Hypoxia-induced transcription factor signaling is essential for larval growth of the mosquito Aedes aegypti
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Gut microbes positively affect the physiology of many animals, but the molecular mechanisms underlying these benefits remain poorly understood. We recently reported that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia functions as a signal for growth and molting of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that transduction of a gut hypoxia signal requires hypoxia-induced transcription factors (HIFs). Expression studies showed that HIF-α was stabilized in larvae containing bacteria that induce gut hypoxia but was destabilized in larvae that exhibit normoxia. However, we could rescue growth of larvae exhibiting gut normoxia by treating them with a prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor, FG-4592, that stabilized HIF-α, and inhibit growth of larvae exhibiting gut hypoxia by treating them with an inhibitor, PX-478, that destabilized HIF-α. Using these tools, we determined that HIF signaling activated the insulin/insulin growth factor pathway plus select mitogen-activated kinases and inhibited the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase pathway. HIF signaling was also required for growth of the larval midgut and storage of neutral lipids by the fat body. Altogether, our results indicate that gut hypoxia and HIF signaling activate multiple processes in A. aegypti larvae, with conserved functions in growth and metabolism.
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Gut microbes positively affect the physiology of many animals, including insects (1, 2). However, the mechanisms through which gut microbes exert their beneficial effects remain unknown in most species (1, 2). Mosquitoes are important insects because they vector pathogens that cause disease in humans and other vertebrates. Recent studies indicate that gut microbes also strongly affect mosquito biology across all life stages. Acquisition and transmission of vertebrate pathogens only occurs through blood feeding by adult females (3), with several studies providing evidence that the gut microbiota affects permissiveness to infection (4–6). Recent evidence also indicates that mosquitoes require a gut microbiota to develop into adults (4, 6).

All mosquitoes hatch from eggs into larvae that are aquatic and feed primarily on detritus (6, 7). Most species also molt through four instars before metamorphosis into adults (3). First instars hatch with no gut microbes but rapidly acquire a gut microbiota from the environment by feeding (6). Comparative studies indicate that most gut community members are gram-negative aerobic and facultatively anaerobic bacteria, with diversity varying greatly within and between mosquito species as a function of collection site and other factors (8–16). Aedes aegypti is a broadly distributed mosquito that serves as the primary vector of the viruses that cause Dengue fever, yellow fever, and Zika syndrome (17, 18). A. aegypti larvae reared under conventional (nonsterile) laboratory conditions harbor a relatively simple gut microbiota of ∼100 bacterial species when fed a nutritionally complete diet (12). Axenic larvae with no gut microbiota consume food like conventional larvae but do not grow and die as first instars after several days (12). However, several community members and Escherichia coli K-12, which is not a community member, can individually colonize the gut to produce monoxenic, gnotobiotic larvae (i.e., larvae colonized by a single known species of bacteria) and rescue development (12, 16). Field-collected A. aegypti and several other species exhibit the same defects under axenic conditions, while development into adults is rescued if larvae are inoculated with different gut community members or E. coli (12, 16). Overall, these results indicate that A. aegypti and other mosquitoes require living microbes in their gut to become adults, but development does not depend on a particular species or community of microbes.

We recently used A. aegypti larvae fed a nutritionally complete diet and E. coli as a one-host/one-microbe model to characterize what bacteria in the gut provide that mosquito larvae require for development (19). Within each instar, mosquitoes and other insects grow by consuming nutrients until achieving a critical size, which stimulates a rise in titer of the hormone 20-hydroxycydonine (20E) that stimulates molting (20, 21). Comparing gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli with conventionally reared first instars indicated both exhibit low midgut oxygen levels during growth to critical size, which is followed by 20E release and molting to the second instar at ~24 h post-hatching (19). In contrast, axenic first instars or gnotobiotic first instars were unable to molting, thus, larval development was arrested at the first instar stage (19). In Aedes aegypti larvae, hypoxia signaling appeared to be critical for growth, as indicated by expression studies indicating that HIF signaling activated several processes with essential functions in growth and metabolism. These findings can potentially be used to disrupt mosquito development into adults that transmit human diseases.
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instars inoculated with a mutant defective for cytochrome BD oxidase (ΔcydB-ΔcydD::kan E. coli) consume food but do not exhibit reduced gut oxygen levels, which correlates with minimal growth, no rise in 20E titer, and a failure to molt (19). These findings strongly suggested that aerobic respiration by bacteria reduces oxygen levels in the A. aegypti gut, and that hypoxia functions as a signal for growth and molting to the second instar. Additional experiments further supported that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia also functions as a signal for growth and molting in subsequent instars until pupation (19).

Some eukaryotic cells respond to fluctuating oxygen levels through conserved dimeric αβ hypoxia-induced transcription factors (HIFs) (22–24). HIFs are expressed under normoxia, but hydroxylation by a prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) usually targets HIF-α for degradation. In contrast, hydroxylation does not occur under hypoxia, resulting in HIF-α stabilization and activation of hypoxia-responsive genes (22–24). In this study, we tested the hypothesis that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia requires HIF signaling to function as a growth signal for A. aegypti larvae. Our results show that gut hypoxia and a PHD inhibitor stabilize HIF-α and stimulate growth, while normoxia and an HIF-α inhibitor disable growth. Our results further indicate HIF signaling is required for activation of downstream pathways and processes with essential growth functions.

Results

Bacteria-Induced Gut Hypoxia Stabilizes HIF-α in A. aegypti First Instars. A. aegypti encodes conserved HIF pathway components, which include two HIF-α paralogs [hif-1α (AAEL001097) and hif-2α (AAEL015383)], HIF-β (AAEL010343), and PHD-1 (AAEL02798) (19). At the mRNA level, we assessed transcript abundance for these genes at 12 h posthatching in gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, axenic first instars, and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with ΔcydB-ΔcydD::kan E. coli (hereafter abbreviated Δcyd E. coli) (19). For each treatment, we examined the gut and remaining larval body (pelt), which primarily contained the fat body. Results indicated each gene was similarly expressed between treatments and tissues, with the exception of hif-β, which was more abundant in the gut and pelt of gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 1A). At the protein level, we generated one initial desmin to detect PHD-1 and a second antibody designed to detect both HIF-α paralogs. We also examined each treatment assessed at the mRNA level plus conventionally reared first instars that contained a mixed laboratory community of gut bacteria. Immunoblot analysis at 24 and 36 h posthatching detected PHD in the gut and pelt across all treatments (Fig. 1B). In contrast, HIF-α was detected in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, but it was not detected in axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli (Fig. 1B).

These findings indicated that HIF-α was stabilized in conventional and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, which exhibit gut hypoxia, but was destabilized in axenic and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli, which do not. We thus assessed whether two small-molecule inhibitors altered HIF-α stability in A. aegypti: FG-4592, which is an oral, selective PHD inhibitor that stabilizes HIF-α in vertebrates under normoxia (25, 26), and PX-478, which is an oral selective inhibitor of HIF-α (25, 27). We also assessed the effects of rapamycin, which is a well-known inhibitor of the target of rapamycin C1 complex (TORC1) (28–30), because of (i) its known effects on delaying larval growth of insects (31) and (ii) the potential that TOR signaling can affect HIF stabilization (32). Previous results showed that adding FG-4592 (1 μM) to cultures at 12 h posthatching stimulated gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli to grow, release 20E, and molt by 72 h (19). In the current study, immunoblotting further showed that FG-4592 stabilized HIF-α in the gut of axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli by 24 h posthatching and pelt by 36 h posthatching (Fig. 1B). Reciprocally, conventional first instars treated with PX-478 at 12 h posthatching remained viable over a range of concentrations but exhibited a dose-dependent reduction in molting to the second instar (Fig. S1). Larvae treated with the lowest dose of PX-478 that inhibited molting (50 μM) showed a loss of HIF-α by 24 h posthatching but exhibited no reduction in phosphorylated S6 kinase (p-S6K) (Fig. 1C), which is a well-known downstream target of TORC1 (28). Conventional first instars treated with rapamycin at 12 h posthatching also remained viable and exhibited a dose-dependent reduction in molting to the second instar within 72 h (Fig. S1). However, larvae treated with the lowest dose of rapamycin that delayed molting (50 μM) exhibited greatly reduced p-S6K in the gut and pelt but no reduction in HIF-α (Fig. 1C). We thus concluded that (i) FG-4592 stabilizes HIF-α at the protein level and rescues growth of A. aegypti larvae...
that exhibit gut normoxia, (ii) PX-478 reduces HIF-α and inhibits growth of conventional larvae that exhibit gut hypoxia, and (iii) rapamycin inhibits TOR signaling and delays growth of conventional larvae but does not affect HIF-α stabilization.

**Gut Hypoxia and HIF Signaling in A. aegypti Larvae Affect Signaling Pathways with Growth and Metabolic Functions.** Signaling through TORC1 functions as a conserved nutrient-sensing pathway essential for growth of higher eukaryotes, including insects (28, 33). Two other pathways with conserved metabolic and growth functions are adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling and insulin/insulin growth factor signaling (IIS). AMPK functions as a stress-activated energy sensor, which integrates multiple responses that enhance cellular resistance to stress (34, 35). The IIS pathway in A. aegypti and most other insects consists of a single insulin-like receptor that binds insulin-like peptides and activates two signaling cascades: a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt branch with primarily metabolic functions and a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/ERK branch with primarily growth functions (33, 36–39). We assessed whether activation of these pathways in conventional and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli differed from axenic first instars or first instars inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli by monitoring the phosphorylation status of specific proteins. S6K was used as a marker for TOR signaling as described above (28). Phosphorylation of AMPK was monitored directly (28), while Akt and ERK were used as established markers in mosquitoes for activation of the PI3K/Akt and MAPK/ERK branches of the IIS pathway (37–41). We also examined two other MAPK family members, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38, because both have growth functions that can be activated by several pathways, including IIS, in other organisms (42–44).

We detected no differences across treatments in the phosphorylation status of S6K (Fig. 2A). In contrast, p-AMPK was only detected in axenic and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli, while phosphorylated Akt, ERK, JNK, and p38 were only detected in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 2A). Since axenic and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli consume food (10), we reasoned that nutrient sensing through TOR is potentially oper- ative despite larvae not growing. This interpretation was supported by analysis of conventional first instars that were reared without food (i.e., starved), which also do not grow but exhibited reduced phosphorylation of S6K, Akt, and ERK, plus increased phosphorylation of AMPK (Fig. S2). We thus concluded that possible effectors of disabled growth in axenic larvae and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli included reduced signaling through the IIS pathway, reduced signaling through select other MAPKs, and increased signaling through the AMPK pathway. In contrast, reduced TOR signaling was only observed in conventional larvae that were starved or treated with rapamycin.

If the alterations exhibited by the IIS, MAPK, and AMPK pathways are related to gut normoxia and destabilized HIF-α, we hypothesized that FG-4592 should reverse these defects in axenic larvae or gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli. Results strongly supported this prediction by showing that larvae treated with FG-4592 at 12 h posthatching showed increased phosphorylation of Akt, ERK, JNK, and p38 but reduced phosphorylation of AMPK by 36 h (Fig. 2A). Reciprocally, conventional larvae treated with PX-478 at 12 h posthatching exhibited increased phosphorylation of AMPK and reduced phosphorylation of Akt and ERK by 24 h, while larvae treated with rapamycin also showed increased phosphorylation of AMPK but no alteration in the phosphorylation state of Akt and ERK (Fig. 2B). Altogether, these results strongly supported that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia requires HIF signaling to stimulate growth, which correlates with activating the IIS pathway, activating select other MAPKs, and inhibiting the AMPK pathway.

**Gut Hypoxia and HIF Signaling Promote Growth of the Larval Midgut.** The midgut in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli showed substantial growth after hatching as measured by length, whereas the midgut in axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with ΔcydB E. coli showed little growth (Fig. 3A). In adult-stage Drosophila, the midgut consists of four cell types (45, 46). Intestinal stem cells (ISCs) divide to produce one daughter ISC and one daughter enteroblast (EB) (47, 48). In turn, each EB differentiates without division into either an enteroendocrine cell (EE) or a nutrient-absorbing enterocyte (EC) (45–47). Several factors regulate ISC proliferation, including the IIS, p38, JNK, and JAK/STAT pathways (48–51). ISCs/EBs are also distinguished by their small size, small nuclei, and expression of a conserved Snail/Slug family transcription factor (escargot) (45–52). EEs are similarly small cells but do not divide and express several peptide
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ISCs/EBs in axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (GN), axenic larvae (AX), and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB-ΔcydΔ:kan E. coli (Δcyd) at hatching (0 h), 12 h posthatching, and 24 h posthatching. Above the graph is a schematic of the A. aegypti larval digestive tract with borders of the foregut (FG), midgut (MG), and hindgut (HG) indicated. The midgut is demarcated by the gastric caeca (Gc) and Malpighian tubules (Mt). Gut length in the graph was measured from the Gc to the end of the HG at the anus (An). Gut length at 12 h and 24 h significantly differed between CN larvae and GN that exhibit gut hypoxia versus AX and Δcyd that do not (ANOVA followed by a post hoc Tukey-Kramer honest significant difference test, \( P < 0.05 \)). (B) Low-magnification images of a gut from a CN larva at 24 h posthatching. The FG was removed, resulting in the MG and HG being oriented from left to right. PH3+ ISCs/EBs (bright green) are distributed over the length of the MG. (Scale bar: 200 μm.) (C–E) High-magnification images from the midgut of a 24-h posthatching CN larva. Small cells with nuclei that are Slg+ (C, red), PH3+ (D, green), and Slg+/?PH3+ (E, yellow with arrows) are shown. (F–H) High-magnification images from the midgut of a 24-h posthatching GN showing Slg+, PH3+, and Slg+/?PH3+ cells as labeled in C–E. All nuclei in C–H are counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (I) Low-magnification image of a gut from a CN larva at 24 h posthatching showing EEs that are NPF+ (bright green). (Orientation and scale bar as in B.) (J) High-magnification image of gut cells from a 24-h CN larva labeled with anti-NPF (green) and Hoechst 33342. Note that EEs exhibit a cytoplasmic NPF signal (arrows), while nuclei are blue. (K) High-magnification images of the midgut from a 24-h CN larva showing large, columnar ECs (arrows) with cytoplasm labeled by 2-DG6P (K) and nuclei labeled with Hoechst 33342 (L) are shown. Note that ISCs/EBs or EEs with small nuclei (arrowheads) are present between ECs in K and L. (Scale bar: L, 20 μm.)

Fig. 3. Bacteria that induce hypoxia promote gut growth. (A) Gut length in conventional (CN) larvae, gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (GN), axenic larvae (AX), and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB-ΔcydΔ:kan E. coli (Δcyd) at hatching (0 h), 12 h posthatching, and 24 h posthatching. The most abundant cells over the length of the midgut were Sig+ cells from a 24-h CN larva labeled with anti-NPF (green) and Hoechst 33342. Note that EEs exhibit a cytoplasmic NPF signal (arrows), while nuclei are blue. High-magnification images of the midgut from a 24-h CN larva showing large, columnar ECs (arrows) with cytoplasm labeled by 2-DG6P (K) and nuclei labeled with Hoechst 33342 (L) are shown. Note that ISCs/EBs or EEs with small nuclei (arrowheads) are present between ECs in K and L. (Scale bar: L, 20 μm.)

Gut Hypoxia and HIF Signaling also Promote Neutral Lipid Storage in the Fat Body. Under normal conditions, ECs absorb nutrients from digested food, which is resynthesized into triglyceride and other neutral lipids (57–60). Lipoproteins then transport these neutral lipids to the fat body, where they serve as the primary form of stored energy for growth (57–59). Lipid storage in the fat body also requires activation of the IIS pathway (33, 37, 60, 61). First-instar A. aegypti larvae hatch with minimal neutral lipid hormones upon differentiation, including neuropeptide F (NPF) and tachykinin (TK) (50, 52). ECs, in contrast, are distinguished by their much larger size, endoreplicating nuclei, and function in uptake of nutrients like glucose (48–51).

No markers have previously been generated to distinguish cell types in the mosquito midgut, although prior results do support that midgut growth during the larval stage is associated with an increase in cell size and number (53, 54). We therefore produced an A. aegypti anti-Slug (Slg) antibody, which we used in conjunction with previously generated NPF and TK antibodies (55, 56), a commercially available anti-phosphohistone H3 (PH3) antibody that marks dividing cells, and 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) labeling. In conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, we identified small cells distributed over the length of the midgut that were Slg+ or Slg+/?PH3+, which strongly suggested they were ISCs/EBs (Fig. 3 B–H). Pairs of Slg+/?PH3+ cells were also commonly observed in close proximity to one another, which was consistent with recent division of an ISC into a daughter ISC and EB (Fig. 3 C–H). Other small cells located in the midposterior midgut were NPF+/TK+/Slg+/?PH3+, which identified them as differentiated EEs (Fig. 3 I and J and Fig. S3A). The most abundant cells over the length of the midgut were Sig+/?PH3+/NPF+/TK+. These cells were classified as ECs on the basis that they were much larger than ISCs/EBs and EEs, absorbed the glucose analog 2-deoxyglucose-6-phosphate (2-DG6P) (Fig. 3K), and had large endoreplicating nuclei that incorporated EdU (Fig. 3L and Fig. S3B).

EC size, as estimated by nuclear diameter, was larger by 36 h posthatching in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli than in axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli (Fig. 4A). Since only ISCs/EBs were PH3+, we used PH3 labeling to ask whether the abundance of proliferating ISCs also differed between treatments. Results showed that the midguts of conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli contained far more PH3+ cells than axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli (Fig. 4B). Together, these data strongly suggested bacteria-induced gut hypoxia promotes endoreplication of ECs and proliferation of ISCs. To test whether HIF signaling was required as an upstream activator of these responses, we compared the effects of FG-4592 on axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli versus PX-478 on conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars with wild-type E. coli. Strikingly, FG-4592 significantly increased EC nuclear diameter and the number of PH3+ ISCs/EBs in axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli, while PX-478 significantly reduced EC nuclear diameter and the number of PH3+ ISCs/EBs in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 4).
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Fig. 4. Gut bacteria and pharmacological manipulation of HIF-α affect EC size and ISC proliferation. (A) EC size as measured by nuclear diameter in conventional (CN) larvae, gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (GN), or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and in axenic larvae (AX), gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydΔcydΔ::kan E. coli (Δcyd), or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). Samples were measured from 12 to 48 h posthatching. An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right), which served as controls (analysis at each time point by ANOVA followed by a post hoc Dunnett’s test, *P < 0.05). (B) Dividing ISCs as measured by PI3 labeling in CN larvae, GN, or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and AX, Δcyd, or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right) as in A.

stores in the fat body (62). However, we hypothesized that activation of the IIS pathway in conventional first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (GN), or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and in axenic larvae (AX), gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydΔcydΔ::kan E. coli (Δcyd), or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). Samples were measured from 12 to 48 h posthatching. An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right), which served as controls (analysis at each time point by ANOVA followed by a post hoc Dunnett’s test, *P < 0.05). (B) Dividing ISCs as measured by PI3 labeling in CN larvae, GN, or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and AX, Δcyd, or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right) as in A.

Fig. 4. Gut bacteria and pharmacological manipulation of HIF-α affect EC size and ISC proliferation. (A) EC size as measured by nuclear diameter in conventional (CN) larvae, gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (GN), or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and in axenic larvae (AX), gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydΔcydΔ::kan E. coli (Δcyd), or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). Samples were measured from 12 to 48 h posthatching. An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right), which served as controls (analysis at each time point by ANOVA followed by a post hoc Dunnett’s test, *P < 0.05). (B) Dividing ISCs as measured by PI3 labeling in CN larvae, GN, or CN larvae treated with PX-478 (Left), and AX, Δcyd, or Δcyd treated with FG-4592 (Right). An asterisk above a bar at a given time point indicates the treatment significantly differed from that of CN larvae (Left) or Δcyd (Right) as in A.

Discussion

Previous results indicated that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia functions as a signal that A. aegypti larvae require for growth to a critical size, 20E release, and molting (19). Here, we tested the hypothesis that transduction of a bacteria-induced hypoxia signal requires hypoxia-inducible transcription factors. Our results establish that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia stabilizes HIF-α in first instars and that one pharmacological intervention, FG-4592, stabilizes HIF-α under gut normoxia, while another, PX-478, reduces HIF-α under gut hypoxia. Results further support that HIF signaling is required for activation of the IIS pathway and TORC2 activity (28). However, an important strength of our study is that results are not based on a single pharmacological intervention but, rather, on reciprocal interventions that either rescued growth of larvae that exhibit gut hypoxia (axenic first instars and first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli (Fig. 5 A and B and S4 A–D). These outcomes were also clearly distinct from those of starved conventional larvae, which, as expected, showed no accumulation of neutral lipids in either the midgut or fat body (Fig. S4 E–H).

The accumulation of neutral lipids in midgut cells of axenic and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli suggested these larvae absorbed some nutrients from the food they consumed, but defects in lipid biosynthesis and/or transport resulted in greatly reduced lipid stores in the fat body. An essential role for HIF signaling in neutral lipid transport to the fat body was also experimentally supported by results showing that PX-478 strongly reduced neutral lipid accumulation in the fat body, while, correspondingly, increasing neutral lipid accumulation in the midguts of conventional larvae and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 5 C and D). Reciprocally, FG-4592 greatly reduced the accumulation of neutral lipids in the midguts of axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli was also significantly increased (Fig. 5 C and D). However, this increase was lower than occurs in conventional first instars or first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, which indicated the rescue effect of FG-4592 was only partial.

Lipophorin (Lpp) is the major neutral lipid carrier in A. aegypti and other insects, while lipid uptake by the fat body requires the Lpp receptor (LppR) (57–59). Expression data indicated that transcript abundance of lpp, but not lppR, was significantly lower in gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli compared with gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 5 C). Notably, lpp transcript abundance was strongly reduced by PX-478 treatment of gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, while FG-4592 treatment of gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli increased transcript abundance of lpp, but not fully to the level of gnotobiotic first instars with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 6).

Studies conducted in the vertebrate literature indicate the HIF pathway inhibitors we used are highly specific (25, 26), although some data do suggest prolonged treatment with PHD inhibitors can affect NF-κB transcription factors (63). Likewise, rapamycin is considered a highly specific TORC1 inhibitor, although prolonged exposure in vertebrate cell culture studies indicates it can also inhibit TORC2 activity (28). However, an important strength of our study is that results are not based on a single pharmacological intervention but, rather, on reciprocal interventions that either rescued growth of larvae that exhibit gut hypoxia (axenic first instars and first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli (Fig. 5 A and B and S4 A–D). These outcomes were also clearly distinct from those of starved conventional larvae, which, as expected, showed no accumulation of neutral lipids in either the midgut or fat body (Fig. S4 E–H).

The accumulation of neutral lipids in midgut cells of axenic and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli suggested these larvae absorbed some nutrients from the food they consumed, but defects in lipid biosynthesis and/or transport resulted in greatly reduced lipid stores in the fat body. An essential role for HIF signaling in neutral lipid transport to the fat body was also experimentally supported by results showing that PX-478 strongly reduced neutral lipid accumulation in the fat body, while, correspondingly, increasing neutral lipid accumulation in the midguts of conventional larvae and gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 5 C and D). Reciprocally, FG-4592 greatly reduced the accumulation of neutral lipids in the midguts of axenic first instars and gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli was also significantly increased (Fig. 5 C and D). However, this increase was lower than occurs in conventional first instars or first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, which indicated the rescue effect of FG-4592 was only partial.

Lipophorin (Lpp) is the major neutral lipid carrier in A. aegypti and other insects, while lipid uptake by the fat body requires the Lpp receptor (LppR) (57–59). Expression data indicated that transcript abundance of lpp, but not lppR, was significantly lower in gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli compared with gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 5 C). Notably, lpp transcript abundance was strongly reduced by PX-478 treatment of gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type E. coli, while FG-4592 treatment of gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli increased transcript abundance of lpp, but not fully to the level of gnotobiotic first instars with wild-type E. coli (Fig. 6).
Gut bacteria produce many metabolites, including short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) that have been implicated in systemic health of vertebrates and growth of some invertebrates (64–67). However, previous experiments showed that supplementing the diets of *A. aegypti* axenic first instars or gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd *E. coli* with acetate or several other SCFAs has no rescue effect on growth or molting (19). Thus, our results support bacteria-induced hypoxia as a growth signal in *A. aegypti*, but do not identify an essential role for SCFAs in growth. In vertebrates, bacteria-derived lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has also been implicated in up-regulating HIF-1α expression through inflammatory responses mediated by Toll signaling, reactive oxygen species, and certain cytokines (68). Our finding that transcript abundance levels for HIF-1β are lower in axenic larvae than gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with wild-type *E. coli* is consistent with a potential role for bacteria cell wall components in regulating HIF-1β expression. On the other hand, our results also indicate that HIF-1β transcript abundance is lower in gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with ΔcydB-ΔcydD::kan *E. coli*, which argues against bacterial cell wall components being the primary factor that up-regulates HIF-1β expression in *A. aegypti* first instars that grow.

As previously noted, several factors modulate ISC proliferation in adult *Drosophila*, including the IIS pathway (50), EGF-activating JNK and ERK signaling (51, 52), Janus kinase signal transducers and activators of transcription (JAK-STAT) (49, 69, 70), and p38 MAPK (70). ISC proliferation also increases in
response to indigenous and pathogenic bacteria in the gut, with evidence supporting a role for JNK and JAK-STAT signaling in bacteria-induced stimulation of ISCs (70–72). We did not examine JAK-STAT signaling, which is implicated in regulating proliferation of several stem cell populations in addition to ISCs (73), but our results are consistent with IIS, ERK, JNK, and p38 playing a potentially synergistic role in regulating ISC proliferation during larval growth of *A. aegypti*. However, our study implicates bacteria-induced gut hypoxia and HIF signaling as upstream activators of IIS, ERK, JNK, and p38. Interestingly, our study also implicates bacteria-induced gut hypoxia and HIF signaling in transport of neutral lipids from the midgut to the fat body during normal growth of *A. aegypti*. The accumulation of neutral lipids in ECs that occurs in axenic larvae, gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with Δcyd E. coli, or conventional larvae treated with PX-478 is reminiscent of lipid accumulation in the midgut of *Drosophila melanogaster* adults after lethal infection by *Vibrio cholerae*, which down-regulates the IIS pathway (66). It also resembles the effects of disabled TK production by midgut EEs, which is implicated in increased synthesis of neutral lipids by the midgut (74). Our finding that bacteria-induced gut hypoxia and HIF signaling activate the IIS pathway and *lpp* expression suggests a potentially important role for gut bacteria in activating normal transport of neutral lipids from the midgut to the fat body. However, that down-regulated HIF signaling in *A. aegypti* larvae stimulates lipid accumulation in the midgut also suggests a potential role for resident gut bacteria in either directly modulating lipid biosynthesis or indirectly modulating lipid biosynthesis through effects on TK production by EEs.

In summary, bacteria-induced gut hypoxia requires HIF signaling to function as a growth signal in *A. aegypti*. Given the evolutionary conservation of the HIF pathway and dependence of other mosquito species on gut microbes for growth (12, 16), we think it likely all members of the Culicidae rely on gut hypoxia as a developmental signal. Our results further suggest HIF signaling modulates several conserved signaling processes with essential functions in growth and metabolism. In vertebrates, HIFs directly regulate target genes, including transcription factors, through binding to promoter response elements (75–77). Thus, future studies on the repertoire of genes that mosquito HIFs activate will be necessary to elucidate how gut hypoxia up-regulates the IIS and other downstream pathways that larvae rely upon for development into adults.

**Materials and Methods**

Mosquitoes, Bacteria, and Culture Conditions. The University of Georgia strain of *A. aegypti* was used in the study (19). Wild-type and mutant *E. coli* K12 cells were grown in Luria broth at 37 °C with 25 μg/mL kanamycin. The double mutant ΔcydB-ΔcydD::kan E. coli (referred to as Δcyd) in this study) was isolated by transduction and mutation by transposon experiments, wild-type *E. coli* and Δcyd *E. coli* cells were grown to midlog phase, followed by pelleting and resuspension in water (wild-type) or M9 minimal medium (Δcyd). Conventional larvae were hatched from unsterilized eggs and individually reared at 26 °C in wells of 24-well culture plates (Corning) containing 1 mL of nonsterile double-distilled water and 100 μg of nutritionally complete diet consisting of rat chow, lactalbumin, and inactive torula yeast (1:1:1) (10). Axenic larvae were hatched from surface-sterilized eggs and individually reared in 1 mL of sterile water containing the above diet (100 μg) that had been sterilized by γ-irradiation (10). Gnotobiotic larvae were produced by placing individual axenic larvae in 1 mL of sterile water plus 100 μg of sterilized diet and 10^6 colony-forming units (cfu) of wild-type *E. coli* or 1 mL of M9 minimal medium containing 100 μg of sterilized diet and 10^6 cfu of Δcyd E. coli (19). Larger numbers of larvae for each condition were reared together in culture flasks (Corning) by linearly increasing the amount of water, food, and bacteria, as described above.

FG-4592, PX-478, and Rapamycin Treatment. Stocks of FG-4592 (Selleckchem) and PX-478 (Selleckchem) were dissolved in water, while rapamycin (Selleckchem) was dissolved in ethanol. FG-4592 (1 μM) was added to cultures containing axenic or gnotobiotic larvae inoculated with Δcyd E. coli at 12 h (19). PX-478 (1–100 μM) or rapamycin (1–100 μM) was initially added to cultures containing conventional first instars, followed by determination of the proportion of larvae that molted to the second instars within 72 h. In subsequent assays, 50 μM PX-478 or rapamycin was added to cultures containing 1–300 conventional or gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type *E. coli* at 12 h posthatching.

RNA-Sequencing Analysis. Cultures containing 300 axenic first instars, gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type *E. coli*, or Δcyd *E. coli* were reared for 12 or 18 h and dissected in RNase-free PBS (pH 7.4) to separate the gut and remaining body (pelt). Gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with wild-type *E. coli* were treated with PX-478, while gnotobiotic first instars inoculated with Δcyd E. coli were treated with FG-4592 at 12 h posthatching and similarly dissected at 24 or 36 h posthatching (12 h or 18 h posttreatment, respectively). Samples were then snap-frozen in RNase-free PBS and stored at −80 °C. Four biological replicates were performed for each treatment. Total RNA was extracted using a Qiagen RNAEasy Kit with DNA removal. Samples were then prepared for Illumina sequencing and run as single-end 75-nt reads on two high-throughput chips using the NextSeq platform. Additional details on library construction and data analysis are provided in SI Materials and Methods.

**Detection of HIF-α and PHD-1.** Antibodies were commercially generated (Pacific Immunology) in rabbits to recognize proteins from hif-1α-2α and phd-1. For a cross-reacting HIF-α antibody, a rabbit was immunized with one peptide corresponding to amino acids 292–313 (CDHEELREALNHRHPSPELLK) that is identical in hif-1α/hif-2α plus a second peptide corresponding to amino acids 441–549 (KNWIKSGQETARYFLRA) that is hif-1α-specific. For PHD-1, a second rabbit was immunized with peptides corresponding to amino acids 18–42 (HHQQSQQPSPSQSQSAPSSGNTSS-Cy) and 464–479 (DAERESARILYQDC). Gut and pelt homogenates were prepared for each of the treatments described for RNA-sequencing analysis plus conventional larvae and conventional larvae treated with PX-478. Gut and pelt samples were collected at
Detection of Phosphorylated Proteins. Gut and pelt homogenates were prepared, electrophoresed, and transferred to PVDF as described above. After blotting, membranes were probed with the following primary antibodies: phospho-p70 S6 kinase (1:1,000, 9209; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-AMPK (1:1,000, 2531; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-Drosophila Akt (Ser473) (1:1,000, 4054; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (1:1,000, 9101; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-SAPK/JNK (1:1,000 dilution, 9251; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-p38 MAPK (1:1,000, 9211; Cell Signaling Technology); and actin (1:1,000, 20123; Sigma), which served as the loading control. After washing, samples were probed with secondary antibody and visualized as described above.

Gut Length. Larvae from different treatments and time points posthatching were dissected as described above to collect the gut. Gut length was determined from the anterior end of the gastric caeca to the anus using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope and Zen 2011 software. Ten larvae per treatment and time point were measured, with data analyzed by ANOVA using JMP Pro-13 (SAS).

Immunocytochemistry and Neutral Lipid Staining. An affinity-purified anti-TK pathway: Role, regulation, and intervention for Science E5369. An affinity-purified anti-TK antibody was generated (Genescript) by immunizing a rabbit with peptides corresponding to amino acids 64–77 (7E6 vs. 699). The rabbit was bled and the serum was concentrated. The antibody was affinity purified using a chemiluminescent substrate (Clarity Western ECL Substrate; Bio Rad; lots 175 and 176). Immunochemical analysis was performed using polyclonal antibodies directed against phospho-p70 S6 kinase (1:5,000, anti-TK (1:5,000), Shiga-p28, and anti-actin (1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich), which was used as a loading control. Samples were then washed and probed with a peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:15,000; Jackson), followed by visualization using a chemiluminescent substrate (Clarity Western ECL Substrate; Biorad) and Syngene imaging system.