Parallel epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing in a Pacific salmon
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Wild stocks of Pacific salmonids have experienced sharp declines in abundance over the past century. Consequently, billions of fish are released each year for enhancing abundance and sustaining fisheries. However, the beneficial role of this widely used management practice is highly debated since fitness decrease of hatchery-origin fish in the wild has been documented. Artificial selection in hatcheries has often been invoked as the most likely explanation for reduced fitness, and most studies to date have focused on finding signatures of hatchery-induced selection at the DNA level. We tested an alternative hypothesis, that captive rearing induces epigenetic reprogramming, by comparing genome-wide patterns of methylation and variation at the DNA level in hatchery-reared coho salmon (Onchorhynchus kisutch) with those of their wild counterparts in two geographically distant rivers. We found a highly significant proportion of epigenetic variation explained by the rearing environment that was as high as the one explained by the river of origin. The differentially methylated regions show enrichment for biological functions that may affect the capacity of hatchery-bred individuals to migrate successfully in the ocean. Shared epigenetic variation between hatchery-reared salmon provides evidence for parallel epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing in the absence of genetic differentiation between hatchery and natural-origin fish for each river. This study highlights epigenetic modifications induced by captive rearing as a potential explanatory mechanism for reduced fitness in hatchery-reared salmon.

Significance

Captive rearing is known to impact the fitness of individuals released in the wild, but the relative role of genetic vs. non-genetic underlying processes is still debated. We measured genome-wide methylation profiles to document epigenetic differences between Pacific salmon originating from a hatchery and their natural-born congeners in two geographically distant rivers. Our results provide evidence that the epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing provide a potential explanatory mechanism for reduced fitness of hatchery-reared salmon once released in the wild.
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A major question in captive breeding of plants and animals for conservation efforts is how to maintain the fitness of captive-bred individuals upon release into the wild (1–3). This question is central with respect to the objective of rehabilitating declining or threatened species (4–6). For salmonid species, change in fitness-related traits and gene expression has been reported to occur in a single generation of captivity in a hatchery environment (7–9). Such rapid changes may in turn lead to maladaptation in the natural environment (8). Most studies investigating the molecular basis for rapid change in fitness-related traits occurring in hatcheries have focused on finding signatures of selection at the genome level by identifying loci with a large effect (7, 10–13). Consequently, it still remains to be elucidated if such rapid selection on complex phenotypic traits would rather induce subtle changes in allele frequency over multiple loci (5, 14, 15). Similarly, the relative roles of the genetic vs. nongenetic underlying processes responsible for such phenotypic changes are also still debated.

Numerous wild stocks of anadromous salmon and trout (genus Onchorhynchus and Salmo) have experienced fluctuating abundance over the past century, with a series of sharp declines in abundance (16–18). As a consequence, conservation hatcheries have been flourishing, with the goal of preserving ecosystem integrity, enhancing declining populations, and sustaining fisheries. This situation is common along the North American Pacific coast where billions of salmonids, all species included, are released from hatcheries each year. Despite substantial improvement in production practices (see Supporting Information for details), the beneficial role of hatcheries in enhancing and restoring wild stocks is still debated because many studies have provided evidence for reduced fitness and maladaptation of hatchery fish when released in the wild (7, 9, 19–24).

While some discrepancies may be observed between salmonid species (25), studies of coho salmon are concordant in showing that survival of hatchery-born fish compared with their wild counterparts is significantly reduced (20–22, 24). It has also been shown that the hatchery environment may affect a wide range of fitness-related traits, including reproductive success (represented by the number of eggs and the number of eggs surviving to hatch), swimming endurance (swimming time to fatigue), and predator avoidance (20). Although some studies have shown that selection induced by the hatchery environment was involved in such fitness impairment, they also have reported that different environmental conditions (e.g., fish density) may significantly modulate the extent of physiological acclimation to the hatchery environment (8, 20, 23, 26).

In the current study, we used a genome-wide sequencing approach to compare global patterns of genetic variation and methylation in white muscle tissue of hatchery-reared juvenile (smolt) coho salmon with those of their wild counterparts in two geographically distant rivers in British Columbia, Canada. Our results show that, despite a nonsignificant genetic difference between hatchery and wild salmon originating from the same river drainage, the hatchery environment induces hypermethylation for...
regions associated with ion homeostasis, synaptic and neuromuscular regulation, and immune and stress response, as well as control of swimming functions. This study highlights epigenetic modifications induced by captive rearing as a potential explanatory mechanism for reduced fitness previously reported in hatchery-produced coho salmon.

Results
The white dorsal muscle was sampled at the same exact place on each fish to measure variation both at the genetic and epigenetic level between hatchery (HOR) and natural (NOR) origin fish on 40 juvenile (smolt) coho salmon originating from two geographically distant rivers in British Columbia (Canada): the Quinsam and the Capilano rivers (Fig. 1). HOR fish were produced from Salmon Enhancement Program (SEP) hatcheries following a primary production strategy (see Supporting Information for details). Fish were sampled either before release (May 15, 2014) or in a reservoir (May 23, 2014) for the Capilano HOR and NOR fish, respectively, and in the estuary (June 19, 2014) for both NOR and HOR individuals from the Quinsam River, ~2 to 6 wk following the last production release (see Methods for more details).

Evidence for Parallel Epigenetic Modifications in Hatchery Environment.
We used a sliding window approach to quantify the percentage of methylation over 1,000-bp regions throughout the genome masked for cytosine to thymine (C-T) polymorphism and retained only cytosines in a cytosine-phosphate-guanine (CpG) context for downstream analyses, as these regions represent the responsive methylation context in vertebrates. We used a distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) to document methylation variation among HOR and NOR fish from both rivers, with river of origin, rearing environment, and sex as explanatory variables. The model was highly significant ($P < 0.001$) with an adjusted $R^2$ of 0.16 (Fig. 2). Both river of origin and rearing environment were significant whereas no significant effect was detected for sex (Fig. 2). Partial db-RDAs revealed that the net variation explained by rearing environment [adjusted (adj.) $R^2 = 0.08$; $F = 4.34; P < 0.05$] was identical to that explained by river of origin (adj. $R^2 = 0.08$; $F = 4.66; P < 0.01$). This shared variation between HOR salmon from both rivers relative to their NOR congener provides evidence for similar (parallel) epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing.

We identified differentially methylated regions (DMRs) (defined as having >15% overall difference; q-value < 0.001) (Supporting Information) between rearing environments, using a logistic regression, with sex and river of origin as covariates. We identified a total of 100 DMRs that were distributed among 27 chromosomes and 20 unmapped scaffolds (Fig. 3). The proportion of hypermethylated DMRs observed in both rivers was eight times greater in HOR relative to NOR salmon (89 vs. 11; $\chi^2 = 60.84$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$), suggesting a global pattern of down-regulation of genes associated with these DMRs in HOR salmon.

Functional Annotation and Gene Ontology of DMRs.
We identified 37 DMRs out of 100 that overlapped 52 unique transcripts and regions comprising 5 kb upstream and downstream of these transcripts. A blastx approach successfully identified 29 unique Uniprot IDs, which again revealed an excess of hypermethylation in HOR relative to NOR fish (25 hypermethylated vs. 4 hypomethylated; $\chi^2 = 15.21$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 3 and Table S1). These regions were mostly located within a gene body or in UTR regions, supporting their functional roles in gene expression regulation and/or splicing events (Table S1). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed an overrepresentation ($P$ value < 0.05 and at least three genes by GO term) of modules associated with ion homeostasis (GO:0005080, cation homeostasis; GO:0042592, homeostatic process; GO:0043167, ion binding; GO:0055065, metal ion homeostasis). A previous study in the closely related rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) showed that hatchery rearing negatively affects acclimation to seawater by reducing the specific activity of NAK$^+$ K$^+$ ATPase, which resulted in lower survival following seawater transfer (27). We also observed a significant enrichment for functions associated with the immune response (GO:0031347, regulation of defense response; GO:0050727, regulation of inflammatory response; GO:0045321, leukocyte activation), as well as synaptic signal modulation and locomotion functions (GO:0099572, postsynaptic specialization; GO:0050885, neuromuscular process controlling balance). The neuromuscular process controlling balance includes the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type II subunit beta (CAMK2B), a main actor of the neuromuscular communication and regulating Ca$^{2+}$ signaling in skeletal muscle tissue (28), which was hypermethylated in HOR salmon. Its activation has also been associated, together with the Ca$^{2+}$ signaling, with sustained and endurance muscle exercise in humans and the control of muscle development and excitation.

Fig. 1. Samples locations. Samples for epigenetic (methylation profiling) and population genomics analyses are shown in blue: CAP, Capilano River ($n = 20$); QUI, Quinsam River ($n = 19$). Samples for whole-genome resequencing ($n = 20$) are shown in red: CAP, Capilano ($n = 5$); INC, Inch Creek ($n = 5$); ROB, Robertson River ($n = 5$); SAL, Salmon River ($n = 5$). Resequencing samples were obtained from a hatchery located downstream of each river of origin, except Salmon River, for which the river of origin is represented while the fish were reared at Spius Creek hatchery, Merritt (British Columbia, BC, Canada).
Distance-base redundancy analysis (db-RDA) performed on the genetic variation explained by these PCoA factors and the db-RDA was produced on the total filtered 15,044 SNPs identified. Symbols represent rivers: circle, Capilano; square, Quinsam. Colors represent captivity treatment: blue, hatchery; yellow, wild. The db-RDA was globally significant (FDR < 0.001) (Fig. S2). No outlier [false discovery rate (FDR) > 0.05] was detected between HOR and NOR fish using Bayescan v2.0 (Fig. S2) whereas random forest identified 114 covarying markers, distributed over the 30 chromosomes. Nevertheless, permutations revealed that a similar pattern of apparent polygenic selection according to the distributions of the out-of-bag (OOB) errors could indeed be obtained by chance alone (Fig. S3). Population genomics analyses confirmed the prediction that HOR and NOR salmon belong to a single panmictic population within a given river. Our results cannot rule out that selection within one generation has caused changes in allele frequencies between HOR and NOR fish in genome regions that were not screened. Nevertheless, they indicate that such an effect would be modest relative to parallel differences observed at the epigenetic level.

**Discussion**

The decline of many wild stocks of Pacific salmon encouraged the development of conservation hatcheries for enhancement. However, the hatchery environment during early life stages induces significant physiological and behavioral changes that may ultimately reduce the fitness of hatchery-born fish (25, 37). Hatchery fish have been shown to have higher reproductive success than their wild counterparts in hatchery conditions, but lower success when released in the wild with an accumulative impact over a generation, indicating inadvertent selection occurring after a single generation of hatchery rearing (8, 9, 37). Recent work provided evidence for a pronounced difference in gene expression between wild and hatchery fish after 1 y of captivity, despite no significant differences at the genome level (7). Similarly, a differential pattern of gene expression between domesticated and wild Atlantic salmon evolved in parallel in North America and Europe within five generations (10). Here, our results support the hypothesis that epigenetic modifications induced by hatchery rearing during early developmental stages may represent a potential explanatory mechanism for rapid change in

![Fig. 3. Circos plot of differentially methylated regions between hatchery and wild fish. Only the chromosomes (n = 27) and scaffolds (sc) (n = 30) containing differentially methylated regions are plotted. Bar plots show the difference of methylation levels between hatchery and wild fish. Red bar plots represent hypermethylated regions in hatchery fish, and blue bar plots represent hypomethylated regions in hatchery fish. Only annotated regions (blastx e-value < 10−6) are represented.](image-url)

![Fig. 4. Distance-base redundancy analysis (db-RDA) performed on the genetic data. The db-RDA performed on the total filtered 15,044 SNPs identified. Symbols represent rivers: circle, Capilano; square, Quinsam. Colors represent captivity treatment: blue, hatchery; yellow, wild. The db-RDA was globally significant (P < 0.001) and explained 18% of all SNPs variation (adj. P = 0.18). River of origin and sex explained significantly 16% and 2%, respectively, of the variation after controlling for each other with subsequent partial db-RDAs.](image-url)
fitness-related traits in juvenile salmon during their seaward migration. As such, our results are in line with accumulating evidence for epigenetic reprogramming caused by environmental conditions at a specific time that may induce phenotypic changes which may persist in subsequent life stages (38–41).

Strikingly, these parallel epigenetic modifications, mainly in the form of hypermethylation, were induced independently in two genetically distinct populations and in the apparent absence of overall neutral and adaptive variation between HOR and NOR salmon in these systems. As such, our results confirm that HOR and NOR coho salmon within a given river belong to a single panmictic population, as predicted based on the hatchery programs applied in these rivers. These so-called “integrated programs” are based on local populations and involve spawning in hatchery and natural environments. HOR and NOR fish in each river are not kept separate; thus HOR fish spawn in both the hatchery and the natural habitat as do NOR fish, which can maintain high gene flow in the whole system. Furthermore, no difference in genetic diversity (heterozygosity or inbreeding coefficient) was observed between HOR and NOR salmon, hence not supporting that there is no evidence for increased inbreeding depression in hatchery fish for the populations we studied. Finally, we found no evidence of either large effect or polygenic selection acting between hatchery and wild samples when using either a standard genome scan approach or a statistical framework appropriate for investigating the effect of weak selection in multiple regions of the genome.

Therefore, our work corroborates a recent study on juvenile steelhead trout (Onchorhyncus mykiss), which showed that a single generation in captivity induced differences in the expression of hundreds of genes in offspring reared in identical environments, but produced from parents that experienced different rearing environments, in the absence of significant genetic differentiation (7). However, comparisons between both studies should be interpreted cautiously given the differences in experimental setting. In contrast to the absence of significant genetic differences, our results revealed highly significant epigenetic differences between HOR and NOR salmon that were as pronounced as those observed between populations from different rivers. Our results differ from a study that compared hatchery-born and wild steelhead trout where no significant difference in methylation profiles was observed (38). However, rather than biological differences (differences among species and/or life stages), it is most likely that biases in measures of methylation levels (51). However, the fact that we were still able to detect pronounced parallel changes in the form of hypermethylation between two independent systems makes our results conservative and suggests that possible biases would be inherent to biological differences between hatchery and wild individuals. Finally, because of the tissue specificity of the methylation patterns (52–54), further studies extending the experimentation on a broader range of tissues will be necessary for a more comprehensive characterization of the impact of hatchery rearing on salmon smolts.

The reduced genome representation method used here and the fact that we investigated only one tissue (yet representing 80% of young salmon body weight) resulted in only a partial coverage of all possible epigenetic differences that may exist between HOR and NOR coho salmon. Our results most likely underestimate the magnitude of epigenetic modifications incurred in the hatchery environment. Nevertheless, our results showed that hatchery-induced methylation changes happened in a similar manner in independent hatcheries, that these differences are much more pronounced than differences at the genome level, and that shared methylation changes persisted in the smolts of both rivers, despite the fact that they were exposed to different environments for several weeks after their release. It is therefore plausible that the hypermethylation of important physiological functions could have an immediate impact on the out-migrating smolt’s capability to acclimate to the freshwater–saltwater transition and the short-term survival at sea, which could ultimately impact the fitness of HOR fish. Moreover, based on previous studies, it is reasonable to hypothesize that hatchery-induced epigenetic modifications during early developmental stages (postfertilization and germ cell differentiation) could impact on lifelong phenotypic changes (55, 56). However, whether or not the epigenetic modifications that persisted for several weeks in smolts are maintained in returning adults and/or are inherited and acted upon by natural selection cannot be answered from our results.
Different practices in hatchery rearing are currently evaluated to circumvent the general observation that captive rearing reduces fitness in the wild. Alternative rearing practices may differ in environmental conditions (e.g., hatchery facilities or open lake), age at release (fry or smolt), or nutrition (supplemented or not by commercial food), which may significantly affect fish survival (25, 26, 39, 57, 58). The effect of such factors could also be detected at the epigenetic level (39). Clearly, improving our understanding of the dual role of genetic and nongenetic variation induced by captive rearing will contribute to the development of the best practices for the management and conservation of salmonids and numerous other species that are managed through supplementation worldwide (1).

Methods

Hatchery Procedures and Sampling. The Salmon Enhancement Program (SEP) hatcheries have standard operating procedures employed across hatcheries, with the primary production strategy (PPS) being used for coho salmon at both Capilano and Quinsam hatcheries, British Columbia, Canada (see details in Supporting Information). Coho yearling smolts, defined as 1+ year after hatching, are released over a month. In this study, the progeny of fall-run 2012 Capilano and Quinsam River adult coho salmon were released in each respective river as yearling smolts in 2014. Capilano River coho salmon juveniles were collected in fresh water before production releases; the hatchery fish were collected at the hatchery on May 15, 2014 while the wild samples were caught via trap nets in the reservoir on May 23, 2014. These freshwater fish were classified as smolts as all physiological changes in preparation for saltwater had occurred, with minimal size differences in fork length or weight between the two groups: 116 mm and 16.9 g for hatchery and 111 mm and 13.7 g for wild individuals on average. Quinsam River smolts were collected via beach seine nets inside the Campbell River estuary, where the Quinsam River outflows to the sea, on June 19, 2014, ~2 to 6 wk following the last production coho release from the hatchery. Hatchery fish were identified by their “marked” or clipped adipose fin while the wild samples were initially collected as “unmarked” coho and later confirmed as wild due to their lack of coded wire tag (CWT) detection and lack of an adipose fin clip. We collected a total of 40 coho salmon, including 10 juveniles from each river (smolt stage) reared in captivity in a local hatchery and 10 smolts born in the wild. Whole smolts were anesthetized, frozen on dry ice, transported to the Molecular Genetics Laboratory (Fisheries and Oceans Canada [DFO]) in Nanaimo, BC, and held at −80 °C until subsampled for analysis. Frozen white muscle sections were taken from whole smolts, ~4 mm above to 4 mm below the lateral line, shipped on dry ice to Laval University, and subsampled for analysis. White muscle tissue was preferred because of its importance in both migration and homeostasis in fish (making up to 80% of the body weight) and because previous studies identified key markers linked to muscle development as differentially methylated between migratory and nonmigratory ecotypes of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (44, 59–62).

DNA Extraction and Reduced-Representation Bisulfite Sequencing Library Preparation.

The RRBS library preparation was adapted from a previously published protocol (63). Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2000 platform (five individuals by lane) at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre (Montreal, QC) using a 100-bp single-end reads approach. In parallel, sex information was inferred by PCR using a method previously described for salmonids (sdY_E2S2 5′-CTTAAAGACCTCCACCCTCCAT-3′ and sdY_E2AS4 5′-CTTAAAAACCTACCCACCTCAT-3′ primers) (64). Sex information for each individual is available in Table S4. Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information.

Methylation Calling. To avoid the possibility of falsely interpreting existing C-T DNA polymorphism as epigenetic variation, we masked these SNPs from the genome of the coho salmon (Genbank assembly accession no. GCA_002021735.1). We used Bismark v0.14.5 (65) and extracted only CpGs with sufficient coverage (≥10x). CpGs were assembled in 1,000-bp regions, and a logistic regression, with the river of origin and sex as covariates, was conducted to identify differentially methylated regions (DMRs) with the MethylKit R package (66). The DMRs were retained when they showed at least 15% of difference between treatment, q-value < 0.001, and when a given 1,000-bp region comprised at least three CpGs. For functional annotation, we mapped the coho salmon transcriptome (67) to the genome (65) and annotated the DMRs overlapping genes location (5 kb up- and downstream) according to ref. 68. We added more information to DMRs relative position (3′ and 5′ UTRs, gene body, and CpG islands, shores, and shelves) based on a previous paper on rainbow trout (44). Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information.

Population and Rearing Environment Effect on DMR Analysis. We first computed a Euclidian distance matrix on the 131,807 regions and performed a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA). A distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) was then produced with the retained PCo factors (n = 6) as the response matrix and the variables population, rearing environment, and sex as the explanatory matrix using a stepwise model selection. Partial db-RDAs were produced to test for the effect of the selected variables after controlling for the remaining variables. The effect of a given factor was considered significant when the P value was < 0.05. Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information.

Genotyping for Genetic Data. For population genomics analysis, mapping and genotyping were conducted with the BSAffile-seq CUI Toolkit (69). Only biallelic markers with minimum and maximum depth of coverage between 5x and 100x, minor allele frequency (maf) of >0.05, minimum quality of 5, maximum missing of 20%, and in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P values > 0.05) were conserved. Markers with statistical linkage disequilibrium (LD) above R2 0.8 were also orphaned (one SNP dropped) (70). From the initial 12,375,758 SNPs, only 15,044 were retained for subsequent population genomics analysis after applying these filtering criteria. Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information.

Genomic Differentiation Between Hatchery and Wild Origin Fish from Each River. Similarly to DMR analysis, we computed a Euclidian distance matrix using the 15,044 filtered SNPs to perform a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA). A db-RDA was then produced with the retained PCo factors (n = 6) as the response matrix and the same explanatory variables, using a stepwise model selection. Partial db-RDAs were produced to test for the effect of the selected variables, after controlling for the other variables. The effect of a given factor was considered significant when the P value was < 0.05. Pairwise genetic differentiation (Fst), individual coefficients of inbreeding (Gis), and observed and expected heterozygosity within samples were estimated using GENODIVE v2.0b27 (36) (Tables S2 and S3). Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information. To detect outlier loci between sexes (Fig. S1) and test for possible selective effect within a single generation between HOR and NOR fish within each river (Fig. S2), we first conducted a standard genome scan approach using Bayescan v1.2 (35) on the 15,044 filtered markers. We also tested for polygenic selection using a multilocus analysis with Random Forest while accounting for population structure (rvers). We used permutations (n = 1,000) to assess whether a signal of polygenic selection similar to the one that was detected in rainbow trout could be obtained by chance (e.g., due to genetic drift or sampling error). We compiled the final out-of-bag (OOB) error statistics for each run of simulation and compared it to the final OOB statistics in our empirical dataset (Fig. S3). Detailed methods are provided in Supporting Information.
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