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ABSTRACT In our 3D NOESY-[1H,15N,1H]-ZQ-TROSY NMR experiment, the TROSY principle (transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy) is used in three-dimensional (3D) 15N-resolved nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY), which enables resonance assignments by sequential nuclear Overhauser effects and the collection of structural constraints in large 15N- or 2H,15N-labeled proteins. Our experiment affords optimization of the transverse relaxation in all three frequency dimensions, provides suppression of the strong diagonal autorelaxation peaks, which otherwise tend to interfere with the analysis of nearby informative crosspeaks, and yields improved resolution for the entire spectrum when compared with conventional 3D 15N-resolved-[1H,1H]-NOESY, because of the narrower lineshapes along both proton dimensions. The key element of this experiment is an approach for correlating the 15N and 1H chemical shifts with two-dimensional ZQ-[15N,1H]-TROSY, where zero-quantum (ZQ) coherence is generated and the remote cross-correlation between the 1H and 15N chemical shift anisotropy interactions is used to reduce transverse relaxation during 15N evolution. Practical applications are illustrated with spectra of a protein with a molecular mass of 110,000 Da.

The introduction of transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy (TROSY) (1) has greatly expanded the molecular size range accessible for solution NMR experiments that correlate the chemical shifts of either 15N and 1H in N–H groups (2, 3) or 13C and 1H in aromatic C–H fragments (4) and for triple-resonance experiments used for sequential assignments of [2H, 13C, 15N]- or [13C, 15N]-labeled proteins (5). With regard to extending NMR structure determination to larger molecular sizes, it is further of keen interest to improve sensitivity and resolution of nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) experiments with the use of TROSY, which is the subject of this paper. NOE spectroscopy (NOESY) yields 1H–1H distance constraints that enable de novo determination of three-dimensional (3D) structures of biological macromolecules by NMR in solution (6).

In initial implementations of the TROSY principle in NOE experiments, [13C,1H]-TROSY and [15N,1H]-TROSY have been used in 3D 13C-resolved and 15N-resolved [1H,1H]-NOESY to resolve homonuclear 1H–1H NOEs along the heteronuclear dimension (7, 8). In these NOE experiments, the conventional [13C,1H]- or [15N,1H]-heteronuclear single-quantum coherence or heteronuclear multiple-quantum coherence schemes (9) were substituted by the corresponding single-quantum TROSY-type chemical shift correlation schemes (2, 4), whereby the desired transverse relaxation optimization was achieved along the 13C frequency axis (7) or in the 15N and 1H dimensions (8), respectively. Because in 3D heteronuclear-resolved NOESY experiments the most interesting information resides in the [1H,1H]-spectral planes and high spectral resolution should therefore primarily be achieved along the two 1H dimensions, this approach is of only limited use. Here, we introduce a 3D 15N-resolved NOE experiment, 3D NOESY-[1H,15N,1H]-ZQ-TROSY, which affords optimization of the transverse relaxation in all three spectral dimensions. An additional important feature of this experiment is artifact-free suppression of the diagonal peaks, which are typically much stronger than the crosspeaks and tend to interfere with crosspeak identification and integration in NOESY spectra. A key element of 3D NOESY-[1H,15N,1H]-ZQ-TROSY is that the 15N and 1H chemical shifts are correlated with sensitivity-enhanced two-dimensional (2D) ZQ-[15N,1H]-TROSY steps, which use the remote cross-correlation between 1H chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) and 15N CSA interactions (10) to reduce the transverse relaxation rate of the [15N,1H]-ZQ coherence.

METHODS

The experimental schemes that are introduced in this paper (Fig. 1) are described by the product operator formalism (11) by using the single-transition basis operators \( I_{1}^{a} = 1/2 \left( I_{1} + I_{1}S_{1}^{z} \right) \) and \( I_{2}^{a} = 1/2 \left( I_{1} - I_{1}S_{1}^{z} \right) \), where \( I \) and \( S \) represent the 1H and 15N spins, and \( i \) stands for “+” or “−.” The abbreviations \( DQ_{z} = I_{2}S_{2}^{z} \) and \( ZQ_{z} = I_{1}S_{1}^{z} \) are used for the double-quantum (DQ) and ZQ operators, respectively. For the 2D ZQ-[15N,1H]-TROSY element, two equivalent magnetization transfer pathways are given by Eqs. 1 and 2:

\[
I_{1}^{a} \rightarrow ZQ_{z} \exp(-R^{ZQ}+i\Omega^{ZQ})t_{1} \rightarrow I_{2}^{a} \exp(-R^{ZQ}+i\Omega^{ZQ})t_{2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
I_{2}^{a} \rightarrow DQ_{z} \exp(-R^{DQ}+i\Omega^{DQ})t_{1} \rightarrow I_{1}^{a} \exp(-R^{15N}+i\Omega^{15N})t_{2} \tag{2}
\]

The initial steady-state 1H polarization at time point \( a \) (Fig. 1a) \( I_{2} \) is the sum of the single-transition polarization operators, \( I_{2} = I_{1}^{a} + I_{2}^{a} \), which can be considered separately. \( \Omega_{2} \) are the chemical shifts relative to the carrier frequency of the spins \( I \) and \( S \), \( \Omega_{2} = \Omega_{I} - \Omega_{S} \), and \( \Omega_{2}^{15N} = \Omega_{I}^{15N} + \Omega_{S}^{15N} \). The relaxation rates of the individual single-quanta transitions \( 2 \rightarrow 4 \) and \( 1 \rightarrow 3 \) (1, 4), \( R_{ZQ}^{DQ} \) and \( R_{ZQ}^{DQ} \) are the relaxation rates of the ZQ and DQ coherences given by Eqs. 3 and 4.

\[
R_{ZQ}^{DQ} = 4J(0)(\delta_{I}^{2} + \delta_{S}^{2} - 2P_{2}(\phi)\delta_{S}^{2}) + 1/T_{2L} + 1/T_{2S} + 4J(0)(\omega_{I} - \omega_{S}) + 6J(\omega_{I}) + 6J(\omega_{S}) \tag{3}
\]

Abbreviations: 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; DQ, double-quantum; ZQ, zero-quantum; DD, dipole–dipole; CSA, chemical shift anisotropy; TROSY, transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy; NOE, nuclear Overhauser effect; NOESY, NOE spectroscopy; INEPT, insensitive nuclei enhanced by polarization transfer; DHNA, 7,8-dihydroneopterin aldolase.
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FIG. 1. Schemes for the experiments discussed in this paper. (a) 2D ZQ-[15N,1H]-TROSY. (b) 3D NOESY-ZQ-[1H,15N,1H]-TROSY with transverse relaxation optimization in all three dimensions and suppression of the diagonal peaks. On the lines marked \( ^{1}H \) and \( ^{15}N \), narrow and wide bars stand for nonselective 90° and 180° rf pulses, respectively, and curved shapes represent water-selective 90° rf pulses. Water saturation is minimized by returning the water magnetization to the \( z \) axis before data acquisition (15, 18). The time period \( t \) is set to 5.4 ms. The line marked PFG indicates pulsed magnetic field gradients applied along the \( z \) axis. (a) The gradients are: \( G_{1} \) amplitude 30 G/cm, duration 1 ms; \( G_{2} \), 5 G/cm, 0.5 ms; \( G_{3} \), 5 G/cm, 0.5 ms; \( G_{4} \), 40 G/cm, 1 ms. The phases for the rf pulses are: \( \phi_{1} = (\pi - x); \phi_{2} = (x); \phi_{3} = (x, -x, y, y); \phi_{4} = (x, x, -y, y); \phi_{5} = (y, y, -x, -x); \phi_{6} = (y); \phi_{7} \) on all other pulses. To obtain a complex interferogram, a second free induction decay (FID) is recorded for each \( t \) delay, with the following different phases: \( \phi_{1} = (x); \phi_{2} = (\pi - x); \phi_{3} = (x, -x, y, y); \phi_{4} = (\pi - x, y, y, y). \) After Fourier transformation in the \( y \) dimension, the complex interferogram is multiplied by \( [-1 \cdot \exp(-i \cdot 2 \pi t_{1} \cdot f_{1})] \), where \( \Delta f_{1} \) is the offset in the \( x_{0} \) dimension relative to the \( ^{1}H \) carrier frequency in rad s\(^{-1} \). Further data processing following ref. 2. (b) The gradients are: \( G_{1} \), 30 G/cm, 1 ms; \( G_{2} \), 30 G/cm, 1 ms; \( G_{3} \), 5 G/cm, 0.5 ms; \( G_{4} \), 40 G/cm, 0.5 ms; \( G_{5} \), 5 G/cm, 0.5 ms; \( G_{6} \), 40 G/cm, 1 ms. The phases for the rf pulses are: \( \phi_{1} = (4(45^\circ), 4(225^\circ)); \phi_{2} = (x); \phi_{3} = (x); \phi_{4} = (x); \phi_{5} = (x, -x, y, y, y, y, y); \phi_{6} = (x, -x, -y, y, -x, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y); \phi_{7} = (y, y, -x, -x); \phi_{8} = (y); \phi_{9} \) on all other pulses. The \( ^{1}H \) carrier frequency offsets are set to 8.7 ppm at time point \( f_{01} \) and to 4.8 ppm at \( f_{02} \). Quadrature detection in \( \omega_{0} \) is achieved by the States time-proportional phase incrementation method (9) applied with the phase \( \phi_{10} \). For each \( t_{2} \) increment, a second FID is recorded with the following different phases: \( \phi_{11} = (x); \phi_{12} = (x, x, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y); \phi_{13} = (y); \phi_{14} \) further data processing as described for \( a \). As an alternative, in both schemes the coherence selection can be supported by addition of the PFGs \( G_{2} \) (50 G/cm, 1.5 ms) and \( G_{4} \) (50 G/cm, 0.177 ms). \( G_{2} \) is then inverted in concert with the phase shifts used to obtain a complex interferogram, and the nonzero initial values for the evolution times need to be taken into account.

\[
R^{DD} = 4(1)(\delta_{I} + \delta_{S}) + 2P_{2}(\phi)\delta_{I}\delta_{S} + 1/T_{2D} + 1/T_{2S} \\
+ \delta_{I}^{2}M(\omega_{0}) + \delta_{S}^{2}M(\omega_{0}) + p^{2}(6J(\omega_{0}) + 6J(\omega_{0})) \\
+ 24J(\omega_{0} + \omega_{0}) \tag{4}
\]

For rigid spheres, the spectral densities, \( J(\omega) \), can be written as

\[
J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_{e}}{5(1 + (\tau_{e} \omega)^{2})} \tag{5}
\]

In Eqs. 3–5, \( \omega_{0} \) and \( \omega_{0} \) are the Larmor frequencies of the spins \( S \) and \( I \), the dipole–dipole (DD) interaction is \( p^{2} = 1/2(\gamma_{I}/\gamma_{S})^{2} \), the CSA interactions are \( \delta_{I} = 1/18(\omega_{0}\Delta\sigma_{S})^{2} \)

and \( \delta_{S} = 1/18(\omega_{0}\Delta\sigma_{S})^{2} \), \( \gamma_{I} \) and \( \gamma_{S} \) are the gyromagnetic ratios of \( I \) and \( S \), \( h \) is the Planck constant divided by \( 2\pi \), \( r_{fi} \) the distance between the nuclei \( S \) and \( I \), \( \Delta\sigma = \sigma_{S} - 0.5(\sigma_{S} + \sigma_{I}) \), \( P_{2}(\phi) = (3\cos^{2}\phi - 1)/2 \), where \( \phi \) is the angle between the principal directions of the chemical shift tensors of the spins \( S \) and \( I \), and \( 1/T_{2D} \) and \( 1/T_{2S} \) are the contributions to the transverse relaxation rates of the spins \( S \) and \( I \) because of DD coupling with remote protons (1).

In the slow tumbling limit, only terms in \( J(0) \), \( 1/T_{2D} \) and \( 1/T_{2S} \) need to be retained in Eqs. 3 and 4. For backbone \(^{15}N-^{1}H \) amide moieties in proteins, where \( \delta_{S} = \delta_{I} \) and the unique axes of the \(^{1}H \) and \(^{15}N \) CSA tensors are nearly parallel (12, 13), \( P_{2}(\phi) \approx 1 \) and the transverse relaxation caused by \(^{1}H \) CSA and \(^{15}N \) CSA interactions is largely suppressed for ZQ coherence (Eq. 3). Because \(^{15}N-^{1}H \) DD relaxation is negligible for ZQ coherence (11) and the acquired signal comes from the relaxation-optimized single-transition 2D \( \rightarrow \) 4 (2), Eq. 1 represents a way for obtaining TROSY-type 2D \(^{15}N-^{1}H \)-correlation spectra with the transverse relaxation optimized in both dimensions. The unfavorable pathway of Eq. 2 is suppressed by phase cycling, pulsed field gradient (PGFs), or a combination of both (Fig. 1a).

The ZQ-[\(^{15}N,^{1}H \)]-TROSY experiment contains a sensitivity-enhanced quadrature detection scheme that requires only a single insensitive nuclei enhanced by polarization transfer (INEPT) element for the transfer of both precessing orthogonal components of the ZQ coherence to the observable signal. When compared with the serial arrangement of two INEPT elements in the standard single transition-to-single transition polarization transfer enhancement scheme (2), a single INEPT element results in a smaller number of \(^{1}H \) and \(^{15}N \) pulses and an overall shorter pulse sequence, with concomitant improvement in sensitivity. With the use of the PGFs for coherence pathway selection according to Eq. 1, only a one-step phase cycle is required for the collection of a phase-sensitive sensitivity-enhanced 2D spectrum. These features make 2D ZQ-[\(^{15}N,^{1}H \)]-TROSY an attractive building block in more complex NMR experiments (see below).

Relaxation caused by remote DD coupling is not affected by TROSY, either in the ZQ variant or in the standard version with single-quantum coherence optimized by cross-correlation of DD coupling and CSA interactions (1, 2). The best results with the experiments of Fig. 1 will therefore be obtained in uniformly \(^{2}H,^{15}N \) labeled proteins, where 1/T2D and 1/T2S are affected only by interactions between closely spaced \(^{15}N-^{1}H \) groups.

In the two independent polarization transfer pathways of the experiment of Fig. 1a (Eqs. 1 and 2), the initial spin-polarizations associated with the individual transitions 1 \( \rightarrow \) 3 and 2 \( \rightarrow \) 4 are not mixed during the experiment, so that they can be separately detected as single transitions. Because the signal detected through the 2 \( \rightarrow \) 4 transition (Eq. 1) is also independent of the initial spin-polarization of the 2 \( \rightarrow \) 4 transition, the scheme of Fig. 1a can be used as a building block in the 3D NOESY-ZQ-[\(^{1}H,^{15}N,^{1}H \)]-TROSY experiment (Fig. 1b), where the diagonal autocorrelation peaks are suppressed, and the transverse relaxation is optimized in all three spectral dimensions. In the following, the experiment of Fig. 1b is analyzed for a combination of two 2-spin systems representing two \(^{15}N-^{1}H \) groups interacting by \(^{1}H-^{1}H \) DD coupling. The two spin systems are distinguished by additional superscripts, (1) and (2). Only the relevant magnetization transfer pathways are considered, and relaxation during the polarization transfer steps is neglected. Between the timepoints a and b (Fig. 1b), the equilibrium density matrix, \( \delta_{b} = \delta_{b}^{(1)} + \delta_{b}^{(2)} \), is transformed into a density matrix that contains the relevant terms given by Eq. 6.

\[
\delta_{b} = I_{22}^{(1)} \cos(\omega_{0}^{(1)}t_{1}) + I_{22}^{(2)} \cos(\omega_{0}^{(2)}t_{1}) \tag{6}
\]
Because of the SIE element (14) at the beginning of the pulse sequence (Fig. 1b), the steady-state magnetizations associated with the 1 → 3 proton transitions of both amide moieties are not 1H frequency labeled during \( t_1 \); they are kept transverse during the NOE mixing time \( \tau_m \) and eventually dephased by the gradient \( G_z \) (Fig. 1b). During \( \tau_m \), the 1 → 3 transitions can be repolarized by DD cross relaxation, with rates \( r_L \) for longitudinal autorelaxation, with rates \( r_p \) for longitudinal autorelaxation, with rates \( r_p^{(1)} \), resulting in exchange of magnetization between the \( i \) → \( j \) and \( k \) → \( l \) transitions as given by Eq. 7.

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix}
I^{(1)}_z - I^{(1)}_0 \\
I^{(2)}_z - I^{(2)}_0 \\
I^{(1)}_z - I^{(2)}_0 \\
I^{(2)}_z - I^{(2)}_0
\end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix}
\rho^{1313} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} \\
\rho^{1313} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} \\
\rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} \\
\rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324} & \rho^{1324}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I^{(1)}_z - I^{(1)}_0 \\
I^{(2)}_z - I^{(2)}_0 \\
I^{(1)}_z - I^{(2)}_0 \\
I^{(2)}_z - I^{(2)}_0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

with

\[
\rho^{1313} = (\gamma_1^2h/2r^3)^2(J(0) + 3J(\omega_I) + 6J(2\omega_I)) + p^2(J(\omega_I - \omega_J)) + 6J(\omega_I) + 3J(\omega_J) + 6J(\omega_J + \omega_J) + 3\delta z \delta 6J(\omega_J)
\]

\[
+ \delta \delta z 3J(\omega_J) - \delta p 12J(\omega_J),
\]

\[
\rho^{1324} = (\gamma_1^2h/3r^3)^2(J(0) + 3J(\omega_I) + 6J(2\omega_I)) + p^2(J(\omega_I - \omega_J)) + 6J(\omega_I) + 3J(\omega_J) + 6J(\omega_J + \omega_J) + 3\delta z \delta 6J(\omega_J)
\]

\[
+ \delta \delta z 3J(\omega_J) - \delta p 12J(\omega_J),
\]

\[
\rho^{2424} = p^2(J(\omega_J - \omega_J) - 3J(\omega_J) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_I)) - \delta \delta z 3J(\omega_J),
\]

\[
\rho^{3244} = (\gamma_1^2h/2r^3)^2(J(0) + 3J(\omega_I) + 6J(2\omega_I)) + p^2(J(\omega_I - \omega_J)) + 6J(\omega_I) + 3J(\omega_J) + 6J(\omega_J + \omega_J) + 3\delta z \delta 6J(\omega_J)
\]

\[
+ \delta \delta z 3J(\omega_J) - \delta p 12J(\omega_J),
\]

\[
\rho^{1324} = p^2(J(\omega_J - \omega_J) - 3J(\omega_J) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_I)) - \delta \delta z 3J(\omega_J),
\]

\[
\sigma = 0.5(\gamma_1^2h/2r^3)^2(-J(0) + 6J(\omega_J)).
\]

The time evolution of \( I^{(1)}_z(\tau_m) \) is obtained by integration of Eq. 7 with the initial conditions \( I^{(1)}_z(0) = 0 \), \( I^{(2)}_z(0) = 0 \), \( I^{(1)}_z(0) = I_0/2 \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1) \) and \( I^{(2)}_z(0) = I_0/2 \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \), where the steady-state proton magnetization \( I_0 \) was arbitrarily set to 2 when the following equations were written. The resulting expression for \( I^{(1)}_z(\tau_m) \) can be simplified, for the limit of slow tumbling (\( \omega_{J(\tau_c)} \gg 1 \)), with the initial buildup rate approximation (\( \sigma\tau_m \ll 1 \)) (11), which results in a density submatrix describing the magnetization of the spin \( J^{(1)} \) at time \( \tau_m \):

\[
\delta c^{(1)} = I_2^{(1)} \sigma \tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1) - I_2^{(2)} \sigma \tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1) - I_2^{(1)}(1 - \sigma \tau_m) \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1)
\]

\[
\delta c^{(2)} = I_2^{(2)} \sigma \tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1) - I_2^{(2)} \sigma \tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1) - I_2^{(2)}(1 - \sigma \tau_m) \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_1)
\]

\[
\delta c^{(2)}\text{ can be obtained by permuting the labels (1) and (2) in Eq. 12. The condition } \sigma\tau_m \ll 1 \text{ can readily be fulfilled. For example, with } \tau_c = 70 \text{ ms, } r = 0.29 \text{ nm and } \tau_m = 50 \text{ ms, } \sigma\tau_m \text{ has the value 0.13.}
\]

After the time point \( \tau_m \) in Fig. 1b, the 1H longitudinal polarizations, \( I_2^1 \) and \( I_2^2 \), are converted to heteronuclear ZQ and DQ coherences, respectively, of which only the ZQ component is retained:

\[
\delta^{(1)}_1 = ZQ_{(1)}((1 + \sin(\pi J))/(2\sigma\tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1)) \times \exp(-R^2t_1) + ZQ_{(2)}((1 + \sin(\pi J))/(2\sigma\tau_m \cos(\omega_{J(1)}^2t_1)) \exp(-R^2t_1)
\]

\[
\text{The first and second terms in Eq. 13 represent the crosspeaks and the diagonal peaks, respectively, as detected through the spin } J^{(1)}. \text{ From the second term, it is seen that for the INEPT condition of } \tau = 1/(2J), \text{ the diagonal peak vanishes if } J = J^{(1)}{(15N, 1H)}.
\]

Finally, the transfer function for the experimental scheme of Fig. 1b within the chosen approximations is

\[
\delta^{(1)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = -\sigma \tau_m (1 + \sin(\pi J))/(2\cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1)) \times \exp(-R^2t_1) \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_3) + (1 - \sigma \tau_m) (\sin^2(\pi J)/(2J)) \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \times \exp(-R^2t_1) \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_3)
\]

\[
\times \cos(\omega_{J(2)}^2t_1) \exp(-R^2t_3).
\]

And finally, the complexity of the NMR spectra is manageable in spite of the large size.

The ZQ coherence-based 2D ZQ-[15N, 1H]-TROSY experiment of Fig. 1a is evaluated in Fig. 2 by comparison with the single-quantum coherence-based [15N, 1H]-TROSY experiment of ref. 2 and a water-flip-back version of the conventional single-quantum coherence-based [15N, 1H]-COSY experiment (9), which both also correlate the 1H and 15N resonances of 15N–1H moieties. The figure shows cross-sections along both the 15N and 1H chemical shift axes of a well-separated cross peak. In a and b, only the upfield component of the 1H doublet.
building block in 3D $^{15}$N-resolved experiments such as 3D NOESY-$^{[1H,15N,1H]}$-TROSY (Fig. 1b). Fig. 3a and c present a spectrum of the protein DHNA recorded with the experiment of Fig. 1b by a set of strips, i.e., $2D \omega_2 (1H)/\omega_0 (1H)$ contour plots approximately centered about the $\omega_0 (1H)$ shift corresponding to the diagonal peak position of interest and taken at the chemical shift of the directly bound $^{15}$N nucleus in the $\omega_2$-dimension, and the corresponding one-dimensional cross sections taken along the $\omega_1$ dimension at the $\omega_0 (1H)$ positions of the diagonal peaks identified by the arrows. As a reference for evaluation of the new experiment, a similar presentation of the same regions of a conventional 3D $^{15}$N-resolved $^{[1H,1H]}$-NOESY spectrum (16) of DHNA is displayed in Fig. 3b and d. Along both $1H$ frequency axes, corresponding peak positions in the two spectra differ by approximately 45 Hz (1). Comparison of the two spectra shows that an important advantage of the 3D NOESY-$^{[1H,15N,1H]}$-TROSY experiment results from the fact that the diagonal peaks are either completely suppressed or have very small residual negative intensity (arrows in Fig. 3). The traces in Fig. 3c show that the scheme of Fig. 1b yields artifact-free suppression of the diagonal peaks, so that the crosspeaks near the diagonal become amenable for detailed analysis. The two spectra further demonstrate that significantly narrower crosspeak line widths were achieved when using TROSY, which in turn also yielded higher signal amplitudes.

**DISCUSSION**

This paper describes an extension of the principle of transverse relaxation-optimization (1) in three ways. Firstly, crosscorrelation between $^{15}$N CSA and $^{1H}$ CSA is used to reduce transverse relaxation during the $^{15}$N evolution time. So far, cross-correlated relaxation was used in TROSY schemes only between $^{15}$N-$^{1H}$ DD coupling and $^{15}$N CSA for optimization during the $^{15}$N evolution, between aromatic $^{13}$C-$^{1H}$ DD coupling and $^{13}$C CSA for optimization during $^{1H}$ acquisition (1), and in cross-correlated relaxation-enhanced polarization transfer (CRINEPT) between $^{12}$N-$^{1H}$ DD coupling and $^{15}$N CSA for optimization during magnetization transfers (21). Secondly, compared to previous TROSY implementations in 3D heteronuclear-resolved NOE experiments (7, 8), where transverse relaxation was optimized during the evolution of the heteronuclear spin and the directly observed $1H$ dimension, the experiment of Fig. 1b affords optimization in all three dimensions and thus yields narrower lines and concomitantly increased peak heights in both dimensions of the all-important $^{[1H,1H]}$-NOESY planes. Thirdly, the scheme of Fig. 1b results in high-quality suppression of the diagonal peaks, which enables a quantitative analysis of NOESY crosspeaks in spectral regions that would otherwise be only hardly accessible.

The newly introduced 3D NOESY-$^{[1H,15N,1H]}$-TROSY experiment (Fig. 1b) uses two different types of the aforementioned cross-correlation effects. The cross-correlation between $^{15}$N-$^{1H}$ DD coupling and $^{15}$N CSA interactions is used to compensate transverse relaxation during the $^{1H}$ chemical shift evolution period $t_1$ and the $^{15}$N signal acquisition period, $t_2$ (1, 2). Thereby the magnitude of the DD/CSA cross-correlation effect depends strongly on the strength of the polarizing magnetic field, $Bo$ (1, 3). Although very good results were obtained at the presently used field strength corresponding to a $^{1H}$ resonance frequency of 750 MHz (Fig. 3), further improvement is anticipated at higher fields, with an optimum between 1.0 and 1.1 GHz (1, 3). The remote cross-correlation between $^{15}$N CSA and $^{1H}$ CSA within the $^{15}$N-$^{1H}$ moieties is used to compensate transverse relaxation during the $^{15}$N chemical shift evolution period $t_2$. The CSA/CSA cross-correlation effect is determined excul-

---

**Fig. 2.** Comparison of three $^{[15N,1H]}$-correlation experiments. (a) $2D ZQ-^{[15N,1H]}$-TROSY recorded with the scheme of Fig. 1a. (b) $2D$ single-quantum-$^{[15N,1H]}$-TROSY recorded according to ref. 2. (c) Water-flip-back version of the conventional $^{[15N,1H]}$-HSOC experiment (9). The experiments were performed at a $^{1H}$ frequency of 750 MHz with a solution of 70% $^{2H}_2O/30% ^{1H}_2O$ from S. aureus (20) in 95% $^{1H}_2O/5% ^{2H}_2O$ at 25°C and pH 6.0. DHNA is a homoeptamer protein of molecular mass 110,000; the concentration in the NMR sample was 0.4 mM as calculated per subunit. The measuring time and protein of molecular mass 110,000; the concentration in the NMR sample was 0.4 mM as calculated per subunit. The measuring time and the experimental setup were identical for all three spectra. For a well-separated cross peak, cross sections along the $^{1H}$ and $^{15}$N dimensions are shown.
FIG. 3. Comparison of corresponding spectral regions in a 3D NOESY-ZQ-[1H,15N,1H]-TROSY spectrum recorded with the scheme of Fig. 1b (a, c) and a conventional 3D 15N-resolved [1H,1H]-NOESY spectrum recorded according to ref. 16 (b, d). Both experiments were recorded with the sample of DHNA described in Fig. 2, using a Bruker DRX-750 spectrometer. Data size 128(τ₁)*30(τ₂)*1024(τ₃) complex points, τ₁max = 524 ms, τ₂max = 515 ms and τ₃max = 105 ms. Eight scans per increment were acquired, resulting in a measuring time of 34 h per spectrum. The spectra were processed with the program PROSA (22). (a and b): Contour plots of ω₁(1H), ω₃(1H) strips. NOE connectivities are shown with thin horizontal and vertical lines. (c and d): Cross-sections taken along ω₁(1H) at the ω₃(1H) positions of the diagonal peaks in the strips a and b, respectively. In a–d, the positions of the diagonal peaks are indicated by arrows.

sively by the magnitudes and mutual orientations of the $^1H$ and $^{15}N$ CSA tensors and is therefore independent of the field strength $B_0$, which is in contrast with DD/CSA cross-correlation. Because in proteins the principal axes of the axially symmetric CSA tensors for $^{15}N$ and $^1H$ are both nearly parallel to the $^{15}N$–$^1H$ bond (12, 13), and the contributions of $^1H$ CSA and $^{15}N$ CSA to transverse relaxation are comparable in magnitude, the presently described use of CSA/CSA cross-correlation for TROSY-type relaxation compensation becomes possible. The use of CSA/CSA cross-correlation requires the generation of $^{15}N$–$^1H$ ZQ coherence. Although the original single-quantum coherence-based scheme using DD/CSA cross-correlation (2) provides intrinsically better compensation than the ZQ-coherence-based scheme (Fig. 2), this potential advantage can hardly be exploited in 3D heteronuclear-resolved experiments (see Results), and we obtained good quality artifact-free data only with the use of the ZQ-coherence-based scheme. Further, the desired transfer function (Eq. 14) can be obtained with a lesser number of INEPT-type transfer steps than would be required with alternative single-quantum coherence-based schemes, and in this way signal loss during the magnetization transfer periods can be minimized. In addition, the resonances of the $^{15}N$–$^1H$ groups of Gln and Asn residues are not suppressed by the ZQ-TROSY correlation scheme, so that NOE crosspeaks with these groups are also observed in 3D NOESY-$[^{1}H, ^{15}N,$ $^{1}H]$-ZQ-TROSY spectra.

In the experiment of Fig. 1b, the suppression of the strong diagonal peaks that are typically obtained with conventional NOESY schemes (6, 11, 16) may turn out to be of considerable interest in practice. A comparison of the two top slices in Fig. 3c with the corresponding slices in Fig. 3d shows that some $[^{1}H, ^{15}N, ^{1}H]$-ZQ-TROSY spectra that are totally obscured by strong diagonal peaks in the corresponding conventional NOESY experiment. Diagonal peak suppression is achieved through selective saturation of the $1 \rightarrow 3$ transitions in all amide groups at the beginning of the experimental scheme of Fig. 1b and repolarization via NOE cross-relaxation with the magnetization of the $2 \rightarrow 4$ transitions. If both polarization transfer pathways of Eqs. 1 and 2 were recovered, two 3D $^{15}N$-resolved NOESY spectra could be obtained, with and without diagonal peaks, respectively.
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