Label-free imaging of the native, living cellular nanoarchitecture using partial-wave spectroscopic microscopy
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The organization of chromatin is a regulator of molecular processes including transcription, replication, and DNA repair. The structures within chromatin that regulate these processes span from the nucleosomal (10-nm) to the chromosomal (>200-nm) levels, with little known about the dynamics of chromatin structure between these scales due to a lack of quantitative imaging technique in live cells. Previous work using partial-wave spectroscopic (PWS) microscopy, a quantitative imaging technique with sensitivity to macromolecular organization between 20 and 200 nm, has shown that transformation of chromatin at these length scales is a fundamental event during carcinogenesis. As the dynamics of chromatin likely play a critical regulatory role in cellular function, it is critical to develop live-cell imaging techniques that can probe the real-time temporal behavior of the chromatin nanoarchitectures. Therefore, we developed a live-cell PWS technique that allows high-throughput, label-free study of the causal relationship between nanoscale organization and molecular function in real time. In this work, we use live-cell PWS to study the change in chromatin structure due to DNA damage and expand on the link between metabolic function and the structure of higher-order chromatin. In particular, we studied the temporal changes to chromatin during UV light exposure, show that live-cell DNA-binding dyes induce damage to chromatin within seconds, and demonstrate a direct link between higher-order chromatins and mitochondrial membrane potential. Because biological function is tightly paired with structure, live-cell PWS is a powerful tool to study the nanoscale structure-function relationship in live cells.

Significance

Chromatin is one of the most critical structures within the cell because it houses most genetic information. Its structure is well understood at the nucleosomal (<20-nm) and chromosomal (>200-nm) levels; however, due to the lack of quantitative imaging modalities to study this organization, little is known about the higher-order structure between these length scales in live cells. We present a label-free technique, live-cell partial-wave spectroscopic (PWS) microscopy, with sensitivity to structures between 20 and 200 nm that can quantify the nanoarchitecture in live cells. With this technique, we can detect DNA fragmentation and expand on the link between metabolic function and higher-order chromatin structure. Live-cell PWS allows high-throughput study of the relationship between nanoscale organization and molecular function.
One prominent area of biological research with a demonstrated need for label-free, nanoscale-sensitive imaging is the investigation of the structure–function relationship of chromatin. Chromatin organization (which is comprised of DNA, histones, and hundreds of other conjugated proteins and small molecules such as RNA) involves a hierarchy of length scales ranging from 10 nm in nucleosomes to hundreds of nanometers for chromosomal territories (6, 7). The physical nanostructure of chromatin is regulated by numerous molecular factors, including the primary DNA sequence composition, differential methylation patterns, histone modifications, polycomb and cohesin protein complexes, RNA and DNA polymerases, long noncoding RNA, etc., and nonmolecular factors, such as crowding, ionic conditions, and pH. Due to this complexity and the lack of existing optical techniques that can rapidly sample information below 200 nm, little is known about the higher-order chromatin structure at length scales between 10 and 200 nm or their dynamics in live cells (e.g., the folding structure of chromatin above that of mononucleosomes). Results from fixed-cell-imaging techniques, such as electron microscopy or SRM, have shown that chromatin between 20 and 200 nm is first organized into poly-nucleosome 10-nm fibers, and in certain conditions, these fibers have been shown to assemble into 30-nm chromatin fibers (8–10), although the existence of the 30-nm fiber is a subject of an active debate. At length scales between 100 and 200 nm, recent work using SRM has shown a power-law (fractal) relation in the organization of chromatin, with domains of highly dense, inactive chromatin localizing within a few hundred nanometers of transcriptionally active sites (11). Furthermore, in vitro studies using texture analysis of microscopy images have shown that the topology of chromatin is well represented as a fractal media (12). Likewise, ex vivo analysis of somatic copy number alterations and neutron scattering measurements of isolated nuclei have shown that, across these length scales, chromatin is well described as a fractal media (13–15). In conjunction, molecular techniques such as chromosomal capture methods [chromosome conformation capture (3C) and high-throughput 3C (Hi-C)] have shown that the higher-order organization of chromatin above single nucleosomes and below the structure of chromosomal territories follows this same power-law fractal organization. These methods have shown that topology of this higher-order organization is correlated with the regulation of gene transcription (16–18) and is modulated by both biologically rapid and slow mechanisms to regulate the expression of genes (19). Critically, these observed changes in chromatin structure have recently been linked to the regulation of genes often implicated in oncogenesis (20).

In cancer, it is increasingly clear that changes in chromatin topology at all length scales are a critical determinant of tumor formation, aggressiveness, and chemo-resistance. One of the primary features of tumorigenesis is a shift in the fractal physical organization of chromatin, correlating both with the formation of tumors and their invasiveness. In early carcinogenesis, we have previously applied a fixed-cell-imaging technique, partial-wave spectroscopic (PWS) microscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), to detect physical changes in the chromatin nanoarchitecture, indicating that the topology of chromatin is a critical component in cellular function at the earliest stages of tumor formation (21). PWS microscopy allows examination of the intracellular organization concealed by the diffraction limit with length scale sensitivity in the range of 20–200 nm, the range at which existing label-free live-cell imaging techniques are deficient, due to the relationship between the nanoscale spatial variations of macromolecular density and the resulting variance in the spectrum of backscattered light (22, 23). The novelty is grounded in a previously overlooked difference between resolution and detectability. Although subdiffractional structures are not resolved by PWS, they are still detected by analyzing the spectrum of elastically scattered light to provide quantitative contrast. This capability is rooted in the principle that, whereas structures below the diffraction limit cannot be resolved, structures at all length scales within a sample, larger or smaller than the focused spot size, will scatter light. Moreover, the amount of light a particle scatters depends on the size of the particle and not on the focused spot size. Hence, particles smaller than the diffraction limit of light cannot be visualized, yet their presence and organization can be sensed by analyzing the light they scatter.

In this work, we set out to create a label-free live-cell microscopy method based on interference principles used in PWS cytology, thereby creating a tool to directly study the dynamic nanoscale topology of live cells, with a specific focus on studying real-time changes in chromatin organization. We sought to develop an ideal extension of PWS in live cells that would (i) provide nanoscale sensitivity to structures between 20 and 200 nm, (ii) use label-free contrast to capture nanoscopic information, (iii) be nonperturbing to biological samples by using low-power illumination and label-free contrast, (iv) quantify the cellular nanoarchitecture, and (v) rapidly capture the temporal evolution of nanoscale structures, providing contrast in multiple cells in seconds. For studies aimed at understanding the overall structure–function relationship in eukaryotic cells, using SRM approaches alone would not be suitable. The power of live-cell PWS is its unique ability to work in conjunction with existing label-based technologies to provide the structural context for molecular interactions, thereby greatly expanding our understanding of the molecular behavior in live cells (24). With this approach, we demonstrate the ability to measure the nanoscale variation in cellular nanoarchitecture in live cells in seconds. Specifically, we explore changes to the cellular nanoarchitecture due to UV light exposure, showing that live-cell DNA-binding dyes transform chromatin within seconds, and demonstrate a direct link between higher-order chromatin structure and mitochondrial membrane potential.

Results and Discussion

The live-cell PWS instrument is built into a commercial inverted microscope equipped with a broadband illumination and a tunable spectral collection filter (Fig. S1). With this configuration, the live-cell instrument uses the glass–cell interface to produce the requisite interference signal that allows for the study of underlying nanoscale structure. In brief, the spatial fluctuations of refractive index (RI) produced by the macromolecular density distribution cause backscattering of incident light waves from the sample. Optical interference of the backpropagating light results in wave-length-dependent fluctuations in the acquired spectrally resolved microscope image. The standard deviation of these spectra (Σ) quantifies the internal structure of the sample with nanometer sensitivity (22, 23). In cells, there are numerous variations in macromolecular density due to the spatial organization of macromolecules. Quantification of this nanomolecular density distribution is given by the statistical parameter, Σ, at each diffraction-limited pixel (22, 23). Σ, and the disorder strength (Ls, which is Σ normalized by sample thickness), are proportional to two crucial characteristics of molecular organization at deeply subdiffractional length scales: the characteristic length scale of macromolecular organization (Lc), and the standard deviation (δn) of the density (22, 23). In a fractal media, such as chromatin, the characteristic length scale of macromolecules can be alternatively evaluated through the fractal dimension, D, which is proportional to Σ. Thus, Σ measured from chromatin senses nanoscale changes in its fractal organization. Critically, increase in heterogeneity (i.e., differential compaction) within chromatin by definition produces an increase in D, δn or Lc. This relation is derived from the properties of fractal media with conserved mass and volume—as compaction increases locally, the variations in mass density (heterogeneity) must also increase. Previous molecular dynamics simulations have further confirmed that increases in δn*Lc correspond to an increase in macromolecular compaction, and experimental results have shown that this increase within the nucleus quantitatively describes an increase in chromatin heterogeneity (21, 25, 26).
As a representation of the nanoscopic topology detected by live-cell PWS, we used as a model 10-nm “beads on a string” chromatin fibers (Fig. 1A and B) as has been previously described by Kim et al. (26). In this model, we consider changes in the nanoscopic structure of higher-order chromatin that have the same nanoscopic average mass density but have starkly different nanoscale organization: differentially compacted (Fig. 1A) and diffusely compacted (Fig. 1B) DNA fibers. In both cases, images produced from conventional light microscopy techniques cannot capture information about the nanoscale topology of these differential states (Fig. 1C).
and D). Likewise, although PWS cannot resolve the structures directly, it provides information about their subdiffractional organization. To demonstrate sensitivity to these structures, Σ is computed directly as described by the work of Cherkezyan et al. (22, 23) accounting for the physical properties of the live-cell system. As is shown in Fig. 1E, differentially compacted chromatin (Fig. 1F) produces a much higher Σ than diffusely compacted chromatin (Fig. 1G). Consequently, regions that result in high Σ in live cells would be the heterogeneous, differentially compacted regions likely resulting from the formation of local heterochromatin domains neighboring decompacted euchromatin (Fig. 1A). Conversely, homogeneous regions of chromatin would result in low Σ.

Although this instrument configuration was optimized to allow live-cell imaging with multimodal acquisition, including wide-field fluorescence and phase contrast microscopy, it has an appreciably weaker reference interference signal than that produced in traditional PWS cytology and a much higher objective collection numerical aperture. Therefore, we validated the nanoscale sensitivity of live-cell PWS by using rigorous finite-difference time domain computations (Fig. S2) to numerically solve Maxwell’s equations without approximations simulating the nanoscale-complex spatial distribution of molecular density in live cells. These computations were used to study the effect of the RI mismatch using sapphire as a high-RI substrate on the interference signal (SI Materials and Methods, Origin of Interference Spectrum), and to compare the effect of numerical aperture on Σ (Fig. S3). The finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations enabled us to optimize the configuration of signal acquisition to provide nanoscale sensitivity to intracellular structure at length scale between 20 and 200 nm.

Without the use of exogenous labels, we can achieve high-contrast images using Σ that delineate nuclei from cytoplasm due to the intrinsic differences in their nanochromatin (Fig. 1G; Fig. S4 for additional comparisons in multiple cell lines, including primary; and Fig. S5 for cell lines). Due to its multimodal design, exogenous and endogenous labels can be subsequently used to colocalize specific molecular markers or organelles (Fig. 1H). Live-cell PWS acquisition yields a 3D data cube, I(λ, x, y), where λ is the wavelength and (x, y) correspond to pixel positions across a 10,000-μm² field of view, allowing multiple cells to be imaged simultaneously. Acquisition of the full cell reference interference spectrum (500–700 nm) for spectral analysis takes under 30 s, with each wavelength collection producing 100-ms exposures. Using the reduced wavelength approach to subsample the interference spectrum, this can be further reduced to under 2 s per acquisition (27). Even with full spectral collection, as demonstrated in Fig. 1I and J (and Figs. S4 and S5), live-cell PWS provides rapid, quantitative visualization of cellular structures within a single field of view for dozens of cells simultaneously for multiple cell lines (Fig. II, 20 HeLa cells captured in ~30 s, and Fig. III, 36 Mes-SA cells captured in ~15 s).

Indeed, one of the most critical features of this rapid acquisition is the capacity to directly study the underlying heterogeneity of both chromatin structure and its temporal evolution within the cell population over time. Likewise, as a label-free technique using low illumination intensity, live-cell PWS allows for the study of various time-evolving processes on the structure of cells in general, and chromatin in particular for different cell types over extended periods of time.

Live-cell PWS has a broad utility as a tool for studying the complex relationships between cell function and chromatin nanoroughization. As the initial demonstration, we explored live-cell PWS to rapidly quantify the changes in the nanoscale chromatin structure due to DNA damage. As a demonstration of its ability to detect rapid changes specific to chromatin (within seconds), we explored the transformation of the higher-order chromatin structure secondary to DNA fragmentation using the DNA-binding dye, Hoechst 33342. Damage to DNA results in the formation of DNA fragments and double-stranded breaks (28–30). This damage, in turn, leads to apoptosis or mutagenic transformation. In cancer therapy, many drugs eliminate tumor cells by causing an unbearable accumulation of DNA damage and/or by activating the intrinsic apoptotic pathways (31, 32). Therefore, the identification of DNA fragmentation and understanding of the time evolution of chromatin structure in response to damage are crucial to both understanding DNA repair mechanisms and to identifying chemotherapeutic efficacy.

Current techniques to study these processes require cell fixation, such as immunofluorescent identification of the rapidly phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX) subunit (30) or transfection using photoactivatable proteins (33, 34). Furthermore, fluorescent visualization of cell viability for drug screening often requires the use of cell-permeant minor-groove binding dyes. However, it has been reported that these minor-groove DNA-binding dyes, including Hoechst 33342, induce DNA fragmentation by disrupting the activity of topoisomerase I (35, 36). These effects are observed independently from the fluorescence excitation of the dye but are accelerated upon UV excitation (36). Consequently, no methods currently exist with the capability for the real-time study of changes to chromatin higher-order structure due to DNA damage or the overall dynamics of the damage response in unlabeled live cells.

Using live-cell PWS, we show that the addition of Hoechst 33342 to living mammalian cells transforms the nanoroughization of chromatin at the timescale required for imaging and that subsequent excitation induces fragmentation of the chromatin nanoarchitecture within seconds. This is apparent, as we observe an overall decrease in the Σ after irradiation, suggesting homogenization and decompaction of chromatin across the entire nucleus (Fig. 2A). Additionally, these effects persist for longer durations, lasting at least 15 min, indicating that the once-fragmented chromatin in the presence of the dye does not immediately reassemble, suggesting these changes could be irreversible. To control for the effects of ionizing UV radiation required for Hoechst excitation, we performed a mock-staining (M-S) experiment where we compared the nuclear changes in cells incubated with Hoechst 33342 to those exposed to UV light alone. In the M-S cells, there was not an observable shift in cellular or chromatin structure during the short illumination time required for Hoechst excitation, indicating preservation of the original chromatin structure (Fig. 2B and C). Quantitatively, M-S cells showed no significant change in mean-nuclear Σ after a few seconds of UV exposure, whereas the Hoechst-stained cells displayed a 17.01% decrease in HeLa [99% confidence interval, Hoechst (~18.5%, ~15.6%); value of P < 0.001] between M-S and Hoechst-stained cells with n = 146 cells from 11 independent experiments for Hoechst-stained cells and n = 68 cells from 6 independent experiments for M-S cells (Fig. 2B and C).

In Hoechst-stained cells, all nuclei demonstrate a negative change in the mean nuclear Σ after UV exposure, whereas the M-S cells display a narrow, zero-centered distribution after UV exposure (Fig. 2E). In both M-S and Hoechst-stained cells, cytoplasmic Σ did not change following UV exposure (value of P > 0.05). Similar results were observed for Chinese hamster ovarian (CHO) cells with M-S cells displaying no change, whereas Hoechst-stained cells experience a 7.1% decrease [99% confidence interval, Hoechst (~9%, ~5%); value of P < 0.001 between M-S and Hoechst-stained cells; n = 127 cells for M-S, n = 87 for Hoechst-stained from five independent experiments each], demonstrating this effect occurs independent of the cell type (Fig. S6).

Due to this rapid (~10-s) chromatin transformation, we hypothesized that the decrease in the mean nuclear Σ was due to the homogenization of the higher-order chromatin organization caused by DNA fragmentation and the resulting nuclear remodeling. To test this hypothesis, we used a γ-H2AX-Alexa 488–conjugated antibody to independently monitor the fragmentation of DNA. In Hoechst-stained cells, we observed a drastic accumulation of the γ-H2AX antibody, whereas we observed little or no localization in the M-S control nuclei (Fig. 2D). Additionally, TEM on Hoechst-stained and M-S cells exposed to UV light showed an increase in...
micrometer-scale dense chromatin clumps compared with untreated cells (Fig. 2 F and G). As previous work has shown that DNA damage causes local chromatin expansion (37), this confirmed our hypothesis that immediate DNA fragmentation was induced by Hoechst 33342 excitation, a phenomenon that is detectable by live-cell PWS in real time without the need for exogenous labels. Subsequently, we compared live-cell PWS with phase contrast microscopy to determine whether live-cell PWS provides information not detectable by other standard, label-free optical modalities (Fig. 3 A and B). With phase contrast microscopy, no changes in the cell

Fig. 2. Hoechst excitation induces rapid transformation of chromatin nanoarchitecture. (A) Pseudocolored live-cell PWS image of Hoechst 33342-stained HeLa cells before and after excitation of the dye with UV light. Transformation of chromatin occurs across the whole nucleus within seconds and no repair is observed even after 15 min. (B) Hoechst-stained and M-S cells before excitation and (C) the same M-S and Hoechst-stained cells after UV irradiation. (D) Minimal (mock) and significant (Hoechst) γH2A.X antibody accumulation. (E) Distribution of chromatin transformation after UV excitation for Hoechst-stained and M-S cells. (F and G) Transmission electron-microscopic images of control and Hoechst-stained cells confirming nanoscale fragmentation of the chromatin nanoarchitecture in fixed cells. All pseudocolored images scaled between Σ = 0.01 and 0.065. (All scale bars: 15 μm.) Arrows indicate representative nuclei.
or nuclear structure were detected after excitation of Hoechst 33342 due to its diffraction-limited resolution (Fig. 3B). Although electron microscopy cannot be performed on live cells, these experiments demonstrate that photoexcitable molecules disrupt the chromatin nanoarchitecture, which is uniquely detectable in real time in live cells by live-cell PWS.

Next, we investigated the effects of Hoechst staining on the spatial transformation of chromatin nanoorganization as measured by live-cell PWS. In particular, we analyzed the spatial distribution of $\Sigma$ across the nucleus by calculating the 2D spatial autocorrelation, which measures the change in the pixel-to-pixel variability as a function of distance. An increase in the spatial autocorrelation indicates that the nanoscale structure at one pixel has become similar to its neighboring pixels, whereas a decrease indicates a more locally heterogeneous structure. The size of these clusters of similar nanoscale structures was significantly decreased between 100 nm and 1 μm after both the addition of Hoechst and its excitation ($n = 40$ from three independent experiments) (Fig. 3C). This indicates an increase in the spatial microscopic heterogeneity of nanoscopic heterogeneity of the nuclear nanoscale structure ($\Sigma$) after Hoechst addition and excitation. Consequently, we found that Hoechst causes a global alteration in the chromatin nanoarchitecture independent of its excitation. Not only does this study demonstrate the ability of live-cell PWS to sense the alterations in chromatin structure that were heretofore undetectable in live cells, such as double-strand DNA breaks, but it also illustrates some of the limitations of the extrinsic labeling approaches, such as Hoechst: even though they have traditionally been used for live-cell imaging, these labels alter chromatin structure and lead to DNA damage, which in turn may lead to a perturbation of cell function (Fig. S7).

Owing to this sensitivity of live-cell PWS to detect dynamic changes to the chromatin nanoarchitecture due to DNA damage, we next applied it to study the temporal dynamics of the cellular nanoarchitecture under normal growth conditions (Fig. 4A) in comparison with cells exposed to continuous UV light (Fig. 4B). UV light is known to cause DNA damage, generate reactive oxygen species, alter receptor-kinase function, and disrupt the cellular membrane. Under normal conditions, chromatin structure can evolve rapidly, with whole-scale changes occurring in minutes (Fig. 4C; for a full movie of control cells, see Movie S1). Although the nanoscale topology of chromatin rapidly evolves within any given cell, the organization across the population overall remains stable under normal conditions. In comparison, during continuous UV exposure over 30 min, higher-order chromatin structure is degraded after few minutes of exposure (Fig. 4D; for a full movie of UV-irradiated cells, see Movie S2), with pronounced variations in structure over time from cell to cell (with time-lapse measurements performed for three independent experiments). As can be observed in Movie S3, there are numerous phenomena that occur to the cellular nanoarchitecture during continuous UV exposure across a distribution of timescales.

Over the course of 2–3 min, there are minimal changes in chromatin and cellular topology due to UV light exposure. However, after ~3 min, the chromatin of some cells exposed to UV light undergoes rapid, directional increase in heterogeneity that corresponds with the formation of micrometer-scale homogeneous domains (Movie S3 and Fig. 4D). Concurrently, the cytoplasm of the cell is transformed, with cell–cell adhesions retracting and a retreating waveform spreading from the cell periphery toward the nucleus. Finally, a near-instantaneous transition occurs within the cytoplasm, with the changes in the cytoplasmic and chromatin nanostructure spontaneously arresting 20 min after exposure. To capture these temporal dynamics in nanostructure, we performed a kymograph analysis using ImageJ of a representative cell exposed to UV light in comparison with a control cell. As is shown in Fig. 5A, over the 30 min of exposure to UV, micrometer-scale homogeneous domains form within the nucleus and the temporal evolution of nanostructure ceases. In comparison, control cells display continuous transformation, with homogeneous and heterogeneous domains transiently forming and dissipating over the time frame of a few minutes (Fig. 5B). As is shown in Fig. 5C, the formation of these large, homogeneous domains that lack higher-order structure dominate, resulting in an overall decrease in $\Sigma$ (average decrease at 30 min of 26.9% calculated from 19 nuclei from three independent experiments). Interestingly, even under control conditions, some cells rapidly demonstrate global changes in their chromatin topology, possibly due to intrinsic molecular variations or due to differential sensitivity to light exposure. Despite these rapid alterations, the overall chromatin structure of the population displays minimal changes over the course of 30 min (average 0.2% decrease in $\Sigma$ from 32 nuclei from two independent experiments; additional control experiments with slower acquisition were not included) (Fig. 5C). Given the multimodal nature of the current system, these topological variations can be examined and any possible light toxicity further minimized by a variety of well-established methods, including spectral filtration at the illumination source or by using structured illumination.

As a final demonstration of the broad utility of live-cell PWS as a tool for studying the complex relationships between cell function and chromatin nanoorganization, we studied the effect of alteration of cellular metabolism on higher-order chromatin architecture. The
relationship of chromatin structure with mitochondrial function and metabolism has been a major point of focus in recent years. Studies have shown that the cellular metabolic activity is intimately linked to cell replication, tumor formation, DNA damage response, and transcriptional activity (38–41). Therefore, understanding the interplay between the structural organization of chromatin and mitochondrial function is pivotal to understanding numerous diseases. Recent fluorescence microscopy studies have suggested that impairment of cellular metabolism induces rapid (<15-min) transformation of chromatin (42, 43). However, these studies often require the production of specialized transfection models (H2B-GFP) or the use of DNA-binding dyes such as Hoechst 33342 and, as such, are limited in their ability to study multiple cell lines and/or over significant periods of time without perturbing the natural cell behavior (42, 43).

To study the link between chromatin structure and mitochondrial function, we used the protonophore, carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazine (CCCP), which is widely used for studies of mitochondrial function due to its disruption of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi_m$). To explore the role of $\Delta\Psi_m$ reduction on the immediate transformation of the chromatin nanoarchitecture in live cells, we used two cell lines, HeLa and CHO. Following addition of 10 $\mu$M CCCP, HeLa cells rapidly lost $\Delta\Psi_m$ whereas CHO cells displayed no significant change as gauged by tetramethylrhodamine (TMRE) fluorescence (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, after 15 min of treatment with CCCP, we found that addition of 10 $\mu$M CCCP produced rapid transformation of chromatin structure in HeLa cells but not in CHO cells (Fig. 6B). Critically, in HeLa cells, we observed a decrease in nuclear $\Sigma$ suggesting homogenization and dec complication in the chromatin structure. Conversely, in CHO cells, we observed no statistical change in chromatin compaction and heterogeneity (Fig. 6C). Quantitatively, HeLa nuclei showed a 10% decrease in mean-nuclear $\Sigma$ after CCCP (value of $P < 0.001$; $n = 31$ from six independent experiments), whereas the CHO cells displayed no significant increase in mean-nuclear $\Sigma$ ($n = 159$ cells from five independent experiments) (Fig. 6D). This transformation suggests that the depletion of mitochondrial membrane potential induces rapid decompaction and homogenization of chromatin nanoarchitecture. Disruption of the $\Delta\Psi_m$ has numerous effects, including the inhibition of mitochondrial ATP synthesis, changes in the production of reactive oxygen species, altered signal transduction, as well as modification of other mitochondrially produced metabolites (i.e., acetyl and methyl transfer groups). Although previous groups have shown that $\Psi_m$ is an important determinant of cellular proliferation, to date it has not been shown that loss of $\Psi_m$ has a rapid effect on the global chromatin structure. These

Fig. 4. Live-cell PWS detects dynamics of nanoarchitectural transformation under normal and UV-irradiated conditions. (A) Representative field of view displaying seven HeLa cells imaged in ~15 s using a 63x oil-immersion lens, N.A. = 1.4, with $\Sigma$ scaled to range between 0.01 and 0.065 over 30 min of imaging. (B) Representative field of view displaying seven HeLa cells exposed continuously to UV light imaged in ~22 s using a 63x oil-immersion lens, N.A. = 1.4, with $\Sigma$ scaled to range between 0.01 and 0.065 over 30 min of imaging. (C) Inset from field of view in A showing the time evolution of two nuclei. Interestingly, chromatin organization is rapidly evolving in time, showing that, even at steady state, the underlying structure changes. (D) Inset from field of view in B showing the time evolution of one nucleus under UV illumination. Under UV exposure, homogeneous micrometer-scale domains form within chromatin, lacking their original higher-order structure. Arrows indicate representative nuclei.

Fig. 5. Live-cell PWS detects dynamics of nanoarchitectural transformation under normal and UV-irradiated conditions. (A) Kymograph (with the x axis representing a linear cross-section in x-y plane and the y axis showing changes over time) representing the temporal evolution of chromatin of a cell exposed to continuous UV light. Interestingly, nanoscopically homogenous, micrometer-scale domains form within the nucleus after ~5 min of exposure with an overall arrest in structural dynamics. (B) Kymograph representing the temporal evolution of chromatin of a cell under normal conditions. Under normal conditions, the nanoscale topology of chromatin is highly dynamic, with continuous transitions in structure occurring throughout the nucleus. (C) Quantitative analysis of nanoscale structure of chromatin of cells under normal conditions (blue, $n = 32$ cells from two replicates) and exposed to UV light (red, $n = 19$ cells from three replicates) for 30 min. Exposure to UV light induces overall homogenization of chromatin nanoarchitecture within minutes. Error bars represent SE. (Scale bar: 5 $\mu$m.)
results show that the change in $\Psi_m$ rapidly regulates the nanoscale organization of chromatin, possibly resulting in the observed decreased proliferative potential of cells over time.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have extended the application of PWS microscopy to the study of temporal dynamics of the cellular nanoarchitecture. Using this technique, we can rapidly quantify the nanomolecular organization in live eukaryotic cells without the use of exogenous labels. Applying this technique to studying the topological changes within the nucleus, live-cell PWS microscopy predominantly measures spatiotemporal changes in the macromolecular assemblies formed by chromatin because there are no other scaffolding structures present within the nucleoplasm of interphase nuclei within its sensitivity range. As a result, live-cell PWS demonstrates that the nanoscale structure of chromatin evolves rapidly with time, which would significantly transform our understanding of the structure–function relationship between critical processes and chromatin structure, including DNA repair, replication, and transcription. With this technique, we determined that live-cell DNA-binding dyes, such as Hoechst 33342, cause rapid destruction of the higher-order chromatin structure at timescales (seconds) not previously recognized. Paradoxically, this dye is ubiquitously used for the study of cell viability and the presence of DNA damage (44). As a result, live-cell PWS is a powerful tool for studying DNA damage/repair and, potentially, chemotherapeutic efficacy in live cells. To demonstrate its potential for use in this regard, we studied the temporal dynamics of chromatin during continuous-UV light exposure, showing a transformation in both the temporal and physical properties of the chromatin nanoarchitecture during UV-induced stress.

Additionally, we showed that live-cell PWS allows for previously limited exploration of the factors affecting the chromatin nanoarchitecture by demonstrating differential responses in chromatin structure that depend on the mitochondrial membrane potential. In particular, this illustrates that mitochondrial function is intimately related to chromatin structure in real time and that live-cell PWS can act as a tool to further investigate the mechanisms of chromatin–metabolic interactions. Live-cell PWS is a natural supplement to superresolution fluorescence techniques, providing quantifiable information about unstained cellular organization to examine the role of the nanoarchitecture on molecular interactions in live cells. In the future, we envision that live-cell PWS can be applied to a broad range of critical studies of structure–function in live cells, leveraging the multimodal potential in conjunction with existing SRM to study: (i) the interaction between chromatin structure and mRNA transport; (ii) the accessibility of euchromatin and heterochromatin to transcription factors (45–47); (iii) the relationship between chromatin looping, as measured by techniques such as Hi-C, to the physical chromatin structure (6, 16, 48); (iv) why and how higher-order chromatin structure changes in cancer (14); (v) the role of nuclear architecture as an epigenetic regulator of gene expression (6, 16, 48); (vi) the effect of metabolism on chromatin structure (40, 49); and (vii) the role of chromatin dynamics in stem cell development (50, 51).

**Materials and Methods**

**Live-Cell PWS Imaging.** Before imaging, media within the Petri dishes was exchanged with fresh RPMI-1640 media (lacking phenol red pH indicator; purchased from Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS (Sigma-Aldrich). For DNA fragmentation experiments, live-cell PWS images were acquired at room temperature (22 °C) and in trace CO$_2$ (open air) conditions for cells subsequently stained with Hoechst 33342. During acquisition of any time series data (UV and controls, metabolic perturbation), cells were maintained at physiological conditions for the duration of the experiment. For imaging, a reference scattering spectra was obtained from an open surface of the substrate coverslip immersed in media before any cellular imaging to normalize the intensity of light scattered for each wavelength at each pixel. We define $\Sigma$ as the spectral SD of our measured reflectance intensity normalized to this reference scattering spectra from the substrate coverslip immersed in media. To account for noise due to high-frequency oscillations produced by the lamp, we used a low-pass Butterworth filter before calculation of $\Sigma$. For phase contrast imaging, cells were grown and maintained in the same conditions as cells used for live-cell PWS, but images were acquired with a 40× air objective and a transmission illumination beam. Likewise, for wide-field fluorescent imaging, cells were grown in the same conditions but preincubated with Hoechst 33342 for 15 min before imaging. To study the effects of UV illumination on cellular structure and function, cells were continuously exposed to UV light produced from an Xcite 120 LED light source (Excelitas) by removing the 500-nm long-pass filter from the illumination path (measurements were performed in triplicate; $n = 19$). For Hoechst-induced DNA damage experiments, significance was determined using Student’s t test with unequal variance on nuclear $\Sigma$ between the conditions indicated in the experiment in both Mathematica, version 10, and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft) with $n = 146$ for Hoechst-stained HeLa cells and $n = 28$ for CHO cells. For mitochondrial membrane depolarization experiments, significance was determined using a two-tailed, paired Student’s t test on nuclear $\Sigma$ before and after CCCP treatment using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft) with $n = 31$ for HeLa cells from six independent experiments and $n = 159$ for CHO cells from five independent experiments. Each experiment consists of 1–10 independent fields of view for analysis. Sequences of pseudocolored live-cell PWS images were merged into movies using ImageJ. All pseudocolored live-cell PWS images were produced using Matlab, version 2015b, using the Jet color scheme with the ranges indicated in the figure legend. All cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).
Colocalization. Fluorescence colocalization of organelle-specific stains with live-cell PWS imaging was performed through manual image alignment of multi-color reflectance images produced by live-cell PWS acquisition of unstained cells to the cells at the time of acquisition. Background intensity was removed using ImageJ with using a rolling average of 50 pixels for nuclei and 75 pixels for mitochondria. Threshold intensities for the aligned fluorescence images were then calculated by FindThreshold function in Mathematica, version 10, using Otsu’s algorithm. Colocalized images were produced by the binary mapping of fluorescent images for each stain, pseudocolored, and scaled by the live-cell PWS $\Sigma$ intensity.

Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Perturbation. HeLa and CHO cells were prepared for live-cell imaging as previously described. Cell measurements for a single field of view were sequentially obtained for 3 min before treatment with CCCP. HeLa (n = 31 from six independent experiments) and CHO (n = 159 from five independent experiments) cells were treated with 10 μM for 15 min and imaged before and after treatment. Mock-treated cells were incubated with 0.01% DMSO to account for the effect of DMSO solvent on the cells. No significant changes were observed in the mock-treated cells for either cell line. Mitochondrial membrane potential, $\Delta V_{m}$, was measured by flow cytometry (BD LSRII at the Northwestern Flow Cytometry Core) for TMRE (purchased from Life Technologies)-stained cells. In brief, cells were trypsinized and immediately stained with 50 nM TMRE for 30 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS after staining and suspended in 1 mL of PBS. CCCP-treated cells were treated for 15 min to replicate conditions during live-cell PWS imaging. At least 20,000 cells were selected by forward- and side-scattering channels for each group, with a double elimination of doublets from the final analysis. Mean TMRE intensity from each replicate population was used for representative comparison between treated and untreated groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. MDA-MB-231 cells were provided by the O’Halloran Laboratory at Northwestern University Evanston, IL. TEM was performed at the Biological Imaging Facility at Northwestern University. Flow cytometry was performed by the Northwestern University Flow Cytometry Facility, which received support from National Cancer Institute Grant CA060553. This material was based on work supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant DGE-082416; National Science Foundation Grants CBET-1249311 and CBET-1240416; National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants U54CA181934, R01CA20006, R01CA155284, and R01CA20006. This work was supported by T32 Training Grants TG2M008152 and T32HL076139; and Chicago Biomedical Consortium Lever Award L-006 for the Chicago Center for Physical Science Oncology Innovation and Translation.


