Networks of interneurons with fast and slow \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA\(_A\)) kinetics provide substrate for mixed gamma-theta rhythm
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During active exploration, hippocampal neurons exhibit nested rhythmic activity at theta \((\approx 8 \text{ Hz})\) and gamma \((\approx 40 \text{ Hz})\) frequencies. Gamma rhythms may be generated locally by interactions within a class of interneurons mediating fast GABA\(_A\) (GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\)) inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs), whereas theta rhythms traditionally are thought to be imposed extrinsically. However, the hippocampus contains slow biophysical mechanisms that may contribute to the theta rhythm, either as a resonance activated by extrinsic input or as a purely local phenomenon. For example, region CA1 of the hippocampus contains a slower class of GABA\(_A\) (GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\)) synapses, believed to be generated by a distinct group of interneurons. Recent evidence indicates that these GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) interneurons project to the GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) interneurons that contribute to hippocampal gamma rhythms. Here, we use biophysically based simulations to explore the possible ramifications of interneuronal circuits containing separate classes of GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) and GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) interneurons. Simulated interneuronal networks with fast and slow synaptic kinetics can generate mixed theta-gamma rhythmicity under restricted conditions, including strong connections among each population, weaker connections between the two populations, and homogeneity of cellular properties and drive. Under a broader range of conditions, including heterogeneity, the networks can amplify and resynchronize phasic responses to weak phase-dispersed external drive at theta frequencies to either GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) or GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) cells. GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) synapses are necessary for this process of amplification and resynchronization.

A prevalent feature of activity in the brain is the presence of distinct patterns of synchronous oscillatory activity that are linked tightly to the behavioral state of the animal. A particularly prominent rhythmic pattern is that of synchronous firing in the gamma \((\approx 40 \text{ Hz})\) and theta \((\approx 8 \text{ Hz})\) bands, seen under conditions of active exploration in the rat hippocampal formation, a set of structures necessary for declarative memory. A recent flurry of experimental, computational, and theoretical work \((\approx 1-6)\) has made the convincing argument that the gamma rhythm is generated intrinsically by networks of inhibitory interneurons in the hippocampus. The theta rhythm, on the other hand, is commonly believed to be imposed on the hippocampus by phasic input from the medial septum/diagonal band of Broca and entorhinal cortex \((\approx 7-12)\).

Two lines of evidence, however, imply that the traditional picture of nested theta and gamma rhythms in the hippocampal formation may be incomplete. First, recordings from the medial septum/diagonal band of Broca indicate that the theta-locked cells of the region do not fire with a common phase \((\approx 13)\). This result seems inconsistent with the pacemaker hypothesis, unless, as has been suggested \((\approx 14)\), a functionally significant subset of septal cells with common phase are the true pacemakers of the region. Second, under the appropriate pharmacological conditions, hippocampal brain slices can generate synchronous firing at both gamma and theta frequencies in the absence of phasic stimulation \((\approx 11, 15)\). Several biophysical properties of the hippocampal formation, including slow inhibitory synaptic dynamics \((\approx 16-18)\) and cellular spiking dynamics \((\approx 19-21)\), may contribute to this phenomenon, which may in turn contribute to synchrony at theta frequencies in the hippocampal formation of living animals.

Previous studies have demonstrated that two kinetically distinct GABA\(_A\) receptor-mediated synaptic currents are observed in CA1 pyramidal cells and some interneurons. The fast GABA\(_A\) component (GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\)) is a rapidly decaying \((T_{\text{decay}} = 9 \text{ ms at } 35^\circ\text{C})\), somatic current mediated by basket cells and other interneurons projecting to perisomatic regions. It is believed to underlie gamma frequency rhythms in interneuronal networks \((\approx 1-6)\). The slow GABA\(_A\) component (GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\)) is a dendritic current that is activated by stimuli applied to stratum lacunosum-moleculare (SL-M) and is much slower to decay \((T_{\text{decay}} = 50 \text{ ms})\). GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) and GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) synaptic responses appear to arise from distinct populations of interneurons \((\approx 18)\). In much the same way that GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) cells seem to control the gamma rhythm, GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) cells represent a prime candidate mechanism, wholly within the hippocampal formation, that may contribute to the theta rhythm. Here, we use computational models to argue that interconnected populations of GABA\(_A,\text{slow}\) and GABA\(_A,\text{fast}\) interneurons are useful for generating, amplifying, and resynchronizing coherent theta and gamma rhythms in region CA1 of the hippocampus.\(^5\)

Materials and Methods

Experimental Methods. Techniques are described in detail elsewhere \((\approx 18, 23)\). Briefly, experimental data were obtained by using hippocampal brain slices obtained from young rats \((\approx 13-39 \text{ days})\). Whole-cell recordings were made at room temperature \((\approx 24^\circ\text{C})\) in a recording chamberperfused with artificial cerebrospinal fluid buffer \((127 \text{ mM NaCl}/1.21 \text{ mM KH2PO4}/1.87 \text{ mM KCl}/26 \text{ mM NaHCO3}/2.17 \text{ mM CaCl2}/1.44 \text{ mM MgSO4}/10 \text{ mM glucose}/saturated with 95% O2/5% CO2). Interneurons in stratum radiatum (SR) of CA1 were visualized by using infrared
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\(^\dagger\)The metabotropic GABA\(_B\) response represents another slow synaptic process that may contribute to the hippocampal theta rhythm. The delay time constant of GABA\(_B\) receptors is about 175 ms at 35°C \((\approx 22)\), appropriate for the low end of the theta band. GABA\(_B\) responses are not believed to be generated by a specific population of presynaptic interneurons and were not explored here.
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differential interference contrast video microscopy. Data were filtered at 2–5 kHz, and then sampled at 5–10 kHz. Borosilicate patch pipettes were fire polished and coated with Sylgard (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) to reduce capacitance. Patch pipettes had open-tip resistances of 1–4 MΩ when filled with the recording solution [140 mM CsCl/10 mM NaCl/10 mM Hepes/5 mM EGTA/0.5 mM CaCl2/2 mM MgATP/5 mM QX-314 (pH 7.3)]. Access resistances were typically 10–20 MΩ and were then compensated 60–80%. Cells were held at −60 mV. Evoked and spontaneous GABA_A inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were isolated by bath application of 20 μM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoline-2,3-dione (CNQX) and 40 μM d,L-2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV) to block α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-mediated currents, and by the inclusion of CsCl and QX-314 in the patch pipette to block γ-aminobutyric acid type B-mediated currents. Stimuli (5–80 μA) were applied to SL-M to evoke GABA_A,slow, 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoline-2,3-dione, 2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid, and QX-314 were obtained from Research Biochemicals. Biocytin was obtained from Molecular Probes. All other drugs and reagents were obtained from Sigma.

Computational Methods. Individual interneurons were modeled by using a single-compartment, Hodgkin–Huxley-type model (5) that replicates the major electrophysiological properties of hippocampal interneurons (e.g., width of spikes, range of firing rates; see www.cbd.bu.edu/research/ndr1.html#ndr1a for equations). Interneurons were connected in an all-to-all fashion by using simulated, nonsaturating chemical synapses that triggered biexponential conductance changes in the postsynaptic neuron. Kinetics of inhibitory synapses reflected values measured at 35°C. For GABA_A,fast synapses, we used rising time constants of 1 ms and falling time constants of 9 ms. For GABA_A,slow synapses, we used rising time constants of 5 ms and falling time constants of 50 ms. For simulations related to Fig. 3, both rising and falling time constants were scaled by the same amount. Connections were all-to-all. Simulations were written in C and run on a UNIX workstation (INDY R5000, Silicon Graphics, Mountain View, CA).

Analysis of Computational Data. Spike times of individual cells were determined by using a simple thresholding algorithm (threshold = 0 mV). Population firing histograms were determined by using a bin width of 0.5 ms. Histogram values were divided by the number of cells in a given population (50 cells) to convert them to firing probabilities. Magnitude spectra were determined by applying a fast Fourier transform to population histograms.

Vector strengths were calculated from GABA_A,slow population histograms in response to periodic stimuli. In these calculations, each spike in each cell was converted to a vector of unit magnitude and phase relative to the input sinusoid. Vector strength equals the normalized magnitude of the vector sum of these components, varying between 0 (for random phases) and 1 (for perfect phase-locking). Vector strength among the GABA_A,slow cells was chosen as the metric because we found it to be the most reliable in determining the ability of the network to respond with coherent theta-gamma responses to periodic drive. A host of other metrics were tried and found to be lacking in some important regard. For example, vector strength at 8 Hz among the GABA_A,fast cells is not appropriate because this measure penalizes the network for generating a robust theta-gamma pattern, with multiple spikes in GABA_A,fast cells per theta cycle; methods based on spectral content from fast Fourier transforms were inaccurate because they could not easily account for different envelopes of firing, which imply different relative sizes of the fundamental frequency and its harmonics.

Results

Previous experiments (23) have established the existence of projections from GABA_A,slow to GABA_A,fast cells of sufficient strength to induce extended pauses in the spontaneous activity of the latter population in brain slices. This projection can be demonstrated directly by recording from interneurons of SR with perisomatic projections to CA1 pyramidal cells. In SR interneurons, electrical stimuli applied to SL-M evoke GABA_A,slow IPSCs (Fig. 1a). The interneuronal circuit suggested by existing data are shown in Fig. 1b, in which demonstrated connections between and among cell classes are shown as solid lines and hypothesized connections are shown as dashed lines.

We used computer simulations to determine whether or not two such populations are capable of generating theta-gamma rhythmic patterns analogous to those seen experimentally, under conditions of constant or periodic drive. The simulated network, reduced to include only the essential details necessary to study interneuronal interactions, did not include descriptions of excitatory pyramidal cells, thought to be important for long-range but not local synchrony (24), multicompartmental representations of interneurons, or γ-aminobutyric acid type B (GABA_B)-mediated effects. Fig. 2a shows spike trains, in raster form, from such a network of 50 GABA_A,slow and 50 GABA_A,fast Cells. In addition to known connections (solid lines in Fig. 1b), this network includes hypothesized connections among GABA_A,slow cells. From left to right, the raster plots represent networks with identical parameters but increasing levels of heterogeneity, imposed by randomly distributing the level of current bias in the cells with a given coefficient of variation (CVt, the ratio of standard deviation to mean). In the homogeneous case (CVt = 0), GABA_A,slow cells fire periodically at theta frequencies, under the control of the strong1 slow synapses among them. GABA_A,fast cells respond in a pattern resembling that seen in vivo, firing bursts at gamma frequencies with periodic interruptions every theta cycle. This pattern of activity is evident in raw spike trains (Fig. 2a Left), population histograms (Fig. 2b and c Left), and in frequency spectra of spike trains from GABA_A,fast cells (Fig. 2d Left). Synchronous gamma-frequency firing within bursts is controlled by GABA_A,fast synapses among the cells; synchronous activity among GABA_A,slow cells at theta frequencies drives the periodic pauses in firing of GABA_A,fast cells.

1The term “strong” implies that the summed connections to a postsynaptic cell from other interneurons within its class (GABA_A,fast or GABA_A,slow) must be larger than the summed connections from interneurons in the other class. In addition, summed connections from interneurons within the cell class must be large enough to keep the cell from firing for approximately the time scale of the synaptic conductance change.
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Fig. 1. Interconnections between two classes of inhibitory interneurons. (a) Slow IPSC evoked in a SR interneuron by SL-M stimulation. The slow IPSC was completely blocked by 40 μM bicuculline. Also shown is the averaged fast spontaneous IPSC from the same cell for comparison. Magnitudes are normalized for comparative purposes. (b) Suggested interneuronal circuitry in region CA1. Solid lines show established connections. Dashed lines show hypothetical connections. GABA_A,fast and GABA_A,slow Cells are so-named because of the kinetics of their postsynaptic effects.
decay time constant of inhibition (1, 4, 5). In tuned networks with fast and slow synaptic kinetics, the frequencies of autonomous gamma and theta rhythms in GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> and GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells are controlled somewhat independently by the decay time constants of fast and slow inhibition, respectively. Fig. 3 (a and b) shows plots of the two rhythms in autonomously oscillating networks with varying speeds of decay of the fast or slow synapses. Increasing the decay time constant of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> responses (τ<sub>A,fast</sub>; Fig. 3a) leads to monotonic increases in the period of the gamma rhythm; the theta frequency, set by the network of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells, is unaffected by changes in τ<sub>A,fast</sub> because this network has no connections from the GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> to GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells. In cases with a nonzero connection from fast to slow cells, the effect of τ<sub>A,fast</sub> on the theta rhythm is measurable but small (data not shown). Increasing the decay time constant of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> responses (τ<sub>A,slow</sub>; Fig. 3b) creates complementary changes. Proportional changes in the gamma frequency are much smaller than those for the theta frequency. At a given value of τ<sub>A,fast</sub> and τ<sub>A,slow</sub> the frequencies of autonomous theta and gamma oscillations depend similarly on the value of driving current I<sub>app</sub>, which is uniform to all cells in this case (Fig. 3c). The ratio of gamma and theta frequencies stays nearly constant over a large range of applied currents (dotted line). This result is reminiscent of those seen in vivo (25).

The distinct theta-gamma firing pattern is fragile, requiring parameters that are both carefully tuned and homogeneous. In models with no connections from GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> to GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells (e.g., Fig. 2), parameters must be tuned to give strong interconnections among cells of the same kinetic class; appropriate levels of drive to each population to give firing rates (under conditions of inhibition) that are compatible with the speed of decay of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> or GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> inhibition, and tuning of the connections from GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> to GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells to create a pause of the appropriate duration in activity of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells. In models including connections from GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> to GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells, as is likely the case in the biological network (21, 26), parameters must be tuned even more carefully, with strong connections between cells of a given class, weaker connections between classes, and precise values of drive. Slight mistuning leads to a population rhythm that is dominated by one population or the other (e.g., Fig. 4 a–d Center and Right). With small levels of heterogeneity (CV<sub>I</sub> = 0.1), activity in some GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells is suppressed, leaving the surviving cells to fire more frequently but less coherently. The unsuppressed GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells fire mostly at gamma frequencies, with less interruption. This trend continues with increasing heterogeneity (Right).

Responses to phasic input are more robust to poorly tuned parameters than responses to DC input (Fig. 4). At the beginning of Fig. 4a Left, the GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells dominate the network in response to DC stimulation of both populations (t < 0), because parameters are mistuned. However, when weak 8-Hz sinusoidal stimulation is presented to the GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> cells (t > 0; onset indicated by the tick mark on the x axis), roughly equivalent in magnitude to the effect of a single synaptic connection between two cells of the same kinetic class, the network amplifies this weak input and thus regenerates coherent theta-gamma activity. Corresponding magnitude spectra, derived from the GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells for t > 0, quantify this result in the frequency domain (Fig. 5).

![Fig. 2](image-url) Autonomous theta-gamma oscillations in networks with mixed GABA<sub>A</sub> kinetics. (a) Raster plots for a network containing 50 GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> (Bottom) and 50 GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> (Top) neurons. CV<sub>I</sub> = the coefficient of variation (ratio of standard deviation to mean) of the level of dc current drive given to the population of cells. Increasing CV<sub>I</sub> implies increasing heterogeneity in drive. (b and c) Population histograms for (b) GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> and (c) GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells, generated from the spike trains in a. (d) Magnitude spectra of the histograms of the GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> cells. The theta (4–12 Hz) and gamma (30–80 Hz) frequency bands are marked. Model specifications: mean values of applied current = 5 μA/cm² for GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> Cells and 3 μA/cm² for GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> Cells. In addition to randomly distributed mean currents, time-dependent current noise (normally distributed, σ = 5 μA/cm²) was added to each cell independently. Cells were all-to-all coupled with coupling conductances (in mS/cm²): G<sub>fast,fast</sub> = 0.04, G<sub>fast,slow</sub> = 0, G<sub>slow,slow</sub> = 0.08, G<sub>slow,fast</sub> = 0.04.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Dependence on theta and gamma frequencies on synaptic kinetics and applied current. (a) Normalized periods of theta and gamma rhythms from autonomously oscillating, noiseless networks, plotted vs. τ<sub>A,fast</sub>, the time constant of decay of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>fast</sub> synapses. Parameter values other than τ<sub>A,fast</sub> and noise level were the same as in Fig. 2. Control values of τ<sub>A,fast</sub>, theta period, and gamma period are 9, 143, and 26 ms, respectively. (b) Normalized periods of theta and gamma rhythms vs. τ<sub>A,slow</sub>, the time constant of decay of GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>slow</sub> synapses. Parameter values other than τ<sub>A,slow</sub> and noise level were the same as in Fig. 2. Control values of τ<sub>A,slow</sub>, theta period, and gamma period were 50, 143, and 26 ms, respectively. (c) Frequencies of theta and gamma rhythms plotted vs. I<sub>app</sub>, the amount of current delivered to each cell in the simulated network. Also plotted is the ratio (γ/θ) of the gamma frequency to theta frequency. All parameters other than I<sub>app</sub> and noise level were the same as in Fig. 2.
can serve to “resynchronize” periodic input with dispersed phase axis), a small 8-Hz component (magnitude $2\times10^{-3}$). Cells were all-to-all coupled with coupling conductances (in mS cm$^{-2}$ for GABA$_A$,slow cells, 4.5 kg cm$^{-2}$ for GABA$_A$,fast cells, 4.5 kg cm$^{-2}$ for GABA$_A$,slow cells). The average stimulus for each group of two plots shows population histograms for GABA$_A$,slow (upper plots) and GABA$_A$,fast (lower plots) cells. The average stimulus for each cell is shown schematically above the top two panels. For $t < 0$, all cells in the network are driven with constant current. For $t > 0$ (note the tick mark on the x axis), a small 8-Hz component (magnitude 2 $\mu$A/cm$^2$) is added to the drive of the GABA$_A$,slow cells. CV$_I = \text{coefficient of variation (ratio of standard deviation to mean) in dc current drive}$. $\sigma_v$ = the standard deviation of the phase of the small 8-Hz input. Both current levels and phases were normally distributed. Model specifications: mean values of applied current = 7 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ for GABA$_A$,fast cells, 4.5 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ for GABA$_A$,slow cells. Mean values were perturbed with coefficient of variation CV$_I$ as before. Time-dependent current noise (normally-distributed, $\sigma = 7$ $\mu$A/cm$^2$) was added to each cell independently. Cells were all-to-all coupled with coupling conductances (in mS/cm$^2$): $G_{\text{fast,fast}} = 0.04$, $G_{\text{fast,slow}} = 0.03$, $G_{\text{slow,slow}} = 0.06$, $G_{\text{slow,fast}} = 0.06$.

Theta-gamma oscillations driven by weak periodic drive are more robust to heterogeneity than autonomous oscillations. Fig. 4a Right shows population histograms with heterogeneity in average drive to the modeled neurons. The corresponding magnitude spectrum for the GABA$_A$,fast cells is plotted in Fig. 5a Right. Even at this relatively high level of heterogeneity (CV$_I = 0.2$), theta-gamma activity is preserved; gamma coherence is reduced but still present. This result holds for networks with no GABA$_A$,fast to GABA$_A$,slow connections as well (data not shown).

The ability of the network to generate coherent theta-gamma oscillations also persists when individual GABA$_A$,slow cells receive input with random perturbations of phase, as might be expected when the cells are driven by remote pacemakers with differing mean phases, conduction velocities, or axon path lengths (Figs. 4b and c and 5b and c; $\sigma_p$ is the standard deviation of the randomly distributed phases, in radians). Thus, a network of interneurons with mixed GABA$_A$,fast and GABA$_A$,slow kinetics can serve to “resynchronize” periodic input with dispersed phase relationships. GABA$_A$,slow synapses are crucial for the amplification and resynchronization of weak phase dispersed inputs. Fig. 6a shows plots of vector strength, a measure of the ability of the GABA$_A$,slow cells to phase lock to a weak 8-Hz sinusoidal input (see Materials and Methods), plotted versus phase dispersion $\sigma_p$ (the standard deviation of the normally distributed phases of sinusoidal input). The solid symbols show vector strengths for weak periodic input applied to either the GABA$_A$,slow (squares) or GABA$_A$,fast (diamonds) population. With periodic stimulation of GABA$_A$,slow cells, these cells are able to select a common phase and thus keep vector strength high even with relatively large phase dispersion. With periodic stimulation of the GABA$_A$,fast cells, theta coherence actually rises with increased phase dispersion. The interconnected subnetwork of GABA$_A$,slow cells is necessary for this result, which disappears if (i) slow synaptic kinetics are converted to fast kinetics (Fig. 6a, open symbols); (ii) connections from GABA$_A$,fast to GABA$_A$,slow cells are removed (data not shown); or (iii) interconnections among GABA$_A$,slow cells are disrupted (data not shown).

Noting that performance actually improves with phase dispersion in the case where phasic input is delivered to the GABA$_A$,fast cells, we reexamined phase dispersion results over a larger range of uniformly distributed phases of sinusoidal input (Fig. 6b).** For periodic input to GABA$_A$,slow cells, vector strength remains elevated as long as the range of input phases is less than $\pi/2$. For periodic input to GABA$_A$,fast cells, vector strength remains very high until the range of phases approaches the fully phase-dispersed ($2\pi$) case. Even in this case, vector strength is reasonably large.**

**Uniformly distributed phases were used for these simulations because the boundary of phases is well defined, preventing the possibility of “phase wrapping” that would inevitably occur for normal distributions with standard deviation larger than $\pi/3$.**
Discussion

Rhythms within a neuronal network can be generated by local mechanisms, imposed by inputs from remote locations, or created by a combination of extrinsic and intrinsic properties. The hippocampus receives rhythmic input at theta frequencies from the medial septum and diagonal band of Broca, as well as the entorhinal cortex; either or both of these input sources may serve as a pacemaking source for this common rhythm. Nevertheless, the existence of prominent slow cellular and synaptic properties within the hippocampal formation suggests that the hippocampus does not in general react passively to an imposed rhythm. In this paper, we use new evidence for connections from GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells to the more well-known GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} interneurons to create a network based on two populations of inhibitory neurons. The network is able under restricted circumstances to modify input to GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells to the more well-known GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} interneurons (Fig. 4 and 5 for control simulations (filled symbols)). Vector strengths measure the degree to which the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells synchronize at the stimulus frequency. (a) Vector strengths (means ± s; n = 5) in response to normally distributed phases of sinusoidal input (\(\sigma_x = \) standard deviation). Solid squares and diamonds indicate delivery of the 8-Hz input to the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} and GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells of the control network, respectively. Open symbols indicate responses of a network in which the post synaptic response driven by GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells have been transformed into GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} responses. Vector strengths are measured in the population of formerly GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells. For open circles (error bars too small to resolve), the new network had maximal conductances as in the control case. Open triangles indicate a network with uniform GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} kinetics in which values of synaptic conductance have been scaled up to preserve the area of the postsynaptic responses driven by GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells. (b) Vector strengths in GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells, measured in response to uniformly distributed phases of 8-Hz input to GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} (squares) or GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells (diamonds).

A second crucial feature of the model is that GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} and GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} populations connect with each other to some degree. Connections from GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} to GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells play conflicting roles, depending on the stimulus conditions. For dc stimulation, these connections disrupt theta-gamma synchrony. On the other hand, their presence allows robust resynchronization of interneurons from the stratum pyramidale, stratum oriens, or stratum radiatum (17). The difference in kinetics recorded by Ouardouz and Lacaille (17) is not as dramatic as that reported by Pearce (16) or Banks et al. (18), but this discrepancy may be caused by differences in recording conditions such as holding potential and pipette solution.

The topography of the model used here is based on the assumption that there are two populations of interneurons in region CA1, with distinct kinetics of postsynaptic effects. Evidence for distinct populations of interneurons, with corresponding GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} or GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} post synaptic effects, comes from a number of sources. First, minimal stimulation in the neuropil of region CA1 evokes either GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} (for stimulation in SL-M or distal SR) or GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} responses (for stimulation in stratum pyramidale) in pyramidal cells (18). Evoked GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} IPSCs are roughly five times slower and five times smaller than GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} IPSCs. Second, recordings from CA1 pyramidal cells reveal similarly sized but kinetically distinct GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} and GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} spontaneous IPSCs (18). Both slow and fast spontaneous IPSCs are a mixture of IPSCs evoked by spontaneous action potentials and miniature IPSCs seen in the presence of tetrodotoxin (18). Like evoked IPSCs, spontaneous GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} IPSCs are roughly five times slower to rise and fall than their GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} counterparts. Third, selective stimulation of SL-M interneurons via a cell-attached patch electrode gives rise to IPSCs that are slower than those evoked by simulation of interneurons from the stratum pyramidale, stratum oriens, or stratum radiatum (26), but only at low rates in SL-M interneurons (27), which may correspond to the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells. In our model, connections from GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} to GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells are crucial for supporting either the generation or resynchronization of the theta-gamma rhythm. Indirect evidence supporting the existence of this connection comes from the observation that stimulation of SL-M induces a pause in spontaneous, fast GABA\textsubscript{A} IPSCs recorded in pyramidal cells (23). More direct evidence comes from recordings of slow GABA\textsubscript{A} IPSCs in SR interneurons (Fig. 1 and ref. 23), which terminate near the somata of pyramidal cells and presumably contribute to GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} IPSCs. However, not all evidence supports the notion that these two populations are interconnected. For example, the small existing body of evidence for paired current-clamp recordings between presynaptic interneurons on the SR/SL-M border and postsynaptic interneurons elsewhere does not show signs of slow synaptic connections (28, 29). This discrepancy may be caused by the difficulty in distinguishing between fast and slow inputs under current clamp, or by the possibility that the presynaptic cells from the dual-cell recordings may not be the same ones activated by an extracellular frequency input drives GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells to fire at the appropriate frequencies, allowing the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} interconnections to pull cells to a common phase. We have further shown that theta frequency input to the GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells accomplishes the task of resynchronization more effectively than input to the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells, perhaps because the summed phasic input that each GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cell receives from the pool of GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells is similar. Some phase dispersion of input to GABA\textsubscript{A,fast} cells can actually boost theta power in GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells, possibly by providing a more sinusoidal (as opposed to pulsatile) theta frequency drive to the GABA\textsubscript{A,slow} cells.
stimulus in SL-M. It should also be noted that GABA_{A,slow} spontaneous IPSCs have not been reported in recordings from interneurons (26). Their absence in published data may represent a rarity of spontaneous activity in GABA_{A,slow} interneurons, as implied by the rarity (but documented presence) of spontaneous GABA_{A,slow} IPSCs in recordings from pyramidal cells (18).

Although we use a conductance change with slow kinetics to represent GABA_{A,slow} synapses in our single-compartment models, we do not believe that it is critical to assume that the rise and fall times of the conductance change at the postsynaptic site be slow. Rather, our conclusions are likely to depend only on the presence of any mechanism (e.g., slow postsynaptic receptors, sluggish reuptake of GABA, active and passive properties of dendrites) that gives rise to slow inhibition near the site of action potential generation. A slow decay time constant is particularly important to control the theta component of the rhythm. A slow rising time constant is probably not necessary for the rhythm, but it does allow a longer time window for additional spikes in the GABA_{A,fast} cells after the GABA_{A,slow} cells have fired.

The precise identity of the GABA_{A,slow} interneurons is not known, but they may correspond to the SL-M interneurons reported by Lacaille and colleagues (17, 21, 27, 30, 31). These interneurons are conditional oscillators, with slow voltage-gated membrane currents that constrain them to fire at theta frequencies under some experimental conditions. It may be asked if such oscillators can account for the phasing results reported above, without invoking the kinetics of GABA_{A,slow}. Although this is beyond the scope of the current paper, we note that the coherence of a rhythm cannot be taken for granted from the fact of a rarity of spontaneous activity in GABAA,slow interneurons, as spontaneous IPSCs have not been reported in recordings from GABAA,slow interneurons (37). These results are very similar to those reported by Ouardouz and Lacaille (17), and which oscillates at theta frequencies, we found that mutually inhibitory interactions with either GABA_{A,fast} or GABA_{A,slow} kinetics create antiphase, not synchrony (data not shown). Thus, if these cells indeed correspond to GABA_{A,slow} cells, we are left with the counterintuitive suggestion that the theta rhythm may be best supported by eliminating the theta frequency oscillations via neuromodulation or some other means.

Two consistent properties of our model make it possible to test its validity experimentally. First, both autonomous and periodically driven theta-gamma activity require the presence of connections among the GABA_{A,slow} cells. Second, autonomous and periodically driven theta-gamma activity in our model network is rather stereotypical in form. In particular, GABA_{A,slow} cells invariably fire synchronously at theta frequencies. Thus, the crucial results in support of our model would be the verification in paired recordings that GABA_{A,fast} and GABA_{A,slow} interneurons exist as two distinct populations, that GABA_{A,slow} cells are periodically driven theta-gamma activity in our model network, and which are very similar to those reported by Ouardouz and Lacaille (17), and which oscillates at theta frequencies, we found that mutually inhibitory interactions with either GABA_{A,fast} or GABA_{A,slow} kinetics create antiphase, not synchrony (data not shown). Thus, if these cells indeed correspond to GABA_{A,slow} cells, we are left with the counterintuitive suggestion that the theta rhythm may be best supported by eliminating the theta frequency oscillations via neuromodulation or some other means.
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