Multiple interactions between an Arf/GEF complex and charged lipids determine activation kinetics on the membrane
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Lipidated small GTPases and their regulators need to bind to membranes to propagate actions in the cell, but an integrated understanding of how the lipid bilayer exerts its effect has remained elusive. Here we focused on ADP ribosylation factor (Arf) GTPases, which orchestrate a variety of regulatory functions in lipid and membrane trafficking, and their activation by the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) Brag2, which controls integrin endocytosis and cell adhesion and is impaired in cancer and developmental diseases. Biochemical and structural data are available that showed the exceptional efficiency of Arf activation by Brag2 on membranes. We determined the high-resolution crystal structure of unbound Brag2 containing the GEF (Sec7) and membrane-binding (pleckstrin homology) domains, revealing that it has a constitutively active conformation. We used this structure to analyze the interaction of uncomplexed Brag2 and of the myristoylated Arf1/Brag2 complex with a phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate (PIP\textsubscript{2})-containing lipid bilayer, using coarse-grained molecular dynamics. These simulations revealed that the system forms a close-packed, oriented interaction with the membrane, in which multiple PIP\textsubscript{2} lipids bind the canonical lipid-binding site and unique peripheral sites of the PH domain, the Arf GTPase and, unexpectedly, the Sec7 domain. We cross-validated these predictions by reconstituting the binding and kinetics of Arf and Brag2 in artificial membranes. Our coarse-grained structural model thus suggests that the high efficiency of Brag2 requires interaction with multiple lipids and a well-defined orientation on the membrane, resulting in a local PIP\textsubscript{2} enrichment, which has the potential to signal toward the Arf pathway.

Small GTPases and their regulators require association to membranes to propagate actions in the cell, but our understanding of how the membrane exerts its effects has remained fragmentary. Here, we combined X-ray crystallography, coarse-grained molecular dynamics, and experimental reconstitution of the lipidated ADP ribosylation factor (Arf) GTPase and its guanine-nucleotide exchange factor, Brag2, in artificial membranes to study how this system functions on membranes. Our results reveal that the Arf/Brag2 complex interacts with multiple PIP\textsubscript{2} lipids, resulting in a well-defined orientation in close apposition to the membrane that explains the exceptional efficiency of activation of Arf by Brag2. Our coarse-grained model provides a structural framework to understand the assembly of Arf GTPase complexes with regulators and effectors at the surface of membranes.
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value of $K_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ increases by more than 100-fold when reconstituted on phosphoinositide-containing membranes, which requires interaction with the PH domain, resulting in an efficiency that nears the diffusion-controlled limit of soluble proteins (10, 17).

The crystal structure of a Sec7-PH module of Brag2 trapped in an Arf-bound intermediate state preceding GDP dissociation showed that the PH domain interacts with both the Sec7 domain and with Arf in such a way that both Arf and Brag2 can contact the membrane simultaneously (10). The linker between the Sec7 and PH domain packs against the PH domain and expands its membrane-interacting face by a loop that bears several positively charged residues. This loop is positioned near the canonical binding region of the PH domain, but its structure could not be resolved in our previous studies. Another feature of the Brag2 PH domain is the replacement of a conserved lysine residue in the canonical lipid-binding pocket by a glutamate (Glu639). In cytohesins, mutation of this lysine to alanine abolishes activity (18), but the presence of a glutamate at this position in Brag2 does not affect activity (10, 17).

The molecular detail by which the membrane exerts its effect on the efficiency of Brag2 has remained unclear because the underlying structural and thermodynamic parameters are difficult to observe experimentally. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations offer a possible means toward understanding the origin of these effects, but all-atom MD simulations of membrane-bound proteins systems are computationally very demanding. Coarse-grained MD simulations, in which groups of atoms are combined into a single bead (19, 20), offer about a two- or three-order-of-magnitude increase in simulation speed at the cost of reduced structural detail, and they have proved their relevance in exploring elusive structural, dynamical, and biophysical determinants of complex membrane systems (21). Notably, coarse-grained MD simulations have provided important insights into the interaction of PH domains (22–25), and other membrane-binding domains (26–29) with membranes.

Here, we combined X-ray crystallography, coarse-grained MD simulations, and experimental reconstitution of Arf and Brag2 in artificial membranes to study the mechanism of association of Brag2 with membranes, and how its efficiency is enhanced in such an environment. We report the high-resolution crystal structure of the unbound Sec7-PH module of Brag2, which establishes that both the lipid-binding site in the PH domain and the Arf-binding site in the Sec7 domain are constitutively accessible for interactions. This structure was then used for two sets of coarse-grained MD simulations with a lipid bilayer containing phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) lipids: one with unbound Brag2 and one with Brag2 complexed with myristoylated Arf1. Analyses of these simulations predict that the Arf/Brag2 complex forms multivalent interactions with the bilayer through Arf, the Sec7 domain, and the PH domain, and that these interactions lead to the formation of PIP$_2$-rich regions in the bilayer in the vicinity of the complex. Reconstitution of the GEF activity of Brag2 and Brag2 mutants toward myristoylated Arf in liposomes validates the structural model derived from the coarse-grained MD simulations. Our results reveal that the Arf/ArfGEF complex forms close-packed, multivalent interactions with the bilayer that result in high GEF efficiency and provides a structural framework to model the assembly of Arf GTPases complexes with regulators and effectors at the surface of membranes.

Results

Crystal Structure of the Unbound Sec7-PH Module of Brag2. We determined the crystal structure of the Sec7-PH module of human Brag2 (residues 390–763; denoted Brag2 hereafter) in two crystal forms at 2.4 Å (space group C2$_1$), one molecule in the asymmetric unit) and 2.0 Å resolution (space group P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$, two molecules in the asymmetric unit), yielding three crystallographically independent copies of the protein (Fig. S14 and Table S1). The Sec7 and PH domains form a large intramolecular interface in all molecules, in a manner that leaves the Arf-binding site in the Sec7 domain and the canonical lipid-binding site in the PH domain fully accessible (Fig. L4). Comparison of Arf-bound (10) and -unbound Brag2 shows that the interface between the two domains is essentially invariant (Fig. S1B), indicating that this segment of Brag2 is constitutively active and does not require a regulated displacement of the PH domain to either bind Arf GTPases or to bind to membranes. This is in striking contrast to cytohesin ArfGEFs, in which the Arf-binding site on the Sec7 domain is occluded by the PH domain and adjacent peptide segments (30) and binding of Arf-GTP is required for the release of autoinhibition (31).

Comparison of the three independent copies of Brag2 highlights significant flexibility within the Sec7 domain between the N-terminal subdomain, which bears the interface with the PH domain, and the C-terminal subdomain, which carries the Arf-binding site (Fig. 1B). The electron density was less well defined at helix $\alpha_3$, which is located at the interface between these two subdomains; this suggests that it may constitute the hinge that supports intradomain flexibility (Fig. 1B). Crystallographic analyses of intermediates of the exchange reaction showed that Arf rotates with respect to the Sec7 domain as the nucleotide-exchange reaction proceeds (6, 7); since the PH domain has a fixed position with respect to the Sec7 domain in Brag2, its interface with Arf should vary as Arf rotates. The flexibility of the Sec7 domain may contribute to the perturbation of the Arf/PH domain interface minimal. Internal flexibility of the Sec7 domain has been observed in isolated Sec7 domains from other ArfGEF subfamilies (32). We propose that it reflects a general plasticity in Sec7 domains that is needed to accommodate changes in the contacts of Arf GTPases with adjacent domains during the exchange reaction.

The overall conformation of the PH domain is essentially identical between unbound and Arf-bound Brag2, but our new structures fully resolve the conformation of the linker between the Sec7 and PH domain. Notably, the structures show that the loop from residues 610–622 in the linker, which was not defined in the Arf-GDP/Brag2 crystal structure, expands the membrane-facing surface of the PH domain such that 10 positively charged residues are positioned for potential interaction with the bilayer (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1C). We conclude from these observations that Brag2 is constitutively active, through a structurally invariant interface between the Sec7 and PH domains, and that it displays a large positively charged, membrane-facing surface contributed by the linker and the PH domain.

Coarse-Grained MD Simulations of Brag2 with a PIP$_2$-Containing Lipid Bilayer. We developed a coarse-grained model for investigation of the interaction of Brag2 with the lipid bilayer, using the crystal structure of unbound Brag2. We selected an anionic lipid content of 15% phosphatidyl serine (PS) and 2% PIP$_2$ for coarse-grained
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Brag2 is bound to nucleotide-free Arf, because GTPases and GEFs have the highest affinity for each other in that state (36) and the conformation of nucleotide-free Arf is competent for membrane attachment (6). Structural information for building the model of nucleotide-free myristoylated human Arfl bound to Brag2 (hereafter referred to as mytAref/Brag2) was derived from the structures of unbound Brag2 (present study), Arf-GDP-bound Brag2 (10), the complex of nucleotide-free Arf with the Sec7 domain of yeast Gea2 (6), and the NMR structure of myristoylated yeast Arf-GTP (4) (Fig. S3A). The resulting model was energy-minimized to remove local steric conflicts before it was converted into the coarse-grained model for MD simulations. An important feature is that the myristoylated N-terminal helix is readily available for interaction with the membrane in the starting conformation. We note that the set-up of our MD system, in which the GTPase/GEF complex is preformed, does not allow us to investigate the order in which discrete binding events take place. As before, the protein complex was placed above the anionic face of the membrane, with the phosphoinositide-binding region of the PH domain facing the membrane.

We ran five simulations of the mytAref/Brag2 complex with a PIP2-containing membrane. Long-term, stable interactions between the protein complex and the membrane occurred in four simulations (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4 and Movie S2). In a fifth simulation, the protein complex explored the solvent space above the membrane. This simulation was not considered in the subsequent analysis. In three of the remaining four coarse-grained MD simulations, the myristate moiety inserts into the membrane with the N-terminal helix of Arf lying parallel to the plane of the
lipids, and here these lipids bind to both Arf and Brag2 (Fig. 3A and Figs. S3B and S4). The Sec7, linker, and PH domains of complexed Brag2 recapitulate most of the interactions with PIP_2 lipids that were observed in the simulations of the uncomplicated protein, including interactions located in the canonical lipid-binding site and loop β3−β4 in the PH domain, the loop in the linker, and the α8−α9 loop of the Sec7 domain (Fig. 3 B and C). We note that transient interactions of positively charged residues in the loop that connects the Sec7 domain to the linker (residues 584−590) that formed with PIP_2 lipids in unbound Brag2 are disfavored when m^v^Arf1 is bound to Brag2, probably due to more favorable interactions provided by Arf1 itself. On the Arf side, unexpected contacts with the bilayer were observed for membrane-facing regions in Arf, notably at the tip of the interswitch (Fig. 3D). This region is a major determinant of the activating conformational switch, which functions as a “push button” during GTP exchange and becomes exposed early during the exchange reaction (2). This interaction suggests that the interswitch contributes toward determining the orientation of Arf on the membrane, in addition to the myristoylated N-terminal helix. Altogether, the coarse-grained MD simulations predict a structural model of the m^v^Arf1−Brag2 complex in which the small GTPase and the GEF engage multiple lipids to establish an oriented, close-packed interface with the membrane bilayer.

**Contribution of the Lipid Bilayer to Brag2 Efficiency.** The coarse-grained MD simulations suggest that the high efficiency of Brag2 on a PIP_2-containing bilayer is not merely due to its colocalization with Arf, but also requires interactions of its PH, linker, and Sec7 domains with several PIP_2 lipids. We assessed these predictions by mutagenesis, membrane-binding experiments, and fluorescence-based GEF kinetics. First, we assessed whether colocalization of Brag2 and Arf is the sole contribution of liposomes by reconstituting the activation of m^v^Arf1 by Brag2 in two different set-ups: one in which liposomes contained neutral and NiNTA lipids to tether Brag2 by a 6-His tag in a nonspecific manner; the other in which liposomes contained PIP_2 and PS to recruit Brag2 by specific interactions. While Brag2 was entirely recruited to liposomes in both set-ups (Fig. 4A), it was four times less active on Ni lipid-containing liposomes, suggesting that specific interactions leading to optimal orientation are necessary for full activity (Fig. 4B).

Next, we analyzed the prediction that the interaction of Brag2 with the bilayer requires contacts with several PIP_2 lipids. We used a fluorescence-based thermal shift assay to determine the affinity of Brag2 to PIP_2, C4, a soluble analog of PIP_2, that carries the phosphoinositide head-group and a 4-carbon acyl chain. We found that Brag2 binds PIP_2−C4 with low affinity (>100 μM) (Fig. 4C), indicating that its strong interaction with the PIP_2-containing bilayer cannot be accounted for by a strong interaction with an individual PIP_2 lipid. Analysis of the fraction of protein bound to liposomes as a function of the concentration of PIP_2 in the bilayer can be used to assess whether lipids cooperate to promote membrane association (37). We measured the recruitment of Brag2 to liposomes containing increasing concentrations of PIP_2 as the sole anionic lipid, using a stringent lipid flotation assay (Fig. 4D). We found that Brag2 binds PIP_2 lipids in a positively cooperative manner, providing experimental support to the

![Fig. 3. Coarse-grained MD simulations of m^v^Arf1−Brag2 on a membrane showing multiple PIP_2 lipid-binding sites. (A) Close-up of m^v^Arf1−Brag2 at the end of the simulation run, for one run. Both m^v^Arf1 and Brag2 bind primarily to PIP_2 molecules in the membrane at multiple binding sites. (B) Time-averaged number of interactions between Sec7 domain residues and PIP_2 lipids. (C) Time-averaged number of interactions between the linker and PH domain residues of Brag2 and PIP_2 lipids. (D) Time-averaged number of interactions between Arf residues and PIP_2 lipids. Color-coding for Brag2 and lipids is as in Figs. 1 and 2. Arf is in green.](image-url)
coarse-grained MD prediction that it binds to multiple PIP\(_2\) lipids (Fig. 4E and Fig. S5A). We note that a small fraction of Brag2 remains unbound to liposomes that contain PIP\(_2\) as the sole source of negatively charged lipids, while Brag2 is entirely bound to liposomes that contain both PS and PIP\(_2\) (Fig. S5B). Surprisingly, PIP\(_2\)-only liposomes support a higher GEF activity than PIP\(_2\)-PS liposomes (Fig. S5C). These observations suggest that while PS increases the binding of Brag2 to PIP\(_2\)-containing membranes, optimal activity of Brag2 is favored by an increased ability to dissociate from membranes.

Finally, we assessed the predicted contribution of the Sec7 domain of Brag2 to its interaction with the lipid bilayer. A role for Sec7 domains in binding membranes has not been envisioned before because their activity is not enhanced by membranes (10, 38) and the Sec7 domain of Brag2 binds poorly, if at all, to liposomes (10). We produced a Brag2 double mutant in which K549 and R552 in the \(\alpha_8\)-\(\alpha_9\) loop of the Sec7 domain were replaced by alanines, which should have impaired activity on anionic membranes based on the CG-MD model. The double mutation does not affect the GEF efficiency in solution, indicating that the mutant is well folded and that the site of the mutation is not directly involved in the GEF reaction (Fig. S5D).

Remarkably, whereas Brag2 was highly active on liposomes containing either both PIP\(_2\) and PS or PS alone as a source of anionic lipids, the double mutant was active on PIP\(_2\)-PS liposomes but was severely impaired on PS-liposomes (Fig. 4F). Thus, the mutant has defects in its regulation by membranes that can be overcome by PIP\(_2\) lipids, probably through interactions of its linker and PH domains with PIP\(_2\) lipids that cannot be established properly by PS alone. These data indicate that the positively charged loop in the Sec7 domain is involved in the recognition of anionic membranes, and that this interaction contributes to the GEF efficiency. Taken together, these data support the coarse-grained MD model of \(^{109}\text{Arf}/\text{Brag2}\) bound to a PIP\(_2\)-containing bilayer in which it forms an oriented complex that interacts with multiple PIP\(_2\) lipids.

**Discussion**

In this study, we devised and cross-validated a coarse-grained structural model of \(^{109}\text{Arf}/\text{Brag2}\) complex bound to a PIP\(_2\)-containing bilayer by combining crystallography, coarse-grained MD simulations, and reconstitution of small GTPase and GEF in artificial membrane systems. It is unique in that coarse-grained MD simulations have been used to model a membrane-attached GTPase/ regulator complex, and it reveals elusive aspects of the mechanism of activation of Arf GTPases with implications for their functions.

The structural model of the bilayer-associated \(^{109}\text{Arf}/\text{Brag2}\) complex features several layers of interactions, including a rigid intramolecular interaction between the Sec7 and PH domains of the GEF, adjustable protein–protein interactions between Arf and both domains of the GEF, and interactions of the complex with multiple lipids. PIP\(_2\) lipids interact with the canonical lipid-binding site of the PH domain, a peripheral site in the PH domain, a positively charged loop in the linker, a loop in the Sec7 domain, the myristoylated N-terminal helix, and loop of the interswitch in Arf. While the contribution of the PH domain and the linker to the regulation of the exchange reaction on PIP\(_2\)-containing membranes had been reported before (10, 17), the contribution of the Sec7 domain to defining the geometry of the membrane-attached complex was not anticipated. An important finding is that the lipidated Arf/Brag2 complex is apposed closely to the membrane by these multiple contacts with lipids, which constrains both its geometry and orientation. We propose that the exceptional efficiency of the activation of Arf by Brag2 on membranes results from the integration of these optimized intramolecular, protein–protein and protein–membrane interactions. Whether and how other elements in the N terminus of the protein regulate this constitutively active module will have to be investigated. It is interesting to note that the interaction of the interswitch of Arf with membranes results from the action of GEF, which promotes the toggle of the interswitch to its exposed conformation; the strength of the interaction of Arf with membranes should therefore strengthen as the exchange reaction proceeds. In practical terms, the close-packed arrangement of the Arf/Brag2 membrane system leaves little space to accommodate the large tags used for detection in cellular assays, and the presence of tags may therefore impair this arrangement. This explains why domain tags fused to yeast Arf GTPases affect their functions (39). We also observed that the myristoylated N-terminal helix of Arf is close to the Sec7 domain in the membrane-attached complex; accordingly, the Sec7 domain may perceive conformational information from this helix, such as its orientation with respect to the GTPase core. This raises the interesting possibility that this pivotal regulatory element of Arf GTPases, which is where the sequences of the five Arf isoforms diverge the most, conveys specificity information that can be monitored by the GEFs. More generally, our analysis points to a common organization of protein complexes assembled by Arf GTPases, in which Arf GTPases position their regulators and effectors such that they are poised to form multiple and oriented interactions with the lipid bilayer. A powerful approach to testing the predictions of our model will be to determine the orientation of Brag2 on the membrane by NMR, and delineate how the orientation is correlated with the engagement of phosphatidylinositol lipids, as demonstrated recently for Ras (40). In the future, it will also be important to test these findings in the context of the cell, for example by studying the effect of mutations in the Sec7 and linker domains of Brag2 on the level of Arf activation.

Our analysis also provides insight into the dynamics of PIP\(_2\) lipids located in the membrane bilayer in the vicinity of the Arf/ Brag2 complex, with potential implications for Arf functions. In the coarse-grained simulations, the complex interacts with multiple lipids, most of which exchange dynamically in the course of the simulation, and this interaction with multiple lipids is supported by membrane-binding experiments. In effect, this leads to enrichment of PIP\(_2\) lipids in the vicinity of the complex, an effect reminiscent of lipid clustering that has been observed in experimental studies using model membranes and cellular assays, and in MD simulations of PH and other PIP\(_2\)-binding domains (41, 42). At the level of the GEF reaction, PIP\(_2\) enrichment could contribute a positive feedback effect by strengthening the interaction of the complex with the membrane or it could delimit the membrane domain where Brag2 is located and produces Arf-GTP, thereby modulating the amplitude and shape of the Arf-GTP signal generated by Brag2. Consistent with this prediction, we observed that Brag2 was more active on liposomes to which it bound more weakly than on liposomes where it was recruited strongly, highlighting that the spatio-temporal activity of Brag2, and probably of any GEF, depends on an exquisite balance between the strength of membrane binding and the GEF efficiency. PIP\(_2\) enrichment could also be perceived by components of pathways downstream of Arf, possibly even without their interacting directly with activated Arf. This could be especially relevant to the lipid-modifying enzymes phospholipase D, which breaks down PIP\(_2\) to generate phosphatidic acid, and PI(4)P-5 kinase, which uses PI(4)P to synthesize PIP\(_2\), both of which are downstream effectors of Arf at the plasma membrane. Arf and PIP\(_2\) lipids are known to synergize to activate phospholipase D, while phosphatidic acid produced by phospholipase D synergizes with Arf to activate PI(4)P-5 kinase, with the potential for an “explosive feedforward loop” (43) in the production of PIP\(_2\). Our study highlights that Arf and Brag2 play an active role in organizing the pool of PIP\(_2\) lipids that are used as activators or substrates by these Arf effectors. Whether or not this effect suffices to account for the role of Arf in the regulation of phospholipase D and PI(4)P-5 kinase, the net balance of PIP\(_2\) production is likely to be tuned by combination of direct enzymatic contributions and the indirect contributions of Arf and its GEFs.
In conclusion, our analysis identifies geometric determinants and multiple lipid interactions that determine the amplitude and regulation of the activation of Arf by its GEFs. We propose that the formation of PIP₂-rich regions by Arf and Brad2 contributes to propagating signals in coordination with Arf activation. Given that the negative regulators (GAPs) and effectors also interact with membrane attached Arf-GTP, our study should provide a valuable framework for modeling the interaction of these complexes and envision direct and indirect regulation by signaling phosphoinositides. More generally, it points to the functional relationship between the geometry of association of small GTPases, regulators, and effectors with membranes and their signaling output, as recently illustrated for lipitated K-Ras (44).

Materials and Methods

Proteins, crystallization and structure determination, thermal shift assay, liposome-binding assay, nucleotide-exchange kinetics, and coarse-grained MD simulations are described in SI Materials and Methods.
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