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ABSTRACT  Somatic cell hybrids derived from fusion of GM637, a human cell line transformed by simian virus 40, and mouse B82 cells were examined for simian virus 40 T antigen, V antigen, and viral DNA. All hybrid cell lines that contained viral DNA were T-antigen positive. Cells that did not have viral DNA were T-antigen negative. We determined that there is a single viral insertion in these hybrid cells. Correlation of T-antigen expression and viral DNA with the partial complements of the human genome retained in the hybrids showed that the inserted viral genome is in human chromosome 8. The integrated viral DNA is stable; free viral DNA found in GM637 does not insert at other potential sites in the human genome.

Simian virus 40 (SV40), a papovavirus, grows in African green monkey cells and transforms human and a number of rodent cells. The viral DNA persists in the transformed cells, and continued viral gene expression is necessary to maintain the transformed state (1–9). At least part of the integrated viral DNA is usually present as an intact genome, because functional virus particles can be obtained by fusion of the transformed cells with permissive cells (10). The features of viral integration sites are of interest because of possible interactions between integrated viral DNA and adjacent host sequences. Furthermore, the structure of viral insertions may reveal something about the mechanisms of somatic recombination. Two different approaches have been traditionally used to study this problem. On the one hand somatic cell genetic techniques have been employed to determine the specificity of SV40 viral insertion at the chromosomal level, while nucleic acid hybridization techniques have been used to probe the structure of the integrated viral DNA. Although these approaches are directed toward understanding the same phenomena, the use of different levels of study dictates that the data obtained by one approach may not be relevant to the data obtained from the other.

Cells from human SV40-transformed lines have been used in fusions with mouse cells and hybrids have been tested for expression of viral antigens. In early experiments of this type, Weiss was unable to correlate loss of T antigen (T-ag) with the loss of any specific human chromosome and concluded that the virus might integrate at more than one site (11). Croce and colleagues (12–15) have performed similar experiments with three different transformed human lines. They correlated T-ag expression with human chromosome 7 in two cases and chromosome 17 in another. Biochemical studies directed toward the question of specificity of viral integration have, in general, focused on nonpermissive transformed host cells. This is due to the fact that the induction of viral replication found in a transformed semipermissive host such as human cells obscured the detection of integrated copies. Botchan et al. (19) and Ketner and Kelly (20) have used site-specific restriction enzymes to define (i) the points on the viral chromosome involved in attachment to cellular DNA and (ii) the proximal chromosomal sequences with respect to these restriction sites. Both groups have concluded that SV40 viral insertion into rodent chromosomes cannot be mediated by a simple site-specific recombination event.

In this report we present the results of experiments that have utilized a combination of genetic and biochemical approaches to determine the chromosome site of integration of SV40 in a human cell line that has not heretofore been studied. Hybrid cell lines were obtained between B82, a murine cell line deficient in thymidine kinase (TK), and GM637, a human fibroblast cell line transformed with SV40. The hybrids were analyzed for SV40 T-ag, V antigen (V-ag), and integrated viral DNA. In every T-ag positive hybrid cell line only one inserted viral DNA sequence could be detected. Detailed chromosomal and isozyme analysis allowed us to infer that this SV40 genome is integrated into human chromosome 8. While more independent transformed cell lines will have to be examined, it now seems quite clear, despite previous claims to the contrary (12–18), that SV40 insertion into human DNA is not chromosome specific.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. GM637 cells were derived by SV40 transformation of skin fibroblasts from an apparently normal woman. They were obtained from A. Greene, Institute for Medical Research, Camden, NJ. Though these were isolated as a mass population, they are probably clonal in origin because of the presence of common marker chromosomes in all cell lines (data not shown). Mouse B82 cells were also obtained from the same source. Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (GIBCO) supplemented with penicillin and streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (Flow Laboratories) and 20 µM glutamine (GIBCO).

Cell Fusion. GM637 in passage 64 and B82 at 2 × 10⁶ cells each were plated in a T-25 flask and incubated at 37°C overnight. Fusions were performed by a method described by Davidson et al. (21). Briefly, the medium was completely removed and 3 ml of 50% (wt/vol) polyethylene glycol, molecular weight 1000, was added to the cell sheet. After a 2-min exposure the polyethylene glycol was removed and the cell sheet was rapidly washed three times with fresh medium. The cells were trypsinized 24 hr later and plated into T-25 flasks containing DMEM supplemented with 0.1 mM hypoxanthine/0.4 µM aminopterin/16 µM thymidine (HAT) and 10 µM ouabain. Colonies appeared in 2–3 weeks and were isolated by the use of stainless steel cloning cylinders. Cell lines were maintained in DMEM/HAT.

Subcloning and Back Selection. Secondary cell lines were derived by plating approximately 100 cells/T-25 flask. Well-
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separated colonies were isolated and grown in DMEM/HAT. These were obtained from primary cell lines GB20 and GB7d. To obtain cells resistant to 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd), cells were grown in nonselective medium for one to two passages and transferred to medium containing BrdUrd at 50 μg/ml. After 48 hr the culture was exposed to UV light for 1 hr. Pools of resistant cells from individual flasks were maintained separately. These were obtained from GB20 and GB7d and maintained in DMEM. All of the BrdUrd-resistant cells were sensitive to HAT medium.

**T-ag Assay.** Cells were grown on cover slips until they are 50–80% confluent. The medium was removed and after washing with phosphate-buffered saline (P/NaCl) the cells were fixed with 10% (vol/vol) formalin in P/NaCl for 10 min. They were then treated with Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free P/NaCl for 1 min, 50% (vol/vol) aceton for 3 min at −20°C, 100% aceton (−20°C) for 5 min, 50% aceton for 3 min, and P/NaCl for 1 min. The coverslips were placed on top of moist filter paper in a petri dish and 20 μl of hamster antisera to T-ag (Flow Laboratories) diluted 1:10 was added. Following incubation for 1 hr at 37°C the coverslips were rinsed three times in P/NaCl. After excess P/NaCl was drained, 20 μl of fluorescein-labeled goat antisera to hamster IgG diluted 1:10 was added to each coverslip and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. The coverslips were rinsed in P/NaCl and H₂O and mounted cell side down on clean glass slides with Elvanol. The slides were allowed to dry overnight and were observed with the aid of a Zeiss photomicroscope III equipped with an epilluminator system.

**V-ag Assay.** The methods for this assay are identical to T-ag assay except the primary serum used was an anti-SV40 neutralizing serum obtained from Flow Laboratories.

**Viral DNA Assay.** Total cellular DNA was obtained by the methods described by Botchan et al. (19). DNA was hydrolyzed with Bal I, an endonuclease isolated from Bravibacterium albidum (22). For blotting the DNA was fractionated by agarose electrophoresis. Following denaturation in situ the DNA was transferred to a nitrocellulose filter by the method described by Southern (23). SV40 DNA labeled to a high specific activity by ³²P was obtained by the methods of Maniatis et al. (24). The conditions of hybridization and autoradiography have been described (19).

**Assay of Mitochondrial Malate Dehydrogenase.** Rabbit antiserum against purified human mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (MDH-2) was obtained as described (25). Cell extracts were tested against this antisera by Ouchterlony double diffusion. Details of this method have been described by Shimizu et al. (26).

**Enzyme Assays.** Cell extracts were subjected to starch and cellulose acetate gel electrophoreses and stained for the enzymes listed in Table 1 by the methods described by Nichols and Ruddle (27). These enzymes represent 14 different human chromosomes.

**Chromosome Analysis.** Air-dried metaphase chromosome preparations were made according to standard procedures. The slides were stained with platein film (H & W, St. Johnbury, VT), using a Zeiss Axiosimat microscope equipped with epithillumination optics. Chromosome analysis was conducted on photographic prints.

**RESULTS**

In assigning SV40 integration to a human chromosome, ideally, one should determine the number of integrated viral copies present in the parental cell line and account for all of them in the hybrid cell lines. In general and with GM637 in this case, this is technically quite difficult because of the spontaneous induction of viral DNA replication in human cells (there are on the average 100 copies of SV40 DNA/cell in GM637; M. R. Botchan, J. K. McDougall, and J. Sambrook, unpublished data). To address ourselves to the question of the pattern of SV40 integration in GM637 as distinct from the free viral DNA, total high molecular weight DNA from GM637 was separated from free viral DNA by a two-step purification procedure (i) sucrose gradient fractionation (Fig. 1A) followed by (ii) an agarose electrophoresis separation (Fig. 1B). The high molecular weight DNA obtained from the agarose gels were then hydrolyzed with Bal I, and SV40 DNA was detected by blot hybridization as described in Materials and Methods. Fig. 1C shows the results of this experiment: only one band containing SV40 DNA was seen in the high molecular weight preparation from GM637. This same band was detected in eight other hybrid cell DNA preparations (Fig. 1C and Fig. 4). These results show that (i) at the DNA level no rearrangements occurred upon fusion with mouse B82 cells and selection of hybrid cells and (ii) the parent line possessed only one integrated locus of SV40 DNA per cell.
Croce and colleagues, using three different SV40-transformed cells as human parents in cell fusions, have reported correlation of SV40 T-ag with human chromosome 7 in two cell lines and with chromosome 17 in another. To determine if such correlations could be made when GM637 was used as the human parent, we performed the following experiments.

T-ag Expression. The parental mouse and human cells were tested for T-ag by indirect immunofluorescence. Every cell in the GM637 population was T-ag+. B82 cells were also T-ag+. Eighteen independently derived hybrid cell lines and 14 secondary lines derived from a single primary cell line were tested for T-ag. Thirteen of the primary and all of the secondary cell lines were T-ag+. Because of the HAT selection system all these hybrid cells are expected to retain the gene for human thymidine kinase (TK), which is on human chromosome 17. If the T-ag expression was syntenic with human TK, all the hybrids would be expected to be T-ag+. The lack of correlation between T-ag and TK indicated that SV40 is not integrated in chromosome 17. To further test this possibility, we have isolated hybrid cell lines resistant to BrdUrd at 30 μg/ml. Of the nine such cell lines, derived from three independent primary hybrids, seven were T-ag+. Because BrdUrd selects for cells that lack TK, these cells have lost human TK and thus human chromosome 17. The presence of T-ag in cells lacking chromosome 17 and its absence in some of the cells containing chromosome 17 show that the SV40 genome in these hybrid cells is not integrated in that chromosome.

Marker Assays. Croce has reported (12) that chromosome 7 has an integration site for SV40. To test whether this chromosome in GM637 carries an integrated SV40 genome, we have tested several of our hybrid cell lines for MDH-2. The gene for MDH-2 is known to be located on chromosome 7 (25, 29). In addition, cell extracts from these hybrids were also used to determine if any of 16 markers, representing 14 different human chromosomes, were present. Results from the analyses are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 1. None of the markers tested correlated with T-ag. These results indicate that the SV40 T-ag expression is asyntenic to markers located on chromosomes 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, and X. Because we have not tested for markers representing 3, 4, 8, 9, 13, 16, 21, and 22, SV40 might be integrated in one or more of these chromosomes.

Chromosome Analysis. Enzyme data alone cannot be used to draw inferences about assignment of markers to specific human chromosomes. Low levels of specific human chromosome presence might be reflected by either a positive or negative enzyme pattern. In order to determine which human chromosome is necessary for T-ag expression, we undertook a detailed cytological analysis of several of our primary and secondary cell lines. GM637 is a heteroploid cell line, containing a mean number of 79 chromosomes. At least one normal copy
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In order to determine that the SV40 DNA is covalently linked and that the pattern of integration in all T-ag+ hybrids is identical, we have tested for the presence of SV40 sequences by the method of Botchan et al. (19) and Keter and Kelly (20). Ten cell lines, five of which are primary and five secondary clones were tested. Representative results of these assays are presented in Fig. 4. The restriction enzyme used in this analysis does not cleave within the SV40 genome. Thus the integrated viral genome is expected to migrate to a position representing a higher molecular weight than SV40. This expectation is realized. All hybrids which showed a band of DNA which hybridized with labeled SV40 DNA were T-ag+. T-ag negative hybrids showed no bands. All hybrids which are T-ag positive had a single band of DNA which hybridized with SV40 DNA and this migrated to the same position in all hybrids. These results indicate that all hybrids which are T-ag+ have viral sequences integrated in the human genome and that the integration sites, in terms of flanking host sequences as defined by the cleavage by Bal I enzyme, are similar, if not identical. The presence of this inserted viral DNA correlated with the presence of chromosome 8.

T-ag. Human cells are semipermissive for SV40 replication, and tests for V-ag in GM637 showed that a small proportion (~1%) of the cells are V-ag+. To determine if there is a chromosomal basis for the expression of V-ag, we studied its expression in cell hybrids. All hybrids that are T-ag+ are also V-ag+. Most of the hybrids that are T-ag+ are also V-ag+. In a few hybrids V-ag was expressed by a small proportion of the cells. Further investigations are necessary to determine the genetic basis for SV40 V-ag expression in these cell hybrids.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that, in a series of hybrids between B82 and GM637, SV40 T-ag expression is retained in some and lost in other hybrids. Chromosome analysis revealed that all hybrid cell lines that contained chromosome 8 were T-ag+. All hybrid cell lines that did not retain an identifiable chromosome 8, with one exception, were T-ag-. No correlations could be made between T-ag expression and any other human chromosome. We conclude that chromosome 8 in GM637 carries an integrated SV40 viral genome. The exception to the concordant expression of T-ag and chromosome 8 is the cell line GB7d1. Detailed examination of its chromosomal composition revealed a low level of human chromosome 8 and high frequencies of chromosome 12 and a modified chromosome 4. Other cell lines that contained these three chromosomes individually or in combinations were T-ag- if chromosome 8 was absent. Thus the most likely explanation for the exceptional behavior of GB7d1 is that it contains a modified or rearranged chromosome 8 carrying the SV40 genome.

We have established that SV40 has integrated at a single site in GM637 by two independent methods. First, we have shown that the high molecular weight DNA from GM637 shows a single band of DNA containing the SV40 genome after a blot hybridization experiment. All of the hybrid cell lines that contained SV40 DNA contained it in the region defined by the blots. Studies with other restriction enzymes, Pvu II and EcoRI, are consistent with this conclusion (data not shown). The second method is to examine a series of un-reduced hybrids, among which collectively all human chromosomes are represented, and show that one specific chromosome correlates with SV40 gene expression. In this case the presence of human chromosome 8 correlates with SV40 T-ag expression.

Among the hybrids we have studied, all that are viral DNA positive are also T-ag+ and contain a single copy of viral DNA. Thus, our DNA determinations support assignment of the SV40 integration site to a single chromosome by cytogenetic methods. These results also indicate that the viral genome does not excise and reintegrate into the mouse or the other parts of the human genome.

Croce and colleagues (12–18) have reported SV40 integration sites in human chromosomes 7 and 17. We failed to find correlations between these chromosomes and SV40 T-ag expression or DNA in our hybrids. The lack of correlation between SV40 DNA or T-ag and either MDH-2 and TK or chromosomes 7 and 17 rules out assignment of SV40 integration to either of these chromosomes in GM637. When our evidence and that presented by Croce and colleagues is taken together, it seems clear
that SV40 can integrate at one of several sites in the human genome and that it is not chromosome specific. The presence of multiple sites for integration of SV40 in rodent cells has been shown (19, 20). All of these data indicate that the SV40 gene product(s) that is responsible for maintenance of transformation can be expressed from multiple chromosomal sites. One question left unanswered is whether the integration sites are multiple but unique or truly random.

The data presented in this paper and others (12–18) must be viewed in light of different levels of analysis employed. It is possible that recombination systems available for SV40 integration may require that only a small number of chromosomes are accessible for these events, while at the same time restraints imposed for insertion at the DNA sequence level may allow far more promiscuous recombination. Available evidence indicates that there is no chromosome or DNA sequence specificity in integration of SV40 genome into mammalian genomes.

Human cells are semipermissive for replication of SV40 (30). A small proportion of cells in a transformed line can produce viable SV40 particles. In GM637 about 1% of cells are V-ag+. The viral DNA that is present inside these cells might be able to reintegrate at other potential sites such as the ones on 7 and 17, or if virus is released it might be able to superinfect other cells in the population and integrate at other available sites. However, our observations, both genetic and biochemical, indicate that all hybrids tested, and as such GM637, contain the virus at a single site. A simple explanation can be offered for this paradox. The cells that produce viable virus lyse, eliminating any traces of reintegration within them, and the virus that is released will be at too low a concentration to effectively superinfect cells. Alternatively, transformed cells that are survivors of initial viral infection may be resistant to viral absorption.
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