Programming peptidomimetic syntheses by translating genetic codes designed de novo
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Although the universal genetic code exhibits only minor variations in nature, Francis Crick proposed in 1955 that “the adaptor hypothesis allows one to construct, in theory, codes of bewildering variety.” The existing code has been expanded to enable incorporation of a variety of unnatural amino acids at one or two nonadjacent sites within a protein by using nonsense or frameshift suppressor aminoacyl-tRNAs (aa-tRNAs) as adaptors. However, the suppressor strategy is inherently limited by compatibility with only a small subset of codons, by the ways such codons can be combined, and by variation in the efficiency of incorporation. Here, by preventing competing reactions with aa-tRNA synthetases, aa-tRNAs, and release factors during translation and by using nonsuppressor aa-tRNA substrates, we realize a potentially generalizable approach for template-encoded polymer synthesis that unmasksthe substantially broader versatility of the core translation apparatus as a catalyst. We show that several adjacent, arbitrarily chosen sense codons can be completely reassigned to various unnatural amino acids according to de novo genetic codes by translating mRNAs into specific peptide analog polymers (peptidomimetics). Unnatural aa-tRNA substrates do not uniformly function as well as natural substrates, revealing important recognition elements for the translation apparatus. Genetic programming of peptidomimetic synthesis should facilitate mechanistic studies of translation and may ultimately enable the directed evolution of small molecules with desirable catalytic or pharmacological properties.

The extraordinary synthetic capability of the translation apparatus, with its wide substrate diversity, capacity to synthesize long polymers, and genetic encodability using adaptors (F. Crick, quoted in ref. 1), has long made it an attractive target for biosynthetic engineering. Nevertheless, rewriting the central dogma in biology to enable information flow from nucleic acid templates to polymers of unnatural amino acids in a controllable and generalizable manner has not been realized, and decades of research have not established its feasibility. Thus, attempting this system free of RSs with chemoenzymatically synthesized nonstandard tRNAs (6–8), synthesized completely chemoenzymatically (6, 9–11) and engineered for resistance to proofreading and recharging by the aa-tRNA synthetases (RSs), have found wide utility for the specific incorporation of a large variety of unnatural amino acids at a single site per protein (12) but are restricted to use at a maximum of three stop codons. The suppression approach has been extended to rarely used sense codons by combining frameshifting aa-tRNAs with mRNAs containing extra downstream stop codons to terminate nonframeshifted products (13). With frameshifting aa-tRNAs, two nonadjacent codons have been reassigned in one mRNA (14–16), but extension to more than two codons will be restricted to the most rarely used of the 61 sense codons because of competition with natural aa-tRNAs and will require complicated overlapping reading frame designs. In addition, extension of frameshift suppression for use at adjacent sites, necessitating the positioning of adjacent unnatural anticodons of more than three bases each on the ribosome, is likely to be problematic. Moreover, the engineering of new tRNA anticodons must circumvent inadvertent recognition by the RSs, because the anticodon is a major recognition element (17).

Efficiencies of successful single nonsense or frameshift suppressions with unnatural amino acids are frequently below 50% (12, 14–16, 18), theoretically incompatible with appreciable product synthesis if several incorporations are required, and many unnatural amino acids fail to incorporate at all (12, 18). Although the inefficiencies may be explained in part by competition with endogenous release factors (19, 20), RSs and aa-tRNAs (15), or by the use of a suboptimal suppressor tRNA (21), additional explanations are required for the dramatic differences observed between different unnatural amino acids carried by the same suppressor tRNA (12) or between different tRNA bodies carrying the same amino acid (21). Presumably, such differences alter recognition by elongation factor (EF)-Tu and/or the ribosome (see Discussion).

It was hypothesized that synthetic limitations with unnatural amino acids might be largely overcome by excluding the factors and activities leading to competition in translation (22). Indeed, translations performed according to these principles incorporated biotinylated lysine from a native tRNA158 adapter (22). However, in combining such a purified system (22, 23) with chemoenzymatically synthesized substrates to facilitate switching of amino acid identity and codon specificity, a concern is the potential deleterious effect of using tRNAs without native nucleoside modifications. Information on such effects is limited because chemoenzymatically synthesized substrates have been typically used in crude charging and/or translation systems known to contain tRNA modification activities (24). If unnatural substrate features are rejected by the translational machinery, efficiencies may not be improved simply by provision of longer times for incorporation. Here, we combine a purified translation system free of RSs with chemoenzymatically synthesized non-suppressor aa-tRNA substrates to explore the versatility of translation in a potentially generalizable manner.

Abbreviations: EF, elongation factor; IF, initiation factor; aa-tRNA, aminoacyl-tRNA; RS, aa-tRNA synthetase; tRNA158, tRNA from which the 3’ terminal CA has been deleted; x-tRNAs, x = charged amino acid, y = amino acid specificity of either the natural isoacceptor or the natural isoacceptor on which the chemoenzymatic sequence is based, and z = either the natural isoacceptor designation or the anticodon sequence (5’ to 3’) of chemoenzymatic tRNA sequence.
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Materials and Methods

Substrates. Synthetic genes were cloned to enable in vitro synthesis of tRNA\textsubscript{Glu} species (from FokI-cut templates) for ligation to an aa-pdCpA or synthesis of full-length tRNAs (from BstNI-cut templates). The tRNA sequences contained substitutions at their 5’ and 3’ termini to maintain the secondary structure of the aminoacyl stems while enabling efficient transcription initiation at the first nucleotide with GMP by T7 RNA polymerase. Nvoc-aa-pdCpA derivatives of eU (25), mS (26), and yU\textsuperscript{18} (Fig. 3b) were prepared and ligated to tRNA\textsubscript{Glu} species by using general methods (11). The concentrations of Nvoc-aa-tRNA ligation products were estimated by urea polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at pH 5 (ligation of certain aa-pdCAs required a concentration of T4 RNA ligase (New England Biolabs) severalfold higher than that recommended (11); only efficient ligations were used). Natural aa-tRNAs were prepared from pure isoacceptors (Subrden RNA, Rolling Bay, WA) as described (22) or with pure recombinant RSs (20). The specific activities of \textsuperscript{3}H-labeled amino acids were 21,400 (Fig. 2b), 14,600 (Fig. 2c), and 16,900 (Fig. 3) dpm/pmol.

Translations. mRNAs and translation mixes were prepared as described (22), except that translation components differed by omission of polyethylene glycol, addition of His-tagged EF-Ts (28), further purification of initiation factor (IF2) by gel-filtration chromatography, and additional washing of ribosomes. Ribosome salt washes were as described (22), except that an additional high-speed spin of 1 min preceded the final pelleting of the four-times-washed ribosomes to remove residual insoluble material. Ribosomes and factors were not contaminated with RSs or proteases, as measured by charging of total tRNA (Sigma) with 15 \textsuperscript{14}C-labeled amino acids (New England Nuclear) and by stability of peptides. Macromolecular concentrations in translations were adjusted slightly to give 0.5 \textmu M each of IF1, IF2, IF3, EF-G, and EF-Ts, 2.5 \textmu M EF-Tu, four-times-washed ribosomes at 0.029 A\textsubscript{260} unit/\mu l [27 nM estimated to be active (22)], 1 \textmu M mRNA, 0.2 \textmu M fMet-tRNA\textsubscript{Glu}, and 0.5 \textmu M (unless otherwise indicated) each elongator aa-tRNA, and translations were typically performed at 37°C for 30 min without preincubation. Translations analyzed by cation-exchange (treatment with alkali, acidification, then minichromatography to separate anionic formylated peptides from unformylated amino acids) were all performed on a 1-pmol scale with respect to limiting input fMet-tRNA\textsubscript{Glu}, whereas the scales varied for translations analyzed by HPLC. Peptide markers were synthesized on an Advanced Chemtech peptide synthesizer from commercial reagents.

Results

A Purified RS-Free Translation System with Modular tRNA Adaptors. Our system (Fig. 1a) was constructed from ribosomes purified exhaustively to remove measurable contaminating RS charging activities (see Materials and Methods), recombinant translation factors (22), in vitro-synthesized mRNAs, in vitro-charged native tRNA isoacceptors, and chemoenzymatically synthesized aa-tRNAs. In pilot studies, a tRNA\textsubscript{Glu} synthetic derivative, tRNA\textsubscript{Glu}\textsubscript{AsnB} (differences from the natural tRNA in blue). AsnB, where the subscript refers to the anticodon; Fig. 1) was nonenzymatically charged with eU (structure shown in Fig. 3b) and assayed in the purified translation system for single incorporation directed by mRNA MTV (see Materials and Methods). It was comparable in specificity and efficiency to the natural Thr-tRNA\textsubscript{Thr}, even at 0.5 \textmu M (a concentration 20 to 40-fold lower than typically needed in crude translation systems; refs. 11 and 31), alleviating concerns that altering the tRNA body (Fig. 1b) or charging with the unnatural amino acid eU might be problematic. Control translations with unacylated full-length tRNA\textsubscript{Glu}\textsubscript{AsnB} in place of eU-tRNA\textsubscript{Glu}\textsubscript{AsnB} did not synthesize any
full-length peptide, confirming that eU was indeed incorporated into products (data not shown).

Translating mRNA into a Specific Peptidomimetic Polymer. We then used the chemically aminoacylated eU-tRNAGGU adapter (Fig. 1b) to translate mRNA MT₅V (Fig. 2a) to test for site-specific incorporation of several adjacent unnatural amino acids. This combination of adaptor and template allowed us to compare the incorporation of several adjacent unnatural amino acids. Positive control translations (green) contained the purified ribosomes and factors, mRNA MT₅V, fMet-tRNA^Met, –3 μM Thr-tRNA^Thr, and 3H-labeled-Val-tRNA^Val. In other translations, natural Thr-tRNA^Thr was omitted (negative controls in red) or replaced with –3 μM eU-tRNAGGU (blue). Product values (dpm after subtraction of background dpm obtained in control translations lacking mRNA) represent three experiments performed on three different occasions with three different preparations of eU-tRNAGGU. Bars indicate standard deviations. X, amino acid variable. (h) HPLC analysis of a replicate of the translation performed with eU-tRNAGGU in b. Radiolabeled translation reaction was treated with alkali, mixed with authentic unlabeled marker peptide [fM(eU)V dissolved in 88% formic acid, and analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC on a C₁₈ column. The chromatogram shows a 27–71% acetonitrile/water linear gradient in the presence of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The elution position of the marker peptide is indicated above the chromatogram. Peptide products were not detectable on a 2–82% acetonitrile/water gradient used for resolving less hydrophobic peptides such as fMT₅V (ref. 22; data not shown).

Discussion

Prior engineering of translation has been limited to the specific realignment of the amino acid identity of only a small subset of the 64 codons at two nonadjacent codon positions within an mRNA because of the inherent restrictions of suppressor tRNAs and crude translation systems. Here, our combination of a purified translation system free of RSs with chemoenzymatically synthesized nonsuppressor aa-tRNA substrates enabled several, adjacent, arbitrarily chosen codons to be completely reassigned to unnatural amino acids in a potentially generalizable manner. The plasticity of translation illustrated by our studies supports the notion that the universality of the genetic code is primarily due to intrinsic constraints imposed not by the core translation apparatus but rather by RSs and the rest of the proteome. This idea is consistent with the known greater divergence of mitochondrial genetic codes, which encode very few proteins (32).

The study of translation using custom-designed substrates with our purified system (a purified “polypeptide polymerase”) has advantages over crude systems. Although inefficiencies with
unnatural aa-tRNAs in crude systems are at least partly due to competing activities, this cannot be the case in our system because competitors have been deliberately excluded. Thus, the interesting observation here that unnatural substrates are still less efficient than natural ones directly reveals substrate determinants necessary for efficient translation (Figs. 1b and 3a) and suggests the existence of other competing reactions [one possibility is peptidyl-tRNA drop-off from the ribosome (23, 33)]. Nevertheless, the findings that our incorporations were more efficient than generally observed in crude systems, despite using lower concentrations of unnatural aa-tRNAs and the omission of all termination factors (33), and that adjacent unnatural amino acids can be incorporated broadens the range of experimental possibilities. For example, appropriately chosen pairs of adjacent unnatural amino acids might be used to probe the chemical mechanism of ribosomal peptide bond formation.

What recognition elements have been altered by the introduction of unnatural features into our substrates? Given that the affinities of EF-Tu for native aa-tRNAs are similar, whereas its affinities for mismatched combinations of amino acids and tRNA bodies are very different, one hypothesis is that efficient delivery by EF-Tu to the ribosome of each amino acid may require matching with a tRNA body of appropriate compensatory affinity for EF-Tu (34). Alternatively, tRNA nucleoside modifications can be important for efficient translation in vivo (35), whereas interpretation of in vitro studies with unmodified unnatural aa-tRNAs requires further investigation.
tRNAs by using crude charging or translation systems is complicated by the presence of endogenous modification activities (24). The loss of anticodon loop nucleoside modifications in many tRNAs is hypothesized to decrease anticodon–codon stability on the ribosome (36), which could lead to increased dissociation of cognate aa-tRNAs from the ribosome at both the initial selection and proofreading steps (37). Perhaps unnatural amino acid incorporation from our substrates could be improved by altering EF-Tu or the ribosome.

Our system should facilitate unambiguous definition of substrate elements that affect translational activity, including the enigmatic nucleoside modifications. Synthetic aa-tRNAs could be constructed to more closely resemble readily available natural aa-tRNAs for comparative studies, e.g., by using tRNA sequences other than that of tRNAAsn and unmutated tRNA sequences created by cleavage of in vitro synthesized precursors (38, 39). These findings may also be helpful in extending the synthetic scope of our initial system and existing suppressor systems.

Finally, we propose a potential application of our system for ligand discovery. Although the scalability of our system is not designed to provide an alternative to solid-phase peptide synthesis for preparation of individual peptides or to suppressor technology for preparation of proteins containing one or two nonadjacent unnatural amino acids, it is designed to produce the largest screenable libraries of small peptidomimetics. Theoretically, translation with 20 different aa-tRNAs of mRNA templates containing 10 random codons gives a library of 10^13 different peptidomimetics (we synthesized 10^12 peptidomimetics in a 70-μl translation, a fraction of which was analyzed in the experiment depicted in Fig. 2c) when ≈0.01 nmol of each aa-tRNA is incorporated (the typical research scale for aa-tRNA preparation is 1 nmol). Recycling of aa-tRNAs is also plausible by extrapolation of generalizable methods for engineering new synthetase specificities (8, 40, 41). Our approach therefore provides another route to create libraries for the discovery of small-molecule ligands or stereospecific catalysts (42), complementing other potential approaches for generating genetically encoded degradation-resistant lead compounds, such as mirror image ligand display (43, 44) and nonribosomal peptide synthesis (45). The potential attraction of such approaches is that directed Darwinian evolution in vitro (29) is much faster than the many person-years of random chemical syntheses typically needed in industry for lead optimization, and their unrivalled library sizes may produce ligands with higher affinities. It is even conceivable that such selections could yield drug candidates directly (compare the orally available, 11-residue cyclic peptide cyclosporin A) when building blocks such as N-methyl amino acids are chosen to encode pharmacologically desirable properties such as protease resistance and membrane permeability.
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