The capsaicin receptor, TRPV1 (VR1), is a sensory neuron-specific ion channel that serves as a polymodal detector of pain-producing chemical and physical stimuli. Extracellular Ca$^{2+}$-dependent desensitization of TRPV1 observed in patch-clamp experiments when using both heterologous expression systems and native sensory ganglia is thought to be one mechanism underlying the paradoxical effectiveness of capsaicin as an analgesic therapy. Here, we show that the Ca$^{2+}$-binding protein calmodulin binds to a 35-aa segment in the C terminus of TRPV1, and that disruption of the calmodulin-binding segment prevents TRPV1 desensitization. Compounds that interfere with the 35-aa segment could therefore prove useful in the treatment of pain.

Capsaicin elicits burning pain by activating specific (vanilloid) receptors on sensory nerve endings (1). The cloned capsaicin receptor, TRPV1 (VR1), a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) ion channel super family, is a nociceptive neuron-specific capsaicin-gated ion channel that also responds to heat, protons, anandamide, and lipoxigenase products (2–6). Furthermore, analysis of mice lacking TRPV1 showed that TRPV1 is essential for selective modalities of pain sensation and for tissue injury-induced thermal hyperalgesia, suggesting a critical role for TRPV1 in the detection or modulation of pain (7, 8). TRPV1-mediated depolarization of nociceptive afferents triggers the transmission of action potentials to the central nervous system as well as the release of inflammatory peptides from peripheral nociceptor terminals (1). Extracellular Ca$^{2+}$-dependent desensitization of TRPV1 has been observed in patch-clamp experiments when using both heterologous expression systems and native sensory ganglia (1, 2, 9–12). The inactivation of nociceptive neurons by capsaicin has generated extensive research on the possible therapeutic effectiveness of capsaicin as a clinical analgesic tool (1, 13–15). Still, however, the underlying mechanism of this inactivation process is not known.

Desensitization to capsaicin is a complex process with varying kinetic components: a fast one that appears to depend on Ca$^{2+}$ influx through the capsaicin receptor channels (9–12) and a slower component that does not. Previous studies have shown that calcineurin inhibitors reduce desensitization, indicating the involvement of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent phosphorylation/dephosphorylation process (9), and protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of TRPV1 recently has been reported to mediate the slow component of TRPV1 desensitization (16). On the other hand, there have been several studies reporting that calmodulin (CaM) mediates Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition or inactivation of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (17–19), NMDA receptor ion channels (20–22), L type Ca$^{2+}$ channels (23–26), P/Q type Ca$^{2+}$ channels (27, 28), and small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channels (29), many of which have high Ca$^{2+}$ permeability. A 1.6-Å crystal structure of the gating domain of a small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channel complexed with Ca$^{2+}$/CaM was reported recently (30). Furthermore, several members of the TRP ion channel super family have been found to be regulated by CaM binding (31–38). Despite the fact that TRPV1 contains no obvious CaM-binding sites, such as a consensus isoleucine–glutamine motif, that TRPV1 is a member of the TRP ion channel super family suggests the possibility that CaM inactivates TRPV1 in a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent manner. We report that CaM binds to a 35-aa segment of TRPV1 and that disruption of the CaM-binding segment prevents the desensitization.

Materials and Methods

Mutagenesis. A deletion mutant of TRPV1 lacking 35 aa (Δ35AA) was made by PCR. Rat CaM cDNA was obtained from the brain cDNA library (CLONTECH). Three CaM mutants, D21A/D57A (the first and second Ca$^{2+}$-binding positions of all four EF hands), D94A/D130A (the third and fourth Ca$^{2+}$-binding positions), and D21A/D57A/D94A/D130A were introduced by using oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. cDNAs were subcloned into pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen).

Mammalian Cell Culture. Human embryonic kidney-derived HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM (supplemented with 10% FBS/penicillin/streptomycin/1-glutamine) and transfected with 1 μg of plasmid DNA by using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen). TRPV1 cDNA was prepared as described (2).

Electrophysiology. Whole-cell patch–clamp recordings were carried out 1 or 2 days after transfection of TRPV1 cDNA to HEK293 cells as described above. Data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 5 kHz for analysis (Axopatch 200B amplifier with PCLAMP software, Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Standard bath solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM Hepes, and 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4 (adjusted with NaOH). In Ca$^{2+}$-free bath solution, CaCl$_2$ was replaced with 5 mM EGTA. Acid solution was buffered with 10 mM Mes instead of Hepes, and pH was adjusted to 4.0. Pipette solution contained 140 mM KCl, 5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4 (adjusted with KOH). All patch-clamp experiments were performed at room temperature (22°C). The solutions containing drugs were applied to the chamber (180 μl) by gravity at a flow rate of 5 ml/min.

In Vivo Binding Assay. HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM and plated at 60–70% confluence in 100-mm dishes and then transfected with 0.5 μg of rat TRPV1 cDNA or vector (pcDNA3) and 0.5 μg of Myc-tagged rat CaM cDNA, as described above. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the cells were treated with 1 μM capsaicin at 37°C for 3 min. After treatment with capsaicin, the cells were washed and suspended with ice-cold PBS. One-tenth of the samples were centrifuged and resuspended in SDS sample buffer (total cell lysate). The remaining samples were resuspended in TNE buffer [10 mM Tris·HCl/150 mM NaCl/1
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mM EDTA/complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) with 2 mM CaCl₂ and centrifuged for 15 min at 100,000 × g. The pellets were suspended in TNE buffer with 1% Nonidet P-40 and 2 mM CaCl₂ and then sonicated for 30 sec. After the centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 30 min, the supernatants were precleared with protein A and then incubated at 4°C for 3 h with 4 μg of rabbit anti-rat TRPV1 antibodies. Anti-rabbit IgG was added and incubated at 4°C for 1 h. Total cell lysate and the immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE (12% polyacrylamide) and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-TRPV1 or anti-Myc antibodies (OE10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). A peptide encoding the predicted carboxyl terminus of TRPV1 (EDAEVFKDSMSQE10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin via an amino-terminal cysteine and used to immunize rabbits.

**In Vitro Binding Assay.** Fusion proteins comprising GST at the N terminus in-frame with N terminus, the first intracellular loop, the second intracellular loop, C terminus, four segments in C terminus (Fig. 2), and C terminus lacking 35 aa were generated by PCR and standard cloning techniques. The PCR products were subcloned into the pGEX vector (Amersham Biosciences). The final constructs were verified by sequencing. GST-TRPV1 proteins were purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amersham Biosciences). The GST pull-down assay was performed by mixing the GST-TRPV1 proteins and a μg of bovine brain CaM (Calbiochem) in binding buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl/100 mM NaCl/1 mM EDTA/0.1% Triton-X/complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Molecular Biochemicals)] with [Ca(++) or without [Ca(–)] 2 mM CaCl₂. EGTA (5 mM) was added to the Ca(–) binding buffer. After a 2-h incubation at 4°C, the beads and bound materials were washed extensively and analyzed by SDS/PAGE (12% polyacrylamide) and immunoblotting by anti-CaM antibodies (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY).

**Chemicals.** 1,2-Bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N',N''-N''-tetraacetic acid and (N-[2-(N-(4-chlorocinnamyl)-N-methylaminoethyl)phenyl]-N-[2-hydroxyethyl]-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) (KN93), Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor, were purchased from Sigma. Two CaM inhibitors, N-(6-aminoheptyl)-1-naphthalenesulfonamide hydrochloride (W-7) and calmidazolium chloride, were purchased from Seikagaku Kogyo (Tokyo) and Calbiochem, respectively.

**Results**

In the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺, little reduction of capsaicin-activated currents was observed on short (10-sec) repetitive application [amplitude of second response was 95.5 ± 1.6% (mean ± SE) of the first, n = 4] (Fig. 1A) in HEK293 cells expressing WT TRPV1. On the other hand, a prominent reduction in the amplitude of capsaicin-activated currents (desensitization) was detected in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca²⁺ (23.9 ± 7.5% of the first response, n = 4, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca²⁺) (Fig. 1B), as described (1, 2). We examined the amplitude of the desensitized currents at 30 sec, 2 min, 5 min, and 10 min after the initial brief capsaicin application. Intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration is supposed to resume its resting level at these time points. However, no recovery was observed (22.0 ± 4.6% of the first response at 10 min, n = 3), suggesting that the Ca²⁺-dependent structural change of TRPV1, if any, may be hard to reverse once it occurs. To confirm the importance of intracellular Ca²⁺ for the capsaicin-evoked desensitization, we examined the effect of 10 mM 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N',N''-N''-tetraacetic acid, a potent Ca²⁺ chelator, in the presence of extracellular Ca²⁺ in HEK 293 cells expressing TRPV1. However, this compound failed to prevent TRPV1 desensitization (data not shown), suggesting that the site of Ca²⁺ action for desensitization, if there is one, must be very close to the channel pore through which Ca²⁺ enters. Next, we examined the potential involvement of CaM in TRPV1 desensitization. CaM inhibitor, W-7, or calmidazolium included in the pipette solution could not prevent TRPV1 desensitization (14.8 ± 3.1%, n = 8 for W-7, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca²⁺; 27.9 ± 9.5%, n = 5 for calmidazolium, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca²⁺) (Fig. 1C and data not shown). Cotransfection of TRPV1 with the three CaM mutants in their EF hands lacking Ca²⁺-binding ability (D21A/D57A, D94A/D130A, and D21A/D57A/D94A/D130A) also failed to prevent TRPV1 desensitization (23.0 ± 4.9%, n = 6; 31.5 ± 8.4%, n = 4; 26.5 ± 7.6%, n = 4, respectively, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca²⁺) (Fig. 1D). In addition, application of W-7 or calmidazolium was without effects on TRPV1 desensitization in the cells expressing TRPV1 and mutant CaMs (data not shown). These results suggest that CaM is not likely involved in Ca²⁺-dependent desensitization of TRPV1.

However, we examined the direct interaction of TRPV1 with CaM biochemically because negative results with such inhibitors have been reported in some electrophysiological studies in other channels (39–41). In HEK293 cells expressing both TRPV1 and Myc-tagged CaM, CaM could be communoprecipitated with TRPV1 in the presence of Ca²⁺ (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the amount of CaM communoprecipitated with TRPV1 was increased on capsaicin treatment. This finding suggests that an increased Ca²⁺ influx through TRPV1 results in making Ca²⁺/CaM complex, leading to TRPV1 desensitization.

To confirm that such an interaction occurs and to identify the domains of TRPV1 involved, recombinant proteins carrying GST fused to the four cytoplasmic domains of TRPV1 were generated for use in an in vitro binding assay with CaM in the presence of Ca²⁺. This assay demonstrated that the C terminus of TRPV1 contains the segment necessary for interaction with...
absence of Ca2+ failed to bind CaM, either in the presence or absence of Ca2+, further indicating that this 35 aa is essential for binding of TRPV1 with CaM (Fig. 2D Left).

We also found Ca2+-dependency of this interaction in an in vitro binding assay with or without Ca2+ by using GST-fusion proteins with four segments. (Fig. 2D). Binding of CaM with the C terminus or segment 2 of TRPV1 was Ca2+-dependent, a phenomenon in agreement with the reported importance of Ca2+ for CaM function. Notably, the interaction of TRPV1 with CaM could be observed in the absence or presence of Ca2+ (Fig. 2D), suggesting that Ca2+ passing through the TRPV1 channels can act on CaM already bound to TRPV1. Also, that segment 2 of TRPV1 could bind to CaM in the absence of Ca2+ indicates that Ca2+-unbound CaM binds to segment 2.

The functional importance of this 35-aa segment was examined by using the patch-clamp technique in HEK293 cells expressing either WT TRPV1 or Δ35AA. The amount of Ca2+ entering the cell through the channel might be one of the determinants affecting the TRPV1-inactivation rates (16). Therefore, we decided to compare desensitization in cells expressing the different forms of TRPV1 (WT vs. mutant) but exhibiting similar current densities. Interestingly, in the presence of extracellular Ca2+, the desensitization induced by short capsaicin applications was almost completely abolished in the Δ35AA mutant (23.9 ± 7.5%, n = 4, 244 ± 46 pA/pF for WT; 93.3 ± 5.5%, n = 4, 243 ± 120 pA/pF for the mutant; P < 0.01) (Fig. 3A and C), indicating that the 35-aa segment plays an important role in desensitization to such short, repetitive stimuli. Electrophysiological properties including outwardly rectifying IV relation and capsaicin sensitivity were unchanged in the mutant (data not shown).

Because it has been proposed that desensitization is kinetically complex, we also examined the effects of the 35-aa segment on TRPV1 currents evoked by long-duration (40-sec) capsaicin application. In cells expressing WT TRPV1, during a protracted capsaicin application, little reduction in the amplitude of capsaicin-activated currents was observed in the absence of extracellular Ca2+ [remaining current (mean ± SE): 92.3 ± 4.0%, n = 4, 465 ± 90 pA/pF] (Fig. 3B Left), whereas in the presence of extracellular Ca2+, a considerable time-dependent reduction in current amplitude was observed (7.1 ± 0.9%, n = 4, 382 ± 101 pA/pF, P < 0.01 vs. without Ca2+) (Fig. 3B Center and D), as described previously (1, 2). In contrast, although some desensitization was observed in currents generated by the Δ35AA mutant in response to protracted capsaicin exposure, the extent of desensitization was significantly smaller than that exhibited by WT TRPV1 (24.0 ± 2.4%, n = 6, 245 ± 102 pA/pF, P < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 without Ca2+ and WT TRPV1 with Ca2+) (Fig. 3B Right and D). These findings suggest that the fast component of TRPV1 desensitization involves the 35-aa segment but that this effect, in turn, can influence the extent of the slow component.

We next sought to determine whether the 35-aa-mediated desensitization was operative in the context of stimulation by...
other TRPV1 ligands such as protons, which activate TRPV1 through interaction with a different site than capsaicin (42). Whereas WT TRPV1 showed significant desensitization in response to short, repetitive exposure to protons, proton-activated currents mediated by the ∆35AA desensitized very little in the presence of extracellular Ca^{2+} (mean ± SE) of the second to the first of two consecutive, 10-sec applications with a 30-sec interval (C) or the residual fractions (mean ± SE) of peak currents remaining at application of 1 μM capsaicin for 30 sec (D) are shown.* P < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca^{2+}; ** P < 0.01 vs. ∆35AA, two-tailed unpaired t test. (E) Effects of deleting a CaM-binding domain on desensitization of the TRPV1 currents induced by short, repetitive acid stimulations in the presence of extracellular Ca^{2+}. The ratios (mean ± SE) of the second to the first of two consecutive, 10-sec applications of acidic solution (pH 4.0) are shown.* P < 0.01 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca^{2+}; # P < 0.05 vs. WT TRPV1 with Ca^{2+}, two-tailed unpaired t test.

**Discussion**

The results presented here identify a structural determinant involved in extracellular Ca^{2+}-dependent desensitization of TRPV1. Ca^{2+}-dependent desensitization is a relatively common feature of many cation channels including L-type Ca^{2+} channels, NMDA receptor channels, and TRP channels including TRPV1. It may be a physiological safety mechanism against a harmful Ca^{2+} overload in the cell, especially during large Ca^{2+} influx through the channels, and it is reported to be involved in phototransduction in *Drosophila* in some TRP channels. Feed-back inhibition of NMDA receptor activity by Ca^{2+}-dependent process is believed to allow for the regulation of Ca^{2+} influx in the postsynaptic cell. Similar regulation of Ca^{2+} flux through TRPV1 might play a role in the establishment of sensory neuron activation threshold and in the protection of these neurons from Ca^{2+} overload. The desensitization may induce refractoriness of sensory neurons to other noxious stimuli, leading to the use of capsaicin as an analgesic agent.

The Ca^{2+} dependence of CaM binding to TRPV1 (Fig. 2B) might be notable because Ca^{2+} entering through the channel can make CaM/Ca^{2+} complex, leading to the initiation of the channel inactivation. However, weak CaM binding to TRPV1 was also detected even in the absence of extracellular Ca^{2+} (Fig. 2D). This finding is in good agreement with a model in which CaM is tethered constitutively to the l type Ca^{2+} channels in a Ca^{2+}-independent manner, a conclusion drawn from an experiment with Ca^{2+}-insensitive CaM in which all four Ca^{2+}-binding sites were mutated (25). Local tethering of CaM to the channels might ensure a relatively rapid response to a local Ca^{2+} signal (39). No putative Ca^{2+}-binding EF hand motif or consensus CaM-binding isoleucine-glutamine motif was revealed to be involved in the interaction of CaM with TRPV1. Several amino acid sequences other than isoleucine–glutamine motif could be targets for CaM binding as suggested in l type Ca^{2+} channels (23). In our experiment, three kinds of mutant CaMs could not prevent Ca^{2+}-dependent desensitization although those CaM
Ca2+-dependent desensitization. We have ruled out the possibility that CaM plays a role in the Ca2+-dependent desensitization of TRPV1 although CaM involvement suggested it. Future studies aimed at exploring the role of Ca2+-dependent phosphorylation/dephosphorylation processes in the desensitization of recombinant TRPV1 may clarify further the mechanistic basis.

In conclusion, we identified a structural determinant of TRPV1 that interacts with CaM. The interaction may underlie, in part, the paradoxical use of capsaicin as an analgesic. Moreover, compounds acting on the 35-aa segment of TRPV1 could prove useful in the treatment of pain by interfering with Ca2+/CaM function.
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