Crystal structure of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase complexed with fructose 6-phosphate, AMP, and magnesium
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ABSTRACT The crystal structure of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (EC 3.1.3.11) complexed with fructose 6-phosphate, AMP, and Mg$^{2+}$ has been solved by the molecular replacement method and refined at 2.5-Å resolution to a $R$ factor of 0.215, with root-mean-square deviations of 0.013 Å and 3.5° for bond lengths and bond angles, respectively. No solvent molecules have been included in the refinement. This structure shows large quaternary and tertiary conformational changes from the structures of the unliganded enzyme or its fructose 2,6-bisphosphate complex, but the secondary structures remain essentially the same. Dimer C3-C4 of the enzyme-fructose 6-phosphate-AMP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex twists about 19° relative to the same dimer of the enzyme-fructose 2,6-bisphosphate complex if their C1–C2 dimers are superimposed on one another. Nevertheless, many interfacial interactions between dimers of C1–C2 and C3–C4 are conserved after quaternary structure changes occur. Residues of the AMP domain (residues 6–200) show large migrations of Cα atoms relative to barely significant positional changes of the FBP domain (residues 201–335).

Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (Fr-1,6-Pase; d-fructose-1,6-bisphosphate 1-phosphohydrolase, EC 3.1.3.11), a key regulatory enzyme in gluconeogenesis, catalyzes the hydrolysis of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate to fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) and inorganic phosphate. Fr-1,6-Pase isolated from various sources consisted of four identical polypeptide chains that aggregate into a relatively flat tetramer (Fig. 1). Seven complete amino acid sequences have been reported for Fr-1,6-Pases from various sources (1–6). Recently, three-dimensional structures of the unliganded Fr-1,6-Pase and of its complex with fructose 2,6-bisphosphate (Fr-2,6-P2) in the space group $P3_212_1$ were described in detail (7, 8).

The catalytic and regulatory properties of the enzyme isolated from gluconeogenic tissues as well as other sources have been extensively studied (9, 10). Omitting those forms that also have a phosphorylation site, the enzyme activity is regulated in vivo by Fr-2,6-P2 and AMP (9, 10). Kinetic experiments controversially have suggested that Fr-2,6-P2 binds to the active site (11), to an allosteric site (12), or to both (13). On basis of the structures, we proposed that Fr-2,6-P2 binds to the active site (7, 8). AMP is an allosteric inhibitor (14), and its inhibition is synergistic with Fr-2,6-P2 (15, 16).

We have grown cocrystals of the enzyme complexed with F6P, AMP, and Mg$^{2+}$ in the space group $P2_12_12_2$. This structure has been solved by the molecular replacement method and shows large quaternary and tertiary conformational changes from the unliganded structure or the structure of the enzyme–Fr-2,6-P2 complex. Here, we describe the F6P and AMP binding sites and large structural differences between the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex and the enzyme–Fr-2,6-P2 complex.

**METHODS**

Fr-1,6-Pase was purified from pig kidney as described (8). It has an optimal activity at neutral pH. The neutral form of Fr-1,6-Pase was cocrystallized with 1 mM AMP, 1 mM F6P, and 5 mM MgCl$_2$ by dialyzing a protein solution (10–15 mg/ml) against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris base, 2 mM maleic acid, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM NaCl, and 9.5% (wt/vol) PEG (molecular weight = 3350) at pH 7.4. A 4- to 7-day dialysis yielded crystals with a typical size of 0.3 x 0.8 x 1.5 mm, which have the space group $P2_12_12_2$ with unit cell dimensions of $a = 61.6$, $b = 166.6$, and $c = 80.0$ Å. Two monomers exist in the crystallographic asymmetric unit.

The diffraction data were collected on the multiwire x-ray area detector at the Biotechnology Resource, University of Virginia (17). A total of 87,690 measured diffraction maxima was reduced to 27,511 unique reflections with a $R_{	ext{sym}}$ of 6.1%. These data are nearly complete to 2.5-Å resolution and also include 856 reflections at higher resolution.

The recently refined structure of the enzyme–F6P complex (H.K. and W.N.L., unpublished results) in the space group $P3_212_1$ was used to find the orientation of the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ structure in the space group $P2_12_12_2$. To do so, a dimer of the F6P structure was placed in a large artificial $P1$ cell in order to obtain structure factors; Crowther's cross rotation function (18) was then calculated for several resolution shells with different intensity cutoff values. These calculations for different shells consistently indicated the strongest peak at $\alpha = 45^\circ$, $\beta = 85^\circ$, and $\gamma = -65^\circ$. The $R$-factor search was carried out by use of the translation function (19).

**Abbreviations:** Fr-1,6-Pase, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase; Fr-2,6-P2, fructose 2,6-bisphosphate; F6P, fructose 6-phosphate.

In this paper, the stereoviews of figures 10 and 13, but not the legends, should be interchanged.

The atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Chemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 (reference 1FBP).
for various resolution shells from 5 to 8 Å. The correct solution from the R-factor search, which had the lowest R factor (0.405) for 606 reflections, was further distinguished from others by examination of lattice contacts by using the program FRODO (20).

Taken as two rigid bodies, one for each monomer, the model from the molecular replacement was refined for 40 steps of energy minimization by using the program XPLOR (21). This refinement decreased the R factor from 0.392 to 0.392 for 25,402 reflections between 10.0° and 2.5° Å resolution. This rigid body refinement showed a maximum of 5.4° of rotation, which was different from that of the molecular replacement, and up to 3° of difference between relative rotation of two monomers. After the structure from the rigid body refinement was extensively rebuilt by using the program FRODO (20), the R factor dropped to 0.364. Several cycles of simulated annealing refinement with XPLOR and manual rebuilding brought the R factor to 0.215, with root-mean-square deviations of 0.013 Å and 3.5° for the bond lengths and bond angles, respectively. No solvent molecules have been included in the refinement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conformational Changes of the Enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg2+ Complex. In spite of large quaternary and tertiary structure changes, the secondary structures of the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg2+ complex remain essentially the same as those of the enzyme–Fru-2,6-P2 complex. Similar to the unligated structure (Fig. 2), we also find here that the loop of residues 54–67 and the N-terminal region (residues 1–5) in the structure of the F6P–AMP–Mg2+ complex show little or no electron density. Thus the loop of residues 54–67 might exist in multiple conformations, might be disordered, or might have been removed partially or completely by proteolytic cleavage. This region is proteolytically sensitive (22). No significant cleavages were detected by microsequencing techniques in a solution prepared by redissolving our crystals. It is therefore most probable that this loop has multiple conformations or more extensive disorder.

In Fig. 3, we show plots of Cα positions of the Fru-2,6-P2 complex (Fig. 3 Left) and the F6P–AMP–Mg2+ complex (Fig. 3 Right), projecting along the molecular twofold axis p (vertical in Fig. 1). This view shows the major change in molecular shape. In this projection, dimer C1–C2 extends from the lower right corner to the upper left corner as it changes dimers C3–C4. If dimer C1–C2 of the F6P–AMP–Mg2+ complex is superimposed on the same dimer of the Fru-2,6-P2 complex, dimers C3–C4 differ in orientation about the molecular twofold axis p (vertical in Fig. 1) by about 19° relative to one another. Consequently, the molecular twofold axes r and q rotate about 9.5° (Fig. 4) when the Fru-2,6-P2 complex transforms to the structure of the F6P–AMP–Mg2+ complex. Surprisingly, many of the interfacial interactions between dimers C1–C2 and C3–C4 are conserved after this large quaternary structural change takes place. Residues of the AMP domain (residues 6–200) significantly migrate in such a way that these interfacial interactions are maintained. On average, the AMP domain shows a shift of 0.93 Å for Cα atoms, in contrast to a shift of 0.39 Å for the FBP domain (residues 201–335). A detailed comparison of the quaternary and tertiary structures will be discussed elsewhere.

Binding Site for F6P. In the (F0 – Fc) map calculated from the rigid body refinement omitting AMP, F6P, and Mg2+, there are three pairs of strong peaks for the dimer in the crystallographic asymmetric unit. The site for Mg2+ can be recognized from the character of smallest and strongest pieces of electron density among these three pairs. Also, it is easy to distinguish the F6P site from the AMP site by the shape of density since AMP has a purine base, which is much bigger than the hydroxyl group of F6P.

As shown in Fig. 5, the 6-phosphate group of F6P interacts with side chain atoms of Asn-212, Tyr-215, Tyr-244, Tyr-264, and Lys-274 in the same monomer and Arg-243 from the neighboring monomer. Arg-269 is near the phosphate group, although its poor electron density may imply partial disordered conformations. The sugar ring of F6P contacts side chain atoms of Lys-274 and backbone atoms of Ser-247 and Met-248. Divalent metal Mg2+ is located in the negatively charged pocket, coordinating with side chain atoms of Glu-97, Asp-118, Asp-121, and Glu-280. This Mg2+ site has the same binding contacts as does the Mg2+ complex in the absence of both AMP and F6P (8).

The proposed site or sites to which Fru-2,6-P2 binds to the enzyme include the active site (11), the allosteric site (12), and both catalytic and allosteric sites (13). Our x-ray diffraction studies indicate that Fru-2,6-P2 binds at the active site of the enzyme (7, 8). The present study shows that the 6-phosphate and sugar groups of F6P binds at the active site in positions that correspond to the 6-phosphate and ribose of Fru-2,6-P2 in our previous studies.

Binding Site for AMP. Two strong peaks in the crystallographic asymmetric unit of either (2F0 – Fc) or (Fc – Fc) map suggest four sites per tetramer or one site per monomer for binding of AMP to the native form of the enzyme. These peaks are located at the AMP binding location that we previously reported (7). However, our previous study shows only two major sites per tetramer, instead of four sites, for AMP binding. The difference may be related to the quality of the
FIG. 3. Plots of Ca atoms of the tetramer viewing along the molecular twofold axis $p$ (vertical in Fig. 1) for the enzyme–Fru-2,6-P$_2$ complex from the superposition onto the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex (Left) and for the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex (Right). Small circles represent the positions of Ca atoms. The C1 chain is located at the lower right of each drawing while the C2 chain is at the upper left. Dimers C1–C2 in the two structures were superimposed and hence have the same orientation. The C3 and C4 chains correspond to the lower left and upper right, respectively. The dimer C3–C4 is twisted about 19° about the molecular twofold axis $p$ in the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ structure, as compared with C3–C4 in the unligated enzyme or its complex with Fru-2,6-P$_2$.

FIG. 4. Schematic drawing of the superposition of the enzyme–Fru-2,6-P$_2$ complex on the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex viewed along the molecular twofold axis $p$. Dimers C1–C2 are superimposed and drawn as hatched regions. The heavy solid lines represent dimer C3–C4 of the enzyme–Fru-2,6-P$_2$ complex while the dashed lines are those of the enzyme–F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex. Dimer C3–C4 of the F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex is twisted about 19° about the molecular twofold axis $p$ relative to the same dimer of the Fru-2,6-P$_2$ complex when the Fru-2,6-P$_2$ complex is transformed to the F6P–AMP–Mg$^{2+}$ complex. Correspondingly, molecular twofold axes $q$ and $r$ rotate about 9.5° from the initial position (solid line) to the dashed line position.
enzyme preparations. The enzyme used for the previous AMP complex was in some degree attacked by unknown proteases, but no significant cleavage was found for the material in this study by microsequence techniques. Another interpretation is that an extra intermolecular contact at the major site in the space group $P_{3_2}2_1$ of the early study (7) contributes to stronger binding of AMP at the major site as compared with the minor site. In the present study, in the space group $P_{2_1}2_12_1$, there are no intermolecular interactions with AMP.

Two sites per tetramer were reported for the AMP binding to the bovine liver enzyme (23, 24), but additional sites were observed if the concentration of AMP was raised to 0.2 mM (25). Four sites per tetramer were also observed (26–29). In the absence of substrate, occupation of these sites is enhanced (30, 31). Our results, four sites per tetramer for AMP binding to the neutral form of the pig kidney enzyme (this study) and two sites for AMP binding to the partially proteolytically cleaved form (7), are consistent with the kinetic observations that when the neutral form of the enzyme is subjected to proteolysis, the AMP inhibition is decreased or completely lost for the enzymes from rabbit liver (32–36), rat liver (36), chicken liver (37), sheep liver (38), and pig kidney (39).

As shown in Fig. 6, the phosphate group of AMP interacts with the backbone atoms of Glu-29 and Met-30 and side chain atoms of Thr-27, Lys-112, and Tyr-113 in both chains of the dimer. The side chain atoms of Tyr-113 in both chains are also in contact with the sugar ring of AMP. The purine base of AMP is located near the hydrophobic core of the enzyme, interacting with residues Val-17, Gln-20, Gly-21, Thr-31, and Met-177. In addition, Arg-140 is near the sugar ring of AMP.

The removal of residues 1–25 from pig kidney Fru-1,6-Pase results in the formation of an enzyme insensitive to AMP inhibition but that still turns over the substrate (40). Our AMP binding geometry shows abundant contacts between AMP and residues Val-17 to Thr-31 in accordance with the above kinetic observation.

The ultraviolet difference spectrum of Fru-1,6-Pase induced by AMP showed maxima at 288 and 279 nm, which were interpreted as perturbations in the environment of tyrosine residues (41). Our structures suggest that Tyr-113 may contribute to the spectral change. This tyrosine is conserved throughout all known sequences. In addition, residues Val-17, Met-30, Thr-31, and Met-177 show conservative variation. Arg-140 is conserved as either arginine or lysine in all AMP-binding Fru-1,6-Pases. Chemical modification of rabbit liver Fru-1,6-Pase with pyridoxal phosphate decreases its sensitivity to AMP inhibition; two lysyl residues were modified to the extent of $\approx 50\%$ (42). Comparative sequence analyses have indicated that residue 141, which is lysine in the pig kidney enzyme and leucine in the yeast enzyme, is not a good candidate for the AMP binding (3, 6, 43); this result is in agreement with our structure studies.

FIG. 5. Stereoview of the F6P and Mg$^{2+}$ binding sites. Dotted lines represent the hydrogen bonds or charge–charge interactions within a distance of 3.3 Å. F6P is labeled A337 and the Mg$^{2+}$ site is marked with an X. Amino acids shown in this figure are (one-letter abbreviation) E97, E98, D118, P119, L120, D121, G122, S123, S124, N125, N212, E213, G214, Y215, A216, A242, R243, Y244, V245, G246, S247, M248, V249, M263, Y264, P265, K269, S270, P271, K272, G273, K274, L275, R276, and E280. Except for Ala-242 and Arg-243 from the neighboring monomer, all other residues come from the same monomer. For an easy comparison that F6P and Fru-2,6-P$_2$ have the same binding sites, the F6P site is plotted in a view similar to the Fru-2,6-P$_2$ site (compare with figure 10 in ref. 8, which actually appears as figure 13)*.

FIG. 6. Stereoplot of the AMP binding site. Dotted lines represent the hydrogen bonds or charge–charge interactions within a distance of 3.3 Å. AMP is labeled A338. Amino acids shown in this figure are (one-letter abbreviations) F16, V17, M18, E19, Q20, G21, R22, K23, A24, R25, G26, T27, G28, E29, M30, T31, Q32, K112, Y113, Y139, R140, L159, V160, A161, M177, V178, and N179. The α-helix feature of residues 16–24 is clearly seen in the low right corner. At the present level of resolution and refinement, the AMP fits the density in either the syn or anti conformation.
In contrast to the active site residues, the conservation of amino acids in the AMP binding site is poor, perhaps because residues 17–31 interact with AMP mainly through backbone atoms. Hence, a mutation of one or more side chains may have less effect on the AMP binding. On the other hand, sequence variation may reflect different levels of AMP inhibition in various species of the enzyme. For instance, the AMP inhibition of Fru-1,6-Pase can vary significantly, ranging from $K_i$ values below 1 $\mu$M for the rabbit skeletal muscle enzyme (44), through 10–20 $\mu$M for the liver and kidney enzymes (45), 80–200 $\mu$M for the yeast enzymes (46), to no AMP inhibition for chloroplast (47, 48) and bumblebee flight muscle (49) enzymes.

Note Added in Proof. An additional sequence, from spinach chloro-
plast, has appeared (50) to supplement those sequences in refs. 1–6.
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