Pseudorabies virus expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein: A tool for in vitro electrophysiological analysis of transsynaptically labeled neurons in identified central nervous system circuits
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Physiological properties of central nervous system neurons infected with a pseudorabies virus were examined in vitro by using whole-cell patch-clamp techniques. A strain of pseudorabies virus (PRV 152) isogenic with the Bartha strain of PRV was constructed to express an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) from the human cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter. Unilateral PRV 152 injections into the vitreous body of the hamster eye transsynaptically infected a restricted set of retinorecipient neurons including neurons in the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) and the intergeniculate leaflet (IGL) of the thalamus. Retinorecipient SCN neurons were identified in tissue slices prepared for in vitro electrophysiological analysis by their expression of EGFP. At longer postinjection times, retinal ganglion cells in the contralateral eye also expressed EGFP, becoming infected after transsynaptic uptake and retrograde transport from infected retinorecipient neurons. Retinal ganglion cells that expressed EGFP were easily identified in retinal whole mounts viewed under epifluorescence. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings revealed that the physiological properties of PRV 152-infected SCN neurons were within the range of properties observed in noninfected SCN neurons. Physiological properties of retinal ganglion cells also appeared normal. The results suggest that PRV 152 is a powerful tool for the transsynaptic labeling of neurons in defined central nervous system circuits that allows neurons to be identified in vitro by their expression of EGFP, analyzed electrophysiologically, and described in morphological detail.
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The use of neurotropic alphaherpesviruses has greatly advanced our ability to visualize ensembles of neurons that contribute to multisynaptic circuits in the central nervous system (CNS) (1). In particular, the attenuated vaccine strain of pseudorabies virus (PRV-Bartha) has been used successfully as a self-amplifying neural tracer after peripheral application or direct injection into brain parenchyma (2–4). The usefulness of PRV as a neural tracer relies on its ability to infect chains of hierarchically connected neurons via specific transsynaptic passage of progeny virus rather than infection by lytic release into the extracellular space (4, 5). Typically, PRV infects the CNS by invading neurons in the periphery and then replicating and spreading to the CNS via synaptically linked neurons. However, PRV can also invade neurons through their somata if the viral concentration is sufficient (6), as evidenced by primary infection of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) after intravitreal injection of PRV (7–9). Infection of RGCs with PRV-Bartha, followed by viral replication, results in the anterograde transsynaptic infection of a restricted set of retinorecipient neurons [i.e., suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), intergeniculate leaflet (IGL), pretectum (PT), and lateral terminal nucleus]. Intravitreal injection of the wild-type virus, PRV-Becker, produces transneuronal infection of neurons in all retinorecipient subcortical regions (7). The factors that determine the specificity of PRV-Bartha infection of selective retinorecipient targets are not completely understood, although deletion of specific genes in PRV-Becker results in a restricted neurotropism identical to that demonstrated with PRV-Bartha (10).

Although viral transsynaptic tracing represents an important methodological advance for the analysis of CNS circuits, functional analysis of virus-infected neurons has been limited to sensory or sympathetic ganglia in culture (11, 12) because of the inability to identify virus-infected neurons in situ. Analyses of electrophysiological properties of neurons, in the context of known functional connections of the recorded neuron, would represent a further important methodological advance for the analysis of CNS circuits.

The development of retrogradely transported fluorescent tracers has allowed investigators to examine the physiology of neurons with known projections (13–15). However, such studies usually require direct and accurate injection of a target region followed by retrograde transport to identify first-order neurons projecting to the target. Other “prelabeling” studies have used constructs of green fluorescent protein to label neurons that possess a particular genetic phenotype, such as expression of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (16). Both of these techniques have allowed examination of the physiological properties of neurons in vitro that possess presumed anatomical or functional correlates in the intact animal.

We now report a neuron-labeling method that combines anatomical prelabeling techniques with transsynaptic labeling methodology to allow physiological analyses of neurons selected for their synaptic connectivity with a target structure, several
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Breeding Laboratories) were maintained under a light cycle of 10 h dark with food and water available ad libitum.

Under deep sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (80 mg/kg, i.p.), animals received a unilateral intravitreal injection of 2 μl of 1 × 10^5 plaque-forming units/ml PRV 152 over a 1-min interval by using a 10-μl Hamilton syringe fitted with a 26-gauge needle; the needle was left in place for an additional 4 min before removing it from the eye. A fresh stock of virus was thawed for each injection. Animals were maintained in a biosafety level 2 laboratory for up to 120 h after injection.

Brain Slice and Retinal Whole-Mount Preparation. Animals were decapitated under deep sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (100 mg/kg). For acute hypothalamic slices, the brains were rapidly removed from the skull and immersed in ice-cold, oxygenated (95% O_2/5% CO_2) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 3 KCl, 26 NaHCO_3, 1.4 NaH_2PO_4, 5.6 glucose, 1.3 CaCl_2, 1.3 MgCl_2, pH 7.3–7.4, with an osmolality of 290–305 mosmol/kg. Brains were blocked and mounted on a vibrating microtome, and horizontal slices (400 μm) of the ventral hypothalamus containing the SCN and the proximal optic nerves were prepared, as described (17). Slices were transferred to an interface-style recording chamber, and allowed to equilibrate while continuously perfused with warmed (32–34°C) and oxygenated ACSF for 1–2 h before whole-cell recording. For retinal recordings, the eye contralateral to the PRV 152 injection was removed and cut along the ora serrata, and the intact retina was dissected free from the underlying pigment epithelium in the eyecup while submerged in ice-cold Ames medium (Sigma). The retina was transferred to a submersible recording chamber mounted on an upright, fixed-stage microscope equipped for epifluorescence.

Whole-Cell Patch-Clamp Recording. Recordings of synaptic activity were made by using patch pipettes constructed of borosilicate glass capillaries as described (17). Pipettes were filled with (in mM): 130 potassium gluconate, 1 NaCl, 5 EGTA, 10 Hepes, 1 MgCl_2, 1 CaCl_2, 3 KOH, 2–4 Mg-ATP and 0.2% biocytin (Sigma), pH 7.2. Non-injected hamsters were used as controls for comparing SCN neuron synaptic activity with PRV 152-injected animals. Whole-cell signals were recorded by using an Axopatch 1D amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). All signals were low-pass filtered at 2–5 kHz, digitized at 44 kHz (Neuro-corder, Neurodata Instruments, New York), and stored on videotape. Electrical stimulation of the optic nerve was performed by using a stimulating electrode made from a twisted pair of Teflon-coated platinum-iridium wires (75-μm diameter) inside a blunted glass micropipette placed over the nerve. Spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic current (IPSC) and excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC) frequency were determined over a period of 1–2 min. SCN recordings were done “blind,” and determination of whether the recorded neuron was EGFP expressing was done post hoc by visualizing EGFP fluorescence and biocytin (detected with an avidin-rhodamine conjugate) in the recorded cell. Recordings in retinal ganglion cells were made in a similar manner, except that EGFP-expressing ganglion cells were visualized in living tissue under epifluorescence by using an FITC filter set. Once the cell of interest was targeted, bright-field optics were used to guide the recording pipette onto the cell. Recording from the targeted neuron was verified by briefly observing EGFP-labeled membrane in the tip of the recording pipette and also by post hoc visualization of avidin-rhodamine conjugate.

Hypothalamic Slice and Retinal Histology. Slices and retinas were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and processed to visualize biocytin-filled neurons with an avidin-rhodamine conjugate (Vector Laboratories) as described (17). Tissue was examined on a Leica Aristoplan microscope equipped for epifluorescence, and digital images were captured with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (Spot, Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI).

Results

Transsynaptic EGFP Labeling. Primary PRV 152 infection of retinal ganglion cells in the injected eye produced transsynaptic labeling in several retinorecipient nuclei, including the SCN and IGL. Components of the primary visual system (i.e., dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus and superior colliculus) were not labeled after intraocular PRV 152 injection in the hamster, similar to findings after intraocular PRV-Bartha injection in the rat and mouse (7–9). At longer postinjection intervals (116–120 h), RGCs in the retina contralateral to the injection were also labeled by retrograde transport from infected retinorecipient neurons receiving a bilateral retinal input (Fig. 1). As described for intraocular PRV injections (18), neurons putatively involved in autonomic regulation were also infected (Fig. 1); labeled neurons were observed in select regions of the hypothalamus (e.g., paraventricular nucleus) and the brainstem (e.g., solitary complex). For this study, we concentrated on electrophysiological characteristics of transsynaptically labeled neurons in the SCN and retina, with several recordings also made in the IGL and solitary complex.

Synaptic Input to SCN Neurons. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of synaptic currents were made in 11 SCN neurons from five hamsters injected intraocularly with PRV 152 (Fig. 3). Of these,
six neurons were confirmed on histological analysis to have been infected (i.e., they received retinal input from the injected eye), three were uninfected, and two were not recovered (i.e., biocytin was not visualized in the cell). The resting membrane potential (42 ± 6 mV) and input resistance (582 ± 91 MΩ) of infected SCN neurons were similar to those observed in SCN neurons from noninjected hamsters (48 ± 2 mV; 672 ± 61 MΩ; n = 20; P > 0.05). In control animals, electrical stimulation of the optic nerve resulted in an EPSC in 55% of neurons. A similar response to optic nerve stimulation was observed in five of six EGFP-labeled neurons (83%); one of three noninfected neurons (33%), and one of two unidentified cells (50%) from PRV 152-injected animals responded with an EPSC. Evoked EPSCs had a poststimulus latency of 7–10 ms, amplitude from a holding potential near −50 mV of −213 to −23 pA, and monoexponential decay time (τ = 3.7–5.0 ms). These results indicated that transsynaptically infected SCN neurons retained their major excitatory input from the retina.

Most SCN neurons receive robust local inhibitory input (19). We examined spontaneous IPSCs in PRV-EGFP-labeled SCN neurons to determine if inhibition was also intact in these cells (Fig. 3). Spontaneous IPSCs were observed in all SCN neurons voltage-clamped positive to −50 mV (six infected neurons and six neurons selected at random from noninjected control animals). The spontaneous IPSC frequency was not different between the two groups (19 ± 7 Hz control; 25 ± 5 Hz infected; P > 0.5). Inhibitory input to infected SCN neurons was qualitatively and quantitatively similar to comparable neurons in noninjected animals.

Although less frequent than spontaneous IPSCs, spontaneous EPSCs were also observed in all neurons. The average frequency for spontaneous EPSCs in SCN neurons from control animals was 2.7 ± 1.1 Hz (range 0.3–6 Hz). For infected neurons, the
average spontaneous EPSC frequency was 2.4 ± 0.7 Hz (range 0.3–4 Hz). There was no difference in spontaneous EPSC frequency between the two groups (P > 0.5).

**Targeted Retinal Ganglion Cells.** Retinal ganglion cells in the contralateral retina, retrogradely labeled from infected retina-recipient neurons, were visually targeted and recorded in a retinal whole-mount configuration (n = 5). Neurons expressing EGFP were easily observed in the RGC layer (Fig. 4). Resting membrane potential was −52 ± 6 mV; input resistance was 297 ± 26 MΩ. In these neurons, spontaneous EPSCs were predominant, with occasional IPSCs (<2 Hz) observed when the neuron was voltage-clamped at a holding potential of −10 to 0 mV in two cells. These results confirmed that retrogradely infected RGCs retained synaptic connectivity and could be targeted in situ for physiological analysis.

**Discussion**

These results describe the synaptic physiology of central neurons that were transsynaptically labeled from a specific target. The EGFP-labeled cells were visually targeted for in vitro electrophysiology, and the infected neurons had intact synaptic inputs within the normal range. Over the past few years, the attenuated PRV-Bartha has emerged as a powerful tool for delineating multisynaptic circuits in the CNS. The wide use of PRV-Bartha is derived from an increased understanding of its life cycle, coupled with compelling evidence that transneuronal passage of PRV is via a synaptic route (1–4, 18, 20). Usually, the virus is detected by using immunocytochemical procedures, providing reliable and reproducible descriptions of multisynaptic CNS circuits. The virus used in this study (PRV 152) was constructed to express EGFP to allow visualization of infected neurons in brain slices acutely prepared for in vitro electrophysiological analyses or in fixed tissue. Similar PRV-Bartha-EGFP constructs have recently been reported and used successfully to trace transneuronal pathways from the periphery to the SCN (21, 22). The EGFP diffuses throughout the cell, which labels neurons sufficiently to be visualized for targeted recordings (16), and also allows identification of dendritic morphology. By combining these two sensitive and powerful techniques, we have demonstrated that functionally related neurons can be analyzed in the context of their local synaptic network.

**Synaptic Connectivity of SCN Neurons.** Synaptic input to transsynaptically infected SCN neurons was similar qualitatively and quantitatively to uninfected SCN neurons. PRV 152-infected SCN neurons received robust spontaneous inhibitory input and also excitatory input evoked from optic nerve stimulation. It is likely that the stimulated afferent fibers in the optic nerve were also infected retrogradely from the SCN neuron(s) they contacted, because RGCs in the contralateral eye were infected at the relatively late stage of infection we used. Infection with PRV 152, therefore, does
not appear to interfere with normal synaptic function in retinal axons that project to the SCN circadian oscillator.

Quantitatively, at least in terms of frequency, spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs were indistinguishable between the two groups of infected and uninfected SCN neurons. The range of values for spontaneous postsynaptic current frequency was great for both groups, and only large differences would have been detected. However, the results of these studies indicate that both pre- and postsynaptic elements remain viable in infected animals. Although subtle differences may eventually be found to influence synaptic responses in vivo, the essential connectivity of the system appears to be intact and functional in the infected system. In a few recordings, we also observed spontaneous IPSCs and spontaneous EPSCs in infected neurons from the IGL and nucleus tractus solitarius (data not shown). We used primarily retinorecipient SCN neurons and RGCs to demonstrate the utility of the technique in this study, but analysis of other functional systems should be just as efficient with this method.

Synaptic Connectivity of RGCs. Consistent with previous reports with PRV-Bartha (7–9), transsynaptically labeled neurons were observed in the SCN and IGL after intravitreal injection of PRV 152. After long postinjection intervals (i.e., ~120 h), RGCs were retrogradely infected. At least some of these labeled RGCs were retrogradely infected from the SCN and IGL, components of the circadian timing system in the hamster that receive a bilateral input from the SCN and IGL, components of the retrogradely infected. At least some of these labeled RGCs were retrogradely infected. At least some of these labeled RGCs were retrogradely infected. At least some of these labeled RGCs were retrogradely infected.

The EGFP label was sufficiently intense to visualize ganglion cells in retinal whole mounts, allowing identification of these cells for electrophysiological recording. The infected RGCs received robust excitatory synaptic connectivity, similar to a report from whole-cell recordings in mouse ganglion cells in a retinal slice preparation (24). In retinal slice preparations from a variety of species (24–27), strong spontaneous inhibitory synaptic input was also detected in most RGCs. Relative to EPSCs, few IPSCs were observed in PRV 152-infected RGCs. Events were not quantified because of the relatively small number of neurons recorded. The relative paucity of IPSCs, even at relatively depolarized holding potentials (data not shown), suggests that the RGCs that were recorded in this study received little inhibitory input relative to excitatory drive. It is unlikely that this difference resulted from an alteration in synaptic connectivity caused by infection or the EGFP, because spontaneous IPSCs were readily observed in the SCN of similarly treated animals. Perhaps the RGCs retrogradely labeled from the restricted subset of retinorecipient nuclei transsynaptically infected (i.e., SCN, IGL, PT, and lateral terminal nucleus) receive predominately excitatory input.

Current evidence suggests that inhibitory input to ganglion cells arises from GABAergic and glycinegic amacrine cells, whereas excitatory input is from glutamatergic bipolar cells (28). The ratio of EPSCs to IPSCs varies among different ganglion cells (24), and ganglion cells demonstrating sustained responses to light apparently receive a higher ratio of bipolar to amacrine cell input compared with ganglion cells with transient responses (29). Ganglion cells afferent to the SCN demonstrate sustained responses to retinal illumination (31), as do SCN, IGL, and PT neurons (32, 33). Thus, the predominately excitatory input described in the PRV 152-infected RGCs may be characteristic of this subset of cells. However, a greater and more diverse sample will be required to determine if this is the case. The approach described here may allow such an assessment to be made.

The use of EGFP-PRV 152 to examine synaptic connectivity in functionally defined brain regions is a potentially important tool for studying local circuits in the brain. We examined synaptic activity in the SCN and RGCs, but the technique is applicable to a number of systems that are amenable to study with transsynaptic labels. Intraocular PRV injection has been used to define central polysynaptic neuronal pathways (18), and recordings can readily be made from these systems. Viral transsynaptic tracing has also been used extensively to identify anatomical circuits controlling visceral function (22, 34) and can identify multisynaptic connections with specific brain regions (3, 4). Combining EGFP identification with viral transneuronal labeling of multisynaptic pathways, as demonstrated in this study, is a powerful and potentially important technique for examining synaptic electrophysiology in functionally identified neural circuits.
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