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The repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) requires open, flexible chromatin domains. The NuA4–Tip60 complex creates these flexible chromatin structures by exchanging histone H2A.Z onto nucleosomes and promoting acetylation of histone H4. Here, we demonstrate that the accumulation of H2A.Z on nucleosomes at DSBs is transient, and that rapid eviction of H2A.Z is required for DNA repair. Anp32e, an H2A.Z chaperone that interacts with the C-terminal docking domain of H2A.Z, is rapidly recruited to DSBs. Anp32e functions to remove H2A.Z from nucleosomes, so that H2A.Z levels return to basal within 10 min of DNA damage. Further, H2A.Z removal by Anp32e disrupts inhibitory interactions between the histone H4 tail and the nucleosome surface, facilitating increased acetylation of histone H4 following DNA damage. When H2A.Z removal by Anp32e is blocked, nucleosomes at DSBs retain elevated levels of H2A.Z, and assume a more stable, hypoacetylated configuration. Further, loss of Anp32e leads to increased CtIP-dependent end resection, accumulation of single-stranded DNA, and an increase in repair by the alternative non-homologous end joining pathway. Exchange of H2A.Z onto the chromatin and subsequent rapid removal by Anp32e are therefore critical for creating open, acetylated nucleosome structures and for controlling end resection by CtIP. Dynamic modulation of H2A.Z exchange and removal by Anp32e reveals the importance of the nucleosome surface and nucleosome dynamics in processing the damaged chromatin template during DSB repair.
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The repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), which cleave the DNA backbone, requires remodeling of the local chromatin architecture. This reorganization of the chromatin is important for promoting access to the site of damage, for creating a template for the repair machinery, and for repackaging the chromatin and resetting the epigenetic landscape following repair. Chromatin remodeling at DSBs is linked to changes in posttranslational modification of histones. DSBs activate the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and DNA–PKcs kinases, which phosphorylate multiple DNA repair proteins, including histone H2AX. Phosphorylated H2AX (γH2AX) provides a binding site for mdc1, which promotes spreading of γH2AX for hundreds of kilobases either side of the break (1–3). DSBs also promote complex patterns of chromatin ubiquitination, including ubiquitination of H2A/H2AX by the RNF8/RNF168 ubiquitin ligases, which, in turn, creates binding sites for repair proteins such as 53BP1 and brca1 (4–7). DSBs also lead to increased methylation of histone H3 on lysine 9 and 36, which can regulate DNA repair pathway choice (8, 9) and methylation of H3 on lysine 9 (10), which drives activation of the Tip60 acetyltransferase and the ATM kinase (11, 12). Further, the NuA4–Tip60 complex (5, 13–15) promotes acetylation of histone H4 at DSBs and drives the formation of open, flexible chromatin domains at DSBs (5, 13, 14). The repair of DSBs is therefore fundamentally a chromatin-driven process requiring dynamic changes in histone modification and chromatin reorganization, which directly promote recruitment of DSB repair proteins (16).

The NuA4–Tip60 remodeling complex plays a central role in nucleosome reorganization at DSBs (16). NuA4–Tip60 is a 16 subunit complex containing 2 key subunits—the p400 SWI/SNF ATPase and the Tip60 acetyltransferase. The p400 ATPase promotes exchange of H2A for the histone variant H2A.Z (13, 17). This increase in H2A.Z at DSBs then promotes acetylation of histone H4 by the Tip60, creating open, flexible chromatin at sites of DNA damage (5, 11, 14). Inactivation of NuA4–Tip60 blocks both H2A.Z exchange and H4 acetylation, leading to a reduction in chromatin mobility at DSBs. Consequently, loss of H2A.Z exchange leads to defective DSB repair, increased sensitivity to DNA damage, and genomic instability (13, 18, 19).

Here, we demonstrate that H2A.Z exchange at DSBs is dynamic, with H2A.Z accumulating at DSBs within minutes of damage, followed by rapid H2A.Z eviction. Further, we show that Anp32e, a H2A.Z-specific histone chaperone, binds specifically to the docking domain of H2A.Z and is required to remove H2A.Z from the damaged chromatin template. Failure to remove H2A.Z leads to defects in DSB repair, including a loss of H4 acetylation, defects in nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), and increased end resection of DSBs.

Results

Here, we examined the role of the histone chaperone Anp32e (20) in regulating H2A.Z exchange at DSBs. DNA damage created by laser microirradiation (“laser striping”) led to an increase in γH2AX accumulation and of Anp32e (Fig. 1). No focal accumulation of Anp32e was seen in untreated cells (Fig. S1 A). However, Anp32e accumulation was delayed relative to γH2AX formation, so that
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Significance

DNA is wrapped around nucleosomes, so that repairing double-strand breaks (DSBs) requires significant nucleosome re-organization. Nucleosome reorganization requires exchange of histone H2A.Z. This work reveals that H2A.Z only transiently accumulates at breaks and demonstrates that Anp32e, a histone chaperone, is recruited to DNA breaks and removes H2A.Z from the nucleosomes. Further, removal of H2A.Z from the intact nucleosome by Anp32e promotes acetylation of histone H4, re-models the local chromatin, and facilitates DNA repair. The dynamic exchange and removal of H2A.Z by Anp32e is therefore critical for chromatin reorganization at DSBs. Further, modulation of the nucleosome surface by Anp32e directs histone modification, recruitment of repair proteins, and the processing and repair of DSBs.
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To further block H2AZ after DNA damage (Fig. 1C), indicating that Anp32e is required for H4Ac formation. We next examined if Anp32e contributed to H2AZ exchange. H2AZ rapidly accumulated at sites of laser damage (Fig. 1D). Surprisingly, H2AZ was only transiently retained on the damaged chromatin, with essentially all of the H2AZ removed within 10 min of damage (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, depletion of Anp32e did not block accumulation of H2AZ (Fig. 1D); rather, loss of Anp32e blocked removal of H2AZ, leading to prolonged retention of H2AZ on the chromatin at DSBs (Fig. 1D). The H2AZ chaperone Anp32e therefore rapidly removes H2AZ from sites of DNA damage. Further, because loss of Anp32e blocks H4Ac at DSBs (Fig. 1C), this implies that removal of H2AZ by Anp32e may trigger H4Ac at DSBs.

The crystal structure of Anp32e bound to H2A.Z indicates that Anp32e makes multiple contacts with H2A.Z, including T103/T104 in the C-terminal docking domain (20, 21) (Fig. 2A). Although Anp32e interacting residues are conserved in H2A, the extra glycine residue in H2A (blue circle; Fig. 2A) disrupts Anp32e–H2A interaction (20, 21), so that Anp32e only binds to H2A.Z. We therefore replaced the Anp32e binding region of H2A.Z with the equivalent region from H2A, including the extra glycine, creating the chimeric H2A.Z protein H2A.ZNG (Fig. 2A). We then examined whether Anp32e–H2A.Z interaction was required for H2A.Z removal.

H2A.ZNG and H2AZ were efficiently expressed (Fig. S2A) and exchanged onto the chromatin at the p84-ZFN DSB (Fig. 2B). HA-H2A.Z accumulated at sites of laser DNA damage, and was removed by 30 min postirradiation, so that less than 5% of cells retained HA-H2A.Z (Fig. 2D). However, HA-H2A.ZNG was not removed (Fig. 2D), being retained for at least 30 min. This result is consistent with the ChiP data (Fig. 2B), where higher levels of H2A.ZNNG accumulated at DSBs. Further, Anp32e was recruited to DSBs in the absence of H2A.Z (Fig. S2I), indicating that retention of H2A.ZNNG was not due to failure to recruit Anp32e to the DSB. Binding of Anp32e to H2A.Z’s docking domain is therefore required to remove H2A.Z from DSBs, but is not for the initial exchange of H2A.Z onto the damaged chromatin. Further, removal of H2A.ZNNG at DSBs blocked H4Ac after DNA damage (Fig. 2C). Thus, retention of H2A.Z on damaged chromatin through loss of Anp32e (Fig. 1C) or inactivation of the Anp32e binding site on H2A.Z (Fig. 2D) inhibits H4Ac at DSBs. We interpret this to mean that removal of H2A.Z by Anp32e from damaged chromatin facilitates histone H4 acetylation.

Chromatin compaction involves binding of the H4 tail of one nucleosome to an acidic patch on the surface of an adjacent nucleosome. Acetylation of the H4 tails blocks this interaction and promotes unpacking of chromatin fibers (22–26). The acidic patch on the nucleosome surface is formed from a short stretch of acidic amino acids (the acidic domain) in the docking domain of H2A and H2A.Z (Fig. 2A). This acidic domain is adjacent to (and partially overlaps with) the Anp32e interaction domain on H2A.Z (20, 27–29) (Fig. 2A). Anp32e binding may therefore promote H4Ac by either displacing the H4 tail from the adjacent acidic domain or by removing H2A.Z and eliminating the entire H4 binding surface. To test this hypothesis, we used the LANA protein of Kaposi’s sarcoma herpes virus (27). LANA protein binds tightly to the acidic patch (30, 31), using the same acidic amino acids to which the H4 tail binds. The 23 amino acid domain of LANA, which binds to the acidic patch, and a control, in which an essential arginine was mutated to glycine (30), were fused to GFP and expressed in cells (Fig. S3A). GFP–LANA and GFP–Con had minimal impact on damage-induced H4Ac in control cells (Fig. 3A). However, GFP–LANA (but not GFP–Con)
rescued H4Ac at DSBs in both H2A.ZNG cells (Fig. 3I) and Anp32e-depleted cells (Fig. 3B). This finding supports the idea that retention of H2A.Z at DSBs tends to stabilize the nucleosomes. Because expression of H2A.ZNG also inhibits H4Ac (Fig. 3A and B), we used the LANA peptide to restore H4Ac in H2A.ZNG cells. However, even though the LANA peptide can restore H4Ac (Fig. 3B), it only partially restored the increase in NaCl solubility of histone H3 caused by DNA damage in H2A.ZNG cells (Fig. 3C). Increased H4Ac, on its own, is therefore insufficient to decrease nucleosome stability. This result suggests that removal of H2A.Z, in combination with increased H4Ac, are required to decrease nucleosome stability at DSBs.

Previous studies implicated H2A.Z exchange in regulation of end processing of DSBs by CtIP (13). In fact, cells expressing H2A.ZNG or lacking Anp32e (Fig. 4A) exhibited a significant loss of Ku70 recruitment to laser stripes. In addition, ChIP analysis demonstrated an increase in the ssDNA binding protein RPA32 at DSBs in H2A.ZNG cells (Fig. 4B). This finding suggests that retention of H2A.Z at the DSB (by expressing H2A.ZNG or deleting Anp32e) directs processing of the DNA ends toward ssDNA production, favoring RPA binding over Ku70/80 binding.

Further, GFP–LANA, which binds to the nucleosome surface and partially rescues H4Ac and formation of open chromatin in H2A.ZNG cells (Fig. 3), substantially restored Ku70/80 loading in both H2A.ZNG and Anp32e-deficient cells (Fig. 4C and D). Importantly, depletion of CtIP (Fig. S3B), which is essential for end resection, also restored Ku70 loading in the absence of Anp32e (Fig. 4E). Loss of Ku70 binding and increased ssDNA in Anp32e-depleted cells is therefore mediated through increased end resection of the break by CtIP. Because depletion of Anp32e blocks H2A.Z removal (Fig. 3) and H4Ac (Fig. 4), this result implies that dynamic H2A.Z exchange (by NuA4–Tip60) and removal by Anp32e, coupled with H4Ac, creates a chromatin conformation that functions to restrain CtIP-mediated end resection of the DSB.

Fig. 2. Anp32e uses H2A.Z’s docking domain to removes H2A.Z. (A) Sequence alignment of human H2A and H2A.Z, with conserved amino acids in blue. Residues required for Anp32e interaction (yellow circles) and the helix breaking glycine in H2A (blue circle) are indicated. Red lines delineate acidic domain. Sequence of chimeric H2A.ZNG is indicated. (B) The 293T cells expressing vector, HA-H2A.Z, or HA-H2A.ZNG were transfected with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) to create DSBs. Cells were processed for ChIP 18 h later using HA antibody and primers were located 500 bp to the right of the DSB. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (C) The 293T cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.ZNG were transfected with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) to create DSBs. Cells were processed for ChIP 18 h later using H4Ac antibody and primers were located 500 bp to the right of the DSB. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (D) U2OS cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.ZNG were exposed to laser stripping and either fixed immediately (0 min) or recovered for 30 min. H2A.Z is detected with HA antibody. Percent of γH2AX stripes colocalizing with H2A.Z is shown.

Fig. 3. Binding of LANA to nucleosome surface rescues H4Ac in Anp32e-deficient cells. (A) The 293T cells expressing HA-H2A.Z, HA-H2A.ZNG, GFP–Con, or GFP–LANA were transfected with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+). ChIP was performed 18 h later using H4Ac antibody and primers were located 500 bp to the right of the DSB. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (B) The 293T cells expressing GFP–Con or GFP–LANA were transiently transfected with siRNA to Anp32e (siAnp32e). Cells were transfected 48 h later with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) and processed for ChIP using H4Ac antibody. (C) Cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.ZNG were untreated or exposed to bleomycin (7.5 μM) as indicated. Nuclei were extracted in 1.0 M NaCl, salt soluble proteins separated by SDS/PAGE, and H3 was detected by Western blot. Ponceau S staining indicates loading.
resection, retaining intact DSB ends and therefore favoring Ku70 binding.

Finally, we examined how Anp32e contributes to DSB repair. Loss of Anp32e (Fig. 5A) or expression of H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) (Fig. 5B) increased radiosensitivity, consistent with a key role for Anp32e and H2A.Z removal in DSB repair. Neither depletion of Anp32e or expression of H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) impacted cell kinetics (Fig. S3C). However, loss of Anp32e reduced NHEJ activity in cells (Fig. 5C), consistent with the loss of Ku70 at DSBs in the absence of Anp32e (Fig. 4E). Alternative-NHEJ (alt-NHEJ) is a backup pathway used when components of NHEJ, such as Ku70, are inactivated (32, 33). Indeed, cells lacking Anp32e showed increased repair through the alt-NHEJ pathway (Fig. 5D), consistent with the increase in ChIP-dependent ssDNA and loss of Ku70 binding (Fig. 4). Finally, depletion of Anp32e led to a small but significant increase in repair by homologous recombination (HR) (Fig. 5E), suggesting that the increase in ssDNA following Anp32e depletion may also increase repair by the HR pathway.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that the Anp32e histone chaperone is a DNA damage response protein which directs removal of H2A.Z from nucleosomes at DSBs. Previous work indicated that NuA4–Tip60 promotes both H2A.Z exchange and H4Ac on nucleosomes at DSBs, creating open chromatin domains that are required for DSB repair (Fig. 6) (11, 13, 14). Our results now extend this work to demonstrate that H2A.Z is only retained transiently at DSBs, and that rapid removal of H2A.Z by Anp32e is required to promote H4 acetylation and nucleosome reorganization at DSBs. The NuA4–Tip60 complex and Anp32e therefore function together to coordinate dynamic accumulation and removal of H2A.Z from nucleosomes during the repair of DSBs.

We propose a model (Fig. 6) in which the initial exchange of H2A.Z by NuA4–Tip60 stabilizes nucleosomes at the break, limiting chromatin mobility and maintaining chromatin structure. Anp32e then removes the entire H2A.Z–H2B dimer, eliminating both H2A.Z and the acidic patch, and freeing the H4 tail for acetylation by Tip60 and processing of the DSB. How H2A.Z alters nucleosome function is complex and may depend on associated histone modifications and histone variants (29). For example, H2A.Z is associated with open chromatin (34–37),

**Fig. 4.** Removal of H2A.Z by Anp32e is required for retention of Ku70 at DSBs. (A) U2OS cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) or transfected with control or Anp32e siRNA siAnp32e were exposed to laser striping and allowed to recover for 30 min, followed by immunofluorescent staining for γH2AX and Ku70. (B) The 293T cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) were transfected with control or Anp32e siRNA siAnp32e for 48 h followed by vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) to create DSBs. ChIP using antibody to the RPA32 subunit of the RPA complex, with PCR primers located 100 bp to the right of the DSB, was then carried out. (C) The 293T cells expressing GFP–Con, GFP–LANA, HA-H2A.Z, or HA-H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) were transfected with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) to create DSBs. ChIP, using Ku70/80-specific antibody, with primers located 100 bp to the right of the DSB, was then carried out. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (D) The 293T cells expressing GFP–Con or GFP–LANA were transfected with control (siCon) or Anp32e-specific (siAnp32e) siRNA. Cells were transfected 48 h later with vector (−) or p84-ZFN (+) to create DSBs, followed by ChIP using Ku70 antibody. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (E) U2OS cells were treated with control siRNA, siRNA to Anp32e (siAnp32e), or shRNA targeting CtIP. DNA damage was created by laser striping, and Ku70 was detected by immunofluorescence 30 min later.

**Fig. 5.** Loss of Anp32e promotes repair by the alt-NHEJ pathway. (A) The 293T cells were transfected with control or Anp32e siRNA siAnp32e. Cells were irradiated 48 h later and surviving colonies counted. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (B) MCF-7 cells expressing HA-H2A.Z or HA-H2A.Z\(^{NG}\) were irradiated and surviving colonies counted. Results are ±SE (n = 3). (C–E) Cells carrying either (C) a GFP-NHEJ reporter, (D) a GFP-alt-NHEJ reporter, or (E) a GFP-HR reporter were transfected with control or Anp32e-specific siRNA, followed by vector (Vec) or l-Sce1 to create DSBs. GFP positive cells were quantitated by FACS analysis. Results are ±SE (n = 4–6).
Control of nucleosome dynamics by Anp32e-directed removal of H2B dimer, resulting in loss of the acidic patch. Loss of the acidic patch prevents the H4 tail from rebinding to the acidic patch (22 repair, such as Ino80 (44, 45). Further, because acetylation of H2B dimers by other remodeling ATPases implicated in DSB repair, such as Tip60 during DSB repair. Control of the balance between exchange and removal of H2A.Z may therefore be provided by other components of NuA4 (Fig. 1), suggesting that Anp32e functions separately from NuA4–Tip60 during DSB repair. A key finding was that retention of H2A.Z increased ssDNA at DSBs, and that these breaks were repaired mainly by the alt-NHEJ pathway. Importantly, this process was dependent on CtIP, suggesting that H2A.Z exchange and removal regulates CtIP activity. H2A.Z removal is required for several epigenetic modifications, including H4Ac (5, 13–15) and ubiquitination (5, 13, 14), which control nucleosome packing and loading of brca1 and 53BP1 at DSBs (5, 13, 14, 48). Consequently, retention of H2A.Z creates more compact nucleosomes lacking key histone modifications, such as H4Ac, at the site of damage. This shift in nucleosome conformation, combined with altered loading of DNA repair proteins such as 53BP1, may weaken the normal nucleosomal barrier to end resection (16). Interestingly, exol mediated end resection is increased on H2A.Z nucleosomes compared to H2A nucleosomes (45), supporting the idea that H2A.Z retention may favor end resection of DSBs. The failure to remove H2A.Z may therefore reduce the nucleosomal barrier to end resection by CtIP, leading to increased ssDNA and channeling of repair into the alt-NHEJ pathway. Dynamic H2A.Z exchange and subsequent removal by Anp32e represents a precise, ordered mechanism for regulating end processing during DSB repair.

In conclusion, we have shown that H2A.Z exchange and subsequent removal by Anp32e plays a critical role in the early chromatin processing events at DSBs, including promoting H4 acetylation. Further, the results indicate that the nucleosome surface plays a critical role in DSB repair, providing an essential binding site for key proteins involved in the earliest events of DSB repair. Finally, the requirement for rapid removal of H2A.Z by Anp32e demonstrates that the precise ordering and timing of nucleosome reorganization at DSBs is not clear. Anp32e was recently identified as a subunit of NuA4–Tip60 (20), suggesting that a single NuA4–Tip60/Anp32e complex can both rapidly add and remove H2A.Z from nucleosomes at DSBs. However, our results indicate that Anp32e recruitment to DSBs has different kinetics to that seen for other components of NuA4 (Fig. 1), suggesting that Anp32e functions separately from NuA4–Tip60 during DSB repair.

Materials and Methods

Details of cell culture, DNA repair assays, transfection protocols, sequences of shRNA and siRNA, plasmid construction, Western blot analysis, nucleosome stability assay, and antibodies are in SI Materials and Methods.
Chromatin Immunoprecipitation. ChIP assays used the SimpleChIP Chromatin IP Kit (Cell Signaling Technology). Cells were cross-linked with formaldehyde (1% final concentration). Cells were permeabilized in CHAPS buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) and sonicated (Fisher BioRuptor U200). Part of the supernatant was digested with protease K (65 °C for 2 h), and then digested with protease K. Purified DNA was quantitated by RT-qPCR using the Step One Plus real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences, ChIP grade antibodies, and PCR conditions are in SI Materials and Methods.

Laser Microirradiation and Immunofluorescence. Laser damage was produced using a 30-mW 405-nm diode laser focused through the 40×-Plan Apochromat Oil 1.25 NA objective (Leica TCS SP5, Leica Microsystems). Laser Microirradiation and Immunofluorescence.
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