Photogating of ionic currents across a lipid bilayer
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ABSTRACT

Photoformation of metalloporphyrin cations in a lipid bilayer increases the ionic currents of negative and decreases those of positive hydrophobic ions. At low concentrations of the mobile hydrophobic ion, a 30% change in conductivity is observed that decreases with increasing concentration of positive tetraphenylphosphonium ion and increases drastically with increasing concentration of negative tetraphenylboride ion. In the region of saturated conductance of boride ion, the increase in conductivity is 3.6-fold. A 15-fold increase is observed with the protonophore carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone. In this case the net charge gated is 300 times greater than the photogenerated charge in the bilayer membrane. Thus there is a net gain in this organic field effect phototransistor. The gating can also be accomplished by continuous light or chemical oxidants. Photogating is explained as space charge effects inside the bilayer.

There is great interest in the movement of ions across cell membranes since these currents are associated with the activity of all metabolically functional cells. In addition, there is much work aimed at understanding the chemical properties of these membranes (1). The planar lipid bilayer membrane as developed by Mueller et al. (2) has proven to be remarkably useful as a model of the cell membrane. It was discovered that small ions cross these membranes by two mechanisms: ion channels that can be gated by voltage or receptors (3, 4) and ion carriers that are not gated (5). We now show that the latter ionic currents, exemplified by currents of large hydrophobic ions, can be gated by photoinduced charge generation inside the membrane. The photogating effect is explained by local electrostatic effects in the bilayer membrane. The pigment/bilayer/hydrophobic ion system is an example of an organic field effect phototransistor (6).

Much work has been carried out on the mechanism by which ion carriers or hydrophobic ions cross the lipid bilayer (7-11). The large radius of these ionic species decreases the Born electrostatic charging energy of the ion, thus enabling them to traverse the hydrocarbon core of the membrane (10). It is striking that for hydrophobic ions of similar size, the conductivity of negative ions is 102-103 times that of positive ions (7, 8, 12, 13). This observation has been explained by a dipolar potential, originating in ordered ester carbonyl groups, which is positive toward the hydrocarbon core (12). Most studies of the kinetics of ion crossing monitor either current relaxations after an applied voltage step or voltage relaxations after a charge pulse (7, 9). These techniques, although very useful, are limited by capacitive transients and by ambiguity of interpretation (14). The present technique avoids the former problem and allows a direct measure of the transients in the change of conductivity.
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EXPERIMENTAL

The 4-ml plastic membrane cell was separated by a Teflon divider with a 1-mm2 hole in the center and had glass slides for windows. A bilayer was formed from a solution of 3.2% (wt/vol) diphytanoylphosphatidylcholine in decane containing 3.6 mM magnesium octaethylporphyrin (MgOEP) across symmetrical solutions of 0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.1), and 20 mM methyliodogen (acceptor). The light pulse was from a flash-pumped dye laser, 1 μs at 596 nm and 7 μJ maximum energy. The current was monitored by a pair of calomel electrodes with saturated KCl bridges immersed in the two solutions separated by the membrane. The current was measured with a fast operational amplifier with a feedback loop of adjustable gain and time constant and a variable series voltage source (0 to ±100 mV) on the input side, which polarizes the membrane. Typically, the operational amplifier was set at 107 V/A with a time constant of 0.1 s. The signal was digitized by means of a Keithley 194 high speed voltmeter or a Biomation 802 transient recorder and stored in a Hewlett-Packard 318 computer. Stock solutions of tetraphenylphosphonium ions (TPhP+) and tetraphenyloboride ions (TPhB-) in ethanol/water, 1:1 (vol/vol), were added to each side of the membrane after it has formed. The counter ion for TPhP+ is chloride and for TPhB- is sodium.

RESULTS

We have developed a method of photoinducing electron transfer across the lipid bilayer–water interface (15, 16) and have used this method to study the properties of this interface as well as the crucial polar region between the interface and the hydrocarbon core. A hydrophobic closed-shell metalloporphyrin in the polar region of the lipid bilayer is the electron donor. The ionic electron acceptor is restricted to the aqueous phase. This self-organizing design ensures separation of charge on photoexcitation. The technique has the following characteristics: (i) the charge transfer is vectorial and has a quantum yield of 0.1 (15, 16); (ii) the forward electron transfer is a purely dynamic photoreaction and can occur in less than 5 ms (17); (iii) the porphyrin cation in the bilayer requires >0.1 s to cross the bilayer (18); (iv) the lifetime of the porphyrin cation is several seconds but can be shortened to microseconds by addition of aqueous charged electron donors (16); and (v) unlike pulsed voltage measurements, there are no capacitive transients in the photogating of ionic currents. The symmetrical generation of charge (Fig. 1A) changes the electrical potential within the bilayer but has no effect on the electrodes in the ionic solutions.

The current of TPhP+ across a diphytanoylphosphatidylcholine/MgOEP/decane bilayer decreases ~25% when illu-

Abbreviations: TPhB-, tetraphenylboride ion; TPhP+, tetraphenylphosphonium ion; MgOEP, magnesium octaethylporphyrin.
*Present address: Institute of Biophysics, University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, F.R.G.
†To whom reprint requests should be addressed.
follows that the change be accepted, hydrophobic ion, and the aqueous counter ion is +. A voltage imposed across the electrodes allows the current to be recorded by R. Conductance is not limited by the aqueous ionic solution. The symmetrical charge separation guarantees no observable effect at the electrodes. The asymmetric situation occurs when the acceptor is omitted from one side. A photovoltage is then observed by polarization of the nonreactive side. This photovoltage is independent of the applied voltage used in the experiments to measure current. The molecules are drawn roughly to scale but the lateral distance between the charges is much smaller (5–10 times) than in a typical experiment. (B) Schematic of electrostatic model used to calculate the energy of ions in the bilayer. Two-dimensional lattices of ionic charges are located in two dielectrics ($\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$) at depths of $a$ and $b$ from the interface. The distance $d$ between the charges is much larger than what is shown. $y$ is the axis perpendicular to the membrane.

**DISCUSSION**

The photogating effect is ascribed to a change in potential sensed by the hydrophobic ions within the membrane. This inner potential determines both the equilibrium concentration of ions in the polar region and their conductance across the interfaces and the hydrocarbon core region. The hydrophobic ions partition into the polar region of the membrane and their charges generate a repulsive potential that limits the number of hydrophobic ions that can be absorbed into the membrane. The relation between the aqueous hydrophobic ion concentration ($C$) and the membrane concentration or volume charge density of absorbed ions ($\rho_-$) can be described by an implicit equation (19):

$$\rho_- = CKe^{-A\rho_-},$$

where $K$ is the partition coefficient that includes the hydrophobic and electrostatic energies of transferring the large ion from water to the polar region of the bilayer. The constant $A$ is determined by the model used to estimate the electrostatic interactions in this heterogeneous system. For a two-dimensional lattice of hydrophobic ions at distance $a$ from the membrane–water interface in dielectric $\varepsilon_1$ of the membrane and a corresponding lattice of counter ions at a distance $b$ in the aqueous dielectric of $\varepsilon_2$ (Fig. 1B):

$$A = r_cMg^2/\varepsilon_1,$$

hexachloroiridate(IV) also causes the expected change in lipophilic ion currents across the membrane.
The depth where is indicated coefficient is two-dimensional weighted dielectric Light pulses are indicated by arrows. In each case the applied voltage is +50 mV. The spikes to the left of the photosignal are the relaxation currents after imposition of the voltage. The baseline current is indicated by $I_0$.

where $r_c = e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0 kT = 56$ nm and $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{2(\varepsilon_{f_2} - \varepsilon_{i})a^2 + \varepsilon_i(a + b)^2}{(\varepsilon_{f_1} + \varepsilon_{i})}$; $r_c$ is the Coulomb radius in vacuo, $\varepsilon^2$ is the dielectric weighted distance squared, $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, and $M$ is the Madelung constant for the infinite lattice. For a two-dimensional square lattice $M$ is 9.03 (20). The derivation of Eq. 2 is based on the method of images in electrostatics (12, 13, 19, 21).

Eq. 1 can be solved numerically and the data on saturation of conductance at high concentrations of hydrophobic ions can be explained by the simple assumption that the conductivity is proportional to $\rho_n$ not $C$. For the TPhB$^-$ the partition coefficient (22) is $10^2$ and the cross core transfer of TPhB$^-$ decreases e-fold ($\Delta\rho_n = 1$) at about 1 $\mu$M (19). At this concentration $\rho_n$ is calculated from Eq. 1 to be 0.02 nm$^{-3}$.

The depth $a$ is estimated to be $\approx 1$ nm (i.e., the polar region of the bilayer) because of the ion’s hydrophobicity. The counter ion can be assumed to be located at the Debye length in the aqueous phase, 1 nm in 0.1 M NaCl. For this case, $a = b$, so $\varepsilon^2 = 2a^2$. $\varepsilon_i$ is calculated from Eq. 2 to be 25, which is reasonable for the polar region of the membrane (19, 22–25). Because of the large size of the ions and the complex nature of the polar region, the distance $a$ and the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_i$ must be understood as a suitable average over these parameters. Saturation of the conductivity occurs when the lattice spacing $d$ is 7 nm, which is greater than the estimated ion depth ($a \approx 1$ nm), justifying the use of a two-dimensional lattice model. It is this limited dimension-
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**Fig. 2.** (A) Current of 5 mM TPhB$^+$ decreases 25% on photocharging the planar lipid bilayer. (B) Current of 1 $\mu$M TPhB$^-$ through a planar lipid bilayer increases 50% upon photocharging the membrane. Light pulses are indicated by arrows. In each case the applied voltage is +50 mV. The spikes to the left of the photosignal are the relaxation currents after imposition of the voltage. The baseline current is indicated by $I_0$.

where $r_c = e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0 kT = 56$ nm and $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{2(\varepsilon_{f_2} - \varepsilon_{i})a^2 + \varepsilon_i(a + b)^2}{(\varepsilon_{f_1} + \varepsilon_{i})}$; $r_c$ is the Coulomb radius in vacuo, $\varepsilon^2$ is the dielectric weighted distance squared, $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, and $M$ is the Madelung constant for the infinite lattice. For a two-dimensional square lattice $M$ is 9.03 (20). The derivation of Eq. 2 is based on the method of images in electrostatics (12, 13, 19, 21).

Eq. 1 can be solved numerically and the data on saturation of conductance at high concentrations of hydrophobic ions can be explained by the simple assumption that the conductivity is proportional to $\rho_n$ not $C$. For the TPhB$^-$ the partition coefficient (22) is $10^2$ and the cross core transfer of TPhB$^-$ decreases e-fold ($\Delta\rho_n = 1$) at about 1 $\mu$M (19). At this concentration $\rho_n$ is calculated from Eq. 1 to be 0.02 nm$^{-3}$.

The depth $a$ is estimated to be $\approx 1$ nm (i.e., the polar region of the bilayer) because of the ion’s hydrophobicity. The counter ion can be assumed to be located at the Debye length in the aqueous phase, 1 nm in 0.1 M NaCl. For this case, $a = b$, so $\varepsilon^2 = 2a^2$. $\varepsilon_i$ is calculated from Eq. 2 to be 25, which is reasonable for the polar region of the membrane (19, 22–25). Because of the large size of the ions and the complex nature of the polar region, the distance $a$ and the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_i$ must be understood as a suitable average over these parameters. Saturation of the conductivity occurs when the lattice spacing $d$ is 7 nm, which is greater than the estimated ion depth ($a \approx 1$ nm), justifying the use of a two-dimensional lattice model. It is this limited dimension-
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**Fig. 3.** (A) Large photogating effect in the regime of saturated conductance of TPhB$^-$. The photogating increase in current, $\Delta I$, is 3 times the baseline current $I_0$. The concentration of TPhB$^-$ is 0.13 mM, and the applied voltage is +50 mV. The current scale factor should be multiplied by 10. (B) A 15-fold increase in current is observed when the protonophore carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenyl-hydrazone is the membrane-soluble ion. The concentration of carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazine is 0.15 $\mu$M, with an applied voltage of +40 mV. As in Fig. 2, the relaxation currents are to the left of the photosignal.

ality that produces the large Madelung constant. Thus the conductivity is limited by the space charge (8, 26, 27) and a clear theoretical discussion is presented by de Levie and co-workers (28–31).

The photogating effect can be explained by the photoinduced formation of a similar lattice of porphyrin cations of density $\rho_{\text{ex}}$. By superposition, the potential of this lattice will algebraically add to that of the hydrophobic ions and affect their concentration and conductance accordingly. The observed photogating effect at low hydrophobic ion concentration can be expressed as an energy of $+\text{ or } -0.3 kT$. An estimate of $\rho_{\text{ex}}$, from the observed photovoltage (5 mV on 5 nF of a 1-um$^2$ area) and a depth of 1 nm (the distance $a$ or the size of the porphyrin cation) is $10^{-4}$ nm$^{-3}$. This density is rather small and produces a change in the electrostatic energy by Eq. 1 of only 0.004 $kT$. However, as pointed out before (16), this capacitor calculation seriously underestimates the charge generated inside the membrane. One can only measure potentials in the same phase so the charge near the reactive interface (actually a dipole) must polarize the opposite interface to induce a measurable potential between the two aqueous phases. In the equivalent circuit language, the charge is not on a capacitor of $\varepsilon = 3$, $l = 5$ nm (the hydrocarbon core) but on a capacitor of $\varepsilon = 25$, $l = 1$ nm (the polar region of the lipid bilayer). We refer to this as the
"chemical capacitance" (16). Thus the actual photogenerated charge is 40 times that measured, \( \rho_{p^+} = 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nm}^{-3} \).

We reach this same conclusion by calculating the potential at the opposite interface with the electrostatic model of Fig. 1.

Using the estimated photogenerated charge, the interporphyrin cation spacing is 16 nm (i.e., the lattice is still two dimensional, \( d > a, b \)). The calculation of the electrostatic effect, with \( a = b = 1 \text{ nm}, e_1 = 30 \pm 10 \), now produces an energy of \( 0.16 \to 0.40 \text{ kT} \), which is compatible with the data. We note that the distance \( a \) need not be the same for anions and cations in the polar region. The parameters, \( g^\ell\), are only estimates. A better measure of these parameters will be obtained by numerical integration of the equation for diffusion in a potential with a depth-dependent dielectric constant (32) and fitting to data of the photogating effect versus concentration of hydrophobic ions.

The magnitude of photogating is predicted to decrease with increasing concentration of \( \text{TPhP}^+ \), as is observed. The porphyrin cation adds to the space charge of the hydrophobic cation that, as it becomes current limiting, can only allow a yet smaller change. The increase of the photogating effect with increasing concentration of hydrophobic anions can be represented as the cancellation of discrete space charge by ion pairing. The degree of cancellation will depend on the orientation of the ion pairs. At high concentrations, where the conductance of \( \text{TPhB}^\cdot \) is saturated, the conductivity in that fraction of the area where the porphyrin cation has cancelled the space charge increases toward the zero space charge value, while the conductance in the remaining area remains at its saturated value. Thus for \( \rho_- > \rho_{p^+} \) the maximum conductivity \( G \) will be:

\[
G \propto f_- e^{-\Delta \phi_0} + f_{p^+},
\]

where \( f_- \) and \( f_{p^+} \) are fractional areas occupied by the anions and the ion pairs. The normalized photogated conductivity will be:

\[
G_{p^+}/G_0 = f_- + f_{p^+} e^{-\Delta \phi_0},
\]

where \( G_0 \) is the conductance in the absence of \( p^+ \). The effect can be large even if \( f_{p^+} \) is only 10% of \( f_- \) because of the exponential term that can easily reach values of \( 10^2 \). Using the parameters estimated above, Eq. 4 predicts conductivity increases by factors of 1.3 to 100 with increasing hydrophobic anion concentration, in agreement with observation. A more realistic estimate for Eq. 3 is that the \( f_{p^+} \) term retains one-half of the potential caused by \( p_- \). We conclude that charge effects in the lipid bilayer can be accounted for by a discrete localized charge model.

As expected, experiment shows that the inner potential of the photogating effect does not detectably change (±2%) the current caused by the ion channels of amphotericin B or gramicidin A. The polar medium in the channel pore reduces the inner potential to a negligible value.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These results show lipophilic ion currents through a lipid bilayer membrane can be photogated by charging the membrane by photo or chemical ionization of MgOEP in the membrane. The observed photogating is qualitatively explained by space charge effects inside the bilayer membrane. The change of the inner membrane potential affects both the conductance and concentration of hydrophobic ions inside the membrane. A quantitative study of the conductance transients may determine the relative contributions of these effects. Since photocharging of the membrane occurs on the nanosecond time scale, the transients of ion transport can be examined without the problems associated with charge- and voltage-pulse methods.

The excess charge transported for the hydrophobic negative ions amounts to =15 nC (limited by the driving voltage and porphyrin cation lifetime) whereas the gating charge is 1 nC (estimated); therefore, the photogating effect has a net "gain" not only in current but in total transported charge. This gain is even larger (300 times) for the protonophore. Thus the photogating effect is a working example of an organic field effect phototransistor (6).
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