Lovastatin-mediated G₁ arrest is through inhibition of the proteasome, independent of hydroxymethyl glutaryl-CoA reductase
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ABSTRACT In this paper we present the finding that lovastatin arrests cells by inhibiting the proteasome, which results in the accumulation of p21 and p27, leading to G₁ arrest. Lovastatin is an inhibitor of hydroxymethyl glutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase, the rate-limiting enzyme in cholesterol synthesis. Previously, we reported that lovastatin can be used to arrest cultured cells in the G₁ phase of the cell cycle, resulting in the stabilization of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs) p21 and p27. In this report we show that this stabilization of p21 and p27 may be the result of a previously unknown function of the pro-drug, β-lactone ring form of lovastatin to inhibit the proteasome degradation of these CKIs. The lovastatin mixture used in this study is 80% open-ring form and 20% pro-drug, β-lactone form. We show that while the lovastatin open-ring form and pravastatin (a lovastatin analogue, 100% open ring) inhibit the HMG-CoA reductase enzyme, lovastatin pro-drug inhibits the proteasome but does not inhibit HMG-CoA reductase. In addition, many of the properties of proteasome inhibition by the pro-drug are the same as the specific proteasome inhibitor lactacystin. Lastly, mevalonate (used to rescue cells from lovastatin arrest) unexpectedly abrogates the lactacystin and lovastatin pro-drug inhibition of the proteasome. Mevalonate increases the activity of the proteasome, which results in degradation of the CKIs, allowing lactacystin- and lactacystin-arrested cells to resume cell division. The lovastatin-mediated inhibition of the proteasome suggests a unique mechanism for the chemopreventative effects of this agent seen in human cancer.

Metabolic and cellular processes that require exquisite temporal precision, like the cell cycle, often involve selective proteolytic degradation of regulated proteins (1). One major degradative pathway capable of such activity is the proteasome pathway (2, 3). This pathway is involved in the regulation of diverse processes including embryogenesis, signal transduction, and cell cycle progression (2, 4). For example, degradation of several proteins involved in cell cycle regulation such as Clns, Clbs, cyclins A, B, D, E, p53, and pRb are via ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (2, 4). The ubiquitin pathway also regulates the levels of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs) p27 and p21 (5–7).

Proteasome activity is inhibited by several peptide aldehydes (e.g., LLnL) and compounds like 3,4-dichloroisocoumarin and lactacystin (8). Lactacystin, a Streptomyces metabolite containing a β-lactone ring, selectively inhibits proteolytic activities of the proteasome (8, 9). The moiety crucial for inhibition of the proteasome activity is the β-lactone electrophilic carboxyl, which targets enzymes containing a catalytic nucleophile such as a protease. In contrast, the dihydroxy acid form of lactacystin is essentially inert to nucleophilic attack and is incapable of inhibiting the proteasome (10). These findings suggest that the pro-drug form of another β-lactone, lovastatin, similar in structure to lactacystin, may inhibit the ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of key regulatory proteins such as the cyclins and CKIs.

Lovastatin is used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia (11) because it inhibits hydroxymethyl-glutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase, and thus prevents HMG-CoA’s conversion into mevalonic acid (12, 13). When mevalonate levels decrease as a response to lovastatin, isoprenylation of key signal transduction proteins (e.g., Ras, Rap, etc.) is prevented, their subcellular localization is disrupted, and they are inactivated as signal transducers (14). Administration of lovastatin to cells in culture impacts cell cycle progression. We have reported that lovastatin effectively synchronizes both tumor and normal cells (15) and arrests cells in G₁ (16, 17). The cell cycle pathways perturbed by lovastatin have been shown by several laboratories to result in the induction of CKIs p21 and/or p27 (16–21) independent of other standard G₁-arresting agents/conditions such as serum starvation or double thymidine block (17). Additionally, the lovastatin-mediated G₁ arrest and p21/p27 induction occur independently of the ras signaling pathway/function (22, 23).

How lovastatin induces G₁ arrest and simultaneously increases p21 and/or p27 currently is undefined. One simple explanation is that decreased cholesterol and/or its intermediary metabolites prevent cell cycle progression and that the induction of p21/p27 is a secondary event. Indeed because mevalonate releases arrested cells from G₁ block, it has been assumed that the target of lovastatin action is within the mevalonate/cholesterol pathway and that mevalonate or one of its downstream products is essential for cell division (20, 24).

The studies presented here suggest that alternative pathways may be targeted by lovastatin; these pathways may mediate the lovastatin effects on cell proliferation. We show that lovastatin in its pro-drug form (β-lactone) is entirely responsible for the effects on p21 and p27 leading to G₁ arrest. We identified the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway as an alternative, HMG-CoA-independent, pathway and show that the pro-drug inhibits the proteasome both in vitro and in vivo. Our studies also suggest that an additional role for mevalonate is to abrogate the effects of both lactacystin and the pro-drug form of lovastatin by increasing the activity of the proteasome.

Abbreviations: HMG, hydroxymethyl glutaryl; CKI, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor.
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METHODS

Materials, Cell Lines, and Culture Conditions. Lovastatin was provided by William Henckler (Merck, Sharp, and Dohme Research Pharmaceuticals, Rahway, NJ) and was converted from its pro-drug form to its dihydroxy-open acid form as described (15). The pro-drug was soluble in 60% ethanol, and pravastatin was soluble in water. Treatments of MDA-MB-157 with lovastatin, its pro-drug form, pravastatin, LLnL, or lactacystin and Western blot analysis were performed as described (15, 16).

HPLC Analysis of Pro-Drug, Lovastatin, and Pravastatin. RP-HPLC using a Waters 625 chromatography system was performed as described (25). Briefly, a C18 Novapac column was equilibrated with the stationary phase of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and 90% acetonitrile as the mobile phase. Ten micrograms of lovastatin mixture or \(-\)lactone (diluted 0.1% TFA) was analyzed by HPLC, and the eluted fractions were subjected to mass spectrometry as described (26).

Assay for HMG-CoA Reductase Activity. The HMG-CoA reductase assays in MDA-MB-157 cells were performed as described (27). Briefly, cells were homogenized by sonication in the reaction buffer (200 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4/20 mM DTT/40 mM glucose-6-phosphate/3 mM \(\beta\)-NADPH/2 units/ml glucose-6-phosphatase), and the 20,000 \(\times\) g supernatant was incubated with the pro-drug, lovastatin, or pravastatin at 37°C, followed by the addition of \([14C]\) HMG-CoA. Samples were subjected to TLC with \([14C]\) mevalolactone (MVA) as standard and analyzed by PhosphorImager scanning. The efficiency of the enzymatic reaction is a measure of conversion of \([14C]\) HMG-CoA into \([14C]\) MVA formed and expressed as percent conversion per mg of microsomal protein.

Proteasome Activity Assay. For measurement of the proteasome chymotrypsin peptidase activity, 10 \(\mu\)l of cellular extract (100 \(\mu\)g, prepared by brief sonication of cells and fractionation at 16,000 \(\times\) g) was diluted in a cuvette containing 2 ml of 20 mM Heps, 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8, 0.035% SDS with the indicated concentrations of lactacystin, pro-drug, lovastatin, and pravastatin. The cell extracts contain a mixture of 26S and 20S proteasomes. (The proteasome assays of the pretreated cells were performed after treatment of MDA-MB-157 cells with the indicated drugs, and the medium was removed and the cells were washed several times before they were lysed to removed excess inhibitor). The above mixture was incubated at 37°C before the addition of the fluorogenic substrate, 10 \(\mu\)M succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin. Substrate hydrolysis was measured by continuous monitoring of fluorescence (emission at 440 nm, excitation at 380 nm) for 15 min as described (9).

RESULTS

Lovastatin-Mediated Induction of p21 and p27 Is Independent of the HMG-CoA Reductase Block. Our hypothesis is that the induction of CKIs by lovastatin is not solely through inhibition of the HMG-CoA reductase pathway, but also through the inhibition of the proteasome pathway. We base this hypothesis on the structural similarities between the pro-drug form of lovastatin and lactacystin, a proteasome inhibitor. The chemical form of lovastatin likely to inhibit the proteasome pathway is the closed \(\beta\)-lactone ring (referred to herein as pro-drug) form, whereas the open-ring form inhibits the HMG-CoA reductase. The pro-drug form of lovastatin is routinely modified to its open dihydroxy acid form chemically as described (15) before treatment of cells. By using RP-HPLC
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different proteasome inhibitors (LLnL and lactacystin) resulted in a dramatic induction of p21 and p27 in a dose- and time-dependent fashion (Fig. 3 C and D). This finding suggests that the proteasome pathway has a role in the lovastatin-mediated stabilization of the CKIs.

We next evaluated the kinetics of proteasome inhibition by the pro-drug form of lovastatin (Fig. 4). The ability of lactacystin, the pro-drug, lovastatin mixture, and pravastatin to inhibit the proteasome complex (a mixture of 26S and 20S proteasomes) in MDA-MB-157 cell extracts was measured directly by using a fluorescence assay containing a fluorogenic peptide substrate for the chymotrypsin-like activity of this complex (29, 30). Lactacystin was capable of inhibiting the peptidase activity of the proteasome completely at 1 μM (Fig. 4A). The pro-drug also inhibits the proteasome activity in a dose-dependent fashion with half-maximal inhibition occurring at 40 μM. The lovastatin mixture, which contains only 20% of the pro-drug form, also inhibited the proteasome activity but at much higher concentrations, reflecting the low percentage of the pro-drug form and that in the mixture, lovastatin may block the peptidase mediated inhibition by the pro-drug. Pravastatin, on the other hand, was incapable of inhibiting the proteasome over the concentration ranges examined (i.e., up to 6.4 mM) (Fig. 4A). This analysis clearly revealed that the pro-drug form of lovastatin inhibits the proteasome activity in vitro. Because the concentration required to inhibit the proteasome in vitro is higher than that used to arrest cells, we also performed the proteasome experiments in vivo by first treating cells with the inhibitors, followed by measurement of the peptidase activity of the proteasome (Fig. 4B). These analyses revealed that lovastatin mixture, its pro-drug form, and lactacystin inhibited the activity of the proteasome at concentrations similar to those required to achieve G1 arrest in vivo. Differences in drug potency in vivo and in vitro are likely caused by different rates of uptake and metabolism. Furthermore, mevalonate was able to abrogate the inhibitory activity of these agents on the proteasome (Fig. 4B and see below). These studies (Figs. 1–4) suggest that a specific proteasome-mediated pathway contributes to increased expression, via stabilization, of p21 and p27 in cells treated with the lovastatin mixture or the pro-drug.

Mevalonate Abrogates the Lovastatin- and Lactacystin-Mediated Inhibition of the Proteasome. In this study we are proposing that mevalonate also has a dual function. Mevalonate reverses the lovastatin inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase and restores cholesterol biosynthesis. Unexpectedly, mevalonate also abrogates the lactacystin/pro-drug inhibition of the proteasome. Fig. 5A shows that the G1 arrest mediated by both lovastatin and its pro-drug form can be abrogated by mevalonate. Because the pro-drug form of lovastatin does not inhibit HMG-CoA reductase (Fig. 2), it follows that mevalonate must have another role in reversing the pro-drug mediated G1 arrest. If our hypothesis is correct and the lovastatin-mediated inhibition of the proteasome leads to G1 arrest, then mevalonate should modulate proteasome activity. We directly addressed the ability of mevalonate to abrogate the effects of lactacystin on cells (Fig. 5B). Treatment of cells with 10 μM lactacystin resulted in significant (i.e., 70%) apoptosis of cells (Fig. 5B). [Lactacystin (10 μM) also completely inhibited the proteasome activity as shown in Fig. 4.] Intriguingly, when cells were treated with lactacystin in the presence of increasing concentrations of mevalonate, the lactacystin-mediated apoptosis was completely abrogated. However, addition of mevalonate after lactacystin does not reverse the apoptotic effects of lactacystin (data not shown). These results show that mevalonate abrogates the effects of lactacystin inhibition of the proteasome in vivo.

The reversal of lovastatin-mediated inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase by mevalonate is biochemically sound and documented extensively. However, the abrogation of proteasome inhibition by mevalonate represents an exciting enigma, because it suggests a unique function for mevalonate. One way mevalonate might abrogate proteasome inhibition is through activation of the proteasome itself. To examine whether mevalonate could modulate the proteasome activity we pretreated cells with increasing concentrations of mevalonate for 36 hr and measured the proteasome activity (29, 30). These studies in two breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-157 and MDA-MB-436) revealed that when cells were treated with increasing concentrations of mevalonate, the peptidase activity of the proteasome increased 300–500% over the concentration range examined (Fig. 5C). The mevalonate-mediated increase in the peptidase activity occurred if cells were pretreated with mevalonate for the indicated times, not when mevalonate was added to cell extracts (data not shown). We believe that mevalonate abrogates the action of both lactacystin and the pro-drug form of lovastatin on the proteasome by either increasing the activity of the proteasome complex, increasing the assembly of the active complexes, or unmasking inactive complexes. Alternatively, mevalonate also may either prevent the cellular uptake of the pro-drug or inactivate the β-lactone. Although the mechanism of mevalonate abrogation of proteasome inhibition currently is not known, our studies suggest that in addition to being an intermediate of cholesterol bio-

**Fig. 4.** Inhibition of the proteasome activity by the pro-drug form of lovastatin and lactacystin. Cell extracts were prepared from either MDA-MB-157 cells (A) without drug treatment or (B) treated with either lovastatin (lov) (40 μM), pro-drug (40 μM), or lactacystin (lact) (1 μM) in the presence or absence of mevalonate (mev) (5 mM) for 36 hr and assayed for proteasome enzyme activity. The extracts in A were incubated in the presence of the indicated concentrations of the drugs for 20 min at 37°C at which point the fluorogenic peptide substrate (100 μM final concentration) for the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome (i.e., Suc-LLVY-AMC) was added to extracts. The fluorescence assays (excitation/emission 380/440 nm) were conducted at 37°C for 750 sec, and n = 3. The pretreated extracts in B were not further incubated in the presence of the inhibitors and were directly assayed for the proteasome activity. ■, lactacystin; ○, pro-drug; ●, lovastatin; □, pravastatin.
synthesis, mevalonate has a role in facilitating proteolytic degradation.

DISCUSSION

The hypothesis we present here is that lovastatin induces CKIs p21 and p27 in breast cancer cells by modulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, independent of inhibition of the HMG-CoA reductase enzyme. The model for this hypothesis is presented in Fig. 6. The left portion of this diagram illustrates the traditional role of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors that block mevalonate synthesis, preventing the isoprenylation of key proteins implicated in cell division. The right side of the diagram illustrates our hypothesis that the proteasome is inhibited by both the pro-drug form of lovastatin and lactacystin, leading to accumulation of p21 and p27 and subsequent G1 arrest or apoptosis. Central to our hypothesis is the unusual discovery that mevalonate, in addition to its known ability to rescue HMG-CoA reductase inhibition, unexpectedly abrogates inhibition of the proteasome by lactacystin and the pro-drug form of lovastatin. This process leads to the degradation of the CKIs and resumption of cell division.

Although our results and hypothesis do not dispute the role of lovastatin (open-ring form) in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, they do describe alternative roles for the pro-drug form of lovastatin and mevalonate. On examination of the lovastatin literature we found that our previously unrecognized “side effects” of lovastatin and mevalonate might provide insights for numerous studies, which seemed unrelated to the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway. For example, a number of studies indicate that lovastatin and lactacystin share common ground in their biological effects (Fig. 6). Inhibitors of both HMG-CoA reductase and the proteasome have similar stimulatory effects on the differentiation of PC12 neuronal cells. Simvastatin, a lovastatin analogue, causes neurite-like outgrowth and inhibition of cell proliferation in PC12 cells, which was completely reversible by mevalonate. Lactacystin also causes neurite outgrowth of PC12 cells and results in neurogenesis and neurite outgrowth in a murine cell line (29, 31, 32). In contrast, pravastatin, which resembles only the active form of lovastatin, had no effect on these cells (33). The above studies provide evidence that the common biological effects of lovastatin and lactacystin may be through modulation of the proteasome pathway. Other studies highlight properties of lovastatin that seem unrelated to cholesterol biosynthesis. For example, treatment of cells with the lovastatin analogue, simvastatin (more potent than lovastatin) resulted in regeneration of cultured rat skeletal muscle cells with a toxic effect on growth and differentiation, without influencing the cholesterol and phospholipid content of the cells (34). Inhibition of the HMG-CoA reductase also induced differentiation of human monocyctic cells associated with growth retardation and expression of differentiation markers (35). Lovastatin also inhibited experimental lung metastasis of the highly metastatic B16F10 mouse melanoma in nude mice (36). Lastly, data from a large clinical trial of lovastatin produced the unexpected finding that lovastatin also may have chemoprevention abilities. When patients with severe hypercholesterolemia were treated with lovastatin, a decreased incidence (14 patients) of cancer of all types was observed in these patients compared with the expected rates (21 patients) during the 5-year period of the study (37). This clinical study emphasizes the significance of our finding in terms of the observed biological effects of lovastatin. We suggest that the
mechanism by which lovastatin functions as a chemopreventative agent may be through the inhibition of the proteasome, resulting in increased stabilization of p21 and p27, which have tumor suppressive abilities.

The ability of mevalonate to reverse the effects of lovastatin fits well with the idea that activity of HMG-CoA reductase is needed to provide precursors vital to cell division. However, our data suggests another role for mevalonate is to abrogate the effects of both lactacystin and the pro-drug form of lovastatin. On one hand, mevalonate acts as cornerstone of cholesterol biosynthesis, and on the other, it is an allosteric effector of the proteasome (Fig. 6). We show that mevalonate abrogates the inhibitory action of both the pro-drug and lactacystin by the up-regulation of proteasome activity. There is precedent for this hypothesis. It has been reported that whereas HMG-CoA reductase is normally a stable enzyme with an extended half-life, downstream products such as mevalonate, sterols, and their derivatives like 25-hydroxycholesterol will promote the rapid and specific degradation of this enzyme (38, 39). Because HMG-CoA reductase is degraded through the proteasome pathway (40) and mevalonate increases the activity of the proteasome (this study), it follows that addition of mevalonate could promote the degradation of this enzyme (38).

In summary, we have provided evidence that lovastatin suppresses cell proliferation through inhibition of proteasome-mediated degradation of p21 and p27, and mevalonate can abrogate this effect by activation of the proteasome. These additional effects of lovastatin and mevalonate, not only provide insights into the biochemical pathways disturbed by these agents, but also provide explanation for numerous studies documenting their unrecognized effects. One such unrecognized effect of lovastatin is its chemopreventative abilities that may be mediated through inhibition of the proteasome.
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