The PI3K pathway is genetically altered in excess of 70% of breast cancers, largely through \textit{PIK3CA} mutation and \textit{HER2} amplification. Preclinical studies have suggested that these subsets of breast cancers are particularly sensitive to PI3K inhibitors; however, the reasons for this heightened sensitivity are mainly unknown. We investigated the signaling effects of PI3K inhibition in \textit{PIK3CA} mutant and \textit{HER2} amplified breast cancers using PI3K inhibitors currently in clinical trials. Unexpectedly, we found that in \textit{PIK3CA} mutant and \textit{HER2} amplified breast cancers sensitive to PI3K inhibitors, PI3K inhibition led to a rapid suppression of Rac1-p21-activated kinase (PAK)/protein kinase C-RAF (C-RAF)/protein kinase MEK (MEK)/ERK signaling that did not involve RAS. Furthermore, PI3K inhibition led to an ERK-dependent up-regulation of the proapoptotic protein, BIM, followed by induction of apoptosis. Expression of a constitutively active form of Rac1 in these breast cancer models blocked PI3K-induced down-regulation of ERK phosphorylation, apoptosis, and mitigated PI3K inhibitor sensitivity in vivo. In contrast, protein kinase AKT inhibitors failed to block MEK/ERK signaling, did not up-regulate BIM, and failed to induce apoptosis. Finally, we identified phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate-dependent Rac-exchanger 1 (P-Rex1) as the PI(3,4,5)P3-dependent guanine exchange factor for Rac1 responsible for regulation of the Rac1/C-RAF/MEK/ERK pathway in these cells. The expression level of P-Rex1 correlates with sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors in these breast cancer cell lines. Thus, PI3K inhibitors have enhanced activity in \textit{PIK3CA} mutant and \textit{HER2} amplified breast cancers in which PI3K inhibition down-regulates both the AKT and Rac1/ERK pathways. In addition, P-Rex1 may serve as a biomarker to predict response to single-agent PI3K inhibitors within this subset of breast cancers.

\textbf{Significance}

Genetic alterations targeting the PI3K pathway are highly prevalent in breast cancers. Although breast cancers harboring \textit{PIK3CA} mutation and \textit{HER2} amplification have enhanced sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors, the mechanism underlying this sensitivity is unknown. This study shows that PI3K inhibitors suppress MEK/ERK pathway in these cancers, and inhibition of both AKT and ERK pathways is necessary for maximal antitumor activity. We elucidate a unique mechanistic link between PI3K and ERK via PI3K-dependent regulation of P-Rex1, which in turn regulates the Rac1/C-RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Importantly, expression levels of the Rac-GEF, P-Rex1, correlate with sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors among these breast cancer cell lines, indicating its potential utility as a biomarker to identify cancers that will respond to PI3K inhibitors.
that several cell lines harboring PIK3CA mutation and/or HER2 amplification suppress MEK/ERK pathway signaling as well as the AKT pathway after treatment with PI3K inhibitors, and importantly, inhibition of both pathways is necessary for maximal antitumor activity. Moreover we identify that the mechanistic link between PI3K and MEK/ERK is via a P(3,4,5) P3-dependent regulation of the phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate-dependent Rac exchanger 1 (P-Rex1)/small GTPase Rac1 (Rac1)/protein kinase c-RAF (c-RAF) pathway in these cancers. Importantly, the expression levels of the Rac guanine exchange factor (Rac-GEF), P-Rex1, correlate with sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors in these breast cancer cell lines.

**Results**

Treatment of a panel of HER2 amplified and/or PIK3CA mutant breast cancer cell lines with the pan PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 unexpectedly revealed that both AKT and ERK signaling were suppressed (Fig. 1A). This result was recapitulated with additional PI3K inhibitors, including the PI10-Kα-specific inhibitor, BYL719, and another pan-PI3K inhibitor, BKM120 (Fig. 1B). Of note, in PIK3CA and HER2 amplified breast cancers that harbor concurrent RAS mutations, PI3K inhibitors did not suppress ERK signaling (Fig. 1C). Thus, it seems that PI3K signaling drives ERK activation specifically in HER2 amplified and/or PIK3CA mutant cells that do not have direct activation of the MEK/ERK pathway by mutant RAS.

Because RAS often regulates activation of the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway, we determined whether PI3K inhibition led to concomitant suppression of RAS activity in the PIK3CA mutant and/or HER2 amplified breast cancers. However, to our surprise, we observed that RAS activity was not suppressed but rather was induced after GDC-0941 treatment in PIK3CA mutant and HER2 amplified breast cancer cells (Fig. 2A). This discordance between activation of RAS and loss of ERK signaling suggested that PI3K controls MEK/ERK signaling through a RAS-independent pathway. Indeed, we observed that knockdown of small GTPase K-RAS (K-RAS) or small GTPase H-RAS (H-RAS) failed to suppress ERK phosphorylation in T47D cells, in contrast to a K-RAS mutant pancreatic cancer cell line (Fig. 2B). Previous research has identified alternative mechanisms for activating ERK signaling, and thus we turned our attention to Rac, the small GTPase that is a key downstream effector of PI3K signaling (15). It has been shown that Rac can activate PAK (p21-activated kinase), which can directly activate the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway (16, 17). To mimic this effect, we stably expressed a constitutively active version of Rac1 in T47D cells. Expression of constitutively active Rac1 (G12V Rac1) abrogated PI3K-dependent down-regulation of C-RAF and ERK phosphorylation, although suppression of AKT phosphorylation was preserved (Fig. 3A and Fig. S2). Importantly, G12V Rac1 expression mitigated apoptosis induced by PI3K inhibition (Fig. 3B) even though AKT activity remained suppressed (Fig. 3A). These results suggest that the suppression of both the Rac/ERK and AKT pathways are required for maximal cell death induced by PI3K inhibition. Consistent with these results, GDC-0941 treatment blocked growth of MCF7 tumor xenografts in vivo, but efficacy of the PI3K inhibitor was substantially mitigated in the MCF7 tumors expressing constitutively active Rac1 (Fig. 3C). Western blotting of tumor lysates showed that GDC-0941 down-regulated both AKT and ERK phosphorylation in MCF7 xenografts, whereas MCF7 xenografts expressing G12V Rac1 displayed sustained MEK/ERK activity after PI3K inhibition despite suppression of AKT phosphorylation (Fig. 3D). Thus, down-regulation of Rac is necessary for PI3K inhibitors to suppress ERK signaling and for full efficacy in vivo.

We next aimed to determine whether Rac/ERK signaling was downstream of AKT in these cancers. Therefore, we compared the effect of GDC-0941 to an AKT inhibitor (AKT1/2 inhibitor). Interestingly, AKT inhibition, unlike PI3K inhibition, did not suppress RAF/MEK/ERK signaling in either HER2 amplified or PIK3CA mutant cancer cells (Fig. 4A). According to these findings, AKT inhibition did not suppress Rac1 activity (Fig. 4B). Along this line, the AKT1/2 inhibitor also induced less apoptosis in comparison with GDC-0941 (Fig. 4C). These results were verified with a second AKT inhibitor, MK-2206 (Fig. S3). Consistent with the differential effects on ERK signaling, we observed that PI3K inhibition led to greater up-regulation of the proapoptotic protein BIM (BIM), a critical inducer of apoptosis whose protein expression is normally suppressed by ERK-mediated phosphorylation and degradation (18) (Fig. 4D). Thus, in these cells, AKT inhibitors may be less effective inducers of apoptosis than PI3K inhibitors because AKT, unlike PI3K, does not regulate the Rac/PAK/ERK/BIM pathway.

These data suggest that the Rac/PAK/ERK/BIM pathway is activated by PI3K but not AKT in this subset of breast cancers. Thus, we endeavored to identify which other PI3K effector (likely containing a PH domain) regulated Rac activation. Rac activation is directly mediated by Rac-GEFs, a class of molecules that promote the exchange of GDP for GTP (15). A recent study indicated that the PH domain-containing GEF, P-Rex1, is overexpressed in numerous breast cancers, particularly in primary tumors or cell lines of luminal origin, compared with normal mammary cells. Moreover, in these cells P-Rex1 is responsible for PI3K-dependent Rac activation, although its role in regulating ERK had not been evaluated (19). Thus, we
the indicated antibodies. Results were confirmed by two independent experiments. (C) Cells were serum starved for 16 h, and then media containing 50% (vol/vol) FBS with or without 1 μM of GDC-0941 was added. Cells were lysed after 30 min, and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay. Independent experiments were performed more than three times for T47D and twice for other cell lines, and a representative result is shown. (D) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 for the indicated times, and lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed at least three times, and a representative result is shown. (E) Schematic representation of how PI3K is proposed to regulate ERK pathway.

We hypothesized that P-Rex1-dependent activation of Rac may be dependent on PI3,4,5P3 levels on the membrane and thus would be sensitive to PI3K inhibitors (but not AKT inhibitors). To determine the role of P-Rex1 in the regulation of ERK signaling, we used RNA interference to deplete P-Rex1 levels. As expected, tetracycline-induced depletion of P-Rex1 inhibited Rac1 activity (Fig. 5A). Consistent with our results above, depletion of P-Rex1 by either siRNA or shRNA suppressed MAPK signaling, including c-Raf, MEK, and ERK (Fig. 5B and C). Thus, these data suggest that P-Rex1 links PI3K signaling to ERK in HER2 amplified and/or PIK3CA mutant breast cancer cells.

As previously reported (19), there is a spectrum of expression of levels of P-Rex1 across breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, P-Rex1 was expressed in all of the cell lines in which PI3K inhibition led to suppression of the ERK pathway. Conversely, in three breast cancer cell lines—MDA-MB-453 (HER2 amplified and PIK3CA mutant), BT-20 (PIK3CA mutant), and CAL-51 (PIK3CA mutant)—that had undetectable levels of P-Rex1 (Fig. 5D), PI3K inhibition did not suppress Rac1 activation or ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 5E and F). Indeed, there was no correlation between Rac-GTP (Fig. 5A) and P-Rex1 levels (Fig. 5D), underscoring the finding that PI3K-dependent activation of Rac, not absolute Rac-GTP levels, correlates with PI3K-dependent regulation of ERK signaling. Unlike the MCF7 xenograft tumors, the growth of CAL-51 tumors was minimally impacted by PI3K inhibition (Fig. 5G), and as expected, the PI3K inhibitor did not impair ERK signaling in these low P-Rex1 tumors in vivo (Fig. 5H). However, the combination of PI3K inhibitor and MEK inhibitor induces down-regulation of both AKT and ERK pathways (Fig. 5H) and tumor regression (Fig. 5I). Notably, examination of P-Rex1 expression levels among a panel of HER2 amplified and PIK3CA mutant breast cancer cells revealed that those cell lines that express low levels of P-Rex1 were less sensitive to the anti-proliferative effects of GDC-0941 than high P-Rex1-expressing cells (Fig. 5I). Taken together, these data demonstrate that PI3K-dependent regulation of ERK signaling is mediated through P-Rex1. Consequently, P-Rex1 expression levels may serve as a biomarker to predict which HER2 amplified and PIK3CA mutant breast cancers have ERK signaling under the regulation of PI3K and accordingly may help identify those cancers that will be most susceptible to single-agent PI3K inhibitors in the clinic.

**Discussion**

In this study we observe that in HER2 amplified and/or PIK3CA mutant breast cancers PI3K inhibition leads to suppression of not only AKT but ERK as well. Interestingly, ERK down-regulation had been observed also in patients treated with XL147, a potent inhibitor of the class I PI3K family members (6), supporting the observation that in some cancers PI3K signaling controls ERK signaling. All together our data support a model in which PI3K regulates P-Rex1-dependent activation of Rac1, which in turn activates the RAF/MAPK/ERK pathway (16, 17) and Rac1-activated trans-formation of human breast epithelial cancer cells (20). However, the regulation of ERK signaling in a P3K-dependent manner via P-Rex1 has not been previously described. Although our data suggest that ERK is one key downstream effector of PI3K-dependent Rac1 activity in these tumors, we cannot exclude the possibility that Rac1 may also regulate signaling pathways in addition to ERK that contribute to the survival of these cells. In this study we identified P-Rex1 as the Rac-GEF that regulates PI3K-mediated ERK pathway activation. We think it is unlikely that other Rac-GEFs with a PH domain, such as P-Rex2a, exert a redundant role in activating the ERK pathway in these cells for several reasons: (i) P-Rex1 is the only Rac-GEF controlling Rac activity when overexpressed (19); (ii) P-Rex2a is almost undetectable in cells overexpressing P-Rex1 (19); and (iii) P-Rex2a regulates PI3K pathway through inhibition of Pten independently of its Rac-GEF activity (21). Our finding that expression levels of P-Rex1 correlate with sensitivity to GDC-0941 suggests that P-Rex1 expression may serve as a clinical biomarker predicting clinical benefit from PI3K inhibitors. Analysis of patient specimens from ongoing clinical trials of PI3K inhibitors will be needed to assess this hypothesis. Although these data demonstrate that ERK activation is controlled by P-Rex1 in breast cancers that...
express high levels of P-Rex1, we do not have data to determine whether ERK activation is under control of Rac in breast cancers that express low levels of P-Rex1. It is quite possible that low P-Rex1 breast cancers use Rac-independent pathways to control ERK activation.

To our initial surprise, PI3K inhibitor-induced suppression of the ERK pathway seems to be largely independent of RAS. In fact, whereas GDC-0941 treatment results in inhibition of both ERK and AKT activation, RAS activity was modestly increased. Although more studies are needed to elucidate the feedback mechanism leading from PI3K inhibition to RAS activation, it is possible that PI3K/AKT inhibition releases a negative feedback on receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) that, in turn, stimulate RAS (11, 14, 22, 23). Additionally, other regulators of RAS activation may be regulated by PI3K, such as a RAS-GAP that contains a PH domain (24).

Our data support the notion that inhibition of PI3K is qualitatively different from AKT inhibition in some cancers. Our finding that AKT inhibitors do not suppress ERK and are inferior to PI3K inhibitors in terms of induction of the proapoptotic molecule BIM and apoptosis suggests that PI3K inhibitors may have superior antitumor activity compared with AKT inhibitors for certain cancers. Previous studies have demonstrated that combined inhibition of both PI3K/AKT and ERK pathways are substantially more effective in promoting durable tumor regression in other cancer models (25–30). However, the functional differences between PI3K and AKT inhibitors may extend beyond the regulation of P-Rex1 and ERK signaling. Previously, others reported that the PI(3,4,5)P3 produced by PI3K can activate AKT-independent signaling pathways that are critical for cancer growth. For example, it has been shown that the PDK1 substrate SGK3 can play a role in promoting PI3K-dependent viability in some breast cancers harboring PIK3CA mutations (31). Additionally, Btk’s tyrosine kinase (BTK), a PH domain-containing mediator of B-cell receptor signaling implicated in the pathogenesis of B-cell malignancies (32), can be recruited by PI(3,4,5)P3 to the plasma membrane, where it becomes activated (33). In early clinical trials, BTK inhibitors are yielding promising activity in lymphoid malignancies (34, 35). Thus, in several cancers, PI3K seems to control oncogenic pathways other than just AKT.

Interestingly, in contrast to our findings, previous studies revealed activation of ERK signaling after more prolonged treatment with PI3K inhibitors in HER2 amplified breast cancers (9, 11). In the PIK3CA mutant T47D and MCF7 breast cancer cells, both Rac and ERK signaling remained suppressed for up to 24 h (Fig. S4B and C). In contrast, both Rac and ERK signaling recovered after 24 h of treatment with GDC-0941 in BT474 cells (Fig. S4B and C). Although these findings do not explain why BT474 cells recover Rac activation, these results continue to support a tight relationship between Rac and ERK activation among all of these breast cancer cell lines. It is also notable that, in HER2 amplified breast cancers, compensatory activation of ERK was stronger with dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitors in comparison with pure PI3K inhibitors and was mediated by activated ErbB receptor signaling (11). Subsequent studies using selective mTORC1/2 inhibitors, designed to inhibit TORC1/2 while sparing PI3K, revealed a similar activation of ErbB receptors (36). Thus, it seems that potent inhibition of mTOR may have a greater capacity than PI3K inhibitors to activate ERK in HER2 amplified breast cancers. However, the clinical significance of this distinction remains to be determined.

Our findings raise the question of why ERK signaling is regulated by a PI3K-dependent mechanism in many breast cancer cell lines, particularly those without RAS mutations. We hypothesize that many of these cancers, such as those with PIK3CA mutations and/or HER2 amplification, may initially develop genetic mutations that strongly activate PI3K but are not potent inducers of ERK signaling. In these cancers, there may be less input into ERK by RTKs, especially because PI3K activation normally suppresses RTK activation. In this scenario, those clones that effectively used PI(3,4,5)P3 to also activate the ERK signaling pathway (i.e., those with high P-Rex1) would grow out via Darwinian selection. This may explain the relatively high expression levels of P-Rex1 observed in many luminal breast cancers (19).

Altogether, our studies reveal a unique mechanism of PI3K-dependent regulation of ERK activation and provide additional insight as why breast cancers harboring PIK3CA mutations and/or HER2 amplification may have enhanced sensitivity to single-agent PI3K inhibitors. Furthermore, these results provide a rationale for assessing P-Rex1 as a biomarker in clinical trials of breast cancers treated with PI3K inhibitors.
Materials and Methods

**Immunoblotting.** Lysates were prepared as previously described (37). Antibodies against phospho-AKT (Ser-473), phospho-CRAF (Ser-338), phospho-MEK1/2 (Ser-217/221), MEK1/2, phospho-p42/44 MAP kinase (Thr-202/Tyr-204), p42/44 MAP kinase, phospho-PAK1 (Ser199/204)/PAK2 (Ser192/197), PAK1, PAK2, and BIM were from Cell Signaling Technology. Antibodies against AKT and RAF-1 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Total RAS and Rac were from Millipore. P-Rex1 antibody was obtained from Medical & Biological Laboratories.

**RAS and Rac Activity Assay.** RAS and Rac1 activation assays were performed using RAS and Rac Activity Assay kit (Millipore). Briefly, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with a GST fusion protein corresponding to the RAS-binding domain of Raf-1 bound to glutathione-agarose to identify RAS-GTP or the p21-binding domain of human PAK1 bound to glutathione-agarose to identify Rac1-GTP. GTPγS and GDP protein loading were used for positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Fig. 4.** AKT inhibitors fail to down-regulate ERK signaling and induce apoptosis. (A) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 (GDC) or 1 μM AKT1/2 kinase inhibitor (AKTi) for 30 min. Lysates were prepared and blotted with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed at least three times, and a representative result is shown. (B) Cells were serum starved for 16 h, and media containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS with or without indicated drugs was added. After 30 min cells were lysed, and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay. Independent experiments were performed twice for BT474 cells and three times for T47D cells. (C) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 (GDC) or 1 μM AKT1/2 kinase inhibitor (AKTi) for 72 h. The percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis, as measured by annexin V positivity, is shown relative to untreated cells. The average ± SD is shown (n = 3). (D) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 (GDC) or 1 μM AKT1/2 kinase inhibitor (AKTi) for 24 h. Lysates were prepared and probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed three times, and a representative result is shown.
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**Immunoblotting.** Lysates were prepared as previously described (37). Antibodies against phospho-AKT (Ser-473), phospho-CRAF (Ser-338), phospho-MEK1/2 (Ser-217/221), MEK1/2, phospho-p42/44 MAP kinase (Thr-202/Tyr-204), p42/44 MAP kinase, phospho-PAK1 (Ser-199/204)/PAK2 (Ser-192/197), PAK1, PAK2, and BIM were from Cell Signaling Technology. Antibodies against AKT and RAF-1 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Total RAS and Rac were from Millipore. P-Rex1 antibody was obtained from Medical & Biological Laboratories.

**RAS and Rac Activity Assay.** RAS and Rac1 activation assays were performed using RAS and Rac Activity Assay kit (Millipore). Briefly, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with a GST fusion protein corresponding to the RAS-binding domain of Raf-1 bound to glutathione-agarose to identify RAS-GTP or the p21-binding domain of human PAK1 bound to glutathione-agarose to identify Rac1-GTP. GTPγS and GDP protein loading were used for positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Fig. 5.** P-Rex1 expression levels correlate with sensitivity to PI3K inhibition. (A) T47D cells expressing doxycycline-inducible control (Ctr) or P-Rex1 (shPREX) vector were treated with or without 10 ng/mL doxycycline (Dox) for 72 h. Then cells were serum starved for 16 h, and media containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS was added. After 30 min cells were lysed, and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay. Independent experiments were performed twice, and a representative result is shown. (B) T47D cells expressing doxycycline-inducible control (Ctr) or P-Rex1 (shPREX) vector were treated with or without 10 ng/mL doxycycline (Dox) for 72 h. Lysates were prepared and probed with the indicated antibodies. Results were confirmed by three independent experiments, and a representative result is shown. (C) Cells were transfected with control or P-Rex1-targeted siRNA for 48 and 72 h. Lysates were prepared and probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed at least three times for T47D and twice for MCF7, and a representative result is shown. (D) Cells were lysed, and lysates were probed with P-Rex1 antibody; GAPDH served as loading control. Results were confirmed by independent lysates, and a representative result is shown. (E) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 for the indicated times, and lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed at least three times, and a representative result is shown. (F) MDA-MB-453 and CAL-51 cells were serum starved for 16 h, and media containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS with or without 1 μM GDC-0941 (GDC) was added. Cells were lysed after 30 min, and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay. (G) CAL-51 were injected into nude mice, and when tumors reached ~450 mm³ mice were treated with GDC-0941 (100 mg/kg once daily), AZD6244 (25 mg/kg once daily), or the combination (GDC/AZD) once daily for 28 d (n = 5 mice for each group). The average tumor sizes are shown. (H) CAL-51 tumors were harvested 2 h after the last treatment (as indicated), and lysates were prepared and blotted with the indicated antibodies. (I) Correlation between IC50 of GDC-0941 and P-Rex1 RNA expression levels in a set of 12 HER2 amplified and/or PIK3CA mutant breast cancer cell lines.

Xenograft Mouse Studies. For xenograft experiments, a suspension of 5–10 × 10⁶ cells was inoculated s.c. into the left flank of 6- to 8-wk-old female athymic nude mice (for MCF7 experiment mice were implanted with estrogen pellet). The mice were maintained in laminar airflow units under aseptic conditions, and the care and treatment of experimental animals were in accordance with institutional guidelines. GDC-0941 was dissolved in 0.5% methylcellulose and administered at 100 mg/kg once per day by oral gavage. AZD6244 was dissolved in 0.5% methylcellulose and 0.4% polysorbate and administered at 25 mg/kg once per day by oral gavage.

Database Analyses. PI3KCA mutation and HER2 amplification status for cell lines was obtained from the Sanger Institute COSMIC database, drug sensitivity data, represented as IC₅₀, were obtained from Supplementary Data 1 of Garnett et al. (38), and transcript levels for P-RE1 were obtained from the CCLE database (www.broadinstitute.org/ccle/home). A total of 12 HER2 amplified and/or PI3KCA mutant cell lines with corresponding drug sensitivity data to GDC-0941 were identified. Cell lines were classified into two groups—high and low—depending on the levels of P-RE1 they expressed, and a two-tailed Student t test was performed on the IC₅₀ (Fig. 5). Differences of P < 0.05 were considered statistically different.
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SI Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Reagents. BT474, EFM-192A, HCC1419, AU565, T47D, MCF7, MDA-MB-453, BT-20, and CAL-51 cells were cultured in DMEM (Cellgro; Mediatech Inc.) with 10% (vol/vol) FBS. MDA-MB-361 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Cellgro; Mediatech Inc.) with 5% (vol/vol) FBS. Cell lines were obtained from the Center for Molecular Therapeutics at Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center. The mutation status was obtained from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Cancer Genome Project Web site (www.sanger.ac.uk). The following drugs were used: GDC-0941 (supplied by the Targeting PI3K in Women’s Cancers Stand Up to Cancer Dream Team), AKT-1/2 inhibitor (Sigma), and MK-2206 (Selleck Chemicals). Compounds were dissolved in DMSO to a final concentration of 10 mmol/L and stored at −20 °C. BYL719 and BKM120 were kindly provided by Novartis and also stored at a final concentration of 10 mmol/L at −20 °C.

Lentiviral shRNA Experiments. The tet-inducible shRNA vector used in this study was kindly provided by Novartis. The sequence encoded by inducible shP-Rex1 is cctatgaaccacagttaacaa. Lentiviral preparation and infections were performed as previously described (1). shRNA transduced cell lines were grown in each medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) Tet-approved FBS (Clontech) in the presence of 2 μg/mL puromycin. In the tet-inducible system, expression of shRNA was induced by growing cells in the presence of 10 ng/mL doxycycline (Sigma).

siRNA Knockdown. Cells were seeded into six-well plates at a density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells per well. Twenty-four hours later, cells were transfected with ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA against p-21 activated protein 1 (PAK1), p-21 activated protein 2 (PAK2), p-21 activated protein 3 (PAK3), small GTPase K-RAS (K-RAS), small GTPase H-RAS (H-RAS) or phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate-dependent Rac exchanger 1 (P-Rex1) (Dharmacon) or Silencer negative control #1 siRNA (Ambion) using Lipofectamine RNAI MAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected cells were cultured at 37 °C for 72 h before analysis.

Apoptosis Analysis. Cells were treated with media with or without indicated drugs. After 72 h, media was collected. Cells were washed with PBS and trypsinized. PBS wash and trypsinized cells were added to the collected media in a single tube. Cells were pelleted, washed once with PBS, and resuspended in annexin binding buffer (BD Biosciences). Cells were stained with propidium iodide (BD Biosciences) and annexin V Cy5 (Biovision) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and assayed on an LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative RT-PCR. For cell lines, RNA was isolated and purified using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit and further purified by DNase treatment with Ambion Turbo DNase. After extraction and purification, RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified using superscript first-strand cDNA synthesis (Invitrogen). The amplicon abundance of PAK3 and β-actin was monitored in real time on a Roche Lightcycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics) by measuring the fluorescence increases of SYBR Green. The PAK3 primers used in this study were: forward, 5′-AGTCAGAAGTTCAGTTCGCC-3′, reverse, 5′-CATGATGGAGTTGAAGGTAGTTTCGT-3′. The β-actin primers were: forward, 5′-CTGTGCTATCCCTGTACGCCTC-3′ and reverse, 5′-CATGATGGAGTTGAAGGTAGTTTCGT-3′. Relative PAK3 RNA levels were calculated using the ΔΔ threshold cycle (Ct) method as previously described.


Fig. S1. PAK1 and PAK3 regulate ERK activity. T47D cells were transfected with control, PAK1, PAK2, or PAK3-targeted siRNA for 72 h. (A) Cell lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed at least four times, and a representative result is shown. (B) PAK3 mRNA was quantified by quantitative PCR (because PAK3 protein was not detectable using available antibody). The average ± SD of two independent experiments is shown.
**Fig. S2.** Expression of constitutively active form of Rac1 (Rac1 G12V) in MCF7 and T47D cells. Cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing Rac1 G12V fused with GFP or control vector (VC). The expression of GFP (fluorescence) was confirmed directly using fluorescence microscopy.

**Fig. S3.** AKT inhibitor MK-2206 fails to induce apoptosis and down-regulate p-ERK in MDA-MB-361 cells. MDA-MB-361 cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 or 1 μM MK-2206 for 72 h (A) or indicated time points (B). (A) The percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis, as measured by annexin V positivity, is shown relative to DMSO-treated cells. The average ± SD is shown (n = 3). (B) Cell lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. Independent experiments were performed three times, and a representative result is shown.
**Fig. S4.** Cell-type dependent ERK and Rac activation after 24 h treatment with GDC-0941. (A) Cells were lysed and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay. (B) Cells were treated with 1 μM GDC-0941 for the indicated times, and lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. (C) Cells were serum starved for 16 h, and media containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS with or without 1 μM GDC-0941 (GDC) was added. Cells were lysed after 24 h after treatment with GDC-0941, and Rac-GTP levels were determined with a PAK1-binding domain pull-down assay.

**Fig. S5.** PI3K controls the MEK/ERK pathway through the Rac-GEF P-Rex1. Schematic representation of how PI3K is proposed to regulate ERK pathway.