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The mechanism underlying temporal correlations among blood oxygen level-dependent signals is unclear. We used oxygen polarography to better characterize oxygen fluctuations and their correlation and to gain insight into the driving mechanism. The power spectrum of local oxygen fluctuations is inversely proportional to frequency raised to a power (1/f) raised to the beta, with an additional positive band-limited component centered at 0.06 Hz. In contrast, the power of the correlated oxygen signal is band limited from 0.01 Hz to 0.4 Hz with a peak at 0.06 Hz. These results suggest that there is a band-limited mechanism (or mechanisms) driving interregional oxygen correlation that is distinct from the mechanism(s) driving local (1/f) oxygen fluctuations. Candidates for driving interregional oxygen correlation include rhythmic or pseudo-oscillatory mechanisms.
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Resting-state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fcMRI) analyses provide insight into the functional architecture of the brain. The method is based on slow correlations (e.g., 0.01–0.1 Hz) in blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal across the brain. The pattern of these slow correlations has been used to trace out functional networks and to describe how these networks develop, change with experience, vary across individuals, and are disturbed in disease (1–8). Slow BOLD fluctuations and their correlations are thought to reflect neuronal processes, yet the underlying mechanisms remain unknown (9, 10). We used a high temporal resolution method, oxygen polargraphy, to characterize the dynamics of oxygen fluctuations and thereby gain insight into the underlying neuronal mechanisms.

Two types of dynamics commonly observed in the brain may be associated with two distinct types of underlying mechanisms or processes. Dynamics with narrow band-limited power may reflect the influence of specific pacemaker units. For instance, the occipital alpha rhythm, which dominates the EEG during relaxed wakefulness, may originate from an alpha pacemaker unit, which consists of a specialized subset of gap-junction–coupled thalamocortical neurons that exhibit intrinsic rhythmic bursting at alpha frequencies (11–13). Although much evidence supports this oscillatory model of resting-state activity (e.g., refs. 14 and 15), the dominant hypothesis in the field is that correlations arise from neural activity propagating within an anatomically constrained small-world network (e.g., refs. 16 and 17). This model predicts scale-free dynamics, also known as 1/f dynamics (17, 18). With 1/f dynamics, event amplitude varies inversely with frequency, so that large events are rare whereas small events are common. More precisely, power may vary inversely with frequency raised by a (small) exponent; $P \propto \frac{1}{f^\beta}$, with typical exponents from 0 to 3 (19). The 1/f dynamics are a hallmark of a complex dynamic system operating at a critical point, at which the system is balanced between ordered and disordered phases (20–22), although 1/f dynamics may also arise in other noncritical systems (23). The fact that various neural signals, such as local field potentials, show 1/f characteristics has inspired models of the brain as operating at a critical point through a process of self-organization (17, 24–28).

Local BOLD fluctuations have a 1/f power spectrum (29–31). This has led to the suggestion that the slow correlations of resting-state connectivity may reflect a critical process (18, 19). This assumes that the dynamics of interregional oxygen correlation match the dynamics of local fluctuations. Indeed, three studies report that BOLD correlations vary inversely with frequency (1/f), much like local oxygen (32–34). However, Sasai et al. (35), Achard et al. (36), and Cordes et al. (37) report instead that oxygen correlation peaks around 0.04–0.06 Hz, with less correlation at lower frequencies—a band-limited pattern that is distinctly different from 1/f (38–40). Finally, other studies report that BOLD correlations reach a plateau at low frequencies, a result that is intermediate between 1/f and band-limited dynamics (41, 42).

We used oxygen polargraphy to directly measure the spectrum of interregional oxygen correlation. Polargraphy is an invasive alternative to BOLD IMRI that allows robust recording of local oxygen fluctuations with higher temporal resolution, higher frequency specificity, and broader frequency range than can be achieved with standard IMRI techniques. We measured oxygen fluctuations in the default network (bilateral posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) area 23) and the visual/attention network (bilateral V3) in the awake, resting macaque. Here, we report that correlations between homotopic regions are band limited rather than 1/f. Further, we show that the variance of local oxygen fluctuations can be separated into a 1/f component and a band-limited component. Only the band-limited component relates to long-range correlation. This suggests that there is a band-limited mechanism underlying temporal correlations among blood oxygen level-dependent signals is unclear. We used oxygen polargraphy to better characterize oxygen fluctuations and their correlation and to gain insight into the driving mechanism. The power spectrum of local oxygen fluctuations is inversely proportional to frequency raised to a power (1/f) raised to the beta, with an additional positive band-limited component centered at 0.06 Hz. In contrast, the power of the correlated oxygen signal is band limited from 0.01 Hz to 0.4 Hz with a peak at 0.06 Hz. These results suggest that there is a band-limited mechanism (or mechanisms) driving interregional oxygen correlation that is distinct from the mechanism(s) driving local (1/f) oxygen fluctuations. Candidates for driving interregional oxygen correlation include rhythmic or pseudo-oscillatory mechanisms.

Resting-state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fcMRI) analyses provide insight into the functional architecture of the brain. The method is based on slow correlations (e.g., 0.01–0.1 Hz) in blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal across the brain. The pattern of these slow correlations has been used to trace out functional networks and to describe how these networks develop, change with experience, vary across individuals, and are disturbed in disease (1–8). Slow BOLD fluctuations and their correlations are thought to reflect neuronal processes, yet the underlying mechanisms remain unknown (9, 10). We used a high temporal resolution method, oxygen polargraphy, to characterize the dynamics of oxygen fluctuations and thereby gain insight into the underlying neuronal mechanisms.

Two types of dynamics commonly observed in the brain may be associated with two distinct types of underlying mechanisms or processes. Dynamics with narrow band-limited power may reflect the influence of specific pacemaker units. For instance, the occipital alpha rhythm, which dominates the EEG during relaxed wakefulness, may originate from an alpha pacemaker unit, which consists of a specialized subset of gap-junction–coupled thalamocortical neurons that exhibit intrinsic rhythmic bursting at alpha frequencies (11–13). Although much evidence supports this oscillatory model of resting-state activity (e.g., refs. 14 and 15), the dominant hypothesis in the field is that correlations arise from neural activity propagating within an anatomically constrained small-world network (e.g., refs. 16 and 17). This model predicts scale-free dynamics, also known as 1/f dynamics (17, 18). With 1/f dynamics, event amplitude varies inversely with frequency, so that large events are rare whereas small events are common. More precisely, power may vary inversely with frequency raised by a (small) exponent; $P \propto \frac{1}{f^\beta}$, with typical exponents from 0 to 3 (19). The 1/f dynamics are a hallmark of a complex dynamic system operating at a critical point, at which the system is balanced between ordered and disordered phases (20–22), although 1/f dynamics may also arise in other noncritical systems (23). The fact that various neural signals, such as local field potentials, show 1/f characteristics has inspired models of the brain as operating at a critical point through a process of self-organization (17, 24–28).

Local BOLD fluctuations have a 1/f power spectrum (29–31). This has led to the suggestion that the slow correlations of resting-state connectivity may reflect a critical process (18, 19). This assumes that the dynamics of interregional oxygen correlation match the dynamics of local fluctuations. Indeed, three studies report that BOLD correlations vary inversely with frequency (1/f), much like local oxygen (32–34). However, Sasai et al. (35), Achard et al. (36), and Cordes et al. (37) report instead that oxygen correlation peaks around 0.04–0.06 Hz, with less correlation at lower frequencies—a band-limited pattern that is distinctly different from 1/f (38–40). Finally, other studies report that BOLD correlations reach a plateau at low frequencies, a result that is intermediate between 1/f and band-limited dynamics (41, 42).
(or mechanisms) driving interregional oxygen correlation is distinct from the mechanism(s) driving local (1/f) oxygen fluctuations. The fact that correlation is band limited is suggestive of a rhythmic or pseudo-oscillatory mechanism.

**Results**

**Polarography Captures Long-Range Oxygen Correlation.** We recorded resting-state oxygen level simultaneously from four sites in two monkeys: two sites in the default mode network (left and right PCC) and two sites in the visual/attention network (left and right V3). To verify electrode placement, we first obtained visually evoked responses at each of these sites. As previously reported (43), both multiunit firing rate and oxygen level were elevated in V3, and both were suppressed in PCC (Fig. 1A). This confirms that our recording sites are located in two functionally distinct networks: V3 is part of the task-positive, visual/attention network, whereas PCC is part of the task-negative, default mode network.

After visual stimulation, we recorded 30–60 min of resting-state data, where monkeys were left alone and resting in the dark room. Similar to BOLD recorded with fMRI, oxygen level fluctuated slowly, over the course of tens of seconds or longer, changing by up to ~10% of the baseline value (Fig. 1B, Upper) (44). Unlike BOLD, prominent pulsations (~1% of the baseline value) occurred at just above 1 Hz. In separate experiments we recorded simultaneous electrocardiograms to show that these pulsations correspond to heart beats (Fig. S1). In conventional BOLD, these pulsations are aliased into lower frequencies due to the low sampling rate of fMRI. With our high temporal resolution, this does not occur. However, modulation of heart rate by respiration rate and other low-frequency factors will contaminate measures of interregional oxygen correlation regardless of sampling rate (45). To minimize this contamination, we analyzed correlations only after regressing out the heart rate signal (Fig. 1B, Lower). See SI Text for additional discussion regarding the comparison between oxygen polarography and BOLD fMRI.

To determine whether long-range correlations in oxygen can be seen using polarography, we first bandpass filtered the oxygen signals into the standard fcMRI frequency range (0.1–0.01 Hz). Fig. 1C shows that polarographic oxygen is correlated across long distances. Correlations are significantly larger within a network (in-network correlation, recorded from sites in opposite hemispheres) than correlations across networks (out-of-network correlation) (P < 0.005). Both in-network and out-of-network correlations are greater than zero (r_{in} = 0.40 ± 0.03, n_{in} = 31, P < 0.0001; r_{out} = 0.28 ± 0.02, n_{out} = 62, P < 0.0001). The positive out-of-network correlation is surprising, because many fcMRI studies find that the visual/attention and default mode networks are anticorrelated with one another: that is, their out-of-network correlation is negative (44). Such anticorrelation may or may not be the result of preprocessing steps whose purpose is to remove common signals (46). In fact, it has been shown that the so-called “global signal” is in fact particularly prominent in regions including visual cortex and pericingular regions (47). To test whether the positive out-of-network correlation that we observed was specific to the particular sites that we used, to their physical proximity, or to their lying within or close to the occipital lobe, we performed additional control experiments. We recorded from two additional pairs of visual/attention and default mode network sites: the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) and PCC and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and V3 (Fig. S2). All out-of-network correlations were significantly positive, even for pairs at the most extreme distance (ACC vs. V3: r_{out} = 0.20 ± 0.03, P < 0.001). Distance may affect the strength of out-of-network correlation, although this analysis is confounded by network identity (Fig. S2). Hemispheric location (within vs. across hemispheres) did not affect out-of-network correlations (r_{out [within hemisphere]} = 0.29 ± 0.05, r_{out [across hemisphere]} = 0.26 ± 0.03; P = 0.4).

Out-of-network correlations could be driven by artifactual signals not related to oxygen level. The yellow bar in Fig. 1C and the yellow dot in Fig. S2 represent the correlation between noise signals recorded from soft tissue or fluid immediately adjacent to the brain. This correlation was very low (r_{noise} = 0.10 ± 0.06, n_{noise} = 42, P = 0.13), ruling out non-oxygen-related artifacts (e.g., correlated electrical circuit noise and movement artifacts) as sources for the high in- and out-of-network correlations. Taken together, our results demonstrate that oxygen polarography can capture long-range, network-dependent oxygen correlation and serve as an MR-independent measure of functional connectivity.

**Oxygen Correlation Is Band Limited.** To gain insight into the mechanism(s) driving interregional oxygen correlation, we wished to evaluate the frequency structure of just that portion of the signals that is correlated between regions. Because we cannot precisely isolate the correlated signal, we instead estimated its frequency spectrum by computing correlation as a function of frequency. To accomplish this, we filtered the heart-rate-regressed oxygen signals into half-octave frequency bands from 0.003 Hz to 20 Hz and then computed correlation for each band. Fig. 2A shows that both in- and out-correlations are present only if the frequency is within a narrow band near the heart rate (1 Hz). Beyond the frequency band sampled by the fMRI, the correlation rapidly drops to zero. We can fit this decrease in correlation across frequency with an exponential decay function, in which the decay constant is characteristic of the distance between recording sites (r_{decay} = 0.03 Hz). This result indicates that oxygen polarography captures signals that are bandlimited to a frequency of ~1 Hz, possibly driven by heart rate fluctuations. The fact that correlation is band limited is suggestive of a rhythmic or pseudo-oscillatory mechanism.
in a band-limited window between 0.01 Hz and 0.4 Hz, with a peak at 0.06 Hz, and not present below 0.01 Hz or between 0.4 Hz and 5 Hz. This frequency structure suggests that the correlated signal is not 1/f but band limited.

There are several additional features in the data, including a prominent peak at 1 Hz and a shoulder at 0.3 Hz. Both are significantly decreased after regressing out heart rate (Fig. S3). Respiratory is known to modulate heart rate and BOLD signals, and the respiratory rate in monkeys is close to 0.3 Hz (48). Therefore, the features at 1 Hz and 0.3 Hz likely reflect residual effects of heart rate and respiration, respectively. A small peak at 10 Hz appears only for in-network correlations and may reflect a previously undescribed high-frequency, network-specific coupling.

The yellow trace in Fig. 2B represents the coherence found in the soft tissue outside the brain and demonstrates that the frequency dependence of correlation is a brain-specific finding and is not related to extraneous factors such as noise or filtering in our system.

Correlation captures the instantaneous temporal dependence between two signals. If there is a time lag between two otherwise similar signals, then correlation will underestimate that similarity. Consider two otherwise identical sine waves, one of which is shifted in time by one-quarter cycle. One signal perfectly predicts the other, and therefore there is 100% temporal dependence between the two. However, because of the phase shift, their correlation coefficient is zero. Coherence, unlike correlation, captures temporal dependence even in the face of phase shifts.

Unlike correlation, the coherence between two sine waves of the same frequency is always 1, regardless of phase lead or lag. In general, shifting two signals in time will change their correlation but will have no effect on their coherence.

Fig. 2B shows that, like correlation, coherence is also band limited from 0.01 Hz to 0.4 Hz. Other related measures such as synchrony and wavelet amplitude correlation give similar results (Fig. S4). The pattern for in-network (PCC-PCC and V3–V3) recording sites was found in all animals tested, and a very similar pattern of band-limited correlation was also seen in all out-of-network pairs, including the out-of-network correlations between V3 and PCC, IPS and PCC, and V3 and ACC (Fig. S5). We conclude that interregional oxygen signals show band-limited temporal dependence, not 1/f.

**Local Oxygen Has Both a 1/f Component and a Band-Limited Component.**

Previous MRSI studies report that the local BOLD power spectrum is 1/f from 0.01 Hz to 0.23 Hz (29). Our polarography data extend this finding to a range of 0.003–20 Hz. When plotted on log-log axes, a 1/f relationship appears as a straight line with a slope of β. Fig. 3A reveals a $P \propto 1/f$ relationship with an exponent of 1.74. Together, Figs. 2 and 3A show that local power is predominantly 1/f whereas long-range correlation is band limited. This situation might arise in one of two ways. In one scenario, oxygen fluctuations are driven by at least two distinct mechanisms. One mechanism is entirely local and has 1/f characteristics; that is, it has high power at low frequencies and low power at high frequencies. The other mechanism independently drives fluctuations that are correlated across regions. This mechanism is band limited, with most of its power concentrated between 0.01 Hz and 0.4 Hz (Fig. 2).

In the second scenario, oxygen fluctuations are driven by sources that have a 1/f spectrum, and fluctuations that are coupled across regions are a band-limited component of this 1/f spectrum. In neither scenario do we include known nonneural sources of oxygen fluctuations, e.g., heart rate, which is band limited at around 1 Hz. The power (or equivalently, the variance) of two independent processes will add together. Therefore, we can distinguish between the two scenarios by asking whether the local power is best described as the sum of a 1/f component and a band-limited component (supporting the first scenario) or as purely 1/f (supporting the second scenario).

The data support the first scenario, that is, separate 1/f and band-limited sources. On closer examination, the local power spectrum deviates from a strict $P \propto 1/f$ fit, and the largest deviation occurs over the same range of frequencies at which long-range correlations appear (0.01-0.4 Hz). When this frequency range is excluded, the exponent of the best 1/f fit is 1.65. We call this the difference between the 1/f fit (Fig. 3A, dashed dark red line) and the observed power (Fig. 3A, solid dark red line) the “deviation power” (Fig. 3B, black line). Fig. 3B shows that the deviation power has the same frequency profile as the interregional oxygen correlation. The match is similar for both in-network correlation ($r = 0.89, P < 0.0001$) and out-of-network correlation ($r = 0.78, P < 0.0001$), although it is stronger in network than out of network ($P = 0.047$). This relationship between the 1/f fit and the observed power is also significant if, instead of using the mean power averaged across all sessions, the relationship is computed session by session ($r = 0.37 \pm 0.04, n = 31$, mean $\pm$ SEM, $P < 0.05$ for in network and $r = 0.24 \pm 0.04, n = 62$, $P < 0.05$ for out of network). To summarize, local power deviates from a strict 1/f relationship, and this deviation matches the frequency profile of long-range oxygen correlation. Because the power from independent processes will add together, this pattern can be most parsimoniously explained by the idea that at least two independent mechanisms drive oxygen fluctuations. One mechanism is 1/f and local, and the second is band limited and nonlocal. (We cannot rule out that the two mechanisms may be partially rather than fully independent.)

---

**Fig. 2.** (A) Oxygen correlation as a function of frequency, mean $\pm$ 1 SEM. Both in- and out-of-network correlations are band limited from 0.01 Hz to 0.4 Hz. Peak correlation is at 0.06 Hz. Data recorded from outside the brain show no significant correlation at these frequencies. The peak just above 1 Hz and the shoulder just above 0.1 Hz reflect residual effects of heart rate (HR) and respiratory rate (RR), present after regression of HR (SI Text). (B) Coherence (baseline removed; Materials and Methods and Fig. S4) shows band-limited effects similar to those seen in correlation.

**Fig. 3.** (A) Power in the local oxygen signal as a function of frequency. A log-linear (1/f) model fit (dashed dark red line) accounts for most of the power in the raw oxygen (solid dark red line). Subtracting the log-linear fit from the observed power leaves a residual that we call the “deviation power” (black line). (B) The frequency profile of the local deviation power is similar to the frequency profile of long-range correlation, both within network (“in-correlation”) and across networks (“out-correlation”).
As a further test of the two-source model, we asked whether the deviation power co-fluctuates with interregional oxygen correlation in time. Briefly, we used a sliding-window strategy (2-min wide, 10-s increments) to estimate the time courses of (i) the local deviation power and (ii) the interregional correlation strength. The time courses were estimated over a half-octave band centered at 0.06 Hz. We chose this frequency band because this is where we measure the highest deviation power and the strongest interregional correlation. We then calculated the correlation coefficient between the time course of average deviation power from each pair of electrodes and the time course of the long-range correlation coefficient between those same two electrodes. The fluctuations of local deviation power are significantly correlated with the fluctuations in long-range correlation, both for in-network ($r = 0.29 \pm 0.05$, $P < 0.05$) and out-of-network electrode pairs ($r = 0.13 \pm 0.04$, $P < 0.05$). The relationship is significantly stronger in network compared with out of network ($P = 0.03$). These results confirm that local deviation power is significantly related to long-range correlation. The relationship exists for both in-network pairs and out-of-network pairs, but is stronger for in-network pairs.

As a final test of the two-source model, we evaluated the impact of removing correlated power on the local power spectrum. If the two-source model is accurate, then removing the power associated with the long-range correlation (the correlated power) from the locally measured power should make the remaining power more consistent with the $P \propto 1/f^{\beta}$ spectrum (Fig. 4A). We estimated the correlated power based on a linear regression model (Materials and Methods). Removing the correlated power significantly improved the fit of the local oxygen signals to a $1/f^{\beta}$ model. For each individual session and recording site ($n = 93$), removing the correlated power either reduces the maximum deviation from a strict $P \propto 1/f^{\beta}$ spectrum or else leaves it essentially unchanged; that is, all of the data points fall close to or below the diagonal identity line (Fig. 4B). In no case did removal of the correlated power substantially increase the deviation from a $1/f$ fit; that is, no points are well above the identity line. In summary, our data support a two-source model in which oxygen fluctuations are driven by the sum of long-range band-limited sources and a local $1/f$ source. The removal of the correlated power from a single distal electrode does not produce a perfectly linear fit; that is, after removal a positive deviation often remains in the 0.01- to 0.4-Hz range (e.g., Fig. 4A, Right). This is consistent with a model in which there are independent band-limited contributions from multiple long-range networks, and our manipulation removes only one of those contributions.

**Differences in Frequency Content Across Networks.** To investigate whether the frequency content of correlation is similar or different for different networks, we compared correlation and power deviation across frequencies in the visual/attention network (left V3 and right V3) and in the default mode network (left PCC and right PCC). Fig. 5 shows that the frequency profiles of the visual/attention and default networks are broadly similar, whether assessed by oxygen correlation (Fig. 5A) or by power deviation (Fig. 5B). Both networks are band limited from 0.01 Hz to 0.4 Hz.

To compare band-limited correlations in the two networks more closely, we compared the frequencies at which the peak effect (correlation or deviation power) occurs, computed electrode pair by electrode pair. There is no significant difference in the values obtained using one or the other method ($P_{\text{visual/attention}} = 0.7$, $P_{\text{default}} = 0.6$). The peak correlation frequencies (Fig. 5A, arrows) show a trend toward being higher in the default network than in the visual/attention network (0.072 ± 0.009 Hz vs. 0.056 ± 0.004 Hz, respectively, $P = 0.09$). This difference is significant for the peak deviation power (Fig. 5B, 0.054 ± 0.004 Hz vs. 0.076 ± 0.005 Hz, $P < 0.05$). In sum, correlation within the two networks occurs at a similar range of frequencies (0.01–0.4 Hz), but the peak effect is 0.02 Hz higher in the default network compared with the visual/attention network. Finally, the fact that the same peak frequencies are seen within each network when using either long-range correlation or local deviation power further supports the two-source model.

**Eyes Open vs. Eyes Closed.** Both local fluctuations and long-range correlations were stronger when the eyes were closed compared with open. Effects were similar across areas and networks, with greater effects in network compared with out of network (SI Text). The published data from human fMRI are inconsistent on this point (Table S1). See SI Text for discussion.

**Discussion**

Interregional correlation of fluctuations in BOLD signals has been used to reveal the functional architecture of large-scale brain networks. To gain insight into the origin of BOLD correlation, we used a high temporal-resolution measurement, oxygen polarography, to further probe oxygen fluctuations and their correlation. We simultaneously recorded oxygen from two sites...

---

**Fig. 4.** Maximum deviation from a log-linear fit. (A) The oxygen power spectrum was expressed in log-log scale and then fitted by a linear function $\log(\text{Power}) = -\beta \log(f) + k$ or $P \propto 1/f^{\beta}$ before (A, Left) and after (A, Right) removing correlated activity. The maximum deviation was computed as the maximum difference between the observed power spectrum and the linear fit (vertical black lines). (B) Maximum deviation before and after removing correlated activity. Each individual data point corresponds to a single session’s recording from a single pair of in-network (red circles) or out-of-network oxygen signals (blue circles). The maximum deviation was reduced or largely unchanged as a result of removing the correlated portion of the signal. The asterisk corresponds to the data in A.
A direct comparison between the functional connectivity revealed by polarography and fcMRI is complicated by differences in preprocessing. Before computing correlations in fcMRI data, a mean signal (the global signal) is computed and then regressed out of each voxel’s data. Some portion of the global signal is clearly artifactual, including variance due to head movements, respiration, and aliasing from heart rate. Some variance is of neural origin. The neurally derived portion of the global signal might fundamentally alter the correlation pattern by biasing correlations differently in different regions, depending on the true unmeasured shared signal (41). Nevertheless, GSR does greatly improve the delineation of neural activity throughout the brain (46).

### Oxygen Polarography Captures Interregional Oxygen Correlation

fcMRI has established that blood oxygen levels in widely separated brain areas fluctuate in synchrony. This finding was established by sampling volumes 2–3 mm on a side at 1- to 3-s intervals. We modified an existing technique, oxygen polarography, to examine long-range oxygen correlations. Oxygen polarography has been used to study the vascular control of oxygen levels in the brain and more recently to examine the relationship between local oxygen levels and neuronal activity (43, 49–52). Fluctuations in local oxygen level were an incidental finding in many early polarography studies, but they were believed to be driven by local autoregulation (e.g., refs. 53 and 54). Burgess et al. have observed slow fluctuations (~0.1 Hz) that are correlated between left and right medial geniculate in rats (55). However, the importance of interregional oxygen correlation did not become apparent until fcMRI revealed that long-range BOLD correlations can be used to delineate functionally relevant brain networks (44, 56).

Polarographic oxygen signals can be recorded using modified glass pipettes (Clarke electrodes), platinum, or carbon paste electrodes (49–52). Electrode tip sizes can range from microns to millimeters. We recorded simultaneously from multiple areas, using independently controlled platinum microelectrodes with ~30-μm exposed tips. Our design was optimized for robustness (required for use in an awake behaving animal), high spatial specificity, and high temporal resolution. In our design, oxygen levels are recorded from a sphere of brain parenchyma 30–100 μm in diameter (vol = 0.00001–0.0005 mm³). We temporally filtered the analog oxygen signal at 20 Hz before digitizing at 1,000 Hz.

Remarkably, the signals obtained through fmRI and polarography are largely similar, despite differences in sampled volume and temporal resolution and despite the fact that polarography samples tissue oxygen rather than blood oxygen. The amplitude and time course of stimulus-evoked responses in both task-positive and task-negative areas are similar for the two techniques (43), as is the finding of interregional correlations (Fig. 1). In our data, exactly as in fcMRI before global signal regression (see below), most correlations are positive. Scholvinck et al. (57) have found electrophysiological evidence for widely shared neural activity at rest in nonhuman primates, which could correspond to the positive correlation that we see in the polarographic oxygen signals between PCC and V3.

A direct comparison between the functional connectivity revealed by polarography and fcMRI is complicated by differences in preprocessing. Before computing correlations in fcMRI data, a mean signal (the global signal) is computed and then regressed out of each voxel’s data. Some portion of the global signal is clearly artifactual, including variance due to head movements, respiration, and aliasing from heart rate. Some variance is of neural origin. The neurally derived portion of the global signal might fundamentally alter the correlation pattern by biasing correlations differently in different regions, depending on the true unmeasured shared signal (41). Nevertheless, GSR does greatly improve the delineation of neural activity throughout the brain (46).

Global signal regression (GSR) will distort correlation by imposing a substantial negative bias, because the sum of the correlations across all of the contributing signals must necessarily be less than or equal to zero as a result of GSR (Fig. S6; see ref. 46 for proof). In addition, GSR might fundamentally alter the correlation pattern by biasing correlations differently in different regions, depending on the true unmeasured shared signal (41). Nevertheless, GSR remains in wide use for fcMRI data, and this is fully justified by the fact that GSR is effective in removing artifactual variance in fcMRI data and greatly improves the delineation of networks within the brain (59).

Although GSR is appropriate for fcMRI preprocessing, it would be inappropriate to apply GSR to our polarographic data. Artifactual sources are greatly attenuated in polarographic data compared with MRI data. Sampling rates are higher so aliasing of heart and respiration rate is not an issue; heart and respiration rate effects can be resolved and removed from the data. In addition, we did not observe shared signals in our control recordings from nonneural tissue (Fig. 1B). This result argues that our system is not susceptible to correlations resulting from nonneural sources, including motion artifacts, which are the major artifactual source in fcMRI data. Thus, applying GSR to polarography data will not yield empirical benefits in artifact removal as in fcMRI. If we were to nonetheless blindly apply GSR in the current study, then the sum of all of our correlations would necessarily be negative. This would occur whether or not a shared signal is actually present; it is a mathematical consequence of the operation and independent of the physiology. With thousands of signals, as in typical fmRI analyses, the sum of the correlations after regression approaches zero; with fewer signals the sum is progressively more negative (Fig. S6). Thus, with our current state of knowledge, applying GSR to our data is inappropriate for both theoretical and practical reasons. We cannot rule out the possibility that our results may be affected by...
the inclusion of a globally shared neural signal that is removed from the fMRI data by GSR. However, our most important finding—that interregional correlation is band limited—still applies, because the difference between in-network and out-of-network correlation is itself strongly band limited (Fig. 2A).

Interregional Oxygen Correlation is Independent of Local Fluctuations and Is Band Limited. The temporal and spatial features of interregional oxygen correlation can provide clues to the origin of the correlation. Local BOLD fluctuations have $1/f$ power spectra (Fig. 3A) (29–31). From this it has been suggested that neural activity corresponding to interregional BOLD correlation may also have a $1/f$ power spectrum (19). Furthermore, the topology of connectivity, as assessed by correlations, itself shows a $1/f$ character. Only a few voxels are strongly correlated with many other voxels outside of their own network (“hub nodes”), whereas many voxels show a few internetwork correlations (60, 61). Such $1/f$ features are a signature of a system operating around criticality, a state where the system balances between ordered and disordered phases and has a maximum number of metastable states (62). Taken together, these findings have inspired a heuristic model in which interregional oxygen correlation results from self-organized criticality (24–28).

A key assumption of the heuristic model is that the spectrum of interregional correlation is $1/f$. Although some studies have obtained results consistent with a $1/f$ pattern, other studies report opposite results. Salvador et al. (33) separate BOLD into three frequency bands (0–0.08 Hz, 0.08–0.17 Hz, and 0.17–0.25 Hz) and show that correlation is strongest at the lowest-frequency band, consistent with a $1/f$ spectrum. Ciuciu et al. (32) also argue that BOLD coherence is $1/f$. In contrast, Wu et al. (41) show that correlation first increases as frequency decreases and then plateaus at 0.04–0.06 Hz. Achard et al. (36) show that wavelet correlation, which is similar to coherence, peaks around 0.03–0.06 Hz and drops at lower frequencies. Sasai et al. (35) measure mean-squared coherence and find that the preferred and occipital regions show high coherence only in a narrow frequency range (0.04–0.1 Hz). Network structure is most clear for fMRI at 0.02–0.06 Hz (33, 36, 37). Additional indirect support of band-limited behavior can be seen in studies of quasi-periodic patterns in the BOLD signal, which have a center frequency of around 0.05 Hz (63–66). Other indirect support can be found in the fact that gamma-band power, a putative neural correlate of BOLD in the BOLD signal, which have a center frequency of around $0.17 \pm 0.06$ Hz, occurs in a range of pathological conditions or subjects under anesthesia but appears to be rare in healthy awake subjects; its occurrence may reflect a form of hemodynamic dysregulation (71). Fourth, the characteristic frequency of local vasomotion and Mayer waves, both of which are low-frequency oscillations measured at each electrode can be explained as the sum of a $1/f$ process plus a band-limited process (Fig. 3A). Both the frequency profile and the temporal fluctuations of the deviation power match those of the interregional correlation (Fig. 3B). This relationship exists for both in-network pairs and out-of-network pairs, but is stronger for in-network pairs. Our results indicate that the signal underlying interregional correlation has a band-limited spectrum that is distinct from the $1/f$ pattern of local oxygen fluctuations. The fact that the relationship is stronger for in-network pairs supports that the deviation power reflects both network-specific and network-nonspecific correlations (such as the global signal shown in ref. 57). In-network pairs capture both network-specific and network-nonspecific correlations, whereas out-of-network pairs capture only network-nonspecific correlation, and thus in-network pairs show a stronger relationship between their correlation and their local deviation power.

Our results do not address whether criticality exists in the brain. They do, however, strongly suggest that interregional correlation is neither a result nor a reflection of criticality. More generally, our results challenge the view that functional connectivity arises from neural activity propagating within an anatomically constrained network (e.g., refs. 16 and 17). This would predict that correlation has a flat spectrum (Fig. 8A). Instead, we show that oxygen correlation is band limited. The band-limited aspect of interregional correlation is highly suggestive of rhythmic or pseudo-oscillatory mechanisms, perhaps reflecting resonant mechanisms or circuits (15, 67–69).

Interregional correlations could be driven by oscillatory modules, perhaps in subcortical nuclei, which consist of small sets of cells and generate band-limited patterns of firing that are transmitted to widespread cortical and subcortical regions. Indeed, simple oscillator-based models of functional connectivity exist (e.g., ref. 70). However, these models focus on oscillators with fast dynamics, on the order of tens to hundreds of milliseconds. Our results point to oscillators with dynamics several orders of magnitude slower.

An alternative possibility is that band-limited correlation that we measure using polarography is unrelated to the functional connectivity measured using BOLD and instead reflects local vasomotion or Mayer waves, both of which are low-frequency (~0.1 Hz) oscillations in cerebral hemodynamics. For several reasons, we do not believe this is the case. First, we see greater correlation within known cortical networks than across networks. Local vasomotion is a spontaneous oscillation of local blood vessel tone and is unlikely to drive long-range correlations (53, 71) (but see ref. 63). Mayer waves are produced by oscillations of arterial pressure and can drive long-range correlations, but these correlations would not reflect functional network structure (72). Second, our control recordings from soft tissue should be as susceptible to local vasomotion and Mayer waves as signals recorded from the brain (73). However, our control recordings do not show band-limited correlation. Third, local vasomotion sporadically occurs in a range of pathological conditions or subjects under anesthesia but appears to be rare in healthy awake subjects; its occurrence may reflect a form of hemodynamic dysregulation (71). In contrast, fcMRI is a consistent phenomenon, seen in essentially all subjects. We have found band-limited oxygen correlations in all four awake, resting monkeys that we tested (Fig. 5S). The odds of all four animals showing the same rare vascular behavior are quite low. Fourth, the characteristic frequency of local vasomotion and Mayer waves is 0.1 Hz, which is almost an octave above the center
frequency that we observe. Fifth, local vasomotion and Mayer waves are sinusoidal, with a large amount of power in a very narrow frequency range (53, 71, 72). The signals we have recorded are not sinusoidal but aperiodic (Fig. 1A). In sum, although we cannot rule out that local vasomotion or Mayer waves contribute to oxygen correlation, these facts all suggest that the band-limited correlation that we observe reflects the same phenomenon that is revealed by resting-state fMRI in humans and is of neural origin.

Materials and Methods

Animals, Behavior, and Stimulus. Two macaques served as subjects in this study. Animals were cared for and handled in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and all procedures were approved by the Washington University Animal Studies Committee (74). During recording, macaques were fully hydrated and sat with heads fixed in a dark room. Behavior was unconstrained, and the animals had no expectation of a task or reward. Animals naturally relaxed in the setup. The velocity of spontaneous eye movements typically slowed and the eyelids often partially or fully closed (64% and 17% of the time, respectively, for the two monkeys). Results discussed below are similar for the two monkeys, and thus the data are combined. Data from two additional macaques were collected for results reported in the SI Text.

Recording. Electrodes were targeted to V3 and PCC, using anatomical MRI images and physiology (43). Briefly, each animal’s brain was accessed via a bilateral 15-mm (internal diameter) chronic custom recording chambers. T1 weighted MRI images (magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo imaging 0.5-mm isotropic voxels) were obtained using a custom phantom in the chamber that provides visualization of the chamber and allows for the virtual projection of a chamber-based coordinate system down into the brain. In one monkey, two small manganese injections were placed to confirm alignment. Before data collection, boundaries for PCC and V3 and recording regions were defined on the MRI image. The positions of PCC and V3 were further validated based on their respective oxygen and electrophysiological responses to visual stimulation, which were recorded immediately before the resting-state data (43).

To record oxygen signals, we used separately coated platinum microelectrodes (FHC Instruments, Bowdoin, ME) as a cathode. An Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Grass Technologies) was placed on the back of the head at a location with minimal underlying musculature and no response to body or limb movements. The skin was lightly abraded to minimize sweat and movement potentials, and a layer of Ten20 EEG paste was applied. The platinum cathode was polarized at −0.8 V relative to the reference electrode (UniSenz PA2000). The current required to maintain the polarization is proportional to oxygen level. This signal was filtered at 20 Hz and sampled and stored at 1 kHz, using the Plexon MAP system.

We recorded oxygen signals simultaneously from left and right PCC and left and right V3. Twenty datasets (10 from each monkey) with an average length of 40 min were recorded.

Analysis. All analyses were performed with custom software written in Matlab (MathWorks). Oxygen polarographic signals, such as BOLD signals, reflect relative rather than absolute levels. The oxygen polarographic and BOLD data, expressed as percentage of deviation from the mean signal level.

Heart-rate removal. We identified individual heart-rate pulsations, using a recursive template-matching approach. We started with a 1-s Gaussian-derived generic heartbeat template. We identified putative beat times at the moments at which the template had greatest linear correlation with the data, using a sliding-window approach (1-s window with increment of 1 ms). We used these times to generate a beat-triggered average of the oxygen signal. We then replaced the heartbeat template with this beat-triggered average and repeated the analysis. Four iterations were performed to refine our estimates of the beat template and the beat times. The estimated heartbeats were then regressed out of the oxygen signals, taking into account instantaneous heart rate, using the method of Fekete et al. (75).

Bandpass filtering. Bandpass filtering was accomplished by first high-pass filtering and then low-pass filtering. Chebyshev-type II filters were designed with a defined passband and stopband. The transition band of each filter was one octave wide, and the stopband was set to ~80 dB. For the correlation in Fig. 1B, the ~3-dB points for the low- and high-pass filters were set to 0.01 Hz and 0.1 Hz, respectively. For correlation in Fig. 2A, oxygen signals were filtered into individual frequency bands, using filters with half-octave passbands.

Correlation and coherence. Correlation was calculated as Pearson’s r. To calculate coherence, we decomposed each oxygen signal into a time-frequency representation. We extracted the instantaneous amplitude |A(τ, f)| and analytical phase ϕ(τ, f) as a function of time and frequency by applying the Hilbert transform to each half-octave band. To confirm that our results were not influenced by the specific filters used or by the use of the Hilbert transform, we repeated the decomposition and subsequent analyses, using a continuous wavelet transform with a complex Morlet wavelet (43). These two approaches generated nearly identical results.

Coherence at the frequency (f) is defined as

\[ \text{Coherence}_{xy}(f) = \frac{\left| \langle A_x(t, f) A_y(t, f) \rangle \right|}{\sqrt{\left| \langle A_x(t, f) \rangle \right|^2 \left| \langle A_y(t, f) \rangle \right|^2}}, \]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) is the expectation or mean value across time (t). Coherence measures the degree of consistency of the phase difference \( \phi_x(t, f) - \phi_y(t, f) \) across time. It ranges over the interval 0–1. The more consistent the phase difference is across time, the higher the coherence value. All statistics on correlation and coherence were done after applying Fisher’s Z transform (76).

Estimations of instantaneous phase at neighboring time points by a Hilbert or wavelet transform are based on a finite interval. This results in the phase of neighboring time points being nearly identical, because they are estimated based on overlapping data. Even phase estimates of time points that are more widely separated will show some level of artificial consistency. This artificial consistency in phase within individual signals produces artificial consistency in the phase differences across signals. This in turn leads to artificial nonzero values for coherence even for completely independent signals. Figure 57 shows the bias between two 8-min independent synthetic signal streams. The bias approaches 1 for a single cycle of data. This reflects the fact that, with a single cycle of data, phase can hardly vary as a function of time, and therefore the phase difference between two independent streams remains nearly constant for the entire cycle, and coherence thus approaches 1. As the number of data cycles in each stream increases, the bias decreases, but even with 1,000 cycles of data (corresponding to a frequency of just over 1 Hz for an 8-min data stream) the bias is still significantly greater than zero. The result is that, for most data lengths, there will be a substantial bias toward a 1/f characteristic.

To estimate and remove the bias, we constructed two independent synthetic signals, each with the same duration and power spectrum as the observed oxygen signal. We then calculated the instantaneous phase at neighboring time points by a Hilbert or wavelet transform. Consider two signals, expressed as vectors \( X \) and \( Y \), whose correlation coefficient is \( r \). Both \( X \) and \( Y \) can be written as the sum of a shared component plus a unique component,

\[ X = S + W_x, \quad Y = S + W_y, \]

where \( S, W_x, \) and \( W_y \) are unit-length vectors corresponding respectively to the shared component, the unique component in \( X \), and the unique component in \( Y \). S and \( W_x \) and S and \( W_y \) are orthogonal to each other. \( W_x \) and \( W_y \) are the relative weights of the shared component \( S \) across time. If the fraction of the total signal that is shared is similar in \( X \) and \( Y \), then \( W_x = W_y \), and based on [1] and [2] the shared power and the unique power are expressed as

\[ \text{Shared Power} = \text{Total Power} - \text{Unique Power} - (W_x^2), \]

\[ \text{Unique Power} = \text{Total Power} - (1 - W_x^2). \]

The correlation between \( X \) and \( Y \) is by definition
Thus, the unique power in a signal that is left over after removing the power that is associated with a correlated signal is simply, for each frequency band, 1 minus the Pearson correlation coefficient, multiplied by the total power.
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