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ABSTRACT Optical birefringence and x-ray measurements are reported for single crystals (0.5 mm × 2 mm × 5 μm) of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine as a function of temperature and relative humidity. Large single crystals grown in CHCl₃/acetone at a low water concentration undergo an irreversible phase transformation at 20°C and 70% relative humidity or at 60°C and 65% relative humidity. After this transformation occurs, the crystals can be made to undergo a reversible transformation into and out of the L₆ phase. The x-ray-determined mosaic spread in the hydrated crystal is less than 0.04° both above and below the main transition.

A number of different approaches have been made to prepare oriented lecithin/water samples without ever obtaining the same degree of alignment in high-water samples that can be obtained in low-water single crystals (1-9). In this manuscript, we describe optical and x-ray measurements on monodomain single-crystal samples of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (Pam₂PtdCho) that have a mosaic spread of <0.04° at different temperatures and water contents. They were obtained by exposing crystals grown from an acetone/chloroform solution containing <0.5% water at ∼16°C to relative humidities of up to 95% and temperatures up to 75°C. Optical and x-ray studies of the as-grown crystals are described elsewhere (10).

X-ray diffraction studies of lecithin/water phases have been carried out by a number of workers (11-22), and the general properties of the phase diagrams are well established. There exists a transition temperature Tₑ (see, for example, ref. 12) that is a monotonically decreasing function of water content such that, for T > Tₑ, the equilibrium phase consists of planar arrays of "fluid bilayers." This is classified as the L₆ phase in the lipid literature and as a smectic A phase in the liquid crystal literature (23). For T < Tₑ and low water concentrations, the equilibrium phases are crystalline—i.e., periodic in three dimensions. At higher water concentrations, for T < Tₑ, x-ray diffraction measurements on partially oriented samples indicate rows of scattering along the (h,0,l) axis, h = (4.2 Å)⁻¹, suggestive of phases that have well-developed periodicity within each bilayer but in which successive bilayers are not correlated (19, 20). Thus, these phases can be simply interpreted as two-dimensional crystals stacked on top of one another but without any correlation in their relative positions. Although phases with these properties have been discussed in the liquid crystal literature, it is now generally agreed that, if such phases do exist, the periodicity within the bilayer must have a finite correlation length (24, 25). This would result in Bragg-like peaks that have shapes different from those of true Bragg peaks from three-dimensional crystals.

Attempts have been made to observe this phenomenon in thermotropic liquid crystals by using high-resolution x-ray diffractometry techniques on well-oriented monodomain samples. In one case, a system previously believed to have this property was shown instead to be a three-dimensional crystal in which the layer positions are correlated (26, 27). In a second case, the molecular positions in separate layers did appear uncorrelated, although the bond orientations were locked together (28). This system had hexagonal symmetry and the six peaks observed by x-ray diffraction were broadened by finite correlation lengths. This new thermodynamic phase had not been observed previously and is under active study. Thus, it is particularly important to determine the precise nature of lipid/water phases for T < Tₑ to determine the extent of interlayer correlations and, if they belong to this new class, to compare their measured properties with the predicted ones. Based on previous work done on thermotropic liquid crystals, these studies will require well-oriented monodomain samples at T < Tₑ and different water concentrations (29).

Well-oriented monodomain samples would also be important for x-ray studies of fundamental properties of the lipid/water systems for T > Tₑ. For example, the low angle (O,0,l) x-ray peaks that result from the lamellae structure are predicted to have characteristic shapes different from that of a true crystal Bragg peak (23, 30). Some features of this prediction have been tested on thermotropic liquid crystals, but difficulties in obtaining samples in which the mosaic spread is sufficiently small have limited the measurements (31). The samples we describe below have a mosaic spread that is smaller than the resolution of our x-ray apparatus—i.e., ∼0.04°—and would be particularly useful for this measurement. From the shape of the Bragg-like peak, it is possible to extract values of the elastic constant B for layer compression and the K for layer curvature (23, 30, 31). These have never been adequately measured for any lipid/water system.

OPTICAL HYDRATION STUDIES

Birefringent single crystals (1 mm × 4 mm × 5 μm) of Pam₂PtdCho were grown from CHCl₃/acetone, 3:2 (vol/vol) as described (10). At room temperature, these crystals are insensitive to relative humidities (RH) below ∼68%. Fig. 1A shows a crystal as viewed between crossed polarizers after 1 day at 68% RH and room temperature. The polarizer-analyzer axes are at 45° to the long axis of the crystal. The bright areas result from the crystal biaxiality that can be associated with molecular tilt (10). In contrast, near the edges, and elsewhere, small dark sections of reduced biaxiality occur. Fig. 1B shows the same crystal after 6 days at 68% RH and, after 1 day at 80% RH, one obtains the crystal shown in Fig. 1C. This crystal is essentially
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**X-RAY HYDRATION STUDIES**

The effects of hydration can also be monitored by x-ray diffraction. To increase the signal intensity, three or four single crystals are mounted on a glass slide with their thin dimensions normal to the surface of the slide. The slide is placed in a sealed oven mounted on a goniometer. The oven is connected to a separate chamber, maintained at room temperature, containing the H$_2$SO$_4$ solution that controls the RH. The oven is mounted on a General Electric XRDS x-ray diffractometer and (O,O,l) Bragg peaks from the long spacing are observed in reflection. The measurements were made with CrK$_\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 2.291$ Å at 45 kV and 14 mA. The results are shown in Fig. 3A. The points were measured at 1-day intervals in the sequence indicated by the numbers. As in the optical studies, at RH $\leq$70%, no observable change occurs, while, at RH >70%, there is a dramatic increase in the long spacing (from $\approx$49.3 Å to 58.6 Å). Further increases in RH cause the long spacing to increase gradually to 61 Å. The reversibility of the hydration was examined following the measurement of point 8 by exposing the sample to pure H$_2$SO$_4$ overnight. Point 9 resulted, and points 10-13 resulted from further hydration.

It should be noted that after the primary transformation at RH $\approx$70%, the crystals appeared to exchange water with their environment rapidly. For example, each time the oven was opened to the room atmosphere to change the H$_2$SO$_4$ solution, rapid changes in the long spacing could be observed. On sealing the oven containing a new solution, the spacings would recover over several hours. Presumably this is the length of time it takes for the vapor pressure in the oven to come to equilibrium with the H$_2$SO$_4$ solution. The wait of 1 day between points was chosen to ensure this equilibrium.

These results can be compared with the results of others by using Elworthy's data relating absorbed water to RH (32, 33) (Fig. 3B). In general, the agreement is reasonably good; however, there are discrepancies of $\approx$2%.

The uncertainties in our values for the long spacing can be estimated from the diffractometer geometry illustrated in Fig. 4. As the angular spread of the incident beam ($\delta\theta_{i}$) is much larger than the resolution of the detector ($\delta\theta_{d}$), the width of Bragg peaks for both (θ,2θ) and ω scans are determined by the 250-μm exit slit and the projected crystal length. For typical crystals 2 mm long, the angular width of the detector $\delta\theta_{d} \leq (0.250 + 2\sin\theta_{d})/100$ radians or (0.14 + 1.141sinθ)$_{d}^2$. For the first-order $\theta_{d} = 1.15^\circ$, ($\delta\theta_{d}$)$_{11\overline{1}0}$ = 0.16”, and for the fourth-order ($\delta\theta_{d}$)$_{400}$ = 0.24”. As the peak position can be reproducibly read to $\approx$1/5 of the full width at half-maximum, the uncertainty in long spacing, $d$, due to spectrometer resolution is $\delta d/d = [0.24/(4 \times 1.14)] \times 1/5 \approx 1.0%$. We claim that this is the uncertainty in relative measurements for any set of scans in which the crystal is not moved. A more serious source of error for the absolute value of $d$ is the positioning of the crystal at the center of the diffraction circle. For example, if the crystal is displaced from the center of the diffraction circle by $\approx$1 mm parallel to the surface of the glass side, the error in long spacing could be $\approx$2%.

The temperature dependence of the hydration effects was examined by first exposing the as-grown crystals to 52% RH overnight. Then, the temperature was raised in steps of 2°C, and the long spacing was measured every 30 min. The results are shown in Fig. 5. For $T \leq 58^\circ$C, the long spacing increases very slowly with increasing temperature, from 49.3 Å to 49.4 Å (phase I). At 58°C, however, there is a sudden increase to 54.5 Å, corresponding to what we believe to be a transition to an $I_{\alpha}$ or smectic A phase (phase III). Elworthy’s results (32, 33) indicate that 58% RH corresponds to $\approx$8% water (wt/wt), and the
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optically measured phase diagram of Powers and Pershan (9) indicates that the phase transition temperature $T_s$ for this water content is $\approx 60^\circ C$. There is no evidence for any further phase transformation up to the maximum temperature that we reached, $78^\circ C$.

This crystal was then cooled to $20^\circ C$, where its long spacing increased to $58.0 \AA$ (phase II). This value is within 1% of the $58.6 \AA$ obtained earlier in the hydration study at a fixed temperature (Fig. 3) for a different crystal. On subsequent heating, the long spacing decreases slowly until, at $= 50^\circ C$, the peak splits into two peaks: one corresponding to the lower temperature phase II value of $= 57 \AA$ and the second to the higher temperature phase III value of $= 55 \AA$. This could signify coexistence between two phases except that once the chemical potential for water is fixed by equilibrium at a given RH, the phase rule specifies that for a two-component system at a fixed pressure, coexistence between two phases can occur at only one temperature value. This is in contrast to studies in which the chemical potential for water is not fixed and for which coexistence occurs over a range of temperature. Thus, either the system is not a true two-component system or the coexistence is not in thermal equilibrium. We believe the latter, since after

---

Fig. 3. X-ray measurements of the hydration of a Pam2PtdCho crystal at $20^\circ C$. (A) Long spacing as a function of relative humidity. Points (■) were recorded in the sequence indicated. × indicates data by Torbet and Wilkins (22). (B) Same data as in A expressed in terms of weight percent of absorbed water by using Elworthy's (32, 33) measured relationship to RH. ●, our data; ○, Tardieu et al. (14); ◦, Chapman et al. (13); ×, Stamatoff et al. (19). We assume that overnight exposure to pure H$_2$SO$_4$ produces the monohydrate.

Fig. 4. Schematic of the x-ray diffractometer. For $\theta$,$2\theta$ scans, $\theta = \theta_0 = \theta_D$. For $\omega$ scans, $\theta_0 + \theta_D$ is held fixed and $\omega = (\theta_0 - \theta_D)/2$.

Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of the long spacing of a Pam2PtdCho crystal hydrated at 52% RH. Arrows indicate sequence in which the measurements were made. After the point indicated by the solid circle was measured, the sample was maintained overnight at $20^\circ C$. 

---
sitting at one temperature for several hours, the relative intensities of the two peaks changed. Furthermore, thin-layer chromatography was not able to detect even trace amounts of any component other than Palm₂PtdCho. This is a point that should be examined further. Further heating produces the smaller value for the long spacing previously found for phase III at 55.8°C.

To investigate the mosaic spread of the hydrated phases, a fresh crystal was equilibrated overnight at 65% RH and 65°C. From the $\theta,2\theta$ scans for the as-grown (phase I) and the hydrated crystals (phase III) shown in Fig. 6A and B, one can see that in addition to a change in long spacing, the relative intensities of the different orders are significantly altered, emphasizing the fact that there has been a phase transformation. As discussed above, the effect of the large angular spread of the incident radiation, $\theta = 0.6^\circ$, is that the resolution of a $\theta,2\theta$ scan is effectively the convolution of the mosaic spread and the angular resolution of the detector, $\approx 0.16^\circ$ and $0.24^\circ$ for the first and fourth orders respectively for a sample $\approx 2$ mm long. Values for smaller crystals are smaller. It is clear that both spectra are essentially resolution limited, implying a mosaic spread of less than $\approx 0.04^\circ$ for the phase III sample. To confirm this, compare the $\omega$ scans, in which the detector, $\theta_s + \theta_d$, is held at the angle appropriate to the first-order peak and the crystal, $\omega = \theta_s - \theta_d$, is rotated (Fig. 7A and B). The full widths at half-maximum are 0.07° and 0.06° for the as-grown and the hydrated crystal, respectively (crystals smaller than 2 mm). Figs. 6C and 7C show similar scans for the crystal that was in phase III after cooling it to 20°C. Presumably, this is now in phase II; from Fig. 6C, it is clearly in a different phase from the other two. Again, this scan and that in Fig. 7C are resolution limited, indicating mosaic spreads of less than $\approx 0.04^\circ$. Curiously, the lattice spacing for this crystal is 57.3 Å or 1–1.5 Å less than the values expected from the hydration measurements shown in Fig. 3. This suggests that there may be some hysteretic effect in heating up to and cooling down from phase III.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the relatively large, easily grown crystals that Asher et al. have described (10) can be hydrated and heated to obtain samples that have mosaic spreads of $<0.04^\circ$ in the $L_\alpha$ or smectic A phase, as well as for hydrated phases at $<7^\circ$.

---

**Fig. 6.** $\theta,2\theta$ scans of as-grown crystals (A), crystals hydrated at 65% RH and 60°C (B), and the crystal in B after being cooled to 20°C (C). The full-scale range in cps and the order of the Bragg peaks are indicated.

**Fig. 7.** $\omega$ scans through the first-order peak for the same crystals as in Fig. 6. Full scale is 10,000 cps.
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