Notch1 maintains dormancy of olfactory horizontal basal cells, a reserve neural stem cell
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The remarkable capacity of the adult olfactory epithelium (OE) to regenerate fully both neurosensory and nonneuronal cell types after severe epithelial injury depends on life-long persistence of two stem cell populations: the horizontal basal cells (HBCs), which are quiescent and held in reserve, and mitotically active globose basal cells. It has recently been demonstrated that down-regulation of the ΔN form of the transcription factor p63 is both necessary and sufficient to release HBCs from dormancy. However, the mechanisms by which p63 is down-regulated after acute OE injury remain unknown. To identify the cellular source of potential signaling mechanisms, we assessed HBC activation after neuron-only and sustentacular cell death. We found that ablation of sustentacular cells is sufficient for HBC activation to multipotency. By expression analysis, next-generation sequencing, and immunohistochemical examination, down-regulation of Notch pathway signaling is coincident with HBC activation. Therefore, using HBC-specific conditional knockout of Notch receptors and overexpression of N1ICD, we show that Notch signaling maintains p63 levels and HBC dormancy, in contrast to its suppression of p63 expression in other tissues. Additionally, Notch1, but not Notch2, is required to maintain HBC dormancy after selective neuronal degeneration. Taken together, our data indicate that the activation of HBCs observed after tissue injury or sustentacular cell ablation is caused by the reduction/elimination of Notch signaling on HBCs; elimination of Jagged1 expressed by sustentacular cells may be the ligand responsible.

Notch | olfactory epithelium | reserve stem cell | trp63

The neurogenic and regenerative capacity of the adult olfactory epithelium (OE) in both rodents and humans is unmatched elsewhere in the nervous system (1–3). Two stem cell populations maintain life-long neurogenesis in the adult rodent OE: the globose basal cells (GBCs) and horizontal basal cells (HBCs). The GBC population is a heterogeneous mix of both label-retaining and non-label-retaining progenitor cells that is further subdivided on the basis of transcription factor expression (4–7). In contrast, HBCs are a reserve stem cell population and are molecularly and morphologically homogeneous and similar to basal cells of other epithelia (8). HBCs emerge perinatally, form a complete monolayer adherent to the basal lamina by approximately postnatal day 14, and rarely contribute to tissue maintenance in the uninjured OE (9, 10). However, as a consequence of severe tissue injury and the wholesale loss of both neurons and sustentacular (Sus) cells, HBCs lose their attachment to the basal lamina, proliferate, transition into GBCs, and give rise to all types of cellular constituents of the OE during its regeneration, a constellation of responses that we term “activation” (9–11). In contrast, existing data suggest that selective neuronal loss in response to ablation of the olfactory bulb does not result in HBC activation (9), although another laboratory has observed an enhanced HBC contribution to the epithelium after bulb ablation (12). The effect of the targeted death of Sus cells has not been investigated.

It has recently been demonstrated that the transcription factor p63, a member of the p53 family of transcription factors, is both necessary and sufficient to maintain HBC dormancy in the adult OE (10, 11). p63 has two transcription start sites (TSS) serving alternate N-terminal isoforms: full-length TAp63 and truncated ΔNp63, which has a shorter transcriptional domain. In addition, alternative splicing generates five potential C-terminal domains: α, β, γ, δ, ε (13). ΔNp63α is the dominant form in the OE by far (14). ΔNp63α expression typifies the basal cells of several epithelia, including the epidermis, prostate, mammary glands, vagina, and thymus (15). In skin, p63 is required for both the establishment (16) and maintenance (17) of the stem cell populations. Additionally, shRNA knockdown and overexpression studies in other tissues have revealed that ΔNp63 has a multitude of transcriptional targets, including genes involved in cell adhesion, cell cycle control, and cross-talk with various signaling pathways. For example, ΔNp63 has been shown to regulate expression of basal cell markers (KS/14) (18) and cell adhesion in mammary epithelial cells and keratinocytes (19), while also participating in the response to a variety of signaling pathways, including Notch, Wnt, Bmp, and FGF (20). From this finding, it is evident that ΔNp63 likely serves as a nexus onto which many signals converge to regulate the behavior of the basal cells. However, neither the nature of the cellular injury required to activate HBCs nor the upstream molecular signaling pathways governing ΔNp63α down-regulation following tissue injury that leads to HBC activation has been elucidated.

A multitude of studies have demonstrated the Notch signaling pathway can serve as an integral cell–cell signaling pathway for embryogenesis, tissue homeostasis, and stem cell dynamics.
through its ability to regulate cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (21–25). The Notch signaling pathway in mammals—consisting of ligands Jagged1, Jagged2, Delta-like1–4 (Jag1–2, Dll1–4), receptors Notch1–4, and cofactors RBPJ and Mastermind-like—that bind to the cleaved intracellular domain (NICD) of the receptors in the signal-receiving cell—has a multitude of effects, including the regulation of canonical target genes, such as the Hes family of genes (26). The Notch signaling pathway is highly active in quiescent neural stem cells of the subgranular zone and subventricular zone of the adult CNS, and it has been demonstrated that canonical Notch-ON, RBPI-dependent signaling maintains the undifferentiated and quiescent state of neural stem cells in vivo (27–29). More recently, it has been shown that DII1 resides in proximity to the quiescent neural stem cells (NSCs) of the subventricular zone in adult mice, and conditional knockout of DII1 in cells adjacent to the NSC population reduces the number of quiescent NSCs with an accompanying increase in activated NSCs and transit-amplifying cells (30).

Cross-talk between Notch and p63 has been well characterized in some tissues. For example, in the basal cells of the epidermis, Notch signaling antagonizes p63 by inhibiting p63 expression, whereas p63 inhibits expression of Notch receptors and effector genes (31). Similarly, Notch2 knockout in the mammalian lens increases p63 transcript levels (32). Contrary to these reports of Notch-p63 antagonism, however, Notch and p63 have also been noted to positively regulate each other on rare occasion, suggesting that the consequences of Notch-p63 cross-talk are cell-type–specific. In NIH 3T3 cells, knockout of p63 inhibits Notch-mediated transcription of Jagged1 (33). In the other direction, p63 can feedback to activate Notch pathway gene expression in some tissues (34–36). Thus, the relationship between Notch and p63 tends to be antagonistic, but is not absolutely so. Accordingly, the Notch-p63 relationship in HBCs of the OE warrants investigation as a formidable exemplar of the regulation of reserve stem cells and their activation after injury.

Results
Systematic Cell-Specific Ablation and HBC Activation. It has been well established that wholesale loss of both neurons and Sus cells after severe OE injury caused, for example, by inhalation of MeBr gas, evokes the activation of HBCs, which contribute to regeneration of the epithelium (9, 10). Whether activation of HBCs requires damage to both cell populations has yet to be determined. One study that traced HBC lineage after injury reported that HBCs remain dormant after the selective loss of mature neurons (29). More recently, it has been shown that the Krt5CrePR transgene did not require RU486 injection for activation after injury. Successful OBX injury was verified by the disappearance of olfactory marker protein (OMP)-expressing olfactory neurons 1 wk after OBX (Fig. S1). The genetically labeled HBCs in the K5CreER2;fl(stop)TDTomato animal remained dormant and both TdT+ and CK14+, despite near complete absence of mature neurons at time points 1 wk or more postinjury (Fig. S1 A and B), compared with the uninjured control side (Fig. S1 A and B).

The results from the OBX lineage-trace experiment are consistent with the previous demonstration that neuronal loss alone is insufficient to activate HBCs to multipotency (9). Thus, we tested whether targeted loss of Sus cells activated HBCs. We used a multigenic approach to induce specific ablation of Sus cells concurrent with HBC lineage tracing. Mice carrying a Cyp2g1-rTA driver, which is Sus cell- and Bowman’s duct/gland cell-specific (38), a TetO-diphtheria toxin A (DTA) transgene, and the K5CreER2;fl(stop)TDTomato alleles were used. In quadrigeneric mice with this genotype, the presence of doxycycline causes the death of Sus and duct/gland cells in the OE (Fig. 1). For a negative control, we substituted a TetO-GFP element for the TetO-DTA transgene to label the cells in which exposure to doxycycline led to activation of the TetO-containing constructs.

Tamoxifen was administered to quadrigenic mice at 6 wk of age to induce the hereditable expression of TDTomato by HBCs. Two weeks after tamoxifen induction, we started animals on 2 g/kg doxycycline chow ad libitum for 1 mo and then collected the tissue (Fig. 1).

In the K5CreER2;fl(stop)TDTomato;Cyp2g1-rTA;TetO-GFP control mice, doxycycline administration led to GFP-labeling of a substantial population of Sus cells, with persistent HBC dormancy under these conditions (Fig. 1C). In the K5CreER2;fl(stop)TDTomato;Cyp2g1-rTA;TetO-DTA mice, in contrast, doxycycline treatment gave rise to TDTomato+ neurons and Sus cells (Fig. 1 D and E). The latter group of transgenic animals produced threefold more clusters of non-HBCs per tissue section and 13-fold more non-HBC cells per tissue section than the controls (Fig. 1F). Non-Sus cells are also dying within the epithelium of the DTA-expressing mice, as evidenced by increased p63 and olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) in the DTA group compared with the GFP-expressing control mice after 1 mo of doxycycline chow, as counts of Caspase3+ cells are not significantly different between the two groups at this time (Fig. 1F). That the death of OSNs is equivalent between the two groups suggests that HBC activation reflects the accelerated death of Sus cells by itself; the overwhelming predominance of HBC-derived Sus cells and duct/gland cells in the DTA mice also supports that interpretation.

Postlesion RNA-Seq Analysis Reveals the Notch Pathway Is a Candidate Pathway. The foregoing results suggest that the death of Sus and duct/gland cells boosts HBCs from dormancy, resulting in the generation of both neurons and Sus cells from within the HBC lineage. Preliminary microarray data comparing HBCs harvested from uninjured control mice with HBCs isolated 48 h after MeBr exposure suggested that the Notch signaling pathway is differentially regulated after injury. In an additional effort to identify candidate cell-to-cell signaling pathways for further investigation, we undertook an in-depth transcriptomic analysis comparing HBCs from uninjured OE vs. HBCs isolated 18 h after MeBr exposure, when levels of p63 protein are at a minimum (10). The cells were FACs-isolated on the basis of TDTomato expression in tamoxifen-treated K5CreER2;fl(stop)TDTomato mice. RNA-seq analysis was carried out, as described in Materials and Methods.

Quality control of the samples by hierarchical clustering, analysis of p63 levels, and t-SNE (t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding) dimension reduction indicated that one of the MeBr-exposed samples did not lesion, and was eliminated from further analysis (Fig. S24). Additional quality-control plots demonstrated no need for further normalization nor for a method of filtering out genes below the detection threshold (Fig. S2B). A subsequent volcano plot of these data showed that both p63 and Hes1 were significantly down-regulated following lesion (Fig. S2C), and the latter change suggested a reduction in Notch signaling. Pathway analysis demonstrated that other members of the Notch signaling pathway are significantly down-regulated 18 h following MeBr lesion (Fig. 2), confirmed previous microarray data, and further supported Notch as a candidate pathway involved in postinjury HBC activation.
consisting of 1.1 kb upstream of the ΔNp63 TSS and 250 bp of the TSS, the location of which was based on published reports (39, 40). FIMO (find individual motif occurrences) scanning using the generally acknowledged consensus binding motif for RBPJ (41, 42) revealed not only scattered binding sites far upstream, but also two distinct clusters, one located 250 bp upstream from the TSS and a smaller one directly at the TSS, supporting direct RBPJ regulation of the ΔNp63 locus. In addition, analysis using a longer consensus binding motif that enriches for sites of coincident RBPJ/NICD binding (42) predicted two such sites in the more upstream area, consistent with published reports suggesting that in general, NICD/RBPJ binding occurs further away from the TSS, whereas NICD-independent RBPJ binding is more enriched closer to the TSS (Fig. S2D).

Components of the Canonical Notch Signaling Pathway Are Present in the Uninjured Adult OE. Given the RNA-seq demonstration that Notch receptors and pathway genes are transcribed by HBCs and differentially regulated following tissue injury, we wanted to confirm expression of the corresponding proteins in the OE. To that end, we stained tissue sections of adult OE with antibodies targeting Notch ligands, receptors, targets, and cofactors to establish the distribution of the Notch signaling components in the adult OE. Antibodies against the canonical Notch cofactor RBPJ stained all cells of the OE, with non-HBCs labeling with the most intensity (Fig. 3A). Hes1, the canonical downstream target of NICD/RBPJ Notch signaling, labeled all HBCs to a variable extent, which were identified by staining for the HBC marker CK14 (Fig. 3, thin arrows). Additionally, colabeling of CK18 and Hes1 demonstrated that all Sus and duct (Fig. 3, thick arrows) cells are Hes1+, with the Sus cells labeling with the highest intensity (Fig. 3B).

The RNA-seq data indicate that HBCs transcribe Notch1 and Notch2 but not Notch3 and Notch4 (Fig. 2). Immunostaining with Notch1 antibody confirmed the presence of Notch1 protein in not only HBCs, but also ducts (Fig. 3C, thick arrow), as well as a small GBC population found just apical to the HBCs (Fig. 3C, double arrows). Notch2, by comparison with Notch1, is expressed by the HBCs and Sus cells (Fig. 3D). Interestingly, GBCs, whether Notch1 or Notch1+, do not label with Notch2.

Canonical Notch ligands of the Jagged and Delta family are also expressed in the OE (Fig. 2). Jag1 exclusively labels Sus cells and does not label the HBCs (arrows, Fig. 3E). The distribution of Dll1 was mapped using Dll1-LacZ reporter mice, as antibody labeling was unsuccessful. In this case, colabeling of β-gal with the HBC marker CK14 was exclusive and extensive (Fig. 3F). We were unable to detect positive staining for Notch3, Notch4, or Jag2 in the OE.

The Notch Signaling Pathway in HBCs Responds to Acute MeBr Injury. The differential regulation of Notch signaling components in response to injury that was observed with RNA-seq was confirmed by qPCR analysis of multiple Notch pathway components at 18 h after injury (10). The naris-plugged side served as an internal control, because it is largely spared the effect of the gas. By IHC, staining for the Notch1 receptor was initially more pronounced in HBCs after lesion (which retain much reduced but detectable staining for Hes1, the downstream effector of canonical Notch signaling, as a function of time during the acute postinjury period. The analysis of multiple Notch pathway components at 18 h after injury (10).

qPCR analysis revealed a complex pattern of gene expression as a function of time during the acute postinjury period. The analysis of multiple Notch pathway components at 18 h after injury (10).
lesion parallels the RNA-seq observation described earlier and indicates that mRNA levels are significantly reduced by comparison with uninjured controls (Fig. 4E). The decline in mRNA levels was tracked at multiple time points—0 (i.e., at the end of the exposure), 12, 18, and 24 h post-MeBr—for selected HBC-specific components: Notch1, Notch2, Hes1, and p63. Notch1 levels at the end of exposure period are nearly 16-fold increased, but then fall 2-fold to a nadir at 18 h, which anticipates the decline in Hes1 and p63 mRNAs. Notch2 mRNA levels display a more subdued response to injury (Fig. 4F). The enhanced immunoreactivity for Notch1 protein in HBCs at 18 h postinjury may reflect that initial increase in Notch1 mRNA.

**Notch Signaling Up-Regulates p63 and Fosters HBC Dormancy in the Uninjured OE.** That the decline in mRNA levels of Notch1 and downstream components of the signaling pathway, such as Hes1 mRNA, anticipated the nadir of p63 gene expression suggests that Notch signaling maintains p63 levels in this tissue. We tested directly whether Notch signaling exhibits a positive upstream regulation of p63 transcription, first by eradicating Notch1 via conditional knockout and, second, by enhancing Notch signaling via overexpression of the constitutively active Notch1 intracellular domain (NIICD). Furthermore, we assayed whether elimination of Notch signaling causes a decreased threshold for HBC activation in the uninjured OE as a consequence of p63 down-regulation.

We analyzed transcript levels by qPCR in FACS-purified HBCs from either Notch1-conditional knockout or constitutive NIICD-expressing mice in which the K5CreERT2 driver was used to target the gene mutation to HBCs specifically, while simultaneously expressing a TdTomato reporter for lineage tracing and cell sorting. Cells were harvested by FACS 2 wk after tamoxifen administration. Compared with wild-type TdTomato+ HBCs, constitutive NIICD overexpression resulted in a nearly fourfold increase in Notch1, as well as a threefold increase in Hes1 mRNA, as expected, and also a threefold increase in p63 expression (Fig. 5A). Conversely, following conditional knockout of Notch1 in HBCs, Notch1 mRNA trended downward, Hes1 held at normal levels, but p63 was significantly decreased (Fig. 5A). It is likely that the changes in gene expression are attenuated by incomplete recombination at the Notch1 locus compared with the ROSA26-fl(stop)TdTomato locus (see below for the demonstration that Notch1 is retained in some HBCs in Tam-treated homozygous knockout animals).

Although levels of p63 mRNA respond to Notch signaling modulation, we sought to determine whether there were functional consequences of altered expression. Specifically, we assayed the
consequences of Notch1 conditional knockout on the threshold for HBC activation in the uninjured OE. To that end, K5CreER\textsuperscript{2,fl} (stop)TdTomato;Notch1\textsuperscript{fl/fl} mice were perfused 3 mo after tamoxifen treatment. Compared with both wild-type K5CreER\textsuperscript{2,fl} (stop)TdTomato animals and animals with deletion of the RBPJ DNA binding domain, animals with HBC-specific deletion of Notch1 demonstrated increased spontaneous activation of HBCs in the uninjured OE (Fig. 5 C and D). Because efficient recombination at all floxed alleles required maximization of the tamoxifen dose, clonal analysis of HBC activation was not possible. Nonetheless, we quantified the number of epithelial patches in which TdTomato\textsuperscript{+} non-HBCs form a contiguous group as clusters. The number of clusters of labeled non-HBCs increased threefold with Notch1 deletion. We also counted the number of TdTomato\textsuperscript{+} cells (i.e., those cells that are descended from HBCs but have become another type of cell) (Fig. 5 C and D). In this case as well, non-HBCs also increased sixfold with Notch1 deletion. Despite the high-dose tamoxifen, not all TdTomato\textsuperscript{+} HBCs lack immunodetectable Notch1 (thin white arrow in Fig. 5D), which indicates that full recombination was still elusive. Because many HBCs do lack detectable Notch1 labeling (white-on-black arrows, Fig. 5D), the loss of Notch1 apparently biases toward, but does not ensure, spontaneous activation, which may explain the variability in activation of HBCs observed between biological replicates.

Given enhanced activation of HBCs in the absence of Notch1, we also sought to determine whether mutating RBPJ, the cofactor with which the intracellular domain of all Notch1-4 receptors bind to accomplish downstream signaling, would cause a more pronounced down-regulation of p63 transcription and greater activation. To that end, we carried out conditional recombination in the HBCs of RBPJ\textsuperscript{(ex6-7/)(ex6-7)} mice using the K5CreER\textsuperscript{2} driver and a TdTomato reporter, which has the effect of excising the DNA-binding domain. We found that Hes1 mRNA levels increased somewhat in HBCs (3-fold) as did p63 message (2.4-fold) (Fig. 5B), which is opposite to the effect of Notch1 deletion. However, it is well established that the RBPJ protein binds upstream of the Hes1 promoter in the absence of Notch signaling to inhibit Hes1 transcription (43-45). Moreover, the manner by which the interaction of RBPJ with NICD relieves that inhibition is tissue-specific (46). For example, in a breast cancer cell line, RBPJ deficiency results in a Notch-like gene-expression signature, such that the canonical target Hey is up-regulated as a result of de-repression (47), which effect resembles the outcome observed in HBCs as well.

In contrast to the enhanced activation of HBCs following conditional knockout of Notch1, the excision of the DNA-binding domain of RBPJ did not result in any increase in the appearance of HBC-derived neurons, Sus cells, or other non-HBCs relative to wild-type control mice (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, the elimination of the DNA-binding domain of RBPJ still permits transcription of the canonical Notch target Hes1 because IHC staining with anti-Hes1 strongly labels the nuclei of HBCs that lack a detectable RBPJ DNA-binding domain (assessed by staining with a domain-specific antibody) (Fig. S3). These data are congruent with the qPCR studies demonstrating that Hes1 and p63 transcription are increased in HBCs in which RBPJ has been knocked out (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, the increase in Hes1 with RBPJ knockout suggests that Notch signaling in HBCs is not maximal in the context of the uninjured OE, which fits with the response of HBCs to OBX (Fig. 6).

Notch1, Not Notch2, Maintains HBC Dormancy After OBX. Although OBX and the consequent initial and ongoing loss of mature OSNs cause no or very infrequent HBC activation (Fig. S1) (9, 12), we assayed how OBX alters Notch signaling in HBCs and whether Notch1 knockout interacts with neuronal injury to markedly enhance HBC activation. To that end, we determined the level of Notch pathway mRNAs by qPCR in HBCs from uninjured OE vs. OE harvested 7-d post-OBX (Fig. S4). In stark contrast to the eventual decline in the mRNA of Notch pathway components as a consequence of the wholesale loss of Sus cells and neurons following MeBr exposure, we observed a marked increase in Notch-related genes in OBX mice (Fig. S4). Similarly, upon IHC assessment, we found that the staining for Hes1, p63, and Notch1 was increased in HBCs on the OBX side compared with the unoperated side, which also indicates enhanced Notch signaling when neuronal degeneration is maximal (Fig. 6).

The marked mRNA and protein increases seen after the death of OSNs by qPCR and IHC, respectively, prompted us to determine whether Notch1 receptor signaling played a functional role in maintaining HBC dormancy in the setting of massive retrograde neuronal degeneration.

In the first test, tamoxifen treatment of K5CreER\textsuperscript{2,fl} (stop)TdTomato;Notch1\textsuperscript{fl/fl} mice preceded unilateral OBX by 2 wk followed by an additional 2 wk survival following surgery (Fig. 7A). On the ablated side, we observed thousands
of TdTomato

+ neurons, Sus cells, and non-HBC basal cells vs. a handful in the wild-type and heterozygote mice (compare Fig. 7 B and C). The statistical comparison of conditional heterozygote vs. knockout mice confirms this, as the difference in the number of activation-derived non-HBCs between OBX and spared sides of the conditional knockout mice is significant (Fig. 7 D). In contrast, there is no significant difference between injured and unoperated sides in the heterozygotes (Fig. 7 D). As before, the large dose of tamoxifen required for efficient recombination precludes clonal analysis. For this analysis, the unoperated side was used as an internal control; the interval between OBX and analysis was relatively short in comparison with the survivals required to see spontaneous activation in the uninjured OE, which explains the lack of activation on the unoperated side (Fig. 5).

Removal of the olfactory bulb might cause systemic effects (secondary to bleeding, inflammation, and so forth) that act in concert with the loss to neurons to incite the activation of HBCs in the absence of Notch1. Accordingly, we sought to isolate the consequences of accelerated neuronal loss from the immediate effects of OBX by treating with tamoxifen after the initial response to ablation is past (Fig. 7E) (48). In this case, tamoxifen administration to Notch1fl/fl mice 10 d after OBX, followed by killing 7 d after tamoxifen treatment, also demonstrated enhanced HBC activation. As before, TdTomato

+ non-HBCs were numerous (Fig. 7 F–I). We observed cells situated apical to the HBC layer that were classified as probable GBCs because they did not label with either PGP9.5 (a neuronal marker) or CK14 (Fig. 7 G, Inset). In some areas, neurons bearing dendritic processes are labeled (arrows, Fig. 7 G and H). In addition, HBCs were dividing at a higher rate than normal as a consequence of Notch1 knockout and subsequent OBX, as demonstrated by Ki67 staining (Fig. 7 I). Although it is usual to observe far less than one dividing HBC per millimeter length of OE in tissue from OBX wild-type mice (9, 49), we observed three dividing HBCs (identified by Ki67/TdTomato/p63 immunostaining) in a cluster adjacent to one another (arrows, Fig. 7 I). We have never observed this phenomenon in uninjured tissue. Counts of clusters (which were possible in this experiment because the extent of recombination was less in these animals) and of activation-derived non-HBCs again demonstrated statistically significant differences between the lesioned and spared sides of conditional knockout animals (Fig. 7).
knockout mice, all of the TdTomato remained HBCs, as in the unoperated sides of the Notch2 conditional knockout mice capable of overcoming dormancy when both Notch1 and sufficient for HBC activation. Neuronal death does become a regulator of HBC dormancy, whose decline is both necessary and positively regulates p63 expression in HBCs, the master switch cells of the OE is sufficient to shift HBCs from dormancy to active proliferation and multipotency, whereas the abrupt, massive loss of OSN is not. Furthermore, Notch1 signaling apparatus by HBCs have undergone excision of Notch1 (thin white arrow), but most have (white on black arrow). HBC-derived neurons are marked by asterisks; n.s., not significant. A very large aberrant nest of HBC-derived cells has invaded the lamina propria and lack Notch1 labeling (thick arrow). See Table S2 for detailed statistical information. (Scale bar, 10 μm.)

Given that HBCs express both Notch1 and Notch2 receptors (Fig. 2 C and D), we also investigated the extent to which signaling via Notch2 might also influence HBC activation by itself. Accordingly, we assayed HBC activation following OBX in Notch2fl/fl mice. Perhaps unexpectedly, in Notch2 conditional knockout mice, all of the TdTomato+ cells on the ablated and unoperated sides of the Notch2 conditional knockout mice remained HBCs, as in the K5CreER2;fl(stop)TdTomato control animals (Fig. S5). Thus, Notch2-knockout HBCs did not activate to multipotency as a consequence of OBX in contrast to the effect of Notch1 conditional knockout.

Discussion

The results presented here demonstrate that targeted killing of Sus cells of the OE is sufficient to shift HBCs from dormancy to active proliferation and multipotency, whereas the abrupt, massive loss of OSN is not. Furthermore, Notch1 signaling apparently and positively regulates p63 expression in HBCs, the master regulator of HBC dormancy, whose decline is both necessary and sufficient for HBC activation. Neuronal death does become capable of overcoming dormancy when both Notch1 alleles are excised. The expression of Jag1 by Sus cells and of Notch1 by HBCs may constitute the signaling dyad responsible for the effect that the Sus cells exert on p63 and HBCs. In contrast, Notch2, although expressed by HBCs, is apparently irrelevant to the regulation of p63 either by itself or in combination with Notch1. Additionally, the loss of functional RBPJ does not produce a pan-Notch knockout effect on p63, as others have suggested; rather, in HBCs, RBPJ on its own seems to play an inhibitory role in the transcription of Notch target genes because conditional excision of its DNA-binding domain appears to relieve repression of the canonical target Hes1.

Sus Cell Ablation, but Not OSN Ablation, Results in Increased HBC Activation. HBC activation is observed following ablation of Sus cells that is relatively minor in extent, judging by the limited numbers of Sus cells that become labeled in response to doxycycline using the same rtTA-expressing Cyp2G1 transgenic driver and a Tet-responsive GFP construct. Whether the ongoing, low-level loss of OSNs is also necessary cannot be ruled out, although the loss of Sus cells at the level achieved here was not associated with an increase in apoptotic, Caspase3+ OSNs. The degree of activation is particularly striking, given the persistence of dormancy in the face of constant piecemeal loss of OSNs via normal turnover in the uninjured OE and of the wholesale death of mature OSNs observed with OBX. The response to Sus cell ablation strongly suggests that tissue integrity, as denoted by Sus cell status, provides a critical signal to HBCs, instructing them to maintain or escape their dormant state. In contrast, the lack of activation in response to the death of neurons—whether constant (in uninjured OE), accelerated (observed as a chronic
Arrowheads demarcate the basal lamina.

Fig. 7. Notch1 contributes to HBC quiescence in the setting of ongoing accelerated neurogenesis. (A) Experimental timeline for assessing the effect of Notch1 gene excision in advance of the unilateral ablation of the olfactory bulb (OBX). Six-week-old transgenic K5-CreER\textsuperscript{T2,}\textsuperscript{N1\textit{fl/fl},(stop)TdT}TdTomato mice were used. (B and C) OBX side. (B) HBCs do not activate in the post-OBX OE of Notch\textsuperscript{1+} mice 7 d after the procedure, as all TdT\textsuperscript{+} cells remain HBCs (arrow). (C) HBCs do activate in the post-OBX OE of Notch\textsuperscript{1+} mice, giving rise to GBCs (thick arrow), OSNs (thick arrow/asterisk), and Sus cells (arrow/asterisk). [Scale bar in B (also for C), 10 μm.] (D) Counts of TdT\textsuperscript{+} cells in the OE that are not HBCs as a measure of HBC-derived progeny demonstrate enhanced activation with OBX after Notch1 cKO. *P < 0.05. (E) Experimental timeline assessing the effect of Notch1 gene excision subsequent to unilateral OBX. (F and H) OBX side. (F) HBCs have lost CK14 expression and migrated apically (arrows). (G and H) HBC-derived CK14/PGP\textsuperscript{+} GBCs (Inset in G) and PGP\textsuperscript{+} and OMP\textsuperscript{−} immature OSNs (G and H, respectively) (arrows) are evident within a week after Notch1 deletion. (I) Ki67\textsuperscript{+} GBCs are numerous immediately superficial to the layer of TdT\textsuperscript{+} HBCs, in keeping with the acceleration of neurogenesis. Moreover, Ki67\textsuperscript{−} HBCs are evident, indicating markedly heightened proliferation (arrows). [Scale bar in J (also for F–H), 10 μm; G, 2×.] (J) Counts of TdT\textsuperscript{+} clusters (Left) and cells in the OE (Right) that are not HBCs as a measure of HBC-derived progeny demonstrate enhanced activation when OBX is followed by conditional knockout of Notch. *P < 0.05. See Table S2 for detailed statistical information. Arrowheads demarcate the basal lamina.

The lack of response to neuronal death that we observe closely matches a previous report demonstrating that OBX does not result in HBC activation (9). However, the current findings stand in opposition to observations by another laboratory using a different driver line in which HBCs did contribute to the OE of uninjured mice and to an enhanced degree following OBX (12). Two confounding factors might explain the difference in results. First, the latter driver line, although expressing a mutated progesterone receptor fused with Cre recombinase, was active in neonatal animals in the absence of the RU486 ligand, at which time HBCs might function more broadly as progenitors (12). Second, OBX in mice, when done too aggressively, can kill other epithelial cell types in addition to the OSNs because of the close physical association between them and bundled olfactory axons exiting the epithelium (8).

Notch1 Contributes to HBC Dormancy in the OE. Altered Notch1 signaling influences the maintenance of HBCs as dormant reserve stem cells. Enhanced Notch activity has the consequence of increasing the expression of p63 in HBCs, a change that would oppose their activation given the necessity and sufficiency of eliminating p63 in shifting HBCs from dormancy. In contrast, in the absence of Notch1, reserve HBCs exhibit a tendency toward spontaneous activation in the uninjured OE that is markedly enhanced following OBX. The alterations in p63 expression in response to manipulations of Notch pathway activity in the HBCs are opposite to the effect of Notch on p63 in other tissues. For example, in keratinocytes, Notch1 blocks p63 expression and promotes differentiation. Conversely, p63 antagonizes Notch1 and prevents differentiation (31, 34, 52, 53). Similarly, in mammary epithelial cells, Notch signaling reduces levels of ΔNp63 and mimics ΔNp63 deletion (55). Finally, in the trachea, Notch3 knockout results in an increased number of K5-expressing basal cells (54), and Notch signaling is required for differentiation of basal cells (55), both of which imply no effect on—or inhibition of—p63 expression by Notch. It is true that transduction of fibroblasts with NICD increases p63 expression (33), but as far as we can determine this is the only instance other than olfactory HBCs where this effect has been observed.

Consequence of OBX), or massive (seen acutely following OBX)—has the effect of maintaining the HBC reserve. Nonetheless, injury to other tissues often has context-specific effects. Enhanced Notch activity has the consequence of increasing the expression of p63 in HBCs, a change that would oppose their activation given the necessity and sufficiency of eliminating p63 in shifting HBCs from dormancy. In contrast, in the absence of Notch1, reserve HBCs exhibit a tendency toward spontaneous activation in the uninjured OE that is markedly enhanced following OBX. The alterations in p63 expression in response to manipulations of Notch pathway activity in the HBCs are opposite to the effect of Notch on p63 in other tissues. For example, in keratinocytes, Notch1 blocks p63 expression and promotes differentiation. Conversely, p63 antagonizes Notch1 and prevents differentiation (31, 34, 52, 53). Similarly, in mammary epithelial cells, Notch signaling reduces levels of ΔNp63 and mimics ΔNp63 deletion (55). Finally, in the trachea, Notch3 knockout results in an increased number of K5-expressing basal cells (54), and Notch signaling is required for differentiation of basal cells (55), both of which imply no effect on—or inhibition of—p63 expression by Notch. It is true that transduction of fibroblasts with NICD increases p63 expression (33), but as far as we can determine this is the only instance other than olfactory HBCs where this effect has been observed.

Given the apparent regulation of p63 levels by Notch1, the nature of the role of the canonical downstream Notch effector and repressive cofactor RBPJ in that regulation is unclear. RBPJ can play either an instructive or a permissive role in canonical Notch signaling when bound to N1ICD (46). In a permissive role, N1ICD binding to RBPJ removes RBPJ from DNA and alleviates its repression of gene expression. In an instructive role, the
N1ICD/RBPJ dimer becomes incorporated into or recruits a transcriptional complex to induce target gene transcription. In HBCs, the increase in expression of Notch1-targets p63 and Hes1 demonstrates that RBPJ function in HBCs is permissive with respect to these genes because mutation relieves repression, whereas an instructive role posits that N1ICD/RBPJ presence is required for gene transcription. Certainly, the in silico analysis of p63 upstream of the TSS presented in Fig. S2 suggests that RBPJ binds to the promoter and may directly regulate p63 expression. However, in the absence of ChIP data providing evidence that RBPJ directly binds to the p63 promoter or within the p63 loci, the notion that p63 is a direct target of RBPI in HBCs can only be suggested at this time. Alternate indirect pathways by which Notch signaling could alter p63 transcription have been demonstrated in other tissues. For example, Notch and Wnt are known to have an antagonistic relationship (56), and it is possible that Notch1 or RBPI deletion alters Wnt signaling, which in turns alters p63 expression. Importantly, we provide evidence that functional RBPJ is not required for transcription of the canonical Notch target Hes1 or of p63 in HBCs of the adult OE. Whereas deletion of Notch1 enhances activation of HBCs to a degree in the absence of injury and to a greater extent following OBX, there are plenty of Notch1+/− HBCs even at long survivals after injury. Thus, it is evident that the Notch pathway does not serve as the master regulator of p63 in the same way that p63 serves as the master regulator of HBC dormancy. In contrast, Notch signaling has been characterized as the master regulator of p63 in other tissues, such as the skin (31). In the case of the OE, p63 levels in quiescent HBCs are presumably set in response to multiple different niche-derived cues, the integration of which determines whether levels of p63 decline to a level consonant with HBC activation. In this formulation, Notch1 deletion alters the rheostat that sets p63 levels and the probability of HBC activation, such that a tissue perturbation that does not normally elicit activation (e.g., OBX) is better able to shift the HBCs out of dormancy.

**Notch2 Is Not Required for Maintenance of HBC Quiescence.** Although it is evident that Notch2 protein is present in HBCs by IHC and RNA-seq analysis, Notch1 and Notch2 do not play redundant roles in maintaining HBC quiescence in the setting of neuronal injury. Excision of Notch2, in contrast to the enhanced rate of activation observed with Notch1 knockout, seems to have little or no effect on HBCs. Although the morphology of Notch2-deleted HBCs is altered somewhat after OBX, they remain locked in dormancy, whether Notch2 is knocked out before or after OBX. It is not uncommon for Notch1 and Notch 2 to play different, even countervailing, roles in tissue. For example, the consequences of Notch1 knockout are more severe during gestation than those of Notch2 (57, 58). In specific terms, Notch2 but not Notch1 is responsible for inhibiting endochondral bone formation during limb development (59). Similarly, Notch2 has been shown to play a key role in the establishment and survival of the Sus cell population of the OE (60), but Notch1 does not. With respect to disease processes, it is known that Notch1 and Notch2 play opposite roles in oncogenesis and have been used as opposing cancer prognostication factors (61–63). Additionally, Notch1 and Notch2 play different roles in diabetic nephropathy (64). Nonetheless, the interaction between the two receptors can be synergistic: for example, in gut (65) and in immune cells (66). Thus, tissue context looks to be determining their individual roles.

**Conclusions**

The data presented herein indicate that Sus cell injury is a key cellular event that leads to activation of HBCs. Furthermore, signaling via Notch1 plays a significant role in maintaining the expression of p63 in the context of low-level and accelerated neuronal turnover, and therefore ensuring HBC dormancy; the enhanced p63 signaling in the context of dying neurons has the likely effect of preserving, protecting, and defending the HBC reserve stem cell population. Surprisingly, the maintenance of p63 by the Notch1 pathway is opposite to its role in most, if not all, other epithelial tissues. In the OE, the elimination of the Notch ligand Jagged1 by the destruction of Sus cells may, in turn, be part of the mechanism by which tissue injury causes a decline in p63 levels and consequently HBC activation. Of course, other injury-associated cues—whether signals that accelerate the degradation of p63 and suppress its expression or ones that fail to maintain p63 levels, which role Notch1 seems to fill—are likely to contribute to the response of HBCs to tissue damage. Additional work will be required to elucidate the other molecules and pathways that control HBC activation from dormancy and its reestablishment as part of healing. Nonetheless, our findings also have significant implications for the aging of the OE and olfactory dysfunction in the elderly. Despite the remarkable capacity for lifelong neurogenesis in the OE, we have previously demonstrated in both humans and mice that the aged OE has areas of aneural tissue, where the active GBC population has been exhausted and neurogenesis has ceased (67–69). However, the HBCs in this setting remain dormant and fail to regenerate the functional neuronal tissue, perhaps because Sus cells remain intact. The current data, which demonstrate that Notch1 maintains HBC quiescence in the setting of massive, near-complete absence of neurons following OBX, suggest that the Notch signaling pathway could serve as a potential target for therapy in the aged neuroepithelium.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice.** All animals were housed in a heat- and humidity-controlled Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited vivarium operating under a 12:12-h light:dark cycle, and animals were maintained on an ad libitum rodent chow and water. The Committee for the Humane Use of Animals at Tufts University School of Medicine, where the animal were housed and the experiments conducted, approved all protocols using vertebrate animals. See SI Materials and Methods for origins of wild-type and transgenic animals.

**Surgical Procedures and IHC.** OBX was performed as previously described (70). MeBr lesions were performed as previously described (71). HBCs and cell dissociations were performed as previously described (5, 72). For a full description of the experimental procedures and staining conditions used in this study, please see SI Materials and Methods.

**RNA-Seq, Bioinformatic, and Statistical Analysis.** HBCs were isolated from both uninjured and 18 h post-MeBr lesioned K5-CreERT2;flstopTD Tomato transgenic mouse lines after Tam induction of labeling. RNA were subjected to deep sequencing using the NuGEN Ovation kit on an Illumina HiSeq. 2500 at 100 M reads per sample. Samples Nml3 and 18HPL3 were discarded after quality control and clustering. Promoter analysis was done using FIMO scanning of published promoters and consensus motifs for RBPI binding. Additional details can be found in SI Materials and Methods. The primary antibody dilutions, the details of their working conditions, and the methods for their detection are listed in Table S1. Detailed information of statistical data can be found in Table S2.
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SI Materials and Methods

Animals. Wild-type F1 mice used in MeBr lesion and OBX lesion studies were bred from C57/Bl6J and 129S1/Sv1MJ mice in house or ordered from Jackson Laboratory, as needed (Stock #101043). K5CreER T2 mice have been described previously (64) and were provided by P. Chambon, University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study, Strasbourg, France via R. Reed, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore. The floxed Notch1 (Notch1 tm12(CAG-ROSA)J, Stock #006951), floxed Notch2 (B6.129S-Notch2 tm9(CAG-ROSA)J, Stock #010525), and floxed-stop Notch1-ICD (Gt(ROSA) tm1(CAG-ROSA)J, Stock #008159) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and were bred in-house (65-67). The floxed RBPJ mouse was provided by W. Cardoso, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, and has been described previously (73); exons 6 and 7 are flanked by loxP sites and the DNA-binding domain is excised as a consequence of recombination. The Cre reporter mice R26R(TdTomato) [B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-TdTomato)HzeJ, Stock #007909] were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and have been described previously (68). The Cyp2g1-l+tTA mice were the generous gift of Andrew Lane, the Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, and have been described previously (38). The tetO-DTA mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (stock #008468). The DIII-LacZ mice were obtained from T. Gridley, Maine Medical Center Research Institute, Scarborough, ME. All animals were housed in a heat- and humidity-controlled Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited vivarium operating under a 12:12-h light:dark cycle, and animals were maintained on an ad libitum rodent Chow and water. The Committee for the Humane Use of Animals at Tufts University School of Medicine, where the animals were housed and the experiments conducted, approved all protocols using vertebrate animals.

Drug Preparation and Administration. Tamoxifen (T5648; Sigma) was dissolved in sterile corn oil at 30 mg/mL, at 37 °C for 20 min. This solution was injected intraperitoneally at 150-300 mg/kg, as indicated.

OBX. OBX was performed as previously described (69). Briefly, 8-wk-old F1 and transgenic animals were anesthetized with an induction mixture containing 37.5 mg/kg ketamine, 7.5 mg/kg xylazine, and 1.25 mg/kg acepromazine and maintained at a surgical anesthetic plane with a mixture consisting of 47.5 mg/kg ketamine, 0.9 mg/kg acepromazine, as needed. The skin over the dorsal aspect of the frontal bone was incised, the periosteum was detached, and the frontal bone was opened using a high-speed electric rotary drill. Low-pressure suction applied via a blunted and curved 18-gauge needle with low-pressure suction was used to remove the animal’s left olfactory bulb. The wound was packed using SurgiSeal and the skin was closed using nylon sutures. Animals were caged individually until recovery was complete.

MeBr Lesions. Awake, unrestrained male mice were passively exposed to MeBr gas for 8 h, as described previously (70). Twelve-week-old B6.129 F1 mice were exposed to 180 ppm MeBr in pure air, and all transgenic mouse lines were exposed to 175 ppm MeBr in pure air at 8 wk of age. Unilateral MeBr lesions were performed by inserting a 5-mm length of PE10 tubing in the right naris of the mouse, the lumen of which was occluded using a knotted 7-0 suture, and fixing it in place with superglue.

Tissue Processing. Animals were deeply anesthetized using induction mixture, transcardially flushed with PBS, and perfused with 40 mL 1% PLP fixative containing 1% paraformaldehyde, 0.01 M monobasic and dibasic phosphates, 90 mM lysine, 0.1 M sodium periodate at pH 7.0. After removal of the skin, the soft tissues, and the heavy bones of the skull, a tissue block encompassing the OE turbinates and olfactory bulb was postfixed in fixative for 1 h under vacuum. The tissue was washed with PBS and decalified with saturated EDTA overnight. The tissue was cryoprotected in 30% sucrose (wt/vol) in PBS overnight, embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (Miles Inc.), and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Coronal sections were cut at 10 μm using a Leica cryostat, mounted on “Plus” slides (Fischer Scientific), and stored at ~20 °C until use.

IHC. The primary antibody dilutions, the details of their working conditions, and the methods for their detection are listed in Table S1. Before immunostaining, tissue sections were rinsed in PBS to remove OCT and subjected to antibody-specific pretreatments. The pretreatments include: steaming in 0.01 M citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 10 min in a commercial food steamer and/or incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide in MeOH for 5 min. Sections were blocked with 10% donkey serum/5% nonfat dry milk/4% BSA/0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature in primary antibody. Subsequently, staining was visualized using an array of methods as indicated in Table S1. Unless otherwise indicated, blue represents the nuclear counterstain DAPI.

Mucosal Dissociation. Donor animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of a fatal overdose of induction mixture and perfused with cold Low Ca2+ Ringer solution (140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, pH 7.2). The nasal skeleton was dissected into the septum and individual turbinates and placed in ice-cold Low Ca2+ Ringers. After being finely minced, the tissue was incubated in 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA for 15 min at 37 °C until it formed a sticky ball. The Trypsin-EDTA solution was decanted and replaced with an enzyme mixture containing (100 U/mL collagenase, 250 U/mL hyaluronidase, 75 U/mL DNase I, 0.1 mg/mL trypsin inhibitor, 2.5 U/mL Dispase II, 5 U/mL papain; from Worthington Biochemical, Roche, and Sigma) in Ringer (140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, pH 7.2). The tissue was incubated in enzyme mixture at 37 °C for 30 min with light vortexing every 10 min followed by filtration through a 125-μm mesh, pelleting the cells, and filtration through a 35-μm mesh. The cells were resuspended in DMEM + 2% FBS + 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

FACS Purification of HBCs. The OE was dissected and dissociated as described above. Cells were resuspended in HBSS buffer instead of DMEM + 2% FBS + 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For isolation of HBCs from F1 mice (post-MeBr and OBX analysis), cells were incubated with goat anti-mouse CD54 primary antibody at a concentration of 1:100 for 30 min on ice in HBSS. After this and all subsequent steps, the cells were pelleted and washed twice before the next incubation. Biotinylated donkey anti-goat secondary was used at a concentration of 1:400 for 20 min on ice. Alexa 647-stepavadin was also used at a concentration of 1:400 for 20 min. At the end of the last wash step, cells were resuspended in HBSS for FACS analysis. For sorting of HBCs from reporter mice, no cell staining was necessary, as the TdTTomato reporter was used for sorting. A BD Biosciences FACSCalibur sorter was used with a 670-nm long-pass filter with
a 635-nm red diode laser and a 515- to 545-nm band-pass filter with a 488-nm argon laser. Detector voltages, gains, and collector modes were held constant across samples after calibration for positive and negative controls.

**qRT-PCR Analysis.** Cells of the olfactory mucosa were dissociated and FACS-sorted for viability on the basis of propidium iodide exclusion (5). RNA was isolated from 10^6 viable cells using the ZymoResearch DNA-free RNA purification kit. To generate cDNA, 500 ng of RNA were reverse-transcribed using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). A no-RT control was also performed with 500 ng RNA. cDNA was subjected to qRT-PCR using a Bio-Rad CFX96, RT2 SYBR Gree PCR Mastermix (Qiagen #330523) and primers and conditions, as described previously (72).

**RNA-Seq Analysis.** RNA was harvested from FACS-isolated HBCs on the basis of TdTomato expression from K5-CreERT2;fl(Stop)TdTomato transgenic mouse lines, which had previously been treated with a 300 mg/kg, i.p. dose of tamoxifen at 10 wk of age. For samples harvested postlesion, 12-wk-old male mice were exposed to MeBr gas at 180 ppm for 8 h and survived for an additional 18 h before harvesting. Cells were lysed and total RNA purified using Zymo Research Quick-RNA MicroPrep kits (Cat. no. R1050), with the additional DNase treatment included. RNA from individual mice were pooled until a minimum of 1 μg of RNA was harvested per replicate. cDNA was generated using the NuGEN Ovation V2 kit (Cat. no. 7102), before paired-end, 100-bp next-generation sequencing on the Illumina HiSEq. 2500 at a read depth of 100 million reads per sample. Raw reads were aligned and processed using standard Tuxedo suite tools at default settings to the MM9 annotated build for the mouse transcriptome (74). Further data analysis after Cuffdiff outputs, such as t-SNE visualization, clustering, and differential gene expression, were done in R using standard packages as well as DESeq2 and Rtsne (75, 76). Because of results generated from quality control and clustering, further analysis of the dataset was performed without samples Nml3 and 18HPL3, as well as the following gene filters: genes must be expressed at greater than 5 fragment per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) in at least one sample, they must be expressed at less than 50,000 FPKM, and finally, exhibit more than 1 FPKM SD across experimental conditions. Finally, pathway analysis was performed using output from DESeq2 into Qiagen’s Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen, qiagen.com/ingenuity).

**Promoter Analysis.** The genomic sequence for promoter analysis was acquired using University of California, Santa Cruz Genome Browser, and FIMO-scanned using published consensus motifs for both RBPJ alone and RBPJ/NICD coincident binding (41, 42). Schematic depictions were generated using positional information from FIMO and Geneious 8.1.8.

**Imaging and Quantification.** Stained sections were imaged on a Zeiss 510 Confocal microscope in multitracking mode or on a Nikon 800E epifluorescent microscope with a Spot RT2 digital camera. Image preparation, assembly, and analysis were performed in Photoshop CS5. In all photos, only balance and contrast were altered and applied to the image as a whole.

To quantify fluorescence, coronal sections were sampled through the anteroposterior axis and stained for the Notch antibody of interest (RBPJ, Hes1, Notch1, or Notch2), K14, and DAPI. An image that contained both the lesioned and unlesioned sides was taken using the 40x objective. For purposes of determining CTCF, all of the DAPI-stained nuclei in an image that were surrounded by K14 staining were outlined in ImageJ for pixel intensity measurements (77). The average value on the lesioned side was then normalized to the average value on the unlesioned side of each section to correct for staining quality and exposure time. The normalized CTCF values were averaged across all of the sections in each animal and analyzed in Microsoft Excel and Stata SE.

**Statistical Analysis.** All quantification of mouse tissue used a minimum of three biological replicates as stated in the main text. Student’s t test was used for comparison of data with normal distributions. A one-way ANOVA was used to determine whether there were significant differences between the means of multiple groups. Dunnett’s test was used for multiple comparisons. In the case of qRT-PCR arrays, as in Fig. 4 and Fig. S4, paired t tests were performed, as pre- and postlesion samples came from the same animal where the lesion was induced unilaterally. Furthermore, multiple testing corrections were done using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure with a false-discovery rate (FDR) of 0.025. Error bars are plotted as SEM. The CTCF for immunostaining was calculated on tissue sections costained for the protein of interest.
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Fig. S1. HBCs do not activate in response to neuron depletion. (A) IHC characterization of uninjured OE shows a large mature neuron population marked by OMP staining and a small immature neuron population (Tuj1+). HBCs remain quiescent and do not activate as demonstrated by a negative lineage trace (TdTomato). (B) All TdTomato+ cells are CK14+ in the uninjured epithelium. (C and D) TdT+ HBCs remain CK14+ and confined to the basal cell layer 2 wk after OBX, whereas Tuj1+ immature neurons increase in number. Arrowheads mark the position of the basal lamina. (Scale bar in A, 10 μm, also applies to B–D.)
Fig. S2. Transcriptomic analysis of HBCs. (A) t-SNE dimension reduction of bulk mRNA-seq of HBCs collected from the OE of uninjured mice as well as 18 h post-MeBr exposure (18HPL) shows significant clustering of experimental conditions, with the exception of one postinjury outlier marked in purple that was excluded from further analysis. (B) Log ratios and mean average (MA) plot of mRNA-seq data showing that the known marker for HBC activation, p63, as well as the canonical Notch target Hes1, are differentially expressed after injury. (C) Alternative representation of mRNA-seq data as a volcano plot, with p63 and Hes1 labeled, which belong in the significantly down-regulated genes after methyl bromide lesion. In both B and C, red dots mark significant differential expression ($P < 0.05$), orange dots mark absolute log fold-changes greater than 1, and green dots mark the intersection of both conditions. (D) Promoter analysis depicting 1,100 bp upstream and 250 bp downstream of the TSS for δNp63. Green triangles indicate putative RBPJ binding sites identified by homology to the shown consensus sequence motif. Red stars indicate putative coincident binding sites of RBPJ and NICD.
Fig. S3. RBPJ cKO and Hes1 colabeling in the RBPJ cKO HBCs. Inset area in B and C shown at higher magnification in B1 and C1, respectively. Arrows indicate HBCs that lack RBPJ staining, but exhibit enhanced Hes1 labeling by comparison with surrounding HBCs. Arrowheads mark the position of the basal lamina. (Scale bars in A and B1, 10 μm.)

Fig. S4. Notch pathway components post-OBX injury. Fold-change in mRNA expression by HBCs following OBX, qRT-PCR of Notch signaling components and targets. Asterisks indicate significant differences in gene expression corrected for FDR (essentially equivalent to rate of false-positives). $P < 0.025$, FDR = 0.025. Error bars represent SEM.
Fig. S5. Notch2 does not contribute to HBC quiescence following OBX on either the spared or OBX side at 7 d postinjury. (A–C) On the spared side, Notch2 labeling is prominent on Sus cells, extending from the perikarya at the apical surface of the epithelium along foot processes that reach the basal lamina (thin arrows), and on the cells lining the ducts of Bowmans glands (thick arrow). Notch2 labeling is eliminated from TdTomato+ HBCs (double thin arrows) following excision by CreER<sup>T2</sup>-mediated recombination, but the targeted cells do not activate despite the absence of Notch2. OMP<sup>+</sup> cells are abundant, indicating a healthy, uninjured OE. (D–F) On the OBX side, HBCs remain positioned immediately superficial to the subjacent basal lamina where they form a continuous monolayer and do not activate; the marked depletion of OMP<sup>+</sup> mature OSNs indicates that the OBX did, in fact, induce profound retrograde degeneration. The HBCs do manifest an altered shape (double arrows in E and F), but that kind of change has been noted following OBX in wild-type mice (49). Arrowheads mark the position of the basal lamina. (Scale bar in F, 10 μm, applies to A–E as well.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Immunogen</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ck α-β-galactosidase</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Bacterial β-galactosidase</td>
<td>1:750 → fluor-DuCk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-CK14</td>
<td>Protein Tech</td>
<td>Recombinant human CK14, aa 262–472</td>
<td>1:500 → fluor-DuRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gt α-CK14</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>C terminus of CK14 of human origin</td>
<td>1:50 → fluor-DuGt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-CK18</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>C terminus of human CK18</td>
<td>1:300 → fluor-DuRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gt α-mouse CD54</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
<td>Mouse myeloma cell line N50-derived recombinant mouse ICAM-1/CD54, Gln28-Asn485</td>
<td>1:50 → fluor-DuGt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-dsRed</td>
<td>Rockland Immunocchemicals</td>
<td>Recombinant protein</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DuRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-GFP</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Recombinant protein</td>
<td>1:1,000 → fluor-DaRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-Hes1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Recombinant protein specific to human Hes1 protein</td>
<td>1:50 → TSA → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-Jag1</td>
<td>Rockland Immunocchemicals</td>
<td>Recombinant peptide aa 110–125 of human Jag1 protein</td>
<td>1:50 → b DuRb → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo α-Ki67</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>Human Ki67</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DaMo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gt α-mCherry</td>
<td>SicGen</td>
<td>Purified recombinant peptide produced in Escherichia coli</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DaGt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-Notch1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Residues around Pro2438 of human Notch1 protein</td>
<td>1:75 → TSA → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-Notch2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Residues around Ala2378 of human Notch2 protein</td>
<td>1:150 → TSA → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gt α-OMP</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Raised against a peptide mapping within an internal region of OMP of human origin</td>
<td>1:75 → fluor-DuGt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo α-OMP</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Raised against aa 1–163 OMP of human origin</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DuMo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo α-p63</td>
<td>Nordic BioSite</td>
<td>Recombinant fragment corresponding to human p63 aa 1–205</td>
<td>1:200 → fluor-DuMo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-PGP9.5 (UCHL1)</td>
<td>Protein Tech</td>
<td>Recombinant human UCHL1, aa 1–223</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DuRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-RBPJ</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Residues around Gln110 of human RBPJ protein</td>
<td>1:500 → TSA → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt α-RBPJ</td>
<td>Cosmo Bio</td>
<td>Clone T6709</td>
<td>1:25 → TSA → fluor-SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb α-Sox9</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>KLH-conjugated linear peptide corresponding to the C-terminal sequence of human Sox9</td>
<td>1:500 → fluor-DuRb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo α-Tuj1</td>
<td>Biolegend</td>
<td>Microtubules derived from rat brain</td>
<td>1:100 → fluor-DuMo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A variety of fluorophores (fluors) were used: green, Alexa-488; red, Alexa-594 (epifluorescence) or Cy3 (confocal); blue, AMCA (aminomethylcoumarin). Alexa-conjugated secondary Abs were used at 1:250. Cy3 conjugated reagents were used at 1:150 for directly conjugated secondary antibodies or 1:750 for TSA. AMCA was used at 1:100. b, Biotinylated secondary antibody; Ck, Chicken; D, Donkey; Gt, Goat; Mo, Mouse; TSA, Tyramide Signal Amplification Kit from Perkin-Elmer; Rb, rabbit.
Table S2. Experimental descriptions and statistical analysis of figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Experimental description</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1F (Left)</td>
<td>Quantification of cell clusters</td>
<td>Control = 1.70 ± 0.75 vs. DTA = 6.9 ± 0.55, t = −5.58, P = 0.005, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F (Center)</td>
<td>Quantification of TdTomato+/non-HBCs derived from HBCs</td>
<td>Control = 0.44% ± 0.05 vs. DTA = 6.27% ± 1.65, t = −3.53, P = 0.02, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F (Right)</td>
<td>Quantification of Caspase3+ GBCs and OSNs in the vicinity of dead and replacement Sus cells</td>
<td>Control = 32.8 ± 1.4 vs. DTA = 34.7 ± 2.4, t = 0.708, P = 0.55, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E</td>
<td>Relative fold-change in expression of Notch pathway mRNAs in FACS-purified HBCs as determined by qRT-PCR analysis 18 h after MeBr injury.</td>
<td>t tests corrected for FDR (essentially equivalent to rate of false positives) P &lt; 0.025, FDR = 0.025, using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4F</td>
<td>Time course of mRNA changes by qRT-PCR analysis of Notch receptor, Hes1, and p63 gene expression in HBCs at 0, 12, 18, and 24 h following MeBr injury.</td>
<td>Notch1: 0 h = 15.74 ± 2.17 vs. 18 h = −2.22 ± 0.09, ANOVA P = 0.003, t(0 h) = 5.8, P &lt; 0.001, t(18 h) = 4.47, P = 0.01, n = 3; Notch2: 0 h = 2.8 ± 0.65 vs. 18 h = −2.9 ± 0.07, ANOVA P = 0.013, t(0 h) = 3.51, P &lt; 0.05, t(18 h) = 4.44, P &lt; 0.05; Hes1: 18 h = −2.2 ± 0.07 vs. 24 h = −7.0 ± 0.08, Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA on Ranks P = 0.017, q(18 h) = 3.90, P &lt; 0.05, q(24 h) = 4.26, P &lt; 0.05; p63: 18 h = −4.1 ± 0.08, 24 h = −40.6 ± 0.02, Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA on Ranks P = 0.019, q(18 h) = 3.89, P &lt; 0.05, q(24 h) = 4.52, P &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>qRT-PCR of Notch1, Hes1, and p63 in FACS-purified HBCs overexpressing N1ICD and Notch1 cKO</td>
<td>Constitutive N1ICD overexpression (Notch1: FC = 3.9, t = 7.7, P = 0.002, n = 3; Hes1: FC = 3.1, t = 3.9, P = 0.018, n = 3; p63: FC = 3.4, t = 5.4, P = 0.006, n = 3); Notch1 KO (Notch1: FC = 0.49, t = −1.8, P = 0.15, n = 3; Hes1: FC = 1.2, t = 0.5, P = 0.64, n = 3; p63: FC = 0.39, t = −4.5, P = 0.011, n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>qRT-PCR of RBPJ cKO HBCs for RBPJ, Hes1, and p63</td>
<td>Hes1 FC = 3.0, t = 3.20, P = 0.04, n = 3; p63 FC = 2.4, t = 2.26, P = 0.08, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5C</td>
<td>Quantitation of HBC activation in Notch-modulated HBCs</td>
<td>Clusters: Control = 4 ± 1.4, RBPJ cKO = 4.4 ± 1.34, Notch1 cKO = 12.8 ± 0.38; ANOVA P &lt; 0.001; t = 0.42, 9.98, 9.56; P = 0.69 vs. P = 0.0005 vs. P = 0.0001; Percent non-HBCs: Control = 1.98 ± 0.81, RBPJ cKO = 1.27 ± 0.42, Notch1 cKO = 12.3 ± 0.2; ANOVA P &lt; 0.001; t = 0.48, 6.85, 7.3; P = 0.65 vs. P = 0.005 vs. P = 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6C</td>
<td>CTCF of Hes1 protein staining</td>
<td>Spared = 223 ± 33.47 vs. OBX = 838 ± 156.98, Mann–Whitney U Statistic = 10.05, P = 0.002, n = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6D</td>
<td>CTCF of p63 protein staining</td>
<td>Spared = 899 ± 118.30 vs. OBX = 1,257 ± 219.71, t = 1.35, P = 0.19 n = 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6E</td>
<td>CTCF of Notch1 protein staining</td>
<td>Spared = 874 ± 60.612 vs. OBX = 1,261 ± 137.05, t = 2.72, P = 0.121, n = 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7D</td>
<td>Counts of TdTomato+ cells in the OE that are not HBCs</td>
<td>Heterozygous cKO: spared = 1.1% ± 0.2 vs. OBX = 1.6% ± 0.1, t = 1.29, P = 0.267, n = 3; Homozygous cKO: spared = 2.1% ± 1.7 vs. OBX = 58.3% ± 5.8, t = 8.73, P &lt; 0.001, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7J (Left)</td>
<td>Counts of TdTomato+ clusters (Right) that are not HBCs</td>
<td>Spared = 1.0 ± 0.5 vs. OBX = 9.5 ± 1.5, t = 4.90, P = 0.008, n = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7J (Right)</td>
<td>Percentage of TdTomato+ cells that are not HBCs</td>
<td>Spared = 1.8 ± 1.3 vs. OBX = 13.2 ± 1.8, t = 5.56, P = 0.005, n = 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>