Lysine methylation-dependent binding of 53BP1 to the pRb tumor suppressor
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The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein pRb is a key regulator of cell cycle progression and mediator of the DNA damage response. Lysine methylation at K810, which occurs within a critical Cdk phosphorylation motif, holds pRb in the hypophosphorylated growth-suppressing state. We show here that methyl K810 is read by the tandem tudor domain containing tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 (53BP1). Structural elucidation of 53BP1 in complex with a methylated K810 pRb peptide emphasized the role of the 53BP1 tandem tudor domain in recognition of the methylated lysine and surrounding residues. Significantly, binding of 53BP1 to methyl K810 occurs on E2 promoter binding factor target genes and allows pRb activity to be effectively integrated with the DNA damage response. Our results widen the repertoire of cellular targets for 53BP1 and suggest a previously unidentified role for 53BP1 in regulating pRb tumor suppressor activity.

The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein pRb is either directly mutated or functionally inactivated in the vast majority of human tumors (1). One of its principle roles in cells is to regulate transcription, and the E2F family of transcription factors represents one of its most important targets (2). E2F acts to regulate the expression of a variety of genes connected with cell cycle progression and cell fate (including apoptosis, senescence, and differentiation), and the physical interaction between pRb and E2F hinders transcriptional activation by E2F, which coincides with cell cycle arrest (2, 3).

The tumor suppressor activity of pRb and its interaction with E2F is regulated by posttranslational modifications (4). For example, multiple cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) phosphorylation events occur within pRb, and pRb phosphorylation is temporally regulated as cells progress through the cell cycle, which disrupts the interaction between pRb and E2F. Other types of modification, such as acetylation in the C-terminal region, are also known to influence pRb activity (5).

More recently, a role for lysine (K) methylation in pRb control has been described (6). Thus, residue K810 undergoes methylation mediated by SET [su(var), enhancer-of-zeste, trithorax] domain containing lysine methyltransferase 7 (Set7/9) (also known as SETD7/KMT7) (6). Monomethylation at K810 holds pRb in the hypophosphorylated growth suppressing state, which occurs at a mechanistic level by inhibiting the physical association of Cdk complexes with pRb and thereby blocks Cdk-dependent phosphorylation (6). This is because, in the unmethylated state, K810 acts as the essential basic residue in the Cdk consensus phosphorylation site S807 (namely SPLK, Fig. L4), which is an early pRb phosphorylation event during cell cycle control (7). Significantly, methylation of K810 hinders recognition and subsequent phosphorylation of S807 by cyclin/Cdk complexes (6).

Here, we have elucidated a previously unidentified level of regulation imposed on pRb mediated by the methylation event at K810. In addition to inhibiting Cdk-dependent phosphorylation of pRb, we found that methylated K810 is “read” by the tandem tudor domain containing tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 (53BP1), which enables 53BP1 to form a stable interaction with pRb. This interaction was further exemplified by the co-crystal structure of the 53BP1 tandem tudor domain in complex with the methylated K810 peptide, and further by the presence of 53BP1 bound to pRb on E2F target genes. An established function of 53BP1 is in DNA double strand break (DSB) repair (8). Significantly, the methylation-dependent binding of 53BP1 to K810 allows pRb activity to be effectively integrated with the DNA damage response. The ability of 53BP1 to read pRb methylation at K810 thus links the DNA damage response with pRb, and suggests a previously unidentified level of functional interplay between 53BP1, pRb, and cell cycle control.

Results

pRb Lysine Methylation Is Read by 53BP1. Residue K810 in pRb is modified by the Set7/9 methyltransferase (Fig. L4), and methylation of K810 is up-regulated in DNA-damage-treated cells (6). We reasoned that in addition to its DNA-damage-dependent impact on pRb and E2F-dependent transcription, the methylated form of pRb might also play a direct mechanistic role in the DNA-damage response through its recognition by an accessory protein. To explore this idea, we first investigated the possibility that methylated K810 is recognized by an appropriate “reader” protein (9). We therefore prepared biotinylated pRb peptides that were either unmodified or methylated at K810, and used the fluorescently labeled streptavidin conjugate to screen the chromatin-associated domain array (CADOR). CADOR is a protein array that was designed to screen for proteins that mediate signaling events.

The retinoblastoma protein (pRb) is a key regulator of cell cycle progression and the DNA damage response. Its importance in these processes is highlighted by the fact that it is mutated or functionally inactivated in almost all human tumors. Its activity is finely regulated by a number of post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation and methylation, which act to recruit “reader” proteins that mediate signaling events. Here, to our knowledge for the first time, we describe the methyl-dependent interaction between pRb and the tumor domain containing tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 (53BP1) and describe how this interaction integrates pRb cell cycle control with the DNA damage response. Our results therefore widen the repertoire of cellular targets for 53BP1 and suggest a new role in regulating pRb tumor suppressor activity.
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array platform developed to identify protein domains that bind to modified peptides, and includes a large number of reader domains involved with chromatin and transcriptional control (10). We identified a “hit” protein in the screen, the tandem Tudor protein 53BP1, which bound to the methylated, but not unmethylated, pRb peptide (Fig. 1B).

53BP1 is a DNA-damage repair protein in which the tandem tudor domain is known to bind to methylated K382 in tumor protein p53 and K20 in histone H4 (11, 12). 53BP1 binds to either mono- or dimethylated H4K20 with a $K_D$ around 50 $\mu$M and 20 $\mu$M, respectively, as measured by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) (11). It is involved in repairing DNA DSBs and contributes to nonhomologous end joining. Its recruitment to H2AX enriched chromatin is caused in part by a direct interaction between 53BP1 and methylated H4K20 (11). In cells, methylation of K20 is mediated by Set8 (PR-Set7/KMT5A), which monomethylates H4K20 (13), and the enzymes MMSET/WHSC1 (14) and Suzu4-20 (h1 and h2; KMT5B and KMT5C) (15), which convert the methylation event to the di- and trimethylated form respectively.

We established that the interaction between 53BP1 and pRb was methylation-dependent using in vitro binding assays and cell-based approaches. In a peptide binding assay, only the methylated (RbK810me) and not the unmethylated RbK810 peptide bound to 53BP1 (Fig. 1C); both mono- and dimethyl RbK810 exhibited

Fig. 1. Identification of a reader protein for pRb methylated at K810. (A) Schematic representation of the pRb and 53BP1 protein. The N-terminal, C-terminal, and pocket domain (A + B) of pRb are indicated. The amino acid sequence around residue K810 (highlighted in red) has been expanded to indicate the Cdk consensus motif SPLK (boxed in gray). A methyl-dependent interaction with the tandem Tudor domain of 53BP1 is indicated by dashed lines. BRCT, BRCA1 carboxyl-terminal domain; GAR, glycine and arginine rich motif; OD, oligomerization domain; UDR, ubiquitylation-dependent recruitment motif. (B) CADOR array probed with anti-GST (Top), biotinylated pRb peptide (Middle), or biotinylated pRb peptide with mono- or dimethylated K810 (Bottom). The gray-boxed regions demarked and enlarged show binding of the methylated pRb peptide to two independent samples of recombinant 53BP1 Tudor domain. The additional green spots on the array represent CADOR-peptide interactions that were not validated in cells. (C) Peptide binding assay in which avidin-immobilized unmodified RbK810 peptide (0) or monomethylated RbK810 peptide (1) was incubated with recombinant GST-53BP1 Tudor protein. The left side displays flow-through from the assay, whereas the right side displays the remaining eluted protein. $n = 3$. (D) As above, although unmodified (0), monomethylated (1), dimethylated (2), and trimethylated (3) RbK810 peptides were used in the binding assay. $n = 2$.

Fig. 2. 53BP1 binds to methylated pRb. (A) U2OS cells were transfected with 2 $\mu$g of HA-pRb, HA-pRb-K810R, or empty vector (−). Cells were also treated with 20 $\mu$M etoposide for the last 16 h of the experiment. An immunoprecipitation was performed using anti-HA antibody and coprecipitating 53BP1 was detected by immunoblot. $n = 2$. (B) 293T cells were exposed to 50 J/m² UV light where indicated, and a pRb immunoprecipitation was performed. Coprecipitating 53BP1 and E2F-1 were detected by immunoblot. (C) Biolayer interferometry real-time kinetic analysis of immobilized unmodified (RbK810me0), monomethylated (RbK810me1), dimethylated (RbK810me2), and trimethylated RbK810 (RbK810me3) peptides bound to His-53BP1 Tudor domain (1459–1599). As above, but showing the concentration dependent binding of 53BP1 Tudor with the RbK810me3 peptide. A $K_D$ value of 42 $\mu$M was calculated from these data.
similar binding efficiencies, in contrast to the trimethylated RbK810 peptide, which failed to bind to 53BP1 (Fig. 1D). In transfected cells expressing ectopic pRb, 53BP1 coimmunoprecipitated with wild-type pRb but not with the lysine-to-arginine (K810R) mutant derivative (Fig. 2A), and this interaction was enhanced in the presence of DNA damage, because cells treated with etoposide displayed a stronger pRb-53BP1 association than unperturbed cells (Fig. S1B). An interaction between endogenous 53BP1 and pRb together with E2F-1 was also apparent in a number of cancer cell lines, and again, the interaction was enhanced under DNA-damage conditions (doxorubicin treatment and UV exposure) (Fig. 2B and Fig. S1B). By biolayer interferometry, 53BP1 bound to either mono- or dimethylated (but not the trimethylated peptide) K810, with a dissociation constant in the order of 42 μM for the dimethylated peptide (Fig. 2 C and D); this compares favorably with 53BP1 binding to H4K20 with a dissociation constant of 20 μM (Fig. S1C) (a value comparable to the reported K_D as measured by ITC; ref. 11). Moreover, tudor domain recognition of methylated K810 was selective for 53BP1, as other members of the tudor domain family such as UHRF1 failed to bind to the methylated pRb K810 peptide, despite being able to bind to its methylated histone H3K9 target (Fig. S1 D and E).

Structural Basis for Recognition of meK810. To understand the recognition of methylated pRb by 53BP1, we determined the 3D structure of the 53BP1 tandem tudor domain in complex with the RbK810me2 peptide using X-ray crystallography at 2.35-Å resolution. We used the dimethylated peptide rather than the trimethylated form because synthesis of the latter was difficult due to the formation of a trimethylated derivative. The crystals contained two copies of the 53BP1 tandem tudor domain in the asymmetric unit with each of them participating in peptide binding. Their overall structure was largely similar with domain recognition of methylated K810 was selective for 53BP1, as other members of the tudor domain family such as UHRF1 failed to bind to the methylated pRb K810 peptide, despite being able to bind to its methylated histone H3K9 target (Fig. S1 D and E).

This mechanism of K810me2 recognition is very similar to the previously reported 53BP1 complexes with p53 (16) and histone 4 (11) peptides. However, the present structure of the pRb-53BP1 complex had unambiguous electron density of the bound peptide outside of the dimethyl lysine (Fig. 4D). This observation is in sharp contrast to previously reported crystal structures where only one or two amino acid residues were defined by electron density (11, 16). The S-P-L sequence preceding the

![Fig. 3. 3D structure of 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain in complex with a dimethylated pRbK peptide. (A) Ribbon representation of the 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain in complex with an RbK810me2 peptide encompassing residues G802-G818 (yellow sticks). (B) Electrostatic surface potential of 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain viewed from the same direction as in A, and the RbK810me2 peptide is shown in yellow sticks.](image)

![Fig. 4. Detailed 3D structure of RbK810me2 binding site in the 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain. (A) Close up view of the RbK810me2 peptide binding site in the 53BP1-RbK810me2 complex. The mF-DCIF omit map of the peptide is contoured to 2.5σ level and shown in blue. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. (B) Close up view of the peptide binding pocket. White represents neutral regions, green represents hydrophobic regions, blue represents a hydrogen bond donor, and red represents hydrogen bond acceptors. (C) 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain structures in complex with a pRbK810me2 peptide (yellow sticks) superimposed with the 53BP1-H4K20me2 NMR complex (PDB ID code 2LVM). The tandem Tudor domains in 53BP1 and the pRb peptide are colored as in Fig. 3A, whereas the H4K20me2 backbone is shown in orange.](image)
methylated lysine in the pRb peptide is also different from the R-H-K-R motif that accounts for specificity in p33K382me2 and H4K20me2, showing closer similarity to the S-H-L motif present in p33K370me2 (16). Superimposition with the NMR complex of 53BP1-H4K20me2 (PDB code 2LVN) revealed that tudor domain binding to pRbK810me2 occurs in a different orientation to that observed for H4K20me2 (Fig. 4C). The structured I804-K810 region of RbK810me2 formed extensive hydrophobic interactions with both tandem tudor domains, and the conformation of the peptide was stabilized by intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the side chain of S807 and the backbone carbonyl of I806 (Fig. 4A and B). This interaction, as well as the inherent rigidity of P808, which lies in a shallow pocket between the two tudor domains defined by Y1500, T1545, F1553, I1587, and S1589, probably explains the acidic residues being completely conserved in 53BP1 across all vertebrate species (17). This conservation likely highlights the importance of these residues in the tudor 2 domain for determining substrate specificity.

Role of pRb and 53BP1 in DNA Repair and Senescence. 53BP1 accumulates at DSBs (18), and is involved in DNA repair through its essential role in nonhomologous end joining (8, 19). We reasoned that the interaction between 53BP1 and methylated K810 may contribute to the role of pRb in DNA repair. Initially, therefore, we studied the DNA-damage response in Rb+/+ compared with Rb−/− mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) upon exposure to etoposide, which causes the appearance of DSBs. We analyzed the occurrence of phosphorylated γH2AX, which is a marker for the appearance of DSBs that coincides with 53BP1 binding to H2AX (20, 21). We found that the magnitude and duration of the γH2AX response was elevated in Rb−/− relative to Rb+/+ cells (Fig. S4 and Fig. S2C). Furthermore, although the levels of p53 and p21 increased in both cell lines in response to etoposide exposure, Rb−/− MEFs displayed a modest reduction compared with Rb+/+ MEFs, suggesting that checkpoint signaling in response to DNA damage was compromised in the absence of pRb (Fig. S2C). Moreover, the expression of ectopic pRb in Rb−/− cells confirmed the importance of K810 in the DNA-damage-response effect, as the expression of wild-type pRb suppressed the appearance of γH2AX in comparison with the K810R mutant, where γH2AX remained elevated, similar to vector-transfected cells (Fig. 5B and Fig. S2A and B).

We then addressed whether the influence of pRb in DNA-damaged cells might relate to the activity of 53BP1. To test this idea, we studied the phosphorylation of pRb, which previous studies have established to be inversely related to methylation at K810 (6). In 53BP1 siRNA-treated cells, an increase in pRb phosphorylation was evident (Fig. 5C), which is similar to what had previously been observed under conditions of Set7/9 depletion (Fig. 5C; ref. 6). Furthermore, when 53BP1 and Set7/9 were co-depleted, no further effect on pRb phosphorylation was observed (Fig. 5C), suggesting that Set7/9 and 53BP1 binding act through a common pathway to regulate pRb phosphorylation. In support of this idea, we identified 53BP1 by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) on a number of E2F responsive target genes, including thymidine kinase (TK), thymidine synthase (TS), apoptotic peptide activating factor 1 (Apa1f1), Cdk6, and E2F-1, where its presence coincided with pRb (Fig. 6A and Fig. S3A-C). Moreover, we detected 53BP1 and pRb in a chromatin-bound complex on E2F target genes, including TK, TS, and Apa1f1 (Fig. 6A and Fig. S3A-C). Significantly, the level of 53BP1 in complex with chromatin associated pRb was enhanced, relative to untreated cells, in cells that had been exposed to etoposide (Fig. 6A and Fig. S3 B and C); this is the anticipated outcome based on the DNA-damage-dependent methylation of K810 (6) and the interaction detected by immunoprecipitation in DNA-damaged cells (Fig. 2B and Fig. S1 A and B). These results strongly suggest that 53BP1 and pRb biochemically and functionally interact in cells, which contributes to the ability of pRb to regulate cell cycle progression and influence the DNA-damage response.

Another property of pRb that is believed to relate to its tumor suppressor activity is its ability to induce cellular senescence (22). When ectopically expressed in SAOS2 cells, pRb can, under appropriate culture conditions, induce a flat cell phenotype that exhibits similarities with the properties of senescing cells (22). Indeed, characteristic flat cell morphology and senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) expression were evident in cells expressing wild-type pRb and the K810R mutant derivative (Fig. 6B). However, K810R was less efficient at inducing senescent cells than wild-type pRb (Fig. 6B). This finding suggests...
methylation at K810 influences likely modulates multiple pathways to facilitate growth regulation in response to DNA damage.

Growth control by pRb is influenced by its posttranslational modifications, with Cdk-dependent phosphorylation being the most widely described (3). The classic view has always been that, under conditions of cellular stress, such as in response to DNA damage, Cdk activity is inhibited, enforcing the hypophosphorylated pRb state and permitting pRb-directed cell cycle arrest, although a recent report suggests that cells undergoing a DNA-damage response retain monophosphorylated pRb (23). Inhibition of Cdk activity reflects the combined function of several signaling pathways, including the induction of Cdk inhibitors like p21 via increased p53 activity (24). However, a more direct substrate-based mechanism also exists, in which residue K810 in pRb is methylated in a DNA-damage-dependent fashion by the methyltransferase Set7/9 (6).

Here, we have uncovered an additional and important level of control by showing that methylated K810 acts to recruit the tandem tudor domain protein 53BP1 (8). It has been implicated in a number of cellular processes, including checkpoint signaling, DNA repair pathway choice, and the long-range DNA end-joining that occurs during V(D)J recombination and class switch Ig gene recombination (8). In the context of its interaction with pRb, 53BP1 appears to be important for integrating pRb activity with the DNA-damage response, because pRb methylation at K810 influences γH2AX levels after the occurrence of DSBs. Because 53BP1 impacts on p53 activity, checkpoint activation and DNA repair, it likely modulates multiple pathways to facilitate growth regulation in response to DNA damage.

Discussion

One of the principal roles for the pRb tumor suppressor protein is to regulate progression through the early stages of the cell cycle, and this activity is mediated in part by influencing the activity of the E2F family of transcription factors (2). The pRb–E2F interaction is highly significant because the ability of pRb to bind E2F coincides with growth inhibition and cell cycle delay and the pathway is under aberrant control in most human tumor cells (2, 3).

Growth control by pRb is influenced by its posttranslational modifications, with Cdk-dependent phosphorylation being the most widely described (3). The classic view has always been that, under conditions of cellular stress, such as in response to DNA damage, Cdk activity is inhibited, enforcing the hypophosphorylated pRb state and permitting pRb-directed cell cycle arrest, although a recent report suggests that cells undergoing a DNA-damage response retain monophosphorylated pRb (23). Inhibition of Cdk activity reflects the combined function of several signaling pathways, including the induction of Cdk inhibitors like p21 via increased p53 activity (24). However, a more direct substrate-based mechanism also exists, in which residue K810 in pRb is methylated in a DNA-damage-dependent fashion by the methyltransferase Set7/9 (6).

Here, we have uncovered an additional and important level of control by showing that methylated K810 acts to recruit the tandem tudor domain protein 53BP1 (8). It has been implicated in a number of cellular processes, including checkpoint signaling, DNA repair pathway choice, and the long-range DNA end-joining that occurs during V(D)J recombination and class switch Ig gene recombination (8). In the context of its interaction with pRb, 53BP1 appears to be important for integrating pRb activity with the DNA-damage response, because pRb methylation at K810 influences γH2AX levels after the occurrence of DSBs. Because 53BP1 impacts on p53 activity, checkpoint activation and DNA repair, it likely modulates multiple pathways to facilitate growth regulation in response to DNA damage.

Fig. 6. pRb and 53BP1 interact on the chromatin. (A) Extracts from U2OS cells treated with 20 μM etoposide for 1 h (+), or untreated cells (−) were immunoprecipitated with control IgG (ns), pRb, or 53BP1 antibodies (graph shown in black). Chromatin was isolated and analyzed by quantitative PCR using primers targeting the E2F responsive thymidine kinase (TK) promoter (i). Ten percent of the total chromatin fraction used for the immunoprecipitation was also included as an input control and was used to normalize primary ChIPs. The pRb-immunoprecipitated chromatin was then reimmunoprecipitated a second time by IgG (ns), 53BP1 or E2F-1 antibodies, as indicated (graph shown in gray). Secondary ChIPs were normalized to the inputs provided from the primary pRb immunoprecipitated with control IgG (ns), pRb, or 53BP1 antibodies (graph shown in black). Chromatin was isolated and analyzed by quantitative PCR using primers targeting the E2F responsive thymidine kinase (TK) promoter (ii). (B) SAOS2 cells were stably transfected with HA-pRb, HA-pRb-K810R, or empty vector (−) as indicated. After 2 wk of selection in G418 to remove untransfected cells, 10⁴ transfected cells were reseeded onto coverslips and flat cells were detected by staining for SA-β-gal as described. The graph displays the mean number of SA-β-gal-positive cells per field of view, with SD shown (calculated from three independent experiments). Phase contrast micrographs of SA-β-gal-stained SAOS2 flat cells are included for HA-pRb– and HA-pRb-K810R-transfected cells (magnification: 5×). (C) Model for 53BP1 assembly with methylated pRb on chromatin. Growth control by pRb is influenced by its posttranslational modifications, with Cdk-dependent phosphorylation events releasing E2F activity and driving cell cycle progression. In response to DNA damage, Set7/9-directed methylation of pRb leads to the recruitment of 53BP1 to chromatin-bound pRb–E2F complexes, where it acts to maintain pRb in its hypophosphorylated, growth-regulating state. The 53BP1–pRb interaction also appears to be important for integrating pRb activity with the DNA-damage response, because pRb methylation at K810 influences γH2AX levels after the occurrence of DSBs. Because 53BP1 impacts on p53 activity, checkpoint activation and DNA repair, it likely modulates multiple pathways to facilitate growth regulation in response to DNA damage.
in the intramolecular interaction and is part of the stable complex interface (Figs. 3 and 4).

53BP1 is a multifunctional protein that acts not only to promote DSB repair, but also to mediate checkpoint signaling in response to DNA damage (8). For example, 53BP1 is required for the efficient phosphorylation of ATM targets, such as Chk2, p53, and p21, as well as for the efficient repair of ionizing radiation-induced DSBs (53BP1 is known to recruit and retain 53BP1 to DSBs). 53BP1 interacts with p53 which, like pRb, is methylated on lysine residues in response to ionizing radiation (7, 25), and loss of 53BP1 leads to checkpoint defects and genomic instability. 53BP1 is also known to associate with both pRb and p53-dependent transcription in conditions of cellular stress (12, 27). Our results suggest that, because 53BP1 can interact with pRb, in addition to p53, it is likely to play an important role in modulating growth control, and perhaps its interaction with both pRb and p53 pathways facilitates growth regulation in response to DNA damage.

Materials and Methods

CADO R Array Screening. The generation of protein microarrays has been described (9), and a list of the protein domains on the array has been published (10). Peptides were synthesized as biotin-PEG-GNIYISPLKSPYKISEG and biotin-PEG-GNIYISPLK[me]SPYKISEG. Biotinylated peptides were labeled with [125I]iodotyrosine (where K* indicates unmodified, mono-, di-, or trimethylated lysine) was at a concentration of 100 μM. Reference sensors were blocked with biocytin. 53BP1 Tudor domain was attached to streptavidin coated biosensors by incubation for 3 min at 3 °C before association. Signal from reference sensors was subtracted before analysis. Biotinylated pRb peptide GNIYISPLK*SPYKISEG was attached to streptavidin coated biosensors by incubation for 3 min at 3 °C before association. Signal from reference sensors was subtracted before analysis. 53BP1 Tudor domain was attached to streptavidin coated biosensors by incubation for 3 min at 3 °C before association. Signal from reference sensors was subtracted before analysis.

Biolayer Interferometry. Biolayer interferometry experiments were performed on a 16-channel ForteBio Octet RED384 instrument at 25 °C in 25 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, and 100 mM NaCl buffer. Biotinylated, [125I]iodotyrosine labeled GNIYISPLK*SPYKISEG peptide (residue GNIYISPLK*SPYKISEG) binds to the streptavidin coated biosensor with a dissociation rate of 2.8 ± 0.2 s⁻¹ before association. Signal from reference sensors was subtracted before analysis. 53BP1 Tudor domain was attached to streptavidin coated biosensors by incubation for 3 min at 3 °C before association. Signal from reference sensors was subtracted before analysis.

Molecular structure solution. Crystals of 53BP1 in complex with RbK810me2 peptide were grown by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 20 °C in a final volume of 0.15 M NaCl buffer. Crystals were cryoprotected with reservoir solution supplemented with 25% (v/v) ethylene glycol before flash freezing in liquid nitrogen for storage and data collection.

Structure Solution and Refinement. Crystals of 53BP1 in complex with RbK810me2 peptide belong to space group P622 with unit cell parameters a = b = 105.8 Å, c = 156.2 Å. Diffraction data were collected on beamline i04 at the Diamond Light Source. X-ray data were integrated with MOSFLM (28) and scaled with AIMLESS (29). The structure was solved by molecular replacement with PHASER (30) using the 53BP1 tandem Tudor domain (PDB ID code 2LMH) as a search model. Refinement was done with PHENIX (31) and after several cycles of manual rebuilding with COOT (32), the model converged to a Rmerge/Rfree of 19.4% and 23.0%, respectively. The quality of the model was validated with PROcheck (33) with 97.5% of the residues being in the favored region of the Ramachandran plot and no outliers. Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with the accession code 4CR1.

Additional materials and methods are described in SI Materials and Methods.
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SI Materials and Methods

Plasmids. pSG5-HA-retinoblastoma protein (pRb) and pSG5-HA-pRb-K810R have been described (1). pEGX-tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 (53BP1) Tudor (1459-1599) was donated by M. Bedford. pET28a-53BP1 Tudor (1459-1599), pET28a-53BP1 Tudor (1480-1568), and pET28a-UHRF1 Tudor (126-285) were generated by subcloning the relevant sequence of 53BP1 and UHRF1 into a pET28a vector (Novagen).

Tissue Culture and Transfections. U2OS, 293T, HeLa, Rb+/−, and Rb−/− MEFs were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS and penicillin/streptomycin. Flag-pRb and Flag-pRb-K810R U2OS inducible cell lines have been described (1), and were additionally cultured with 100 μg/mL G418 and 150 μg/mL hygromycin B. Transfections were performed for 48 h using Genejuice (Novagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA interference was performed using 50 nM of 53BP1 (5′-GAACGAGGACCGAGGUAUA-3′) or SET [su(var), enhancer-of-zeste, trithorax] domain containing lysine methyltransferase 7 (Set7/9) (5′-AGAUAAACUGCUUA-UGGA-3′) siRNA (Ambion) or nontargeting siRNA (Dharmacon) for 72 h in oligofectamine (Life Technologies) per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunoblotting and Immunoprecipitation. The following antibodies were used in immunoblots: anti-pRb (IF8), anti-E2F promoter binding factor 1 (E2F-1) (KH95), anti-E2F-1 (C20), anti-GAPDH (V-18), anti-GST (B-14), HA-probe (Y11), anti-p21 (F-5) (all from Santa Cruz), anti-53BP1 (Bethyl laboratories), anti-HA (Covance), anti-pRb (BD Pharmingen), anti-Set7/9 (Abnova), anti-actin (Sigma), anti-H2AX (Ser139) (Millipore), anti-tumor protein p53 (1C12) (Cell Signaling), and anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma). For immunoprecipitation, cell extracts were prepared in modified RIPA (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.25% Na-deoxycholate, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na2VO4, 1 mM AEBSF, protease inhibitor mixture) and incubated with specific or control antibodies and protein G Sepharose (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. Ectopic pRb immunoprecipitations were performed using HA-conjugated agarose (Sigma) under similar conditions. Protein was washed using IP wash buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na2VO4, 1 mM AEBSF, protease inhibitor mixture) and eluted with 2× SDS-loading buffer.

ChIP. ChIPs were performed as described (2). Double ChIPs were performed with modifications: U2OS cells were harvested and double crosslinked with Disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSS, 2 mM) for 30 min, followed by formaldehyde (1%) for 12 min at room temperature. Primary ChIPs were performed using 4 μg of appropriate antibody and eluted in re-ChIP elution buffer (1× TE buffer, 2% (wt/vol) SDS, 15 mM EDTA, protease inhibitors). Secondary ChIPs were performed using 2 μg of appropriate antibody and washed twice in re-ChIP wash buffer (2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Igepal CA-630) followed by two washes with 1× TE buffer before eluting. Immunoprecipitates and inputs were reverse cross-linked, DNA purified and analyzed by real-time PCR with Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR in an MX3000P quantitative PCR (QPCR) instrument (Agilent). DNA occupancy was investigated on the E2F-1 responsive thymidine kinase (TK), thymidine synthase (TS), and apoptotic peptide activating factor 1 (Apaf-1) promoters. Primer sequences were as follows: TK-forward 5′-TGGCGCTTCGGAAGTTCAC-3′, TK-reverse 5′-TGCGCCCTCGGGAAGTTCAC-3′, TS-forward 5′-TGCGCCGACGCCTCCTAGACG-3′, TS-reverse 5′-GACGGAGCCAGGCGGAAATG-3′, Apaf-1-forward 5′-CTTGCCCGCTGTTGTCTTG-AAXT-3′, Apaf-1-reverse 5′-GCACCCATTCAAAATTATGACCATCAT-3′.

Protein Expression. Plasmids were transformed into BL21 (DE3) bacterial cells, and colonies were cultured in Terrific broth (Sigma) containing ampicillin. Protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG for 20 h at 20 °C. Bacteria expressing GST-tagged protein was resuspended in buffer A (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% (wt/vol) sucrose, 5 mM benzamidine, 0.5 mM AEBSF) and incubated on ice with 1% Triton X-100 and 20 μg/mL lysozyme, before sonication. After centrifugation, cleared lysate was incubated overnight with 500 μL of glutathione Sepharose 4 fast flow (GE Healthcare). The Sepharose was washed three times in buffer A without sucrose, and protein was eluted three times in 1 mL each of buffer B (100 mM Tris, pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM reduced glutathione). Bacterial expressed His-tagged protein was purified under native conditions using 500 μL of Ni-NTA resin as per the QIAexpressionist protocol (Qiagen). All protein was subsequently dialyzed into buffer C (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 20% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM AEBSF, protease inhibitor mixture), unless required for crystallization, when buffer C without glycerol was used.

Peptide Pull-Down Assays. Peptides were synthesized as biotin-PEG-GNYISPLK*SPYKISEG, where K* represents unmodified, mono-, di-, or trimethylated lysine where appropriate. Ten micrograms of peptide was incubated with streptavidin-agarose resin (Thermo) in PBS for 3 h, before washing away unbound peptide with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Avidin-bound peptides were resuspended in 500 μL of TNN buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% Igepal CA-630, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na2VO4, 1 mM AEBSF, protease inhibitor mixture) and incubated with 2× SDS-loading buffer.

SAO52 Flat Cell Senescence Assays. SAO52 cells were grown on 6-cm plates to 70% confluence and transfectioned with 2 μg of HA-pRb, HA-pRb-K810R, or empty vector and 0.5 μg of pcDNA3. Stable transfectants were selected and grown in DMEM containing 10% (vol/vol) FCS and 1 mg/mL G418 for 2 wk. Cells were then trypsinized, and 105 cells were seeded onto coverslips and stained for SA-β-gal activity (3); flat cells were identified by strong blue staining and manually counted. Several randomly selected fields of view were used per coverslip, and the mean number of senescent cells per field was calculated from three independent experiments.

Fig. S1. 53BP1 interactions with methylated pRb and H4K20. (A) U2OS cells were transfected with 2 μg of HA-pRb, HA-pRb-K810R, or empty vector (−). Cells were also treated with 20 μM etoposide for the last 16 h of the experiment where indicated (Et). An immunoprecipitation was performed using anti-HA antibody and coprecipitating 53BP1 was detected by immunoblot. (B) HeLa cells were treated with 2 μM doxorubicin for the indicated timepoints. pRb was then immunoprecipitated from cells, and coprecipitating 53BP1 and E2F-1 were detected by immunoblot. (C) Biolayer interferometry real-time kinetic analysis of immobilized H4K20 dimethyl peptide bound to His-53BP1 tudor domain (1459–1599), showing the concentration dependent binding of 53BP1 tudor with the methylated H4K20 peptide. A K_d value of 20 μM was calculated from the data. (D) Biolayer interferometry real-time kinetic analysis of immobilized unmodified (RbK810me0), monomethylated (RbK810me1), dimethylated (RbK810me2) and trimethylated RbK810 (RbK810me3) peptides bound to His-UHRF1 tudor domain (126–285). (E) As above, but showing His-UHRF1 binding to unmodified (H3K9me0), monomethylated (H3K9me1), dimethylated (H3K9me2), and trimethylated H3K9 (H3K9me3) peptides.
**Fig. S2.** pRb and the DNA-damage response. (A) Inducible pRb (R) and pRb-K810R (8) U2OS cells were treated with doxycycline to induce protein expression (Dox), and also exposed to 20 μM etoposide for 16 h where indicated (Et). Extracts were used in immunoblots with the indicated antibodies. Numbers below the blot represent relative γH2AX levels after quantitation. Note the greater reduction in γH2AX levels observed in cells expressing wild-type pRb, compared with the methylation defective K810R mutant after etoposide treatment. n = 2. (B) Inducible pRb, pRb-K810R, or empty vector cell lines were treated with doxycycline to induce protein expression for 36 h. Cells were then treated with 20 μM etoposide for 1 h. Etoposide was washed out with PBS and fresh media was applied. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points, with time = 0 (indicated by −) being the point of etoposide addition. Extracts were used in immunoblots with the indicated antibodies. Numbers below the blot represent relative phospho-γH2AX levels after quantitation. (C) Rb−/− and Rb+/+ MEFs were treated with 20 μM etoposide for 1 h. Etoposide was washed out with PBS and fresh media was reapplied. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points, with time = 0 (indicated by −) being the point of etoposide addition. Extracts were used in immunoblots with the indicated antibodies. n = 3.

**Fig. S3.** pRb and 53BP1 interact on the chromatin. (A) U2OS extracts were immunoprecipitated with control IgG (ns), pRb, or 53BP1 antibodies. Chromatin was isolated and analyzed by PCR using primers targeting the indicated promoters. Ten percent of the total chromatin fraction used for the immunoprecipitation was also included as an input control. n = 3. (B) Extracts from U2OS cells treated with 20 μM etoposide for 1 h (+) or untreated cells (−) were immunoprecipitated with control IgG (ns), pRb, or 53BP1 antibodies (graph shown in black). Chromatin was isolated and analyzed by QPCR using primers targeting the E2F responsive thymidine synthase (TS) promoter (i). Ten percent of the total chromatin fraction used for the immunoprecipitation was also included as an input control and was used to normalize primary ChIPs. The pRb-immunoprecipitated chromatin was then reimmunoprecipitated a second time by IgG (ns), 53BP1 or E2F-1 antibodies, as indicated (graph shown in gray). Secondary ChIPs were normalized to the inputs provided from the primary pRb ChIP (ii). Means with SD are shown from an experiment with triplicate samples. Expression levels of 53BP1, pRb, and E2F-1 in the extracts used for ChIP are displayed in Fig. 6A, iii. (C) As above, only chromatin was analyzed by QPCR using primers targeting the E2F responsive Apaf-1 promoter.
**Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>TP53BP1 + peptide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P6&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c, Å</td>
<td>105.8, 105.8, 153.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ, °</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution, Å (outer shell)</td>
<td>52–2.35 (2.45–2.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of molecules/ASU</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>22,195 (2,423)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness, %</td>
<td>99.9 (99.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>13.7 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;sym&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.078 (0.796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/σ(I)</td>
<td>17.8 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B value, Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refinement</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;factor&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;free&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length, Å</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle, °</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;B&lt;sub&gt;factor&lt;/sub&gt;&gt;&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

R<sub>sym</sub> is the unweighted R value on I between symmetry mates.

\[ R_{\text{cells}} = \frac{\sum_{\text{hkl}} |F_{\text{obs}}(\text{hkl})| - |F_{\text{calc}}(\text{hkl})| \Sigma_{\text{hkl}} (\text{cent}) ||F_{\text{PH}}| \pm |F_{\text{P}}|}. \]

\[ R_{\text{cryst}} = \frac{\sum_{\text{hkl}} |F_{\text{obs}}(\text{hkl})| - k |F_{\text{calc}}(\text{hkl})| \Sigma_{\text{hkl}} |F_{\text{obs}}(\text{hkl})|} {R_{\text{free}} \text{ is the R value for 5% of the reflections excluded from refinement.}}

R<sub>free</sub> is the R value for 5% of the reflections excluded from refinement.