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ABSTRACT One of the fundamental principles of visual cortical organization is that neurons form a “map” in which neighboring cells have similar orientation preferences. Previous anatomical and imaging studies have shown that although the exact layouts of these orientation preference maps vary between individuals, features of iso-orientation domains such as width and spacing appear constant within a species. Using chronic optical imaging of intrinsic signals we now demonstrate that in ferret area 17 a larger proportion of cortical surface is dominated by responses to horizontal and vertical contours than to the two oblique orientations. This was true for all ferrets studied both during development and in adulthood. Interestingly, however, we found that the degree of the overrepresentation varied significantly between individual animals. In some young ferrets, responses to horizontal and vertical stimuli developed faster than responses to oblique stimuli, and a much larger percentage of the cortex responded preferentially to horizontal and vertical stimuli. In other individuals, responses to all stimuli developed at roughly the same rate, and there was relatively little overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical preferences.

We have used chronic optical imaging of intrinsic signals (1) to examine developing orientation activity maps in ferret primary visual cortex. The ferret was chosen for these studies because it has a visual system similar to the cat’s (2) but is born approximately 3 weeks earlier in development (3), thus providing a robust physiological preparation during the time that orientation-specific responses develop (ref. 4; see also refs. 5 and 6).

The technique of optical imaging permits observation of the overall spatial organization of orientation preference across a region of visual cortex, information not easily obtained from single-unit studies. Furthermore, by measuring the collective response of a large number of neurons simultaneously, optical imaging avoids many of the sampling problems that can occur in studies of single-cell responses. Chronic imaging allows the development of orientation maps to be followed over time in individual animals, removing the necessity to pool data across animals. This technique therefore is not only ideal for assessing the proportion of cortical surface devoted to processing different orientations during development, but it can also reveal interanimal variability in the representation of orientation preferences, which would be missed by conventional electrophysiological recordings.

All of the ferrets in this study (eight developing and three adult) showed a significant overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical versus oblique orientation preferences in their cortical maps. In the eight developing ferrets, there were large differences in the magnitude of this anisotropy. Furthermore, in the developing animals with larger anisotropies, the horizontal and vertical activity maps also tended to develop significantly faster than the oblique maps.

The bias toward horizontal and vertical preferences seen in all of the ferrets studied is consistent with electrophysiological studies in the cat (7–14) and kitten (15), which suggest that there may be more cortical cells with horizontal and vertical preferences. The observed interanimal variability in the degree of the bias is surprising, as previous studies have suggested that basic features of iso-orientation domains such as hypercolumn spacing are relatively constant between animals (refs. 16–24; but see also ref. 25). The variability may, however, help to explain why some laboratories have failed to demonstrate the horizontal/vertical bias electrophysiological in either cats (26–28) or kittens (29–31). Finally, this variability might underlie the interindividual variation that has been observed in psychophysical studies of the “oblique effect” in cats, monkeys, and humans (32–36).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Optical Imaging of Intrinsic Signals. Eight young (31–35 days old at the beginning of the experiments) and three adult (4.5 months to 1 year old) ferrets (Marshall Farms, New Rose, NY) were used in this study. Experiments were performed under aseptic conditions by using standard procedures for optical imaging (37). Anesthesia was induced by using a mixture of xylazine and ketamine. Animals were intubated and mechanically ventilated. Anesthesia was maintained by using 1–3% halothane delivered in a 3:1 mixture of nitrous oxide/oxygen. End-tidal carbon dioxide was maintained at 3.8–4.2%. Subcutaneous injections of ~1 ml/hr 5% dextrose in Ringer’s solution were administered to prevent dehydration. During the initial imaging session, the scalp was incised and retracted and a craniotomy was performed over the caudal pole of the left hemisphere. The dura remained intact. Two percent agar and a glass coverslip were applied over the craniotomy. Animals were fitted with contact lenses to focus the eyes on the monitor placed 33 cm in front of the animal. The brain was illuminated through the dura by using a halogen lamp and fiber optics, with the illumination band-pass filtered at 707 ± 10 nm, a wavelength that provides good penetration of the dura. The signals were recorded with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (Princeton Instruments, Trenton, NJ) and a macroscope (38) focused approximately 500 μm below the cortical surface. Five frames of 600-msec duration were collected during each 3-sec stimulus presentation. Following each recording session, the agar and coverslip were removed, and the craniotomy was flushed with sterile saline and covered.
with a 3% agar plug containing chloramphenicol antibiotic (Paraxin, Bayer). The fascia and scalp were sutured and the scalp wound was infused with lidocaine and covered with a topical antibiotic. The animal was then allowed to recover from the anesthesia before being returned to its mother.

**Visual Stimuli.** Visual stimuli were produced by using custom-made software (STIM, Kaare Christian, Rockefeller University, NY) and were presented binocularly on a 21-inch monitor placed 33 cm away from the ferret. The stimuli consisted of full-screen square-wave gratings drifting at 10–15°/sec, presented at four different orientations: horizontal, vertical, and the two obliques. The spatial frequency ranged from 0.5 to 0.15 cycles/degree (this value was chosen empirically to optimize the activity signal and varied with age probably because of changing optics in the ferrets’ maturing eyes); the same spatial frequency was used for all orientations in a given recording session. The stimuli were randomly interleaved, and each was generally presented 128 times.

**Calculation of Orientation Preference Areas.** To quantify how mature each orientation activity map was at each age in a model-independent manner, two-dimensional (2-D) cross-correlations were performed between each recorded activity map and the most mature map recorded for that stimulus orientation in that animal. The first step in the correlation analysis was to determine an ROI for each animal as described above. To control for possible misalignment of the maps, we calculated the correlation coefficients between the two maps for x and y offsets ranging from −30 to 30 pixels (each pixel corresponds to 36 × 36 μm² of cortex). The largest of these values was then taken as the measure of correlation between the two maps. A value of 0 indicates an immature map; a value near 1 indicates a more fully developed map. Note that no significant brain growth occurs during the period covered by these experiments (37) and thus is not a confounding factor in this analysis.

**RESULTS**

Optical imaging of ferret area 17 was performed through the intact dura, and activity maps in each animal at each age were generated in response to moving square-wave gratings at each of four orientations: horizontal, vertical, and the two obliques. Examination of the resulting images revealed different patterns of orientation development. In some ferrets, activation of iso-orientation domains responding to horizontal and vertical gratings developed faster than domains responding to obliquely oriented gratings. These animals also showed greater overrepresentation of cortical area preferring horizontal and vertical stimuli. In other ferrets, domains responding to all orientations developed roughly in parallel. These ferrets tended to show only a modest overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical preferences.

Activity maps illustrating the range of the developmental patterns observed are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Each row of these figures shows orientation-specific maps recorded in the left primary visual cortex at the indicated age. The first four columns show single-condition activity maps recorded in response to a specific orientation. To determine the overall organization of orientation preference in the cortex, information from the four activity maps was combined into a single, color-coded “polar map” shown in the right-most columns. In the polar maps, the color of each pixel encodes the preferred orientation of cells in that region of cortex, whereas the brightness indicates the orientation selectivity (for details see refs. 9 and 39).

Maps from a ferret showing faster development of horizontal and vertical domains are shown in Fig. 1. In this animal, there was little or no orientation-specific response to any stimulus during the earliest imaging session on postnatal day 32. By postnatal day 35, however, clear horizontal and vertical activity maps were present, although weak if any maps were seen with oblique stimuli. Oblique maps became obvious, though still weak, by postnatal day 37. Horizontal and vertical maps appear to be fully developed (i.e., no longer changing) by
postnatal day 42, whereas oblique maps remain weaker and continue to mature through postnatal day 45, the last imaging session for this animal.

Fig. 2 shows data from an animal where the development of orientation maps appears to have progressed at a more or less equal pace for all orientations tested. In this animal faint maps are already visible at postnatal day 32. At this and all subsequent ages, the strength of the activity maps in response to all four orientations appears approximately equal on visual inspection.

To obtain quantitative measures of these results, the development of orientation maps in individual animals was studied by using two different methods. First, the “orientation preference area” (see Materials and Methods) was determined for each stimulus orientation at each age. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 3. At early ages in all animals, there are no obvious orientation-specific domains in cortex. As the animals mature, a larger proportion of the cortex becomes orientation-selective. All eight ferrets tested showed significantly larger orientation preference areas for horizontal and vertical during development (two-factor ANOVA, $P < 0.001$ for all, except $P < 0.01$ for ferret 1-6-3630; posthoc one-tailed $t$ tests of time-averaged data, $P < 0.02$ for all animals). The magnitude of the anisotropy at the final experimental time point (see Materials and Methods) ranged from 3.5 to 61.6% across animals. Although this measure appeared to vary along a continuum, the interanimal variability is highly significant (one-factor ANOVA, $P < 0.001$).

To provide a measure of the rate of orientation-map development in each animal, early single-condition maps were compared with the most mature map by using cross-correlation. Because we have previously shown that the structure of each orientation activity map in an individual animal is stable during development (37), this correlation coefficient provides a good measure of map maturity. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 4. The four animals with the largest anisotropies (Fig. 3, upper four panels) also show faster maturation of their horizontal and vertical activity maps than of their oblique maps (two-factor ANOVA, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4, upper four panels). The four animals with smaller anisotropies (Fig. 3, lower four panels) showed roughly parallel maturation of their activity maps for all orientations (two-factor ANOVA, $P > 0.05$, except $P = 0.023$ for 1-4-3630; Fig. 4, lower four panels).

For comparison, we also measured the anisotropy in three adult ferrets. A significant overrepresentation of horizontal
DISCUSSION

Using chronic optical imaging based on intrinsic signals to study the maturation of orientation activity maps in ferret primary visual cortex, we have demonstrated that there is a remarkable degree of interanimal variability in the representation of orientation during development. In half of the young ferrets studied, horizontal and vertical orientation domains developed faster, and there was a large overrepresentation of the cortical area preferring horizontal and vertical stimuli (anisotropies in the final map of 28.5–61.6%). In the other half of the ferrets, all orientations developed roughly in parallel, and there was a smaller overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical preferences (anisotropies in the final map of 3.5–22.0%).

One might be concerned that artifacts in the imaging system or the visual stimulus display might have caused the overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical preferences observed here. We think we can rule out this possibility because such artifactual anisotropies would be expected to be constant over time and thus could not account for the observed changes in the magnitude of the anisotropy during development within animals. More importantly, such artifacts could not explain why the anisotropy varied so dramatically between animals, especially because animals with large and small anisotropies were often recorded on the same day.

Also, measurement uncertainty or sampling problems cannot account either for the observed interanimal variability or the anisotropy itself. The uncertainty in our measurements of orientation preference area for each orientation is generally smaller than the observed anisotropies and interanimal differences (see variation across multiple measures in Fig. 3 and statistical tests in Results).

Previous electrophysiological studies have reported that the overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical preferences is stronger in simple cells (7, 9, 10) and in the central visual representation (7, 9–13). Optical imaging signals are primarily derived from responses in the upper layers of cortex (42), where there are few simple cells. So, if anything, our data might have produced an overall underestimation of the anisotropy in the area devoted to different orientations. The number of simple cells sampled by imaging, however, should be similar from animal to animal, so it seems unlikely that this could explain the observed interanimal variability. That the data for all our animals were collected across a wide area of cortex approximately centered on area centralis also makes it improbable that visual field effects could account for the observed interanimal variability.

Sampling problems have always been a major concern in electrophysiological studies of orientation anisotropy. Although a larger proportion of cells with horizontal and vertical preferences has been reported (7–15), many of these results may not be statistically significant (for review see ref. 15), and similar studies in other laboratories have failed to find a
horizontal/vertical bias (26–31). The problem arises because it is indeed difficult to demonstrate the significance of a small deviation from equal representation. For example, if a population of neurons falls into two categories (horizontal vs. oblique) with an anisotropy magnitude equal to the mean of our adults (12%), then to demonstrate that this distribution is significantly different ($P$, 0.05) from random would require a sample size of $\sim 275$ neurons. Furthermore, the nonrandom spatial distribution of orientation preferences across cortex exacerbates the problem by biasing the sampling within electrode tracks. All these problems are much less severe in optical imaging studies where orientation preferences across a large area of cortex are studied in every cortical map. Single-unit studies, of course, have their own advantages in that they provide critical data on receptive field properties, such as the orientation tuning of individual neurons, which cannot be obtained by using optical imaging techniques.

A number of psychophysical “oblique effects” have been described (32–36) with better performance on perceptual tasks for horizontal and vertical stimuli than for the obliques. This may be a direct consequence of the overrepresentation of horizontal and vertical orientation preferences. Like the electrophysiologically determined bias, the oblique effect is strongest in the central visual field (40, 41). More interestingly with respect to our results, the magnitude of the oblique effect in humans has also been found to vary between individuals. This interindividual difference in orientation anisotropy has been
attributed to a wide variety of factors, including gender (43),
visual experience (44, 45), and genetic differences (46, 47).
Gender cannot explain the interanimal differences seen in our
study, because both males and females showed both patterns of
orientation development. Visual experience also seems
unlikely to have caused the interanimal differences, because all
of the ferrets in this study were raised in the same environment,
and the bias was present as soon as orientation selectivity could
be seen in cortex at or near the time of natural eye-opening.
In our present approach, genetic components cannot be ruled
out. Individuals in the same litter, however, did show both
developmental patterns.

It has been clear since ocular dominance columns were first
visualized by using 2-deoxyglucose (16–21) that cortical maps
between individuals vary in their exact layout. More recently,
significant interanimal differences in the periodicity of ocular
dominance columns (25) and the related measure of blob
density (48) have been seen. These studies, however, do not
show any differences between animals in the proportion of
cortex devoted to processing information from the two eyes.

Our results demonstrate that a fundamental feature of
cortical organization, the proportion of cortex that prefers a
particular orientation, can show profound interanimal differ-
ences. Closely related individuals, raised in identical visual
environments, have fundamentally different cortical mapping
of orientation during the developmental period when orienta-
tion-specific responses in cortex are maturing. Whether the
differences between individuals in the degree of bias toward
horizontal and vertical orientation preferences is intrinsically
programmed or whether it is due to the visual environment
remains to be determined in future investigations. However,
the interanimal differences in the development of orientation
maps have profound consequences for both electrophysiol-
ogical and computational studies of orientation development.
Electrophysiological studies can no longer assume that there
are no significant differences between individual animals in
the representation of orientation, and computational models
must be able to account for the range of patterns of orientation
development seen in this study.
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