Spatial and temporal codes mediate the tactile perception of natural textures
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When we run our fingers over the surface of an object, we acquire information about its microgeometry and material properties. Texture information is widely believed to be conveyed in spatial patterns of activation evoked across one of three populations of cutaneous mechanoreceptive afferents that innervate the fingertips. Here, we record the responses evoked in individual cutaneous afferents in Rhesus macaques as we scan a diverse set of natural textures across their fingertips using a custom-made rotating drum stimulator. We show that a spatial mechanism can only account for the processing of coarse textures. Information about most natural textures, however, is conveyed through precise temporal spiking patterns inafferent responses, driven by high-frequency skin vibrations elicited during scanning. Furthermore, these texture-specific spiking patterns predictably dilate or contract in time with changes in scanning speed; the systematic effect of speed on neuronal activity suggests that it can be reversed to achieve perceptual constancy across speeds. The proposed temporal coding mechanism involves converting the fine spatial structure of the surface into a temporal spiking pattern, shaped in part by the mechanical properties of the skin, and ascribes an additional function to vibration-sensitive mechanoreceptive afferents. This temporal mechanism complements the spatial one and greatly extends the range of tangible textures. We show that a combination of spatial and temporal mechanisms, mediated by all three populations of afferents, accounts for perceptual judgments of texture.

Significance

Our exquisite tactile sensitivity to surface texture allows us to distinguish silk from satin, or even good silk from cheap silk. However, the neural basis for our ability to identify individual textures has never been investigated. Natural textures can comprise very fine textural features, on the order of micrometers, but also coarser ones on the order of millimeters. Surface features sized over many orders of magnitude must then be fused to yield a unitary percept of texture. At the coarse extreme of this range, Braille dots and gratings have been shown to be encoded in the spatial pattern of activation elicited in slowly adapting type 1 (SA1) afferents (1–4), which densely innervate the primate fingertip. Specifically, the spatial layout of surface features is reflected in the spatial layout of the SA1 response across the sensory sheet, so information about texture can be read out from this neural image, a mechanism that draws an analogy to vision. The most compelling evidence implicating this spatial mechanism in texture perception stems from an elegant series of studies that demonstrate that one of the major perceptual attributes of a textured surface, its roughness, can be predicted from the spatial pattern of activation it elicits in SA1 afferents (1–3). However, most natural textures comprise features that are too fine to be resolved through a spatially modulated neural signal given the limits set by the innervation density (5) and spatial filtering (6) of the skin (Fig. S1). Behavioral results suggest that the tactile perception of fine texture relies on the transduction and processing of complex, high-frequency, and texture-specific vibrations (in the range of 50–800 Hz) that propagate over the skin when we scan a surface (7–10). Such skin oscillations would predominantly excite two other populations of afferents, namely rapidly adapting (RA) and Pacinian (PC) fibers (11–13), whose role in texture perception has heretofore never been demonstrated and has, in fact, been called into question (3, 4). Not only does this vibration-mediated mechanism of texture perception implicate different afferent populations, it also implies a different coding mechanism for texture, one that relies on temporal rather than spatial structure in afferent responses.

Results

To investigate how texture is encoded over the range of tangible surfaces, we recorded the activity evoked in SA1, RA, and PC afferents of Rhesus macaques by 55 diverse textured surfaces, delivered to their fingertips using a custom-built rotating drum stimulator. Stimuli ranged from very coarse, such as (Braille-like) embossed dot patterns and corrugated paper (with element sizes on the order of millimeters), to very fine, such as satin and nylon (with elements sized in the tens of micrometers). Many of the textures consisted of elements that span the range of tangible surface elements. To characterize the neural image conveyed by afferents, we computed spatial event plots (SEPs), which are reconstructions of the spatial representation of a stimulus across a population of afferents of a given type (14). Consistent with previous results, the spatial structure of coarse surfaces is faithfully encoded in the spatial pattern of activation in SA1 afferents.
textures, but only weakly or not at all to fine textures, but only weakly or not at all to finer ones (Fig. 1C), which casts doubt on their putative role in texture coding at the somatosensory periphery, we assessed quantitatively the extent to which surface microstructure is reflected in the spatial pattern of activation across SA1 afferents. First, we found that SA1 afferents respond strongly to coarse textures—within spatial periods greater than about 1 mm—are spatially structured, whereas responses to finer textures are no more spatially structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. 1D). Third, the spatial layout of the SA1 response matches that of the stimulus for coarse but not finer textures (Fig. 1E; SI Materials and Methods), so the structure in the neural image is not congruent with the microstructure of the surface itself. In summary, a spatial mechanism, mediated by SA1 afferents, is viable only for very coarse textures, with elements on the order of millimeters, and fails to account for the coding of texture over a wide range of element sizes. (Note that coarse textures are not spatially structured. SEPs are normalized for high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly of RA fibers (12). Furthermore, the frequency composition of the vibrations in the skin during texture scanning is encoded in the strength of the responses evoked in the three populations of mechanoreceptive afferents (12).)

Testing the prevailing theory of texture coding at the somatosensory periphery, we assessed quantitatively the extent to which surface microstructure is reflected in the spatial pattern of activation across SA1 afferents. First, we found that SA1 afferents respond strongly to coarse textures, but only weakly or not at all to finer ones (Fig. 1C), which casts doubt on their putative role in texture processing. Second, although SA1 responses to coarse textures—with spatial periods greater than about 1 mm—are spatially structured, responses to finer textures are no more spatially structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. 1D). Third, the spatial layout of the SA1 response matches that of the stimulus for coarse but not finer textures (Fig. 1E; SI Materials and Methods), so the structure in the neural image is not congruent with the microstructure of the surface itself. In summary, a spatial mechanism, mediated by SA1 afferents, is viable only for very coarse textures, with elements on the order of millimeters, and fails to account for the coding of texture over a wide range of element sizes. (Note that coarse textures are not spatially structured. SEPs are normalized for high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly of RA fibers (12).)

Effect of Scanning Speed on Texture-Specific Temporal Spiking Patterns. For nerve fibers to convey unambiguous information about texture, some aspect of their responses must be preserved across scanning speeds. Indeed, our ability to discriminate different textures is mostly independent of speed (20), whereas afferent responses to texture are not. We found that interspike intervals of afferent responses dilate or contract multiplicatively according to the speed ratio (Fig. 4C; Fig. 5E), and spike trains are considerably lower than its SA1 counterpart (15, 16). RA afferents also densely innervate the fingertip skin (5) and thus might convey textural information spatially. We analyzed the spatial structure of SEPs derived from RA responses using the same approach used for SA1 afferents and found that, as expected, these afferents produce less spatially structured responses than do SA1 afferents (Fig. S2). Finally, PC afferents have very large receptive fields and do not innervate the fingertip densely enough to convey a structured spatial image at the relevant scales.

**Spatial Mechanism for Texture Coding.** Behavioral studies have suggested that texture-elicited vibrations may play an important role in texture perception (7–9, 17). Paired behavioral and neurophysiological studies have shown that the intensity of texture-like skin vibrations is encoded in the strength of the responses evoked in the three populations of mechanoreceptive afferents (12). Furthermore, the frequency composition of the vibrations is encoded in millisecond precision temporal spiking patterns, and this temporal patterning plays an important role in determining how skin vibrations are perceived (13). In light of these previous findings, we considered the possibility that texture information is not encoded in the spatial image, but rather in the time-varying responses of afferents, particularly of RA and PC fibers, as these two afferent populations are highly sensitive to skin vibrations. Examination of the responses of mechanoreceptive afferents to scanned textures shows that the responses are highly repeatable and temporally patterned (Fig. 2). We therefore sought to determine whether these could mediate texture perception. First, we found that, although SA1 afferents respond only weakly (<10 Hz) to most textures, RA and PC fibers respond robustly to most, if not all, of them (Fig. S2A; Fig. 3A). Second, RA and PC responses to textures are significantly more temporally structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. S3A; Fig. 3B). Third, the frequency composition of afferent responses reflects that of the oscillations elicited in the skin during texture scanning (Fig. 2), as measured using a laser Doppler velocimeter (Fig. S3B, Fig. 3C; SI Materials and Methods). Indeed, the skin enhances some frequency components and not others (18, 19), which highlights the importance of measuring the skin response in studying texture perception. Although PC responses mirrored texture-elicited vibrations across the full range of textures, the match between skin vibrations and RA responses was stronger for coarser textures (Fig. 3D and E). Fourth, spike timing conveys sufficient information to identify individual textures and does so with high temporal precision, with optimal resolutions of 4 ms for RA fibers and 2 ms for PC fibers (Fig. 4A) (13). Indeed, textures can be classified accurately based on the responses of small populations of RA or PC afferents at their optimal resolutions (83% with 7 PC fibers; Fig. 4B), suggesting that the whole afferent population (on the order of tens or hundreds of activated units) conveys sufficient information to mediate texture identification. Moreover, although RA and PC afferents convey texture information across the range of element sizes, SA1 responses to all but the roughest textures are uninformative (Fig. 4B; Fig. S5). In summary, high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly in RA and PC fibers, follow the oscillations produced in the skin during texture scanning and convey sufficient information to mediate texture perception.

**Temporal Mechanism for Texture Coding.** Behavioral studies have suggested that texture-elicited vibrations may play an important role in texture perception (7–9, 17). Paired behavioral and neurophysiological studies have shown that the intensity of texture-like skin vibrations is encoded in the strength of the responses evoked in the three populations of mechanoreceptive afferents (12). Furthermore, the frequency composition of the vibrations is encoded in millisecond precision temporal spiking patterns, and this temporal patterning plays an important role in determining how skin vibrations are perceived (13). In light of these previous findings, we considered the possibility that texture information is not encoded in the spatial image, but rather in the time-varying responses of afferents, particularly of RA and PC fibers, as these two afferent populations are highly sensitive to skin vibrations. Examination of the responses of mechanoreceptive afferents to scanned textures shows that the responses are highly repeatable and temporally patterned (Fig. 2). We therefore sought to determine whether these could mediate texture perception. First, we found that, although SA1 afferents respond only weakly (<10 Hz) to most textures, RA and PC fibers respond robustly to most, if not all, of them (Fig. S2A; Fig. 3A). Second, RA and PC responses to textures are significantly more temporally structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. S3A; Fig. 3B). Third, the frequency composition of afferent responses reflects that of the oscillations elicited in the skin during texture scanning (Fig. 2), as measured using a laser Doppler velocimeter (Fig. S3B, Fig. 3C; SI Materials and Methods). Indeed, the skin enhances some frequency components and not others (18, 19), which highlights the importance of measuring the skin response in studying texture perception. Although PC responses mirrored texture-elicited vibrations across the full range of textures, the match between skin vibrations and RA responses was stronger for coarser textures (Fig. 3D and E). Fourth, spike timing conveys sufficient information to identify individual textures and does so with high temporal precision, with optimal resolutions of 4 ms for RA fibers and 2 ms for PC fibers (Fig. 4A) (13). Indeed, textures can be classified accurately based on the responses of small populations of RA or PC afferents at their optimal resolutions (83% with 7 PC fibers; Fig. 4B), suggesting that the whole afferent population (on the order of tens or hundreds of activated units) conveys sufficient information to mediate texture identification. Moreover, although RA and PC afferents convey texture information across the range of element sizes, SA1 responses to all but the roughest textures are uninformative (Fig. 4B; Fig. S5). In summary, high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly in RA and PC fibers, follow the oscillations produced in the skin during texture scanning and convey sufficient information to mediate texture perception.

**Spatial Mechanism for Texture Coding.** Testing the prevailing theory of texture coding at the somatosensory periphery, we assessed quantitatively the extent to which surface microstructure is reflected in the spatial pattern of activation across SA1 afferents. First, we found that SA1 afferents respond strongly to coarse textures, but only weakly or not at all to finer ones (Fig. 1C), which casts doubt on their putative role in texture processing. Second, although SA1 responses to coarse textures—with spatial periods greater than about 1 mm—are spatially structured, responses to finer textures are no more spatially structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. 1D). Third, the spatial layout of the SA1 response matches that of the stimulus for coarse but not finer textures (Fig. 1E; SI Materials and Methods), so the structure in the neural image is not congruent with the microstructure of the surface itself. In summary, a spatial mechanism, mediated by SA1 afferents, is viable only for very coarse textures, with elements on the order of millimeters, and fails to account for the coding of texture over a wide range of element sizes. (Note that coarse textures are not spatially structured. SEPs are normalized for high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly of RA fibers (12).)

Effect of Scanning Speed on Texture-Specific Temporal Spiking Patterns. For nerve fibers to convey unambiguous information about texture, some aspect of their responses must be preserved across scanning speeds. Indeed, our ability to discriminate different textures is mostly independent of speed (20), whereas afferent responses to texture are not. We found that interspike intervals of afferent responses dilate or contract multiplicatively according to the speed ratio (Fig. 4C; Fig. 5E), and spike trains are considerably lower than its SA1 counterpart (15, 16). RA afferents also densely innervate the fingertip skin (5) and thus might convey textural information spatially. We analyzed the spatial structure of SEPs derived from RA responses using the same approach used for SA1 afferents and found that, as expected, these afferents produce less spatially structured responses than do SA1 afferents (Fig. S2). Finally, PC afferents have very large receptive fields and do not innervate the fingertip densely enough to convey a structured spatial image at the relevant scales.

**Spatial Mechanism for Texture Coding.** Testing the prevailing theory of texture coding at the somatosensory periphery, we assessed quantitatively the extent to which surface microstructure is reflected in the spatial pattern of activation across SA1 afferents. First, we found that SA1 afferents respond strongly to coarse textures, but only weakly or not at all to finer ones (Fig. 1C), which casts doubt on their putative role in texture processing. Second, although SA1 responses to coarse textures—with spatial periods greater than about 1 mm—are spatially structured, responses to finer textures are no more spatially structured than would be expected by chance (Fig. 1D). Third, the spatial layout of the SA1 response matches that of the stimulus for coarse but not finer textures (Fig. 1E; SI Materials and Methods), so the structure in the neural image is not congruent with the microstructure of the surface itself. In summary, a spatial mechanism, mediated by SA1 afferents, is viable only for very coarse textures, with elements on the order of millimeters, and fails to account for the coding of texture over a wide range of element sizes. (Note that coarse textures are not spatially structured. SEPs are normalized for high-precision temporal spiking patterns, particularly of RA fibers (12).)
more similar to each other when warped by the speed ratio than when warped by other factors [t tests with Bonferroni correction, t(21) = 4.2, t(19) = 5.8, and t(9) = 4.5 for SA1, RA, and PC fibers, respectively, P < 0.05]. For example, a spike train evoked by a given texture scanned at 80 mm/s is most similar to a spike train evoked by that same texture at 40 mm/s when the former is dilated by a factor of 2. Note that, at this warping factor, the two spike trains are recorded over the same spatial extent of the texture. In fact, the temporal structure is sufficiently conserved that textures can be reliably classified across speeds when responses are appropriately time-warped. Indeed, textures were classified with 89% accuracy based on the responses of small afferent populations when spike trains obtained at 80 mm/s were compared with spike trains obtained at 120 mm/s and dilated by a factor of 1.5 (Fig. 4D). Performance was lower, 62%, when matching responses obtained at 40 mm/s, a decrement that is reflected in their perceptual discriminability at this speed). That texture-evoked spike patterns scale with scanning speed is surprising given that they do not simply reflect the spatial structure of the stimulus, but rather the skin response to the stimulus (10). Given the systematic effect of scanning speed on afferent responses, perceptual invariance can be achieved by integrating temporal spiking patterns with the available cutaneous in-

Discussion

Two mechanisms underlie the representation of texture in the peripheral nerve: a spatial one and a temporal one, which, in combination, afford us a wide range of tangible textures. Indeed, as previously shown (1–4), larger textural features (on the order of millimeters) are encoded spatially in the responses of SA1 afferents. Here, we show for the first time that finer features are encoded temporally in the responses of RA and PC afferents. Textures that exclusively consist of coarse or fine features will rely on only one of these mechanisms, but textures featuring a range of element sizes will require both. Of the two codes, the temporal one is clearly dominant for our set of natural textures. As a point of reference, if dot patterns and gratings are excluded,
mechanisms in upstream structures. Temporal spiking patterns—which activate SA1—rely not only on the contact area but also on the transduction of skin vibrations at locations remote from the contact site, which leads to a considerable amplification of the texture signal (18).

The somatosensory system is typically considered to be a spatial sense, one that draws strong analogies with the visual system (28–30). The extraction of information from oscillations of the somatosensory epithelium implies a complementary mode of processing for the primate somatosensory system, one that draws analogies with the auditory system (31) and with the vibrissal system of rodents (32). Indeed, we show that skin oscillations are transduced into patterns of afferent spiking that reflect the frequency composition of these oscillations. Furthermore, despite the fact that these temporal patterns scale in time with changes in speed, the resulting percept is robust to these changes, a perceptual constancy that may rely on neural mechanisms similar to those that mediate (auditory) timbre constancy (33). That spatial and temporal mechanisms contribute to texture perception raises the question how such disparate representational schemes are integrated in cortex (34, 35) to yield a unitary percept.

Materials and Methods

Stimuli. Textured surfaces were presented to the fingertips of both humans (vibrometry, psychophysics) and anesthetized macaques (neurophysiology) using a custom-built rotating drum stimulator similar to those used in previous studies (36) but larger and more precise. Textured strips (2.5 cm wide × 16 cm in scanning direction) were wrapped around an acrylic drum (25.4 cm in diameter and 30.5 cm in length). In total, 55 different textures were presented, including gratings (height: 0.74 mm) and tetragonal arrays of embossed dots (height: 0.74 mm, diameter: 0.5 mm) created from a photosensitive polymer (Printight, Toyobo Co.), as well as finer, more naturalistic textures such as fabrics and sandpapers. Textures were presented with a force of 50 ± 10 × g and a speed of 80 ± 0.1 mm/s. To examine the effects of scanning speed on the neural responses, we also collected data at 40 and 120 mm/s. On each trial, the drum began to rotate and was lowered onto the fingertip until the desired force was achieved. We only consider SA1 responses convey almost no information about the textures in our set, nor do they account for perceptual judgments of roughness. The temporal mechanism is therefore essential over a wide range of feature sizes. Furthermore, dot patterns and gratings differ from many textures in that they fall at the border between texture and shape, and their spatial layout is perceptually available (and essential in the case of Braille reading). Thus, the spatial image conveyed by SA1 afferents may play a more important role in the perception of shape than it does in the perception of texture, to the extent that these two stimulus properties are distinct. In fact, information about shape is not only conveyed in the spatial response of SA1 (and to some extent) RA afferents, but also in their first spike latencies, suggesting that shape perception may also rely on rate- and timing-based codes (24, 25). Note, however, that these two temporal codes—one based on first spike latencies and the other on temporal spiking patterns—likely involve different decoding mechanisms in upstream structures.

The role of RA and PC afferents in texture perception constitutes a deviation from their commonly assigned functional roles. In particular, PC fibers, which were attributed the sole function of mediating the perception of distal events during tool use (26), are now ascribed a second, arguably more important role. Moreover, as texture-induced surface waves travel along the finger onto the palm (18) and elicit measurable vibrations even at the wrist (27), PC afferents with receptive fields centimeters removed from the contact area respond to palpated textures. Thus, texture processing relies not only on fingertip deformations over the contact area (which activate SA1 fibers), but also on the transduction of skin oscillations.
neuronal responses during the steady-state period when both desired speed and force were achieved. Textures were presented for 2.4, 1.2, or 0.8 s at 40, 80, or 120 mm/s, respectively, and the interstimulus interval was 3.5 s to prevent afferent adaptation (37, 38). A subset of 12 textures was presented in the SEP protocol, described below.

Psychophysiology. Eight subjects (6 males and 2 females; ages 18–31 y) provided informed consent and participated in this study. Subjects sat with the left arm supinated and resting on a support under the drum. Stimuli were presented to the left index fingerpad of each subject. On each trial, the subject was presented with 1 of 55 textures and produced a rating in proportion to its perceived roughness, where a rating of zero denoted a perfectly smooth surface. Each texture was presented once in each of six experimental blocks; ratings were normalized by the mean of each block and averaged, first within and then across subjects. Ratings of roughness were highly consistent within and then across subjects. Ratings of roughness were highly consistent across subjects (intersubject correlation: 0.91 ± 0.03, mean ± SD). In this study, roughness judgments were used as a proxy for coarseness, for which lometry certainly provides information about the spatial layout of a texture, it is difficult to translate 3D structure into a measure of coarseness: the material of which a surface is made will play a critical role in determining how its textural elements interact with the skin. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Chicago.

Neurophysiology. Extracellular single-unit recordings were collected from the median and ulnar nerves innervating the distal fingertips of six Rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) using established procedures (11, 12). Anesthesia was maintained using isoflurane, whose effect on mechanoreceptive responses we have shown to be equivalent to that of pentobarbital (39), the anesthetic agent that has been used in most if not all previous studies investigating mechanoreceptive afferents of primates. Data were collected from 15 SA1 fibers, 13 RA fibers, and 7 PC fibers. Units were classified as SA1, RA, or PC using standard methods (12). Each texture was presented at least twice at each scanning speed. All procedures complied with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Chicago.

Spatial Event Plots. Data amenable to SEP analysis were obtained for only a subset of 12 textures because this experimental protocol is very time consuming. Data for SEPs were obtained by repeatedly passing the stimulus over the receptive field of a single neuron, at 80 mm/s, with a shift of 500 mm along the axis of rotation between each presentation (14). In this way, a different band of the same texture passes over the receptive field with each successive presentation. Because afferent responses are relatively similar across afferents of a given type, spike trains elicited in a single mechanoreceptive afferent by different portions of the stimulus can be used to estimate the spatial pattern of activation elicited by the stimulus across the afferent population (14). Each texture was presented twice at 21 different locations along the axis of rotation, creating an SEP that was 1 cm wide. The SEPs shown in Fig. 18 were created by first aligning and then averaging the SEPs from all SA1 afferents. SEPs were aligned by finding the 8 × 8-mm section with the maximum correlation.

SD of the Power Spectrum. With this analysis, we sought to gauge the extent to which afferent responses exhibit periodic structure. In other words, is the neural response more periodic than would be expected by chance? All of the textures tested in this analysis were themselves periodic, so, to the extent that the neuronal response reflected the spatial structure of the stimulus, the response would exhibit periodic structure. We tested whether the spatial pattern of activation was periodic by performing the analysis on the power spectra of SEPs and whether the temporal pattern of activation was periodic by performing the analysis on power spectra of individual spike trains. Both analyses were carried out on the same data, namely the responses used to compute the SEPs: each row corresponds to the response to one scan across the texture, with different rows corresponding to responses evoked by spatially displaced scans.

Spatial analysis. First, we computed the 2D power spectrum of each 10 × 10-mm SEP (binned in 0.5 × 0.5-mm bins) and averaged the power spectrum across trials for each texture/afferent pair. Second, we collapsed the spectra across the scanning direction to isolate structure that could only be represented spatially (as opposed to temporally), namely structure along the axis perpendicular to the scanning direction. We then computed the SD of each resulting power spectrum. To compute the reliability of the SD, we performed a bootstrapping analysis. On each of 500 iterations, we shuffled the rows to eliminate the spatial structure in the response and recomputed the SD based on the shuffled SEP using the approach described above. The resulting distribution of SDs constituted a null distribution (of SDs obtained in the absence of spatial structure), to which we could compare measured values to gauge their statistical reliability.

Texture Classification from Neural Data. With these analyses, we wished to determine the extent to which textures can be distinguished based on the spiking behavior of afferent populations. To this end, we divided the neural responses recorded during the steady-state period into two consecutive time windows of 500 ms. The underlying assumption was that afferent responses to a given texture should be consistent across time. We then calculated the distance (dissimilarity) between the response evoked by each texture during the first time window and the response evoked by every texture in the second time window (measures of coarseness are described above). We characterized pairwise differences between the responses evoked by different textures, we identified which of the 55 textures resulted in the minimum distance. If the response to one texture, measured in the first window, was nearest to the response to the same texture, measured in the second window, the classification was correct; otherwise, the algorithm misclassified the texture. We used two different measures of neural response distance. First, we compared the interspike interval (ISI) distributions (using a variable bin size) of two spike trains by computing the cost of transforming one into the other. ISI, spanning the range from 0 to 250 ms, were placed into a varying number of bins, from 1 to 512 bins, to vary the temporal resolution from rate to a resolution of ~0.5 ms by recursively splitting bins in half. Distances between pairs of ISI histograms were then determined by calculating the minimum cost to transform one histogram into another, by adding, deleting, and moving spikes between bins (at unit cost for each operation). Second, we used a spike train distance metric (D_{spike}) that calculates the dissimilarity between two spike trains by computing the cost it takes to transform one spike train into another, with a cost of 1 for adding or deleting a spike and a variable cost per unit time for shifting a spike (40). This variable cost determines the temporal resolution of the analysis: ranging from submillisecond to spike count. Spike trains were aligned by computing distances at a variety of different offsets and then choosing the minimum distance to maximally align the phase of the two responses. Although D_{spike} is computed based on the precise timing of individual spikes, the ISI-based method works by using general statistics of spike timing over a given time window. We found that both methods agreed well in overall classification performance and yielded the same optimal temporal resolution, at which the best performance was achieved (see Results from experiments with textures and Fig. S7 for those based on D_{spike}). To classify textures based on the population response, we summed D_{spike} across afferents at their optimal temporal resolution for each texture pair before finding the texture that yielded the minimum distance (13).

Scaling of Spike Trains According to Scanning Speed. To what extent is the temporal patterning in afferent responses preserved across different scanning speeds? To address this question, we scaled the ISIs of spike trains collected at 40 and 120 mm/s to a number of different speeds v_i (ranging from 30 to 150 mm/s) by multiplying each ISI by the ratio of the actual speed v_{act} (40 or 120 mm/s) to v_i. For example, we quadrupled ISIs obtained at 120 mm/s to generate a hypothetical spike train evoked at 30 mm/s. We then compared these time-warped spike trains with those collected at the reference speed, v_{ref} (80 mm/s), by counting the maximum number of coincident spikes (using 4-ms-wide bins) across temporal offsets (to eliminate any discrepancy due to absolute phase, which was not necessarily consistent across speeds; that is, different albeit overlapping extents of the texture were presented at different speeds). To correct for any speed-dependent biases and to focus on fine temporal patterns (on the order of milliseconds) rather than coarse ones, we counted the number of coincident spikes for artificial spike trains, generated by sampling from the original ones by scrambling its within 200 ms-long time windows. (One artificial spike train was generated for each measured spike train.) Counts obtained from scrambled ISIs provided an estimate of the number of coincident spikes expected by chance given the coarse temporal
structure of the response. We then divided the number of coincident spikes above chance as found in the warping analysis by the number of coincident spikes that would have chance when comparing spike trains from single trials collected at the reference speed of 80 mm/s. If spike trains collected at different speeds are time-warped versions of each other, our measure exhibits a peak at the reference speed. If, however, spike patterns do not change consistently with scanning speed, but are constant regardless of speed, peaks at 40 and 120 mm/s should be observed. Classification across speeds was performed by first warping spike trains collected at 40 and 120 to 80 mm/s and then computing $D_{\text{cor}}$ between the original spike trains collected at 80 mm/s and the warped ones.

**Spatial Variation.** To measure the spatial variation in SA fibers, we replicated the exact methods of Connor and Johnson (2). Specifically, SEPs were created by counting the number of whole and fractional ISIs within each 0.5-mm bin. Spatial variation was estimated by convolving a 2D Gabor filter $f$ with each SEP:

$$f(x, y) = \sin \left( \frac{2\pi x \cdot \sin(\theta) - y \cdot \cos(\theta)}{\lambda} \right) \exp \left( \frac{-\left( x^2 + y^2 \right)}{2\sigma^2} \right),$$

where $(x, y)$ is the spatial position, $\theta$ is the orientation of the sinusoidal component of the filter, $\lambda$ is the spatial period of the filter, $\sigma$ is the phase of the sinusoidal component relative to the center of the filter, and $\sigma$ is the SD of the 2D Gaussian component of the filter. Spatial variation was calculated at a range of rotations and translations of the Gabor filter across the field of the SEP and then averaged to obtain the overall spatial variation. We implemented the analysis and optimal parameters used by Connor and Johnson ($\lambda = 2.8$ mm, $\sigma = 1.12$ mm) (2). Because we wished to extend this analysis to all textures, spatial variation was also computed based on reconstructed SEPs. Specifically, the SEP for each texture was generated by sampling rows with replacement from the afferent responses to that textured stimulus. We replicated that these estimated SEPs yielded equivalent spatial variation values by comparing the spatial variation based on actual and estimated SEPs for the textures that were run in both protocols. For those 12 textures, we found that the correlation between the two quantities was 0.95, so we used spatial variation based on reconstructed SEPs in the analyses shown in Fig. 5. We also verified that the same conclusions were reached when only the 12 textures run on the SEP protocols were used (Fig. S8).

**Temporal Variation.** Temporal variation was estimated by convolving a 1D Gabor filter $f$ with the spike trains evoked during individual presentations of each textured surface, binned in 4-ms windows:

$$f(t) = \sin \left( \frac{2\pi t}{\sigma} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{\sigma^2} \right).$$

Filter parameters were optimized for each afferent class individually and were found to be similar for RAs ($\sigma = 2.857 \text{ ms}, \alpha = 14.2 \text{ ms}, \phi = 0.1 \text{ ms}$) and PCs ($\sigma = 1.785 \text{ ms}, \alpha = 14 \text{ ms}, \phi = 0.3 \text{ ms}$). Note that large values of $\sigma$ combined with small values of $\phi$ yielded symmetrical filters with one negative component followed by a positive one, the combined width of which is determined by $\alpha$.

**Composite Code for Roughness.** We calculated a predicted roughness value for each texture using a multiple regression model of the values for each of the three codes. SEs of predicted roughness were computed from bootstrapped values across cells.
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**SI Materials and Methods**

**Profiometry.** The 3D surface profile of the texture samples was recorded using a Laser Microscope (LEXT OLS4000; Olympus Corp.). The planar area captured with each scan was a $3 \times 3$ stitched composite, which was $7.17 \times 7.16$ mm, with a resolution of 2.5 μm in the x-y plane and 0.05 μm along the z axis. Each profiometry patch was rotated using linear interpolation so that its horizontal axis coincided with the texture scanning direction. Power spectral densities were calculated using Welch’s method along the scanning direction.

**Vibrometry.** Laser Doppler vibrometry (Polytec OFV-3001 with OFV 311 sensor head; Polytec), a noncontact measurement technique, was used to record texture-elicited vibrations on the right index fingerpad of six human subjects. The method, described in detail in a previous paper (1), measures the time-varying velocity of a surface, in this case the skin, along the axis of the beam. The laser was focused on the fingerpad 10–15 mm from the edge of contact onto a small square of white-out tape applied to the skin to improve signal strength by maximizing reflectivity. Each texture was presented 10 times at a scanning speed of 80 mm/s. The power spectra of the recorded skin vibrations were converted from velocity to position by dividing each frequency bin by the square of the frequency.

**Correlation Between Vibrations, Surface Microstructure, and Afferent Responses. Spatial correlation analysis.** We sought to determine the extent to which the spatial pattern of afferent activation evoked by a given texture matches the microstructure of the texture. To this end, we computed the correlation between the 2D power spectrum of each texture (measured using profiometry) and that of the corresponding spatial event plot (SEP; for spatial frequencies ranging from 0 to 1 mm$^{-1}$).

**Temporal correlation analysis.** We sought to determine the extent to which the temporal pattern of afferent activation evoked by a given texture matches the vibrations elicited in the skin during scanning. To this end, we computed the correlation ($R_v$) between the power spectrum of the vibrations elicited by each texture (measured using the laser Doppler vibrometer) and the power spectrum of the neuronal response. For this analysis, we only computed the correlation between the power of frequency components between 80 and 600 Hz. We excluded frequency components $>600$ Hz in this analysis because both the amplitude of the stimulus and that of the response were low at those frequencies, and the inclusion of these components artificially boosted the correlations. We excluded frequency components $<80$ Hz because (i) 80 Hz corresponds to a spatial period of 1 mm at a speed of 80 mm/s and is therefore at the boundary of what can be resolved spatially; (ii) surface waves below 80 Hz decay very quickly on the skin and are therefore strongly attenuated relative to components at higher frequencies (1); and (iii) low-frequency components are not strongly texture dependent. To generate Fig. S5, we also computed the correlation ($R_S$) between the power spectrum of the profiometry along the scanning direction and the power spectrum of the neuronal response.

Fig. S1. Limits on tactile spatial resolution. (A) Measured 3D structure of the stimulus (cf. Fig. 1A). (B) Reconstructed geometry of the stimulus after spatial frequencies greater than 1 mm$^{-1}$ are removed. Given the innervation density of the skin, the highest spatial frequency that can be resolved spatially by slowly adapting type 1 (SA1) afferents is 1 mm$^{-1}$. For coarse textures, such as dot patterns, the essential structure of the stimulus falls below this cutoff. For many other textures, however, the essential structure of the surface microgeometry is lost once higher spatial frequencies are removed.
Fig. S2. Spatial representations of texture in rapidly adapting (RA) responses. (A) Firing rates evoked in RA afferents by 12 textures. RA fibers responded to a broader range of textures than did SA1 fibers but a narrower range than Pacinian (PC) fibers. (B) SD of the power spectra of the SEPs derived from RA responses. Asterisks denote SDs that are significantly different from those expected by chance. RA responses exhibited less spatial structure than did their SA1 counterparts. That the little spatial structure in RA responses is significantly above chance highlights their repeatability. (C) Correlations between RA SEPs and surface microgeometry. As was the case with SA1 responses, the spatial structure of RA responses did not reflect that of the stimulus, particularly for fine textures.
Fig. S3. Temporal representation in RA afferents. (A) Temporal patterning (SD of the power spectrum) in RA afferents. (B) Correlations between skin vibrations and RA afferent responses.

Fig. S4. Texture-elicited vibrations are better predictors of neuronal responses to texture than is the surface microgeometry itself. $R_V$, correlation between the PC population response and skin vibrations; $R_S$, correlation between the PC population response and surface microgeometry (computed along the scanning direction). Skin vibrations are a significantly better predictor of neural responses than is surface microgeometry [t test on Fisher Z-transformed correlations, $t(54) = 4.53, P < 0.01$.]
Fig. S5. Confusion matrices for the classification analysis. Pattern of classifications for the three afferent types, averaged over individual afferents of each type, and with roughness quartile boundaries indicated by white lines (textures are ordered by increasing roughness). Texture identity is shown on the horizontal axis, and classification outcome is shown on the vertical axis. As suggested by the population analysis (Fig. 4B), only the roughest textures are accurately classified based on SA1 responses, whereas RA and PC responses support classification over the range of textures tested. Importantly, when textures are misclassified based on RA and PC responses, they are often mistaken for textures with similar roughness ratings. The mean classification performance shown in Fig. 4A is derived from these confusion matrices.

Fig. S6. Scaling of ISIs with scanning speed. Spiking patterns evoked in an RA afferent (Left) and a PC afferent (Right) by four textures at three speeds each, with the responses at 40 mm/s (shown in green) compressed (twofold) and responses at 120 mm/s (orange) dilated (by a factor of 1.5), to match responses at 80 mm/s (black). The temporal pattern in the response at any speed is a compressed or dilated version of the response at another speed.
Fig. S7. Classification using a spike distance metric. Average proportion of correctly classified textures, based on $D_{\text{spike}}$, for individual afferents at different temporal resolutions. Shaded areas denote SEMs across afferents.

Fig. S8. Linking neural responses to perception using only the textures used in the SEP protocol. (A) SA1 spatial variation plotted against perceived roughness ratings. Green markers denote embossed dot patterns and orange markers the remaining textures. Although spatial variation accounts for 90% of the variance in roughness judgments over the 12 textures, the $R^2$ drops to 0.64 when the dot patterns are excluded and 0.24 when hucktowel (the coarsest remaining texture; Fig. 1) is excluded as well. (B) Combined SA1 spatial variation and RA and PC temporal variation plotted against perceived roughness. The weighted sum of the three predictors accounts for 99% of the variance in the roughness judgments over all 12 textures, 97.4% when dots are excluded, and 96.9% when hucktowel is excluded as well.