Biochemical characterization of Wnt-Frizzled interactions using a soluble, biologically active vertebrate Wnt protein
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ABSTRACT Biochemical studies of Wnt signaling have been hampered by difficulties in obtaining large quantities of soluble, biologically active Wnt proteins. In this paper, we report the production in <i>Drosophila</i> S2 cells of biologically active Xenopus Wnt8 (XWnt8). Epitope- or alkaline phosphatase-tagged XWnt8 proteins are secreted by concentrated S2 cells in a form that is suitable for quantitative biochemical experiments with yields of 5 and 0.5 mg per liter, respectively. Conditions also are described for the production in 293 cells of an IgG fusion of the cysteine-rich domain (CRD) of mouse Frizzled 8 with a yield of 20 mg/liter. We demonstrate the use of these proteins for studying the interactions between soluble XWnt8 and various Frizzled proteins, membrane anchored or secreted CRDs, and a set of insertion mutants in the CRD of <i>Drosophila</i> Frizzled 2. In a solid phase binding assay, the affinity of the XWnt8-alkaline phosphatase fusion for the purified mouse Frizzled 8-CRD-IgG fusion is ≈9 nM.

The Wnt proteins define a large family of extracellular signaling molecules found throughout the animal kingdom. Wnts play a central role in central nervous system, renal, placental, and limb development in vertebrates; early embryonic cell fate decisions and cell migration/polarity in <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>; and segment polarity and muscle specification in <i>Drosophila</i> (reviewed in refs. 1–3). Wnts and the Wnt signaling pathway also have been implicated in mammalian carcinogenesis. Although no alterations in Wnt genes have been identified in human cancers, recent studies have shown that increased activity of the Wnt signaling pathway, mediated by stabilization of β-catenin, is an important aspect of carcinogenesis in human melanomas and colorectal cancers (4–7).

Current evidence indicates that the Frizzled family of integral membrane proteins act as Wnt receptors. The founding member of this family was identified in <i>Drosophila</i> based on its role in tissue polarity in the adult cuticle (8). Many additional family members have been identified in both vertebrates and invertebrates, and all have at the amino terminus a conserved extracellular cysteine-rich domain, referred to as the cysteine-rich domain (CRD), that spans ~120 amino acids and contains 10 invariant cysteines, followed by seven putative membrane spanning domains (9–11). The identification of Frizzled proteins as Wnt receptors arose from experiments in cell culture showing that Frizzled-2, a second Frizzled family member from <i>Drosophila</i>, confers wingless (Wg) binding and Wg-dependent stabilization of Armadillo (the <i>Drosophila</i> orthologue of β-catenin) (12). Additional evidence for this inference comes from genetic interactions between pairs of Wnt and Frizzled genes in both embryonic and larval development in <i>C. elegans</i> (13–15), from RNA injection experiments in <i>Xenopus</i> embryos in which a mammalian Frizzled protein was shown to alter the subcellular localization (16) or to impede planar cell polarity (17). Many additional family members have been identified in <i>Drosophila</i> and vertebrates (18); recent studies have shown that Frizzled and Frizzled-2 function redundantly in the embryo as Wg receptors (refs. 19–21; P. Bhaniot, R. Nusse, J.N., and K. Cadigan, unpublished work). Tissue culture and <i>Xenopus</i> embryo experiments also provide evidence for specificity in Wnt and Frizzled interactions; some, but not all, Frizzled proteins confer Wg binding in transfected cells (12), and axis induction by <i>Xenopus</i> Wnt5A (XWnt5A) is conferred by only one of seven Frizzled proteins examined (17).

An additional level of complexity in Wnt signaling has emerged with the discovery in vertebrates of a family of secreted proteins that possess a CRD resembling those present in the Frizzled proteins; the members of this family are referred to as secreted Frizzled related proteins (sFRP) (22–28). Among Frizzled proteins, the CRD contains some or all of the Wnt-binding determinants because it is both necessary and sufficient for conferring Wg binding to transfected cells (12). sFRPs also can bind to Wnts, presumably via the CRD, when either protein is artificially anchored to the plasma membrane (23, 26). In <i>Xenopus</i> embryos, one sFRP, sFRP-3/FRZB, is localized to Spemann’s organizer, and injection of RNA encoding any of several sFRPs inhibits the bioactivity of coinjected XWnt8 (22–24, 27, 28). These and other data suggest that the sFRPs normally act as competitive inhibitors of Wnt-Frizzled binding.

Despite the advances noted above, the biochemical and structural properties of Wnts and their interactions with Frizzled and sFRP proteins and with extracellular matrix molecules remain poorly defined. The principal challenge is to prepare the requisite quantities of biologically active Wnts in soluble form. Wnts exhibit a low efficiency of secretion and a propensity to adhere to the extracellular matrix (ECM) (29–32), and, as a result, soluble Wnts thus far have only been produced in small quantities and in impure form. For example, biologically active Wg has been produced in the conditioned medium of transfected <i>Drosophila</i> Schneider (S2) cells (33), and biologically active Wnt-1 (34) and Wnt-5A (35) have been produced in the conditioned medium of transfected mammalian cells, but none of these preparations have been used for quantitative binding or structural studies.

In this paper, we report the production in <i>Drosophila</i> S2 cells of biologically active XWnt8. Epitope- or alkaline phosphatase-tagged XWnt8 proteins are secreted in a form that is suitable for quantitative biochemical experiments. Conditions also are described for the production of an IgG fusion of the CRD of mouse Frizzled 8 (mfz8) in milligram quantities. We demonstrate the use of these proteins for studying the interactions between soluble XWnt8 and various Frizzled proteins, membrane-anchored and soluble, biologically active vertebrate Wnt protein.
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secreted CRDs, and a set of insertion mutants in the CRD of *Drosophila* Frizzled 2.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DNA Constructs.** The XWnt8-myc protein contains a carboxy-terminal myc epitope tag (EQKLISEEDL) inserted between amino acids 339 and 340 (36). The XWnt8—human placental alkaline phosphatase (AP) construct contains the coding region of XWnt8-myc, without the carboxy-terminal 19 amino acids, fused upstream of the AP catalytic domain in a modified version of pAPTag2, a vector from which the alkaline phosphatase signal sequence has been removed (37). Glycophosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored myc-tagged CRDs were constructed as described in ref. 26 by using a modified pcIS vector (36) in which a DNA segment encoding a myc epitope (39) flanked by glycine spacers (GGGMEQKLISEEDLNGGG) is followed by a segment encoding the carboxy-terminal 40 amino acids of decapactivating factor (40). To construct the CRD-myc-GPI expression plasmids, PCR products engineered to contain an optimal Kozak sequence around the initiator ATG and encompassing the indicated codons were inserted in-frame and upstream of the myc-GPI cassette: *Drosophila* f2 (Df2), 1–270 (12); mfz3, 1–157; mfz4, 1–181; human fz5 (hfz5), 1–172; mfz6, 1–153; mfz7, 1–185; mfz8, 1–173 (11). Insertion of three codons (5′GGTTCCGGGA encoding gly-ser-gly and containing a unique EI site at codon 58 and either the BamHI site at codon 171 or the PstI site at codon 270. The mfz8CRD-IgG fusion was generated by fusing codons 1–173 of mfz8 upstream of the hinge region of the human IgG heavy chain gene (41). All DNA segments generated by PCR were sequenced to rule out spurious mutations.

**Production of XWnt8-myc and XWnt8-AP in S2 Cells.** Conditioned medium containing XWnt8-myc or XWnt8-AP was produced in S2 cells stably transfected with the corresponding cDNA under the control of a metallothionein promoter. For protein production, cells were concentrated 4-fold and were incubated at 25°C for 24 hr in serum-free *Drosophila* Expression System medium (Invitrogen) containing 0.5 mM CuSO4. Control medium was produced by CuSO4 induction of untransfected S2 cells. The media were centrifuged at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 1 hr to remove aggregates and were concentrated 20-fold by ultrafiltration.

**Production of mfz8CRD-IgG Fusion Protein.** Mfz8CRD-IgG and IgG were produced in 293 cells that were transiently transfected with the corresponding cDNA under the control of a metallothionein promoter. For protein production, cells were concentrated 4-fold and were incubated at 25°C for 24 hr in serum-free *Drosophila* Expression System medium (Invitrogen) containing 0.5 mM CuSO4. Control medium was produced by CuSO4 induction of untransfected S2 cells. The media were centrifuged at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 1 hr to remove aggregates and were concentrated 20-fold by ultrafiltration.

**β-Catenin Stabilization Assay.** C57MG cells were grown in 35-mm wells in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 10 μg/ml insulin and were ~70% confluent at the time of the assay. The cells were washed once with serum-free DMEM/F-12 and were incubated at the indicated temperature with 0.8 ml of S2-conditioned medium that was diluted with an equal volume of serum-free DMEM/F-12. Heparin (molecular weight = 6,000; Sigma) was added as indicated. After a 3-hr incubation, the conditioned medium was removed, and cells were harvested by incubation at 4°C for 5 min in PBS containing 5 mM EDTA and were collected by centrifugation. The cells were resuspended and homogenized in 100-μl lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5/140 mM NaCl/5 mM EDTA/0.5 μg/ml leupeptin/0.5 μg/ml aprotinin/0.5 μg/ml antipain), followed by centrifugation at 800 × g for 2 min. The resulting supernatant was further centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, and the proteins in the high speed supernatant (containing cytoplasmic β-catenin) were separated in a SDS/7.5% polyacrylamide gel and were immunoblotted by using anti-β-catenin mAb 15B8 (Sigma).

**Phosphoinositide–Phospholipid C (PI-PLC) Release of GPI-Anchored Proteins.** COS cells in 35-mm wells were transfected with 2 μg of plasmid DNA and 4 μl of FuGene 6 transfection reagent (Boehringer Mannheim). Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were harvested, were centrifuged at 800 × g for 2 min, and were resuspended in 100 μl of PBS containing 5 mM EDTA and 10 units of PI-PLC (Boehringer Mannheim). After a 1-hr incubation at 37°C with gentle rotation, the supernatant containing the released proteins was separated from the cells by centrifugation at 800 × g for 2 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of solubilization buffer (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.2/150 mM NaCl/1 mM EGTA/1 mM EDTA/10% glycerol/1% Triton X-100), was incubated on ice for 10 min, and was centrifuged at 4°C for 2 min at 800 × g to remove nuclei and cell debris.

**Binding of XWnt8-AP and Antibodies to Transfected Cells.** For staining under nonpermeabilized condition, live transfected COS cells growing on coverslips were incubated at room temperature for 1 hr with anti-myc mAb 9E10 (39) diluted 1:1,000 in culture medium or with XWnt8-AP-conditioned medium diluted with an equal volume of DMEM/F-12. After binding, cells either were washed three times with DMEM/F12 and were fixed in 2% methanol-free formaldehyde/PBS or were washed three times with binding buffer (0.5 mg/ml BSA in Hank’s balanced salt solution with 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.0), were fixed for 30 sec in 60% acetone, 3% formaldehyde in 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.0), and were washed twice with binding buffer. For anti-myc staining, the fixed cells were incubated at room temperature with Texas Red-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (Vector Laboratories) diluted 1:200 in 5% goat serum in PBS, followed by three washes in PBS. For XWnt8-AP staining, the fixed cells in 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.0), 150 mM NaCl were incubated at 65°C for 100 min, were washed once in AP buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.4/0.1 M NaCl/5 mM NaCl) and were stained at room temperature overnight with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate (X-phos) (165 μg/ml)/nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) (330 μg/ml) in AP buffer. For anti-myc staining under permeabilized condition, the transfected cells were fixed in 60% acetone, 3% formaldehyde in 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.0) before antibody incubation.

**Solution Binding Assay.** Twelve micrometers of IgG or mfz8CRD-IgG were prebound to protein A Sepharose beads and were incubated for 16 hr at 4°C with 500 μl of 4-fold-concentrated XWnt8-myc-conditioned medium. The beads were separated by centrifugation into bound and unbound fractions and then were washed over 10 minutes at 4°C with three changes of Hank’s balanced salt solution with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.0).

**Solid Phase Binding Assay.** One-hundred microliters of 293 cell conditioned medium containing 2 μg of Mfz8CRD-IgG or IgG alone was used to coat each well of a 96-well tray at 4°C overnight. The unoccupied sites in the wells were blocked at 4°C for 4 hr with 200 μl of 2 mg/ml BSA in wash buffer [Hank’s balanced salt solution with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.0)]. After three washes in wash buffer, XWnt-AP-conditioned medium diluted in 2 mg/ml BSA in wash buffer was added to the coated wells. After incubation at 4°C for 2 hr, the wells were washed five times with 200 μl of wash buffer. Two-hundred microliters of 150 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate in 1 M diethanolamine (pH 9.8), 1 mM MgCl2 was added to each well, and the absorbance at 405 nm was measured.

**RESULTS**

Production of XWnt8-myc and XWnt8-AP in S2 Cells. Our attempts to produce a soluble vertebrate Wnt protein for biochemical experiments have focused on XWnt8 because Moon and colleagues (36, 42) have observed that addition of a myc.
epitope tag near the XWnt8 carboxyl terminus, a construct referred to here as XWnt8-myc, is compatible with biological activity in Xenopus embryos. As an expression system, we have chosen Drosophila Schneider (S2) cells, which, as noted above, have been used successfully to produce bioactive Wg (33) as well as a variety of other secreted vertebrate proteins (43). Fig. 1A shows that S2 cells can secrete both XWnt8-myc and a fusion of XWnt8-myc and the catalytic domain of human placental alkaline phosphatase (XWnt8-AP). A comparison of the anti-myc immunoblot signals from XWnt8-myc and XWnt8-AP and from a myc-tagged derivative of the Escherichia coli maltose binding protein indicates that XWnt8-myc and XWnt8-AP are secreted from concentrated S2 cells with yields up to 5 and 0.5 mg/liter, respectively.

The biological activity of XWnt8-myc was tested by applying S2-conditioned medium containing XWnt8-myc or control S2 conditioned medium to C57MG cells and monitoring the stabilization of cytoplasmic β-catenin (Fig. 1B; ref. 44). In these experiments, we observed significant β-catenin stabilization if the incubation was performed at 23°C but little or no stabilization at 37°C. XWnt8-myc activity was enhanced by the inclusion of heparin, with a maximal effect at 0.1–0.5 mg/ml. The temperature sensitivity of XWnt8-myc activity on C57MG cells could reflect either instability of XWnt8-myc and/or associated proteins from S2 cells or a temperature-dependence of β-catenin turnover in C57MG cells. XWnt8-myc is also active in stabilizing Armadillo protein in Drosophila clone 8 cells, an imaginal disc cell line, but the degree of stabilization is severalfold lower than that obtained with similarly prepared S2 conditioned medium containing Wg (data not shown). XWnt8-myc produced in transiently transfected 293 cells was secreted with an efficiency 10-fold lower than that observed for S2 cells (Fig. 1A) and was inactive in the β-catenin stabilization assay and in Frizzled binding assays (data not shown).

Cell Surface Binding of XWnt8-AP to Frizzled Proteins and Frizzled CRDs. The XWnt8-AP fusion protein described above provides a convenient and quantitative probe to investigate the binding of Wnt and Frizzled proteins. When conditioned medium containing XWnt8-AP was incubated at room temperature with live COS or 293 cells and subsequently was visualized with an X-phos/NBT tetrazolium histochemical reaction, little or no cell-associated AP activity was observed, indicating that this fusion protein does not bind significantly to either plasma membrane proteins or the ECM produced by these two cell lines. This behavior is in contrast to that of Wg produced by S2 cells, which adheres to the ECM of a variety of cultured cells. Specific binding of Wg to cell surface Frizzled proteins only has been observed after heparinase pretreatment to decrease ECM binding (12). Transient transfection of COS or 293 cells with Dfz2, mfz4, hfz5, mfz7, mfz8, and Xfz8 conferred cell surface XWnt8-AP binding whereas transfection with mfz3 and mfz6 did not, a pattern identical to that observed previously for Wg (Table 1 and Fig. 2B; ref. 12). Binding experiments with control conditioned medium from untransfected S2 cells shows no alkaline phosphatase staining of COS cells transfected with Dfz2, mfz4, or hfz5, indicating that the observed Frizzled binding activity derives from XWnt8-AP. In these experiments, absence of XWnt8-AP binding could reflect a low affinity between ligand and receptor or a defect in the stability, folding, or surface localization of the Frizzled protein. Therefore, to measure the surface localization of each receptor protein in a uniform manner and also to assess the binding of XWnt8-AP to the various Frizzled CRDs in the absence of the Frizzled membrane spanning domains, we constructed a set of myc-tagged and GPI-anchored derivatives of each CRD (Fig. 2).

Incubation of XWnt8-AP with transfected cells expressing CRD-myc-GPI constructs from Dfz2, mfz3, mfz4, hfz5, mfz6, mfz7, and mfz8 reveals the same relative efficiency of XWnt8-AP binding to different Frizzled and CRD-myc-GPI proteins based on X-phos/NBT staining of live, transiently transfected COS cells.

Table 1. Semiquantitative assessment of XWnt8-AP binding to different Frizzled and CRD-myc-GPI proteins based on X-phos/NBT staining of live, transiently transfected COS cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frizzled</th>
<th>Full-length protein</th>
<th>CRD-myc-GPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mfz3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mfz4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfz5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mfz6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mfz7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mfz8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xfz8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dfz2
1. Dfz2 (66GSG67)  +  +
2. Dfz2 (68GSG69)  –  –
3. Dfz2 (73GSG74)  –  –
4. Dfz2 (80GSG81)  –  –
5. Dfz2 (85GSG86)  +  +
6. Dfz2 (89GSG90)  –  –
7. Dfz2 (92GSG93)  –  –
8. Dfz2 (96GSG97)  –  –
9. Dfz2 (102GSG103)  +  +
10. Dfz2 (106GSG107)  +  +
11. Dfz2 (111GSG112)  –  –
12. Dfz2 (114GSG115)  –  –
13. Dfz2 (126GSG127)  +  +
14. Dfz2 (128GSG129)  +  +
15. Dfz2 (130GSG131)  +  +
16. Dfz2 (137GSG138)  –  –
17. Dfz2 (141GSG142)  –  –
18. Dfz2 (144GSG145)  –  –
19. Dfz2 (152GSG153)  +  +
20. Dfz2 (160GSG161)  +  +
21. Dfz2 (165GSG166)  +  +
22. Dfz2 (172GSG173)  +  +
23. Dfz2 (174GSG175)  +  +

The three amino acid insertion mutants are referred to by the codon numbers flanking the gly-ser-gly insertion. For example, 66GSG67 refers to the insertion of the nine nucleotides encoding gly-ser-gly between codons 66 and 67.

Fig. 1. Secretion of biologically active XWnt8-myc by S2 cells. (A) Immunoblot using anti-myc mAb. (Left) Lanes: 1, 32 μl of 40-fold concentrated conditioned medium from 293 cells transfected with XWnt8-myc; 2, 32 μl of 4-fold concentrated conditioned medium from untransfected S2 cells; 3, 32 μl of 4-fold concentrated conditioned medium from S2 cells transfected with XWnt8-myc; 4, 32 μl of 40-fold concentrated conditioned medium from S2 cells transfected with XWnt8-AP. (Right) Dilution series of a purified fusion protein used as an immunoblot standard; the fusion protein contains the myc epitope flanked by the E. coli maltose binding protein at the amino terminus and an ~15-kDa segment of a ser/thr protein phosphatase at the carboxyl terminus (57), a configuration that eliminates problems in quantitation that might arise from proteolytic cleavage of an epitope fused to either of the two termini. Molecular mass standards are 194, 120, 87, 64, 52, 39, 26, and 21 kDa. (B) XWnt8-myc stabilizes β-catenin in C57MG cells at room temperature, and this activity is enhanced by heparin. Conditioned medium from untransfected S2 cells (–) or XWnt8-myc transfected S2 cells (+) in the presence of the indicated concentration of heparin was applied to C57MG cells at either 23 or 37°C as indicated. Cytosolic proteins were prepared, and β-catenin was visualized with mAb 15B8. To control for equal loading, HSP-70 was visualized with mAb BRM-22 (Sigma). Molecular mass standards are 194, 120, 87, 64, 52, and 39 kDa.
suggested that this species is the only present at the cell surface. The cell surface CRD-FLAG proteins were released by PI-PLC treatment with yields that range from 10 to 30% of the total CRD-FLAG-GPI protein, suggesting that in each case a significant fraction of the CRD protein is able to fold correctly and move through the endoplasmic reticulum–Golgi-plasma membrane pathway (Fig. 2C and data not shown; ref. 45). Because each of the different CRD-FLAG-GPI proteins is available for binding, these experiments demonstrate that XWnt8-AP binds efficiently to the CRDs of Dfz2, mfz4, hfz5, mfz7, and mfz8 but binds poorly or not at all to the CRDs of mfz3 and mfz6.

XWnt8-AP Binding to Insertion Mutants of Dfz2. To begin to define the regions of the CRD that mediate Wnt binding, we measured the binding of XWnt8-AP to live cells that had been transfected with a series of 23 insertion mutants in the CRD of Dfz2 (Fig. 3). Insertional mutagenesis was performed with a three-codon cassette that codes for gly-ser-gly, an insertion that would be predicted to sterically disrupt binding if located at any point on the ligand-binding surface. Failure to bind XWnt8-AP also could reflect a defect in the folding or stability of the CRD. To minimize the probability that the tripeptide insertion might cause a structural defect, the 23 insertions were placed at least one amino acid from any cysteine and within those regions that are most hydrophilic. Given the predicted flexibility of the gly-ser-gly tripeptide (46), we presume that most insertions within surface loops would be compatible with a correctly folded CRD.

Each of the 23 insertion mutants was studied in the context of the full-length Dfz2 protein and in a CRD-FLAG-GPI construct. After transfection, the efficiency of plasma membrane localization was determined for each CRD-FLAG-GPI mutant by immunostaining of live cells with anti-FLAG mAbs and by PI-PLC digestion of live cells followed by immunoblotting as described above (Fig. 3 B and C). Of interest, all 23 mutants resemble wild-type Dfz2-FLAG-GPI in showing robust cell surface immunostaining and significant release of the low mobility electrophoretic species on PI-PLC treatment (corresponding to 10–30% of the total Dfz2-CRD protein), indicative of a high degree of plasma membrane localization. On the assumption that passage through the endoplasmic reticulum–Golgi-plasma membrane pathway reflects correct folding (45), this result implies that the native CRD structure can tolerate insertions at each of these positions. This observation raises the possibility that the CRD may be a relatively extended structure with a high surface to volume ratio.

Different Dfz2 insertion mutants differ markedly in XWnt8-AP binding when assayed on the surface of live transfected cells (Table 1 and Fig. 3B). Mutants 15 and 18 (130GSGL313 and 144GSGL45) bind XWnt8-AP when they are present in the context of the full-length Dfz2 sequence but fail to bind as GPI-anchored CRDs, suggesting the possibility that stabilizing interactions may occur between the membrane-embedded domain of the Frizzled protein and the CRD or the CRD-Wnt complex. Among the Dfz2-FLAG-GPI mutants, 5 bind with a strength indistinguishable from that of the wild type, 4 bind weakly, and 14 fail to bind. Binding and nonbinding mutants are distributed throughout the length of the CRD with nonbinding mutants showing significant clustering.

Quantitative Binding of XWnt8-AP to mfz8CRD-IgG. The binding of soluble XWnt8-AP to membrane-anchored CRDs suggests that these proteins also might interact if the CRD is produced as a secreted molecule. To test this idea, we produced the mfz8 CRD as an amino-terminal fusion with a human IgG heavy chain (mfz8CRD-IgG) in transfected 293 cells. In this expression system, mfz8CRD-IgG accumulates to ~20 mg/liter and can be purified to apparent homogeneity with protein A Sepharose (Fig. 4A).

In an initial experiment, we determined the efficiency of coprecipitation of XWnt8-FLAG with mfz8CRD-IgG or with the IgG backbone alone (Fig. 4B). This experiment indicates that at least 90% of the secreted XWnt8-FLAG is competent to specifically
that two or more classes of sites may better represent the binding data; under an assumption of two sites, the estimated affinities of the two sites would be predicted to differ by \( \approx 10 \)-fold.

**DISCUSSION**

**Production of Soluble Wnt and Frizzled Derivatives.** Producing soluble, biochemically well behaved Wnts has been a long-standing goal in this field but has been problematic. Producing secreted Frizzled or sFRP CRDs, the domain implicated in Wnt binding, either alone or as fusion proteins with IgG or AP, also has proven difficult because most are poorly secreted (A.R., J.-C.H., and J.N., unpublished data). The several examples described in the present report represent that minority of constructs and host–vector systems for which we have observed good yields of apparently native proteins. In the case of XWnt8, this could reflect the presence in S2 cells of putative chaperones such as the porcupine protein (47, 48), as yet uncharacterized proteins in the conditioned medium that might associate with XWnt8, or the low temperature (23°C) at which S2 cells are grown. The efficient secretion of some fragments of Wnt is often associated with deletion of large portions of the juxtamembrane domain (49). This is consistent with the results of Lin et al. (49) in which coimmunoprecipitation was observed between cotransfected Wnt-1 and various sFRP-3 derivatives, including deletion derivatives lacking large parts of the CRD. This discrepancy may be related to the
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**Production of Soluble Wnt and Frizzled Derivatives.** Producing soluble, biochemically well behaved Wnts has been a long-standing goal in this field but has been problematic. Producing secreted Frizzled or sFRP CRDs, the domain implicated in Wnt binding, either alone or as fusion proteins with IgG or AP, also has proven difficult because most are poorly secreted (A.R., J.-C.H., and J.N., unpublished data). The several examples described in the present report represent that minority of constructs and host–vector systems for which we have observed good yields of apparently native proteins. In the case of XWnt8, this could reflect the presence in S2 cells of putative chaperones such as the porcupine protein (47, 48), as yet uncharacterized proteins in the conditioned medium that might associate with XWnt8, or the low temperature (23°C) at which S2 cells are grown. The efficient secretion of some fragments of Wnt is often associated with deletion of large portions of the juxtamembrane domain (49). This is consistent with the results of Lin et al. (49) in which coimmunoprecipitation was observed between cotransfected Wnt-1 and various sFRP-3 derivatives, including deletion derivatives lacking large parts of the CRD. This discrepancy may be related to the
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significant endoplasmic reticulum retention that is characteristic of both Wnts and sFRPs, which suggests that much of the association observed between these proteins in cotransfected cells represents aggregation of misfolded proteins. These conflicting data serve to emphasize the importance for binding experiments of starting with biochemically well behaved proteins.

**Specificity of Wnt-CRD Binding.** The available data for Wnt-Frizzled and Wnt-sFRP binding at the surface of transfected cells (refs. 12, 23, and 26 and this report) indicates that some Wnts can bind to multiple Frizzled and/or sFRP targets. For example, a *Drosophila* Wnt, Wg, binds with similar efficiency to a subset of mammalian Frizzled proteins and to *Drosophila* Frizzled and Frizzled-2 (12). Here, we show that a Xenopus Wnt, XWnt8, binds with similar efficiency to Drosophila Frizzled-2 and the same set of mammalian Frizzled proteins to which Wg binds.

One explanation for this apparent promiscuity in binding is that semiquantitative cell surface binding assays do not reveal small but biologically significant differences in affinity. This possibility can be investigated by extending the quantitative enzyme-linked binding assay described here to cell-surface measurements and by determining the binding affinities of additional pairs of Wnts and CRD-IgG fusions. It is also possible that additional factors associate with Wnts, Frizzled proteins, or sFRPs in vivo to modify and/or narrow the specificity of their interactions. These factors might include heparin, other ECM components, coreceptors, plasma membrane lipids, and additional secreted proteins. The plausibility of these more complex interactions is supported by the well known affinity of Wnts for heparin and other ECM components (e.g., ref. 31), the ability of heparin and other ECM components to modulate Wnt signaling in vivo (ref. 50 and this report), the affinity of the carboxyl-terminal domain of at least two of the sFRPs for heparin (ref. 22; A.R., J.-C.H., and J.N., unpublished work), and the recent discovery of Wnt inhibitory factor-1, a secreted Wnt-binding protein that lacks a CRD (51).

**In vitro** evidence for the importance of the ECM in Wnt signaling comes from the effects of mutations in proteoglycan biosynthetic genes in *Drosophila* (52–54). Despite the evidence for additional cofactors, the *in vitro* binding experiments with m2sCRD-IgG show that affinities of <10 nM are obtained with the CRD alone. These imply that the Frizzled transmembrane domains, plasma membrane lipids, ECM, and putative coreceptors do not play an obligatory role in Wnt-Frizzled binding. In particular, the solid phase binding assay shows that heparin does not alter the affinity of XWnt8-AP for m2sCRD-IgG, although it enhances β-catenin stabilization induced by XWnt8-myc treatment of C2C12 myoblasts. These data suggest that the role of heparin in Wnt signal transduction may not involve a direct effect on receptor–ligand binding, a possibility that would be in contrast to the direct role of heparin in fibroblast growth factor dimerization and receptor binding (55, 56). We note that the experiments reported here do not rule out the possibility that other proteins in the S2 conditioned medium may associate with XWnt8 and participate in the binding reaction.

Wnt, Frizzled, and sFRP proteins are all members of large families. To date, 15 Wnt, 9 Frizzled, and 5 sFRP genes have been identified in mammals, and several Wnt and Frizzled genes have been identified in *Drosophila* and in *C. elegans*. The broad and overlapping patterns of expression seen for many of these genes raises the possibility of multiple Wnt-Frizzled and Wnt-sFRP interactions. There is currently little quantitative information regarding the affinity and specificity of interactions among individual members of these protein families in *vitro*, and, in most cases, it is not yet known which family members interact in a biologically meaningful manner in vivo. The *in vitro* interactions observed among several Wnt, Frizzled, and sFRP proteins from diverse vertebrate and invertebrate species indicate that the relevant protein–protein interfaces have been conserved during evolution and suggests that many pairs of protein family members may have the potential to interact.
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