Prevolutionary dynamics and the origin of evolution
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Life is that which replicates and evolves. The origin of life is also the origin of evolution. A fundamental question is when do chemical kinetics become evolutionary dynamics? Here, we formulate a general mathematical theory for the origin of evolution. All known life on earth is based on biological polymers, which act as information carriers and catalysts. Therefore, any theory for the origin of life must address the emergence of such a system. We describe prelife as an alphabet of active monomers that form random polymers. Prelife is a generative system that can produce information. Prevolutionary dynamics have selection and mutation, but no replication. Life emerges with the ability of replication: Polymers act as templates for their own reproduction. Prelife is a scaffolding that builds life. Yet, there is competition between life and prelife. There is a phase transition: If the effective replication rate exceeds a critical value, then life outcompetes prelife. Replication is not a prerequisite for selection, but instead, there can be selection for replication. Mutation leads to an error threshold between life and prelife.

The attempt to understand the origin of life has inspired much experimental and theoretical work over the years (1–10). Many of the basic building blocks of life can be produced by simple chemical reactions (11–15). RNA molecules can both store genetic information and act as enzymes (16–24). Fatty acids can self-assemble into vesicles that undergo spontaneous growth and division (25–28). The defining feature of biological systems is evolution. Biological organisms are products of evolutionary processes and capable of undergoing further evolution. Evolution needs a generative system that can produce unlimited information. Evolution needs populations of information carriers. Evolution needs mutation and selection. Normally, one thinks of these properties as being derivative of replication, but here, we formulate a generative chemistry (“prelife”) that is capable of selection and mutation before replication. We call the resulting process “prevolutionary dynamics.” Replication marks the transition from prevolutionary to evolutionary dynamics, from prelife to life.

Let us consider a prebiotic chemistry that produces activated monomers denoted by 0* and 1*. These chemicals can either become deactivated into 0 and 1 or attach to the end of binary strings. We assume, for simplicity, that all sequences grow in one direction. Thus, the following chemical reactions are possible:

\[ i + 0* \rightarrow i0 \]
\[ i + 1* \rightarrow i1. \]  \[1\]

Here \( i \) stands for any binary string (including the null element). These copolymerization reactions (29, 30) define a tree with infinitely many lineages. Each sequence is produced by a particular lineage that contains all of its precursors. In this way, we can define a prebiotic chemistry that can produce any binary string and thereby generate, in principle, unlimited information and diversity. We call such a system prelife and the associated dynamics prevolution (Fig. 1).

Each sequence, \( i \), has one precursor, \( i^* \), and two followers, \( i0 \) and \( i1 \). The parameter \( a_i \) denotes the rate constant of the chemical reaction from \( i^* \) to \( i \). At first, we assume that the active monomers are always at a steady state. Their concentrations are included in the rate constants, \( a_i \). All sequences decay at rate, \( d \). The following system of infinitely many differential equations describes the deterministic dynamics of prelife:

\[ \dot{x}_i = a_i x_i - (d + a_{i0} + a_{i1}) x_i. \]  \[2\]

The index, \( i \), enumerates all binary strings of finite length, 0,1,00,.... The abundance of string \( i \) is given by \( x_i \) and its time derivative by \( \dot{x}_i \). For the precursors of 0 and 1, we set \( x_0 = x_1 = 1 \). If all rate constants are positive, then the system converges to a unique steady state, where (typically) longer strings are exponentially less common than shorter ones. Introducing the parameter \( b_i = a_i/(d + a_{i0} + a_{i1}) \), we can write the equilibrium abundance of sequence \( i \) as \( x_i = b_i b_{i0} b_{i1} \cdots b_{i_n} \). The product is over the entire lineage leading from the monomer, \( \sigma = (0 \text{ or } 1) \), to sequence \( i \). The total population size converges to \( X = (a_0 + a_1)/d \). The rate constants, \( a_i \), of the copolymerization process define the “prelife landscape.” We will now discuss three different prelife landscapes.

For “supersymmetric” prelife, we assume that \( a_0 = a_1 = a/2 \), and \( a_i = a \) for all other \( i \). Hence, all sequences grow at uniform rates. In this case, all sequences of length \( n \) have the same equilibrium abundance given by \( x_n = [a(2a)/a(2a + d)]^n \). Thus, longer sequences are exponentially less common. The total equilibrium abundance of all strings is \( X = a/d \). The average sequence length is \( \bar{n} = 1 + 2a/d \).

Selection emerges in prelife, if different reactions occur at different rates. Consider a random prelife landscape, where a fraction \( p \) of reactions are fast \((a_i = 1 + s)\), whereas the remaining reactions are slow \((a_i = 1)\). Fig. 2A shows the equilibrium distribution of all sequences as a function of the selection intensity, \( s \). For larger values of \( s \), some sequences are selected (highly prevalent), whereas the others decline to very low abundance. The fraction of sequences that are selected out of all sequences of length \( n \) is given by \( (1 - p)^n[1 - p(1 - p)]^{n-1} \). See supporting information (SI) for all detailed calculations.

Another example of an asymmetric prelife landscape contains a “master sequence” of length \( n \) (Fig. 2B). All reactions that lead to that sequence have an increased rate \( b \), while all other rates are \( a \). The master sequence is more abundant than all other sequences of the same length. But the master sequence attains a significant fraction of the population (\( s = \) selected) only if \( b \) is much larger than \( a \). The required value of \( b \) grows as a linear function of \( n \). In this prelife landscape, we can also discuss the effect of “mutation.” The fast reactions leading to the master sequence might incorporate the wrong monomer with a certain probability, \( u \), which then acts as a mutation rate in prelife. We find an error threshold. The master sequence can attain a significant fraction of the population, only if \( u \) is less than the inverse of the sequence length, \( 1/n \).
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Let us now assume that some sequences can act as templates for replication. These replicators are not only formed from their precursor sequences in prelife but also from active monomers at a rate that is proportional to their own abundance. We obtain the following differential equation

$$\dot{x}_i = a_i x_i - (d + a_{i0} + a_{i1}) x_i + r x_i (f_i - \phi)$$

As before, the index $i$ enumerates all binary strings of finite length. The first part of the equation describes prelife (exactly as in Eq. 2). The second part represents the standard selection equation of evolutionary dynamics (28). The fitness of sequence $i$ is given by $f_i$. All sequences have a frequency-dependent death rate, which represents the average fitness, $\phi = \sum f_i x_i / \sum x_i$ and ensures that the total population size remains at a constant value.

---

**Fig. 1.** A binary soup and the tree of prelife. (A) Prebiotic chemistry produces activated monomers, 0* and 1*, which form random polymers. Activated monomers can become deactivated, 0* → 0 and 1* → 1 or attach to the end of strings, for example, 00 + 1* → 001. We assume that all strings grow only in one direction. Therefore, each string has one immediate precursor and two immediate followers. (B) In the tree of prelife, each sequence has exactly one production lineage. The arrows indicate all of the chemical reactions of prelife up to length $n = 4$.

**Fig. 2.** Selection can occur in prelife without replication. The equilibrium abundances of all sequences of length 1 to 6 are shown as a function of the intensity of selection, $s$. There are $2^n$ sequences of length $n$. (A) In a random prelife landscape, half of all reactions occur at rate $1 + s$, the other half at rate 1. As $s$ increases, a small subset of sequences is selected, whereas the others decline to very low abundance. (B) All reactions leading to the one “master sequence” of length 6 occur at rate $b = 1 + s$, all others at rate $a = 1 - s$. As $s$ increases, the master sequence is selected. Lineages that share sequences with the master sequence are suppressed, whereas other lineages are unaffected. Color code: black, gray, green, light blue, blue, and red for sequences of length 1 to 6, respectively. Other parameters: $a_0 = a_1 = 1/2$ and $d = 1$. 
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The parameter $r$ scales the relative rates of template-directed replication and template-independent sequence growth. These two processes are likely to have different kinetics. For example, their rates could depend differently on the availability of activated monomers. In this case, $r$ could be an increasing function of the abundance of activated monomers. Template-directed replication requires double-strand separation. A common idea is that double-strand separation is caused by temperature oscillations, which means that $r$ is affected by the frequency of those oscillations. The magnitude of $r$ determines the relative importance of life versus prelife. For small $r$, the dynamics are dominated by prelife. For large $r$, the dynamics are dominated by evolution.

Fig. 3 shows the competition between life (replication) and prelife. We assume a random prelife landscape where the reaction rates $a_i$ are taken from a uniform distribution on [0,1]. All sequences of length $n = 6$ can replicate. Their fitness values are also taken from a uniform distribution on [0,1]. For small values of $r$, the fastest replicator dominates the population. As $r$ increases, there is a phase transition at the critical value $r_c$. The fitness of the fastest replicator is given by $f_1 = 0.999$, its extension rates are $a_{90} = 0.4418$ and $a_{9284} = 0.1284$. The death rate is $d = 1$. We have $r_c = (d + a_0 + a_1) f_1 = 1.572$, which is indicated by the broken vertical line and is in perfect agreement with the numerical simulation. The color code is the same as in Fig. 2.

The competition between life and prelife results in selection for (or against) replication. The equilibrium abundances of all sequences of length 1 to 6 are shown versus the relative replication rate, $r$. We assume a random prelife landscape, where the reaction rates $a_i$ are taken from a uniform distribution on [0,1]. All sequences of length $n = 6$ can replicate. Their fitness values are also taken from a uniform distribution on [0,1]. For small values of $r$, prelife prevails. For large values of $r$, the fastest replicator dominates the population. As $r$ increases, there is a phase transition at the critical value $r_c$. The fitness of the fastest replicator is given by $f_1 = 0.999$, its extension rates are $a_{90} = 0.4418$ and $a_{9284} = 0.1284$. The death rate is $d = 1$. We have $r_c = (d + a_0 + a_1) f_1 = 1.572$, which is indicated by the broken vertical line and is in perfect agreement with the numerical simulation. The color code is the same as in Fig. 2.

Replication can be subject to mistakes. With probability $u$, a wrong monomer is incorporated. In Fig. 4, we consider a “single-peak” fitness landscape: One sequence of length $n$ can replicate. The probability of error-free replication is given by $g_q = (1 - u)^q$. The net reproductive rate of the replicator is now given by $g_q = r(fq - d) - (d + a_0 + a_1)$. The replicator is selected if the replication accuracy, $g_q$, is greater than a certain value, given by $q > (d + a_0 + a_1) f_1 r$. Thus, mutation leads to an error threshold for the emergence of life. Replication is selected only if the mutation rate, $u$, is less than a critical value that is proportional to the inverse of the sequence length, $1/n$. This finding is reminiscent of classical quasispecies theory (3, 4), but there, the error threshold arises when different replicators compete (“within” life). Here, we observe an error threshold between life and prelife.

Traditionally, one thinks of natural selection as choosing between different replicators. Natural selection arises if one type reproduces faster than another type, thereby changing the relative abundances of these two types in the population. Natural selection can lead to competitive exclusion or coexistence. In the present theory, however, we encounter natural selection before replication. Different information carriers compete for resources and thereby gain different abundances in the population. Natural selection occurs within prelife and between life and prelife. In our theory, natural selection is not a consequence of replication, but instead natural selection leads to replication. There is “selection for replication” if replicating sequences have a higher abundance than nonreplicating sequences of similar length. We observe that prelife selection is blunt: Typically small differences in growth rates result in small differences in abundance. Replication sharpens selection: Small differences in replication rates can lead to large differences in abundance.

We have proposed a mathematical theory for studying the origin of evolution. Our aim was to formulate the simplest possible population dynamics that can produce information and complexity. We began with a “binary soup” where activated
monomers form random polymers (binary strings) of any length (Fig. 1). Selection emerges in prelife, if some sequences grow faster than others (Fig. 2). Replication marks the transition from prelife to life, from prevolution to evolution. Prelife allows a continuous origin of life. There is also competition between life and prelife. Life is selected over prelife only if the replication rate is greater than a certain threshold (Fig. 3). Mutation during replication leads to an error threshold between life and prelife. Life can emerge only if the mutation rate is less than a critical value that is proportional to the inverse of the sequence length (Fig. 4). All fundamental equations of evolutionary and ecological dynamics assume replication (31–33), but here, we have explored the dynamical properties of a system before replication and the emergence of replication.
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