For anti-Flag-M2 antibody, EZView beads were used (Sigma). The procedures used are same as described previously (1).

Conditioned Media Assay. The 293 cells were seeded into 12-well plates and transfected with different plasmids: empty vector, WTP65, p65 R30A or K. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the media were replaced and the cells were kept for an additional 48 h before the media were collected. The media were then used to treat 293-NFκB reporter cells. Detailed procedures have been described by Lu et al. (2).

Statistical Analysis. The associations between relative luciferase activity and relative gene expression in different groups were analyzed by Student’s t test. The data represent the means ± SD from three independent experiments. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Fig. S1. Effects of the R30A and R30K mutations on NF-κB activity in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) p65−/− cells. (Upper Left) Luciferase assay of NF-κB activity. Overexpression of WTp65 significantly activated NF-κB, but overexpression of the R30A mutant protein reduced this activity. (Upper Right) Overexpression of the R30K mutant protein also reduced the activity. The data represent the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Control (Ctrl) group; †P < 0.01 vs. WT group. (Lower) Western assays showing the overexpression of WTp65 and R30A (Left) and R30K mutant (Right) in MEFp65−/− cells.

Fig. S2. PRMT1 and -4 do not bind to NF-κB upon IL-1β treatment. 293IL1R cells were treated with 10 ng/mL of IL-1β for 1 h or were untreated. A coimmunoprecipitation experiment was done by using anti-p65. Neither PRMT1 nor PRMT4 bind to p65 when NF-κB is activated by IL-1β in 293IL1R cells.

Fig. S3. Assays of conditioned media. (A, Left) Conditioned media from 293IL1R cells overexpressing WTp65 had much higher NF-κB-inducing activity than media from cells overexpressing the R30A mutant protein. (Right) A similar phenomenon was observed with MEFp65−/− cells. (B, Left) Conditioned media from 293IL1R cells overexpressing the R30K mutant protein also had less NF-κB-inducing activity than cells overexpressing WTp65. (Right) A similar phenomenon was observed with MEFp65−/− cells. Stable 293-NF-κB reporter cells were used. The data were normalized to the total number of cells that generated the conditioned media and to the total amounts of protein. The data represent the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. Ctrl group; †P < 0.01 vs. WT group.
Table S1. A full list of NF-κB target genes that are down-regulated by twofold or more by the R30A mutant of p65

Table S1 (DOCX)