rRNA complementarity within mRNAs: A possible basis for mRNA-ribosome interactions and translational control
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ABSTRACT Our recent demonstration that many eukaryotic mRNAs contain sequences complementary to rRNA led to the hypothesis that these sequences might mediate specific interactions between mRNAs and ribosomes and thereby affect translation. In the present experiments, the ability of complementary sequences to bind to rRNA was investigated by using photochemical cross-linking. RNA probes with perfect complementarity to 18S or 28S rRNA were shown to cross-link specifically to the corresponding rRNA within intact ribosomal subunits. Similar results were obtained by using probes based on natural mRNA sequences with varying degrees of complementarity to the 18S rRNA. RNase H cleavage localized four such probes to complementary regions of the 18S rRNA. The effects of complementarity on translation were assessed by using the mRNA encoding ribosomal protein S15. This mRNA contains a sequence within its coding region that is complementary to the 18S rRNA at 20 of 22 nucleotides. RNA from an S15-luciferase fusion construct was translated in a cell-free lysate and compared with the translation of four related constructs that were mutated to decrease complementarity to the 18S rRNA. These mutations did not alter the amino acid sequence or the codon bias. A correlation between complementarity and translation was observed; constructs with less complementarity increased the amount of translation up to 54%. These findings raised the possibility that direct base-pairing of particular mRNAs to rRNAs within ribosomes may function as a mechanism of translational control.

Eukaryotic mRNAs contain cis-regulatory elements responsible for the posttranscriptional control of gene expression (reviewed in ref. 1). Although a number of studies have investigated the interactions of these cis-regulatory elements with trans-acting proteins (e.g., ref. 2), relatively few studies have focused on whether there are classes of cis-regulatory elements that function by interacting directly with ribosomes. Our interest in the interaction of mRNAs with ribosomes arose from the results of nucleic acid database searches and Northern analyses in which we identified sequences resembling those of 18S and 28S rRNAs within the untranslated and coding regions of a large number of eukaryotic mRNAs (3). These rRNA-like sequences appear both as similarities, or “sense” matches, and as complementarities, or “antisense” matches. These initial findings prompted us to suggest that mRNAs containing rRNA-like sequences might interact with ribosomes in two ways: complementary sequences could base-pair to rRNA, whereas those with similarities might mimic rRNA and bind ribosomal proteins (3). We further proposed that these interactions might directly affect translation.

The plausibility of these hypotheses depends on how accessible intact ribosomes are to mRNAs with complementary sequence matches. This accessibility might be limited by the extensive secondary structure of the rRNAs, or by the interactions among the four different rRNAs and 82 ribosomal proteins. In the present study, photochemical cross-linking was used to examine whether the 28S or 18S rRNAs within ribosomes were accessible to complementary sequences within mRNAs. To map the sites at which four of the probes were cross-linked to the 18S rRNA, complementary oligonucleotides were used to direct RNase H cleavage of the rRNA at specific flanking locations.

To investigate whether message complementarity to rRNA affects translation, we studied the mRNA encoding mouse ribosomal protein S15 (4) as a first example. This mRNA originally was identified as a highly expressed mRNA in rat insulinomas and was termed the rat insulinoma gene or rig (5). S15 mRNA contains a sequence within its coding region that is complementary to a stem-loop structure at the 3' end of the 18S rRNA. This complementarity is conserved both among 18S rRNAs and S15 mRNAs in several species including chicken, toad, mouse, hamster, rat, and human (6, 7). To examine the possible effects of rRNA-complementarity on S15 mRNA translation, RNA molecules containing the complementary segment of the S15 gene, as well as selected variants of this sequence, were fused to the luciferase gene as a reporter and tested in a cell-free translation system.

Our findings suggest that particular mRNAs contain specific sequences that can interact with ribosomes by base-pairing with complementary sequences in the rRNA. Moreover, for the particular sequence examined within the S15 mRNA, we found that base-pairing with rRNA directly affects the translational efficiency of the S15 mRNA. We discuss the possibility that base-pairing between certain mRNAs and ribosomes may function as one mechanism of translational control in eukaryotic cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ribosomal Subunits. Crude ribosomes were prepared from the mouse P19 cell line as described (8). Ribosomes were dissociated into 40S and 60S subunits, aliquoted, and stored at −80°C before use (9). Subunit dissociation was monitored by sucrose gradient profiles (10).

Synthesis of RNA Probes. Photochemical cross-linking was performed by using RNA probes transcribed from DNA templates that included fragments generated by PCR or by annealed oligonucleotides (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). In both cases the DNA templates contained the T7 promoter (11) at the 5' end of the probe sequence. Radiolabeled RNA probes transcribed from these templates contained the cross-linking reagent 4-thiouridine (s4U; ref. 12). The templates were incubated with 3 mM DTT, 500 μM each of GTP and ATP, a 350 mM:150 mM mixture of s4U:UTP, 40 μM CTP (Ambion), 50

Abbreviation: UTR, untranslated region.
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Fig. 1. Map of 18S rRNA indicating positions of rRNA and mRNA probes. The 18S rRNA is indicated as an open bar with nucleotide positions listed above the bar. The locations of the rRNA and mRNA probes are shown as lines below the regions of complementarity to the 18S rRNA. Details of the rRNA and mRNA probes are provided in Table 1.

μCi of α-32P-CTP (3,000 Ci/mmol, NEN) and 25 units of T7 RNA polymerase (Stratagene). Nonradioactive RNA competitors were transcribed by using 500 μM each of GTP, CTP, ATP, and UTP. Transcription proceeded at 37°C for 3 h and was terminated by DNaseI (Ambion) digestion of the template. RNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform (1:1) and further purified by passage through Microspin G25 columns (Pharmacia). In addition to the specific competitor RNAs, the nonspecific competitor poly(C) RNA, a 50-nt long string of C residues, was similarly generated by in vitro transcription.

Cross-Linking. Cross-linking reactions contained 20 pmol of probe and 2 pmol of ribosomal subunits in binding buffer (13) in the presence of 150 pmol of tRNA. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 10 min, cooled on ice, and cross-linked by exposure to 365 nm UV light for 10 min. To visualize cross-linking of the probe to the rRNA, the ribosomal proteins were digested with proteinase K and extracted with phenol/chloroform (1:1), and the RNA was precipitated and electrophoresed on 1.2% agarose gels (3). The RNA in the gel was visualized with ethidium bromide, transferred to HybondN+ nylon membrane (Amerham), and exposed to film.

RNase H Localization of Cross-Linked Probes. To localize the position within the rRNA at which the probe was cross-linked, the cross-linked RNA was purified away from the ribosomal proteins. Short complementary DNA oligonucleotides were annealed to the RNA at sequences flanking the region of complementarity, in RNase H buffer (14). The mixtures were heated to 50°C for 3 min, then incubated at 30°C for 30 min. RNase H (0.5 μg) was then added, and incubation continued at 30°C for 30 min. The RNA was purified and electrophoresed as described above.

Cell-Free Translation of S15-Luciferase mRNA. Reporter constructs containing nucleotides 31–93 of the S15 cDNA sequence were fused to the luciferase gene in the pGL3-control reporter vector (Promega), resulting in a fusion protein containing the first 21 amino acids of the S15 protein fused immediately upstream of the initiation codon of the luciferase protein. The wild-type sequence and various mutations of that sequence were synthesized as oligonucleotides, annealed, and cloned into the reporter vector by using the HindIII and NcoI restriction sites. The T7 promoter sequence (11) then was cloned upstream of the S15-luciferase gene by using the BlnI and XhoI restriction endonuclease sites. S15-luciferase constructs were linearized with the restriction endonuclease SalI, and RNA was transcribed by using T7 RNA polymerase.

Lysates for cell-free translation were prepared from P19 embryonal carcinoma cell lines, frozen as aliquots in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −70°C (15, 16). Cell-free protein synthesis was performed as described (17) with the following modifications: the reaction mixture contained 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 100 mM potassium acetate, 1.1 mM magnesium acetate, 0.6 mg creatine kinase, 2 mM DTT, 10 mM creatine phosphate, 25 mM amino acid mixture (Promega), 1 mM ATP, 50 mM GTP, 75 mg/ml tRNA, 240 mM spermidine, 2.5 mM cAMP, and 2.5 mM fructose-1,6-bisphosphate. The translation reaction volumes were 25 μl, including 10 μl of extract and 0.5 μg of RNA, and were incubated at 30°C for 1.5 h. Luciferase activity was assayed as described (18).

RESULTS

Complementary RNA Sequences Cross-Link Specifically to Ribosomes. The accessibility of the ribosome to various RNA probes was determined by using photochemical cross-linking. For these initial experiments, cross-linking was performed by using sense and antisense probes based on sequences from four regions of the 18S rRNA and one from the 28S rRNA (see Table 1 and Fig. 1). These RNA probes were synthesized with the modified nucleotide s'U, radiolabeled with 32P, and cross-linked by exposure to UV light to dissociated 60S and 40S subunits. UV-induced cross-linking of the s'U nucleotide has been shown to occur between base-paired nucleotides, or at the borders of stable helical structures (12). The RNA and probe were purified away from ribosomal proteins and separated on an agarose gel. The cross-linked probe was localized to the 28S or 18S rRNA by autoradiography. As shown in Fig. 2A, the four probes that were complementary to the 18S rRNA cross-linked to the 28S rRNA, but not to the 28S rRNA. In addition, the probe that was complementary to the 18S rRNA cross-linked to the 28S rRNA, but not to the 18S rRNA. The bands observed below the major 18S rRNA band with probes 18S-c(α) and 18S-d(α) are minor degradation bands of the 18S rRNA. In contrast, probes that contained sense sequences corresponding to the same regions of the 18S or 28S rRNAs as the complementary probes showed no evidence of cross-linking to ribosomes under the same conditions. One exception was the probe 18S-a(s), which contains a sequence identical to nucleotides 227–312 of the 18S rRNA, yet it cross-linked weakly to the 28S rRNA. This finding was not completely unexpected because the 18S-a(s) probe has a region complementary to the 28S rRNA (74% in 34 nt). These results demonstrate that the RNAs in ribosomes have regions accessible to probes that are complementary in sequence and that these regions can be cross-linked to them.

To explore whether the cross-linking to rRNA might require interactions with some of the ribosomal proteins, the 18Sβ(α) probe was cross-linked to rRNA that had been purified away from ribosomal proteins (Fig. 2B). These results indicated that cross-linking of this probe to rRNA could occur independently of interactions with ribosomal proteins.

Cross-Linking of mRNA Sequences to Ribosomes. To determine whether sequences within naturally occurring mRNAs that are complementary to rRNA were capable of binding to ribosomes by base-pairing to rRNA, a cross-linking experiment was performed by using probes composed of polynucleotide segments found in 5′ untranslated regions (UTRs), 3′ UTRs, and coding regions of mRNA molecules (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Small RNA probes have been used previously to map ribosome interactions (e.g., ref. 19). The results obtained with 14 different probes, other than the 18S and 28S rRNA probes, indicated that all but one probe cross-linked to rRNA within dissociated 60S or 40S subunits (Fig. 3). Some mRNA probes, such as those from heat shock protein 68 mRNA (HSP68) and erythropoietin mRNA (EPO), cross-linked exclusively to the 18S rRNA, whereas other probes also showed a low level of cross-linking to the 28S rRNA. Among this group of probes, AC, containing a segment of adenyl cyclase type V1 mRNA, failed to form detectable cross-links with rRNA within ribosomes. When 35 other mRNA probes with complementarity to various regions of the 18S rRNA (3) were tested for their ability to cross-link to dissociated 60S and 40S subunits, 24 showed patterns similar to those presented in Fig. 3, whereas 11 failed to form detectable cross-links. The failure to cross-link may reflect differences in accessibility within different
sense (s) and antisense (a) molecules. The 13 mRNA probes, including S15, are listed below the rRNA probes. C, coding region; 5’UTR, 5’UTR.

The 18S and 28S probes listed in the first six rows are based on rRNA sequences. Probes 18S-a, -b, -c, -d, and 28S-G were synthesized as both

**Table 1. Description of RNA probes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Complementarity to mouse rRNA</th>
<th>Location in mRNA</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Accession code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18S-a</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 86 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 227–312)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>86 nt</td>
<td>X00686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-b</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 139 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 645–783)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>139 nt</td>
<td>X00686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-c</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 15 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 916–930)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15 nt</td>
<td>X00686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-d</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 57 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1294–1351)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>57 nt</td>
<td>X00686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-e(a)</td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 54 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1816–1869)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>54 nt</td>
<td>X00686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28S-G</td>
<td>28S rRNA</td>
<td>100% in 59 nt of 28S rRNA (nt 1767–1825)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>59 nt</td>
<td>X00525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S15 Ribosomal protein 91% in 22 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1847–1866) C, nt 62–83 56 nt M33330

HSP68 Heat shock protein (HSP68) 88% in 16 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 274–289) C, nt 147–162 30 nt M12573

CAL Calcineurin catalytic subunit 72% in 36 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 251–286) 5’, nt 176–211 36 nt M81475

EPO Erythropoietin 100% in 20 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 257–267) 5’, nt 392–411 29 nt M12930

TROPO Nonmuscle tropomyosin 5 79% in 14 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1484–1507) 37 nt L02048

HMGI High mobility group I protein 77% in 34 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1481–1505) 37 nt L02048

AC Adenyl cyclase type VI 90% in 20 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 746–765) 37 nt M93422

UBF Transcription factor 92% in 13 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 918–930) 37 nt M93422

DMT DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 100% in 11 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 918–930) 37 nt M93422

GTX Homeobox protein 79% in 14 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 688–701) 37 nt M93422

GTBP GTP binding protein 84% in 25 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1481–1505) 37 nt M93422

CALM Calmodulin kinase II 74% in 34 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1549–1582) 37 nt M93422

9GTBP GTP binding protein 84% in 25 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1481–1505) 37 nt M93422

9IL-2 Interleukin 2 receptor gamma chain 79% in 24 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1311–1334) 37 nt M93422

9GTX Homeobox protein 79% in 14 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1118–1131) 37 nt M93422

9DMT DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 100% in 11 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 1118–1131) 37 nt M93422

9AC Adenyl cyclase type VI 90% in 20 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9UBF Transcription factor 92% in 13 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9GTBP GTP binding protein 84% in 25 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9CALM Calmodulin kinase II 74% in 34 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9HSP68 Heat shock protein (HSP68) 88% in 16 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9CAL Calcineurin catalytic subunit 72% in 36 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9EPO Erythropoietin 100% in 20 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9TROPO Nonmuscle tropomyosin 5 79% in 14 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9HMGI High mobility group I protein 77% in 34 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9AC Adenyl cyclase type VI 90% in 20 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9UBF Transcription factor 92% in 13 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9DMT DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 100% in 11 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9GTX Homeobox protein 79% in 14 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9GTBP GTP binding protein 84% in 25 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

9CALM Calmodulin kinase II 74% in 34 nt of 18S rRNA (nt 816–836) 37 nt M93422

regions of the rRNA. This failure may be caused by the secondary structure of the rRNA or masking by ribosomal proteins. Alternatively, the inability of some probes to cross-link may reflect a limitation of the technique, as was discussed above: probes that base-pair to the rRNA may not cross-link depending on the location of the s^4U residue.

In addition to the interactions based on nucleotide sequence complementarity with the rRNA examined in this study, mRNAs also have been postulated to bind in a sequence-independent manner to a series of sites on the ribosome collectively known as the mRNA binding tract (19). Probe mRNA-1c, which has no obvious rRNA-like sequences, has been used in earlier studies to determine the mRNA binding tract in human placental ribosomes (19). When mRNA-1c was cross-linked to mouse P19 ribosomes, the level of cross-linking obtained was close to background (Fig. 3). It should be noted that our experimental conditions differed from those of Graifer et al. (19). Under our incubation conditions, the strong cross-linking obtained with rRNA-complementary probes is unlikely to be accounted for by sequence-independent interactions.

**Localizing Regions of Cross-Linking with RNase H Digestions.** To demonstrate definitively that cross-linking occurred at regions of complementarity, the exact positions of cross-linking were determined by using RNase H digestions of the rRNA. After cross-linking of the 18S-b(a), 18S-d(a), interleukin 2, and S15 RNA probes to dissociated 60S and 40S subunits, rRNA was purified and DNA oligonucleotides complementary to the rRNA were annealed to sequences flanking the region that was complementary to the probe. These oligonucleotides were used to direct cleavage of the rRNA by RNase H, an enzyme that digests the RNA within DNA-RNA hybrids. As shown in Fig. 4, these digestions allowed us to determine whether the cross-linked probes segregated with the rRNA fragments containing the complementary sequence match. The cross-linking of the 18S-b(a) probe was localized to a region of 132 nt, the cross-linking of 18S-d(a) and interleukin 2 probes was localized to a segment of 66 nt, and
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to the 18S rRNA, these mutations were designed so that the
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to the codon preference of the wild-type sequence (20). These two constraints did not allow the degree of complementarity to the 18S rRNA to be decreased to less than 54%, or increased to more than the wild-type sequence (91%). The mRNA constructs with the poorest matches, S15-M1 and S15-M2, were 54% complementary to the 18S rRNA. S15-M3 was 77% complementary, S15-M4 was 86% complementary, and wild-type S15-W was 91% complementary to the 18S rRNA.

Cell-free translation of in vitro-transcribed RNAs was performed by using lysates prepared from the P19 cell line, and luciferase activity was used as a measure of translation (Fig. 7). The amount of translation of mRNAs from constructs with more complementarity to the 18S rRNA was up to 50% less than that of the constructs with less complementarity to the 18S rRNA. Moreover, there was a correlation between the degree of complementarity to the 18S rRNA and translation: decreasing complementarity led to an increase in translation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have found that RNA sequences with complementarity to rRNA, such as those found in naturally occurring mRNAs, can bind ribosomes by base-pairing to the rRNA. We also have presented an example illustrating how these interactions can affect translation. The specificity of binding was demonstrated in three ways. Probes exactly complementary to the 28S or 18S rRNAs cross-linked to the corresponding rRNA, whereas probes lacking complementarity did not. Specificity also was shown by localization experiments using RNase H to digest the 18S rRNA at sequences flanking regions of complementarity and, in the case of the S15 mRNA, by competition with a specific competitor RNA.

The accessibility of different regions of the rRNA to various RNA probes may appear surprising inasmuch as a considerable portion of the rRNAs are base-paired (21), and rRNAs are associated with approximately 82 ribosomal proteins (22). However, it has been shown previously that particular segments of the rRNAs are accessible to very short DNA oligonucleotides (e.g., ref. 23). This accessibility is also consistent with the results of a recent structural study of the 50S subunit, indicating that the rRNA is crisscrossed over the entire large subunit (24). The accessibility of short segments within the rRNA may be sufficient for some RNAs, such as the probes used in the present study and various mRNAs, to base-pair to the rRNA. In other cases the RNAs may base-pair to a double-stranded segment of rRNA by displacing one strand of the rRNA. Such a mechanism of strand displacement actually may proceed very quickly, as was demonstrated in a recent study that involved dissociation of a 56-bp double-stranded RNA by a single-stranded RNA (25). A similar mechanism of strand displacement may function in the interactions of particular mRNAs with rRNAs.

**Role of rRNA Complementarity in Translation.** Although it has been speculated that base-pairing might be involved in the translation of eukaryotic mRNAs (e.g., refs. 26 and 27), or might be the basis for some forms of translational regulation (discussed in ref. 3), there has been little experimental evidence to support this idea. An example suggested to involve base-pairing interactions with the 18S rRNA is that of viral mRNAs that contain an internal ribosome entry sequence (IRES) in the 5’ UTR (reviewed in ref. 28).

In prokaryotes, there is evidence that sequences resembling rRNA can function as translational enhancers and repressors. These sequences include the downstream boxes (reviewed in ref. 29) and upstream enhancer elements such as the epsilon sequence (reviewed in ref. 30). A particularly interesting prokaryotic example, because of its possible implications for eukaryotic translational regulation, is the QL RNA (31). This RNA contains a segment that is complementary to the 16S rRNA, appears to interact directly with the 16S rRNA, and functions in trans to enhance translation of the prgB mRNA. Although translational regulation in prokaryotes cannot be directly compared with that in eukaryotes, our results raise the possibility that rRNA complementarity in mRNAs also may be the basis for some mechanisms of translational control in eukaryotes. In this regard, it will be particularly informative to examine whether the complementary sequences tested in this study also can interact with the rRNA in the context of the full-length mRNA molecules.

**Effects of rRNA Complementarity on Translation.** The S15 mRNA contains a sequence within its coding region that is complementary to a hairpin structure at the 3’ end of the 18S rRNA that is one of the most highly conserved sequences within the small subunit rRNA. This region of the 18S rRNA has been investigated before, and it had been noted that a
number of mRNAs contained short antisense matches to this segment of the 18S rRNA (e.g., ref. 32). In the cell-free translation experiments of the present study, this complementarity resulted in a lower translation efficiency, with a strong negative correlation between the degree of complementarity and the level of luciferase activity generated. We speculate that, in this system, base-pairing of the S15-luciferase mRNA to the rRNA sequesters it, decreasing the availability of the mRNA for translation. The inhibition of S15 mRNA translation as a consequence of direct binding to ribosomes is consistent with the results of a study in Tetrahymena in which antisense sequences were inserted into a region of the 28S rRNA that was known to be on the surface of the large subunit (33). Alternative explanations for the present cell-free translation results are that the sequence complementarity causes stalling or pausing during elongation, or that binding of the specific RNAs to ribosomes blocks interactions with other molecules or actually changes the structure of the ribosome in such a way as to affect translation. It will be informative to analyze other mRNAs with complementarity to the same region of the 18S rRNA as the S15 mRNA (3). In particular, mRNAs with this same complementary sequence in the 5' or 3' UTRs will be useful to determine how this sequence functions when present in different locations of the mRNA.

Possible Implications for the Control of Gene Expression. Although the importance of rRNA-like sequences in the regulation of mRNA expression currently is unknown, the results of this study and a database analysis (3) suggest that a large number of mRNAs have the potential to interact with ribosomes. In the present study, the focus was on rRNA complementarity, but there are also a large number of mRNAs with matches that are similar to the rRNA in the sense orientation. It may be informative to explore whether some of these mRNAs interact with ribosomal proteins and to examine the significance of these interactions for translation.

If complementarity-based interactions between mRNAs and rRNAs play a role in the translational regulation of selected mRNAs, as is suggested by the results of the present study, then the translation efficiency of particular mRNAs might be sensitive to the presence of other mRNAs in the cell that compete for the same binding sites on the rRNA. A number of conditions therefore might be expected to modulate translation efficiency within the cell. For example, changes in mRNA or ribosome concentrations that alter ribosome saturation might differentially affect the translation of groups of mRNAs. Specific sites on ribosomes might be blocked or made accessible by ribosomal or nonribosomal proteins (34, 35) or by RNAs that associate with ribosomes. Translation also might be regulated by any changes in ribosomes that alter the accessibility of their rRNAs or proteins to sequences on different groups of mRNAs. In addition to the implications for translational regulation, it also might be possible to use specific antisense RNA fragments to manipulate the expression of groups of mRNAs that contain similar sequence complementarities.
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