The telomerase RNA pseudoknot is critical for the stable assembly of a catalytically active ribonucleoprotein
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ABSTRACT Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein reverse transcriptase that synthesizes telomeric DNA. A pseudoknot structure is phylogenetically conserved within the RNA component of telomerase in all ciliated protozoans examined. Here, we report that disruptions of the pseudoknot base pairing within the telomerase RNA from Tetrahymena thermophila prevent the stable assembly in vivo of an active telomerase. Restoring the base-pairing potential of the pseudoknot by compensatory changes restores telomerase activity to essentially wild-type levels. Therefore, the pseudoknot topology rather than sequence is critical for an active telomerase. Furthermore, we show that disruption of the pseudoknot prevents the association of the RNA with the reverse transcriptase protein subunit of telomerase. Thus, we provide an example of a structural motif within the telomerase RNA that is required for telomerase function and identify the domain that is required for telomerase complex formation. Hence, we identify a biological role for a pseudoknot: promoting the stable assembly of a catalytically active ribonucleoprotein.

Telomeres are the specialized DNA–protein structures at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes (1, 2). A minimal telomeric DNA length is required for chromosome stability and cellular viability. Failure to maintain telomere length leads to replicative senescence (3). Telomeric DNA is usually composed of short tandem repeats that are synthesized by the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) telomerase (4). Activation of telomerase is characteristic of the most cell lines and tumors, and ectopic expression of telomerase allows certain human primary cell lines in culture to bypass senescence and crisis and to continue proliferation (5, 6).

Known essential core components of telomerase include the telomerase RNA (TER; ref. 7), containing a short template domain (ref. 21; Fig. 1) that is required for telomerase function and identify the domain that is required for telomerase complex formation. Hence, we identify a biological role for a pseudoknot: promoting the stable assembly of a catalytically active ribonucleoprotein.

TER gene transformants were grown to late logarithmic phase and Gorovsky (26). The vector used for electroporation was prD4-1 (27).

Mutagenesis. Mutagenesis was performed by overlap extension by using PCR as described (28), except for the following modifications. PCR consisted of 20 cycles of denaturation (30 s at 94°C), annealing (30 s at 50°C), and extension (30 s at 72°C) under conditions suggested by the manufacturer of the DNA Thermal Cycler (Perkin—Elmer/Cetus). Mutant TERs were inserted into the vector prD4-1 (27) at the XhoI site within the vector polylinker. Potential mutant genes were carefully sequenced to detect possible unwanted errors by Tau polymerase.

Telomerase Preparation. Partially purified mutant and wild-type telomerase were prepared as described (29) with the following modifications. Populations of mutant and wild-type TER gene transformants were grown to late logarithmic phase in 50-ml cultures with shaking at 100 rpm at 30°C. Cells were washed once with Dryl’s solution (1.7 mM sodium citrate/2.4 mM sodium phosphate/2 mM CaCl₂) and incubated in Dryl’s solution at 30°C with shaking at 100 rpm for ~24 h. S-100 extract was loaded onto a DEAE—agarose (Bio-Rad) column and eluted with 300 mM sodium acetate after washing with six column volumes of 200 mM sodium acetate. Preparations from
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Transformation. T. thermophila strains used for all experiments were CU427, ChxA/ChX (cy-sens, VI) and CU428, Mpr/Mpr (6-mp sens, VII). They were kindly provided by P. J. Bruns, (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY). Electroporation was performed essentially as described by Gaertig and Gorovsky (26). The vector used for electroporation was prD4-1 (27).
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FIG. 1. Ciliate TER structure and pseudoknot sequence alterations. (A) The conserved core structure of ciliate TER determined by phylogenetic covariation and structural probing (4), highlighting the pseudoknot domain that forms into a quasi-continuous helix on stacking of the two stems, and the wild-type template sequence from *T. thermophila*. (B) Detail of the pseudoknot domain from *T. thermophila* with sequence, position numbers, and standard designations of stems 1 and 2 as well as loops 1 and 2. (C) Sequence alterations used within the pseudoknot domain. The top line shows the wild-type pseudoknot RNA sequence from *T. thermophila*. Specific changes are shown below the wild-type residues that have been changed. Comp 1 and Comp 2, compensatory mutations 1 and 2.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To discover the role of the pseudoknot within TER from *T. thermophila*, we examined the consequences of altering the sequence within stem 1 (Fig. 1B and C). Mutant TER genes were introduced into developing Tetrahymena cells on a high copy-number vector as described (13, 27). After early establishment of the introduced TER gene, telomerase was partially purified from logarithmically growing cells and studied in vitro to determine the effects of these alterations (13). In this overexpression system, mutations in the TER that allow accumulation of stable RNA and assembly of an active telomerase RNP complex quickly swamp out and replace the endogenous wild-type TER in the telomerase RNP. This replacement occurs because the copy number of the exogenous mutant gene is several hundred times greater than that of the endogenous wild-type gene. However, in this system, mutations in the introduced TER gene that destabilize TER or prevent RNP assembly allow the endogenous wild-type RNA to become the dominant species within the cell population, hence allowing endogenous wild-type telomerase to be active and telomeric DNA to be maintained.

Disruption and compensatory alterations were made within stem 1 of the TER pseudoknot (Fig. 1B). Two separate dinucleotide substitutions on both sides of stem 1 of the pseudoknot, each disrupting the pseudoknot (71–72G and 84–85C; Fig. 1C), greatly reduced the total cellular telomerase activity levels by 20- to 25-fold (Fig. 2A). The compensatory alteration designated Comp 1 (Fig. 1C), which restored the base-pairing potential of stem 1, restored telomerase activity to near wild-type levels (Fig. 2A). A four-base disruption of the entire stem 1 (84–87C; see Fig. 1C) also greatly reduced the total telomerase activity level (Fig. 2B), which again was restored (Fig. 2B) by making the compensatory mutation performed as described above by using the Church and Gilbert method (30).

**Western Analysis.** Telomerase RNP complexes were separated on native gels as described above and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane by electroblotting. Western blot analysis was performed with an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Amersham Pharmacia) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Kathy Collins (University of California, Berkeley) kindly provided p80, p95, and p133 antibodies (20).

**Native Gel Electrophoresis.** Aliquots of S-100 preparations (~20 µl) were separated on native gels composed of 3.5% polyacrylamide (60:1, acrylamide:bis-acrylamide), 0.45% agarose, and 50 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.0) in running buffer of 50 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.0). Gels were run at 200 V at 4°C. The gels were then placed in 50% (vol/vol) urea at room temperature for 30 min to dissociate the RNP complex. RNA was then transferred by electroblotting onto a nylon filter and then UV cross-linked to the nylon filter. Northern hybridization was...
(Comp 2; see Fig. 1C). Populations of cells transformed with the 71–72G, 84–85C, Comp 1, or Comp 2 TER alleles were not grossly different from wild-type cells in morphology, population doubling rate, or telomere length. However, in cell lines transformed with the pseudoknot disruption allele 84–87C, which completely eliminated stem 1, a cellular morphological phenotype with variable penetrance became apparent after about 10 fissions: some mutant cells were rounded and enlarged, although this morphological phenotype was usually distinct from the previously characterized “monster cell” phenotypes caused by other TER mutations (8). The morphologically altered 84–87C transformant cells failed to divide, as determined by single-cell isolation experiments (data not shown). Cells with wild-type appearance, the prevalent form in most 84–87C transformant lines, took over the culture after about 25 population doublings.

These results showed that disrupting the pseudoknot of TER interfered with total telomerase activity within cells. However, the restoration of telomerase activity levels to near wild-type levels by the compensatory mutations might theoretically have resulted from either restoration of a functional telomerase or, conversely, failure of the compensatory mutant TERs to compete effectively with wild-type endogenous TER for stable RNP assembly. Such a failure to compete would then have permitted telomerase containing the wild-type endogenous TER to predominate in these cells. The observed telomerase activity levels and cellular phenotypes of the different mutants did not distinguish between these two possibilities. Therefore, to test the effects of the pseudoknot mutations on telomerase activity directly, we marked the template of the pseudoknot TER molecules. We used a previously characterized C-to-A base substitution at position 43 (the 43A mutation), which is at the 5′ end of the template and is the last position copied (Fig. 3; ref. 13). Clonal lines transformed with the 43A mutant TER and containing essentially fully substituted 43A telomerase are viable, and their 43A telomerase activity is readily distinguished from wild-type telomerase activity (13). In standard telomerase assays, wild-type telomerase synthesizes products with a six-base repeat, G4T2, identified as a ladder of reaction products with a six-base periodicity when resolved by denaturing PAGE (Fig. 3, lane 4). The 43A mutant telomerase synthesizes clearly distinguishable seven-base G4T3 repeats (13). We used the 43A mutant to mark and monitor the activity of the pseudoknot mutant TERs. Using this approach, we found that the pseudoknot disruption 84–87C TER produced no detectable functional telomerase. Instead, the wild-type endogenous TER accounted for the functional telomerase in these cells, as shown by the six-base repeat pattern in Fig. 3, lane 4. In contrast, telomerase from cells transformed with wild-type, Comp 1, or Comp 2 TER genes marked with the 43A template each produced the seven-base repeat pattern characteristic of the 43A mutant telomerase (Fig. 3, lanes 1–3). This result directly showed that the compensatory pseudoknot TERs, Comp 1 and Comp 2, were functional. Therefore, restoring the pseudoknot structure, even though the entire C content were changed in both Comp 2 mutants, allowed efficient formation of an active telomerase.

To characterize the effects of altering the pseudoknot on telomerase RNP assembly, RNP complexes containing TER were analyzed by native gel electrophoresis, blotting, and hybridization to TER sequence probes. In this fractionation, wild-type TER migrated in two locations: band a and a broad band b (Fig. 4A, Lane 1). The nucleic acid in both bands was RNA (as opposed to DNA) consisting of the sense strand of TER, as shown by strand-specific hybridization probes and nuclease-sensitivity tests (data not shown). Both bands migrated far behind deproteinized free TER (data not shown). No free TER was detected in the native preparations. Although cells transformed with the pseudoknot disruption 84–87C contained some endogenous wild-type TER, its levels were greatly reduced as shown by hybridization with a wild-type TER-specific probe (Fig. 4, lanes 2 and 6). This finding was consistent with the reduced level of telomerase activity recovered from cells transformed with the 84–87C disruption mutant gene (compare Fig. 2B with Fig. 4A, lanes 2 and 6). The same blot was reprobed with a mutant-specific probe that hybridizes to both 84–87C and Comp 2 TERs but not wild-type TER (Fig. 4A, lanes 7–12). The 84–87C TER reproducibly appeared as one band within the region of broad band b (Fig. 4A, lanes 8 and 12). Hence, even though relatively high levels of 84–87C TER were present within 84–87C transformants (Fig. 4A, lanes 8 and 12), the endogenous wild-type TER provided the active telomerase in these cells (Fig. 3A, lane 4), albeit at a reduced level (Fig. 2B). Notably, no 84–87C TER signal was detectable in band a (Fig. 4A, lanes 8 and 12).

Comp 2 RNA was present in both band a and broad band b (Fig. 4A, lanes 9 and 11) along with low levels of endogenous wild-type TER (Fig. 4A, lanes 3 and 5). The Comp 2-specific RNA signal in the region of broad band b frequently resolved into two partially separated bands (Fig. 4A, lanes 9 and 11). These Comp 2 broad band b species migrated slightly more slowly than the single, faster-migrating TER RNP species present in 84–87C preparations (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 8 and 12 with lanes 9 and 11). Although such splitting of broad band b was not always apparent, it was observed only with Comp 2 telomerase preparations and in no case with wild-type or 84–87C preparations analyzed on the same gel. Hence, broad band b might contain two or more biochemically or conformationally distinct telomerase complexes that are more readily resolved when the complexes contain Comp 2 RNA.

**Fig. 3.** Marking the template in combination with pseudoknot alterations. (4) Standard telomerase in vitro assay reactions separated on 10% PAGE. Cells were transformed with various pseudoknot wild-type or pseudoknot-altered TER genes that were marked at the template with the 43A template mutation. Lane 1, wild-type (WT) at pseudoknot; lane 2, Comp 1; lane 3, Comp 2; lane 4, 84–87C. (B) Schematic of 43A and wild-type templates. Telomerase with a 43A template change synthesizes a seven-base repeat consisting of G4T3. Wild-type telomerase synthesizes a six-base repeat consisting of G4T2. Note that cell numbers and protein content were not normalized between these different lines and that telomerase was harvested from cells in stationary phase culture.
Thus, strikingly, p133 was unable to complex with 84–87C TER (Fig. 4, lanes 27 and 30), but association of TER with p133 was restored by restoration of the pseudoknot structure (Fig. 4B, lanes 26 and 29).

These results show that an intact TER pseudoknot topology is essential for in vivo RNP assembly with TERT. In contrast, both the pseudoknot and TERT are not required for TER to associate with proteins p80 and p95. Therefore, Fig. 4 indicates that at least three TER-containing complexes exist in the cell: the complex in band a, the slower-migrating complex of broad band b containing p133 and possibly other protein components, and the faster-migrating complex of broad band b containing at least p80 and p95. We conclude that, although the pseudoknot disruption 84–87C TER is present at relatively high steady-state levels and is associated with p95 and p80, such RNP complexes lack the catalytic component p133 and are not functional. Perhaps p80 and p95 function to chaperone TER to a specific cellular location for assembly and/or to form an RNP complex with TER to protect the RNA from degradation or to sequester the RNA in an inactive form to regulate telomerase activity. Using telomerase assays performed on in vitro reconstituted preparations, Autxier and Greider (31) found that the pseudoknot was not required for positive telomerase activity in their system. Therefore, the critical role of the pseudoknot is the in vivo stable assembly of an active telomerase. We propose that certain conditions can compensate for the lack of a pseudoknot in vitro.

In the Comp 2 TER, which formed a telomerase complex with apparently normal function, all the original bases within stem 1 were altered, but the same number of potential base pairs was restored. Thus, the topology of the pseudoknot is important, rather than the specific sequence of stem 1. The telomerase RT component p133 might interact directly with the pseudoknot or the pseudoknot might provide some conformation that allows p133 assembly. Other RNA pseudoknots are critical for specific protein binding. The gene 32 protein from T4 phage binds to a pseudoknot at the 5′ end of its own mRNA (24), and the ribosomal protein S15 also binds to a pseudoknot in its mRNA (25), leading to autoregulation in both cases. NMR studies show interaction between loops within the grooves of corresponding stems and specific structure at stem–stem junctions (32, 33). The NMR spectroscopic structure of the transfer RNA-like pseudoknot from turnip yellow mosaic virus suggested that this topological structure had “internal mobility” or structural flexibility at helical junctions that may be critical for regulating protein binding (33). Structural flexibility might also be important for the TER pseudoknot for proper telomerase function and regulation in vivo. Certain structural alterations of the TER pseudoknot, for example unwinding of stem 1 or other changes, might promote the dissociation of TERT and negatively regulate telomerase activity.

Previously, no function had been defined for any region of TER outside the template. Previously, several pseudoknots that specifically bound with high affinity to HIV type 1 RT were selected randomly in vitro (34). Here, we have reported a biological function for a natural, conserved pseudoknot structure in promoting complex formation with TERT.
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