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The order Passeriformes ("perching birds") comprises extant species diversity comparable to that of living mammals. For over a decade, a single phylogenetic hypothesis based on DNA–DNA hybridization has provided the primary framework for numerous comparative analyses of passerine ecological and behavioral evolution and for tests of the causal factors accounting for rapid radiations within the group. We report here a strongly supported phylogenetic tree based on two single-copy nuclear gene sequences for the most complete sampling of passerine families to date. This tree is incongruent with that derived from DNA–DNA hybridization, with half of the nodes from the latter in conflict and over a third of the conflicts significant as assessed under maximum likelihood. Our historical framework suggests multiple waves of passerine dispersal from Australasia into Eurasia, Africa, and the New World, commencing as early as the Eocene, essentially reversing the classical scenario of oscine biogeography. The revised history implied by these data will require reassessment of comparative analyses of passerine diversification and adaptation.

Major lineages of the ~5,739 species of passerine birds (1) have diversified on all continents and now occupy nearly all terrestrial ecosystems. The songbirds (oscines, suborder Passeri) alone comprise nearly half of all extant avian species and represent the largest identifiable radiation of birds (2), encompassing a staggering ecological and behavioral diversity. The foundation for understanding passerine diversification and for integrating the spatial, ecological, and temporal history of the group is a comprehensive and robust phylogenetic hypothesis. A decade ago, in a pioneering work, Sibley and Ahlquist (3) used DNA–DNA hybridization to produce the first large-scale phylogenetic hypothesis for passerine birds and suggested a specific temporal history for passerine diversification. Despite often-voiced concerns about the robustness of this phylogeny (colloquially termed the "Tapestry" after its appearance on the wall at an ornithological meeting), it has provided a framework for numerous historical analyses of passerine ecology (4), behavior (5), and diversification (6, 7), and continues to fuel the search for explanations of passerine diversification (8).

Recently, studies have begun to contradict aspects of the DNA hybridization hypothesis for passerines (ref. 9; citations in ref. 10). These investigations, however, used relatively short gene sequences and, more importantly, limited taxon sampling across passerines; thus the phylogenetic, biogeographic, and temporal interpretations of the radiation were incomplete, and broad tests of the DNA hybridization tree were not possible. Here we analyze 4,126 aligned positions of the nuclear genes RAG-1 and -2 from 144 passerine species in 45 families, including representatives of all but one family recognized by current taxonomy (1). We find substantial support for many portions of the passerine tree, as well as quantitative evidence for the relationships of many enigmatic taxa. Moreover, phylogenetic analysis of these data reveals significant conflicts with the DNA–DNA hybridization tree. The phylogenetic structure and temporal scaling we have extracted from these data provide a foundation for interpretations of passerine diversification and critical tests of hypotheses purporting to explain it.

Methods

Data Collection. We sampled exemplars of every passerine family recognized by Sibley and Monroe (1), save one, the monotypic oscine passerine family Hypocoliidae (supporting information, which is published on the PNAS web site). We included samples from many subfamilies and tribes recognized by Sibley and Monroe (1), because these correspond to traditionally recognized families (11). The passerine tree was rooted with two outgroups, Gallus gallus and Coracias caudata, although use of other avian and even mammalian outgroups did not significantly affect the topologies obtained (not shown; discussed in supporting information). We amplified and sequenced a large portion of the single exons of the nuclear genes RAG-1 and -2. Methods of genomic DNA extraction, enzymatic amplification, and sequencing were as described (ref. 9; supporting information). The tandem alignment of RAG-1 and -2, generated by eye, included a total of 4,126 positions.

Phylogenetic Analyses. The tandem gene alignment was analyzed by using parsimony, maximum likelihood (ML), and Bayesian methods, as implemented in PAUP* Ver. 4.0b10 (12), PHYML Ver. 2.1b1 (13), and MRBAYES Ver. 3.0 (14, 15). Combined analysis of the data was preceded by a test of congruence between the two genes (the incongruence length difference test; ref. 16), which did not approach significance (P = 0.81; see refs. 17–20 for discussion of the utility of this test). Parsimony analysis of the combined data set was accomplished using the parsimony ratchet (21), as implemented in PAUPRAT (22) and PAUP* (200 iterations of the ratchet, followed by tree bisection and reconnection branch swapping), yielding 432 minimum-length trees (length = 9,431 steps, ensemble consistency index = 0.36, retention index = 0.56). Nodal robustness under the parsimony criterion was evaluated by using the nonparametric bootstrap (100 replicates; ref. 23). ML and Bayesian analyses of the data were performed using a GTR+I+F model of sequence evolution (24), as described (9). ML analyses were accomplished using initial searches with PHYML (13), and subsequent tree bisection and reconnection branch swapping with PAUP*, with nodal robustness estimated by the nonparametric bootstrap (100 replicates), performed with PHYML. The probability density of the Bayesian posterior was estimated by Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo, with multiple incrementally heated chains. In total, six independent runs of 108 generations (sam-
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Results and Discussion

Phylogenetic Relationships Among Passerines. Our hypothesis of passerine relationships (Fig. 1) provides important insight into the history of passerine diversification. At the base of the tree, our results provide evidence that the New Zealand endemic family Acanthisittidae is the sister group of all other passerines (Fig. 1, node 1), and that the latter clade can be divided into suboscines (node 2) and the oscine songbirds (node 7; refs. 9 and 33). Within the suboscines, we find additional support for a division into New World (node 5, Sibley and Ahlquist’s Tyrannidae) and Old World (node 3, Sibley and Ahlquist’s Eurylaimides) assemblages (37, 38). DNA–DNA hybridization distances suggested that the highly diverse songbird clade (Fig. 1, node 7) was subdivided into two large clades, the Passerida and the Corvida (3); however, our data corroborate recent studies that demonstrate paraphyly of the Corvida (9, 33, 39). Moreover, the expanded taxon and character sample of the present study demonstrate that the phylogenetic structure among basal oscine lineages is more complex than previously realized.

Analysis of RAG-1 and c-mos sequences (9) previously identified three Australian groups (Menuridae, Philornithidae plus Climacteridae, and the superfamily Meliphagidae) as “equally-paraphyletic” (25). Two of these superfamilies (the Corvida, the Turdinae, and the Paridae) are also outside the crown oscine radiation. Although relationships of these ancient lineages violate monophyly of Sibley and Ahlquist’s Corvida, we do recover a major clade of “crow-like” songbirds that roughly corresponds to this group (the “core Corvida”); Fig. 1, node 20), as well as a large clade roughly corresponding to their Passerida (node 21). In addition to clarifying the contents of these “core” radiations, our data elucidate their early history. First, the Australasian dawn robins and the African endemic rockfowl and rockjumpers (Petroicidae and Picathartidae) are found to be the sister groups to passeridan radiation (Fig. 1, nodes 8 and 9). Second, a newly discovered clade (Fig. 1, node 19) consisting of the crenomphiline “birds of paradise” (Ceratopipridae, Picathartidae), berrypeckers (Melanocharitidae), and the wattlebirds of New Zealand (Callaeidae) appears as the sister group to the “core Corvid” radiation.

Within these two groups, our data corroborate many hypotheses posited on DNA–DNA hybridization evidence, including: (i) a close relationship between New World mockingbirds and Old World starlings (node 15, Fig. 1); (ii) wide separation of New World Vireonidae and wood warblers (Fringillidae); and (iii) separation of “Passerida” into three major groups, the Passe-roidea, Muscicapoidae, and Sylviaoidae (roughly corresponding to nodes 23–25, Fig. 1). In addition to these, our sampling has allowed us to identify a number of unexpectedly divergent lineages, including (i) the African sugarbirds and allies (Promeropidae, Aracnotheridae, and Maluridae; Fig. 1, node 22), (ii) an apparently ancient group of “flycatchers” (Culicicapa and Elminiae; Fig. 1, node 12), and (iii) an unsuspected clade of shrike-like corvid songbirds (node 11, Fig. 1 and Table 2), encompassing species endemic to Australasia, Asia, and Africa.

Comparison to the DNA–DNA Hybridization Tapestry. Although our results bear some rough similarity to those of Sibley and Ahlquist’s (3) phogram, the two differ significantly both in detail and in their implications for passerine evolution. As a first step toward revealing these implications, we have quantified the magnitude and statistical significance of conflict between our hypothesis and theirs. Our best hypothesis of passerine relationships conflicts with 52% (n = 55 paraphyletic groups) of the nodes defined by the Tapestry; 36% of these conflicts (n = 20) are statistically significant (Table 1). Of the nodes we tested, 54 represent higher taxa recognized by Sibley and Ahlquist (3) or Sibley and Monroe (1), of which 39% (n = 21) are not monophyletic on our preferred hypothesis: approximately half of the conflicts appear statistically significant (Table 1). Some of the conflicts observed here are correlated, because shifting individual genera between two higher taxa necessarily violates monophyly of both. However, some cases of nonmonophyly supported by our data involve nesting of large clades within others (e.g., the parvorder Passerida within the Corvida), and a number involve distant relationships among groups of genera such that paraphyly cannot be attributed to the placement of individual taxa (e.g., within Sibley and Ahlquist’s subfamily Corvidae). Therefore, our
results imply significant pervasive conflicts with the DNA–DNA hybridization estimate of relationships. In addition, a number of species included in the current study represent distinct lineages not included in Sibley and Ahlquist’s (3) sampling, the placement of which violates monophyly of recognized taxa from the ranks of tribe to parvorder (see Phylogenetic Relationships; ref. 1). Thus, our results suggest not only that the DNA hybridization hypothesis is problematic, but also that use of the revised taxonomy based partially on it (1) as a proxy for evolutionary relationship is also questionable (6, 8).

Diversification of Passerine Lineages. Based on our data and the phylogenetic conclusions drawn from them, we have used quantitative biogeographic methods to infer dispersal patterns of passerine lineages and molecular clock methods to infer the absolute timing of their subsequent radiation across the globe. These analyses provide a previously unavailable set of spatial and temporal constraints on the rates and patterns of passerine diversification.

Basal divergences among Acanthisitta and the suboscine and oscine passerines are trans–Antarctic (32), with deeply diverging lineages distributed in South America, New Zealand, and Australasia (Fig. 2), suggesting a Gondwanan influence on the distribution of these taxa. The earliest fossil passerine is from the early Eocene of Australia (40), and the next known fossil is from the late Oligocene of Europe (41). In contrast, molecular results from this and other studies (3, 33, 34, 42) indicate that passerines began diversifying in the Late Cretaceous (Fig. 2, Table 2), 30 million years before their first appearance in the Southern Hemisphere fossil record, and 60 million years before their first appearance in the north. The lack of early southern fossils is unsurprising given the historical research bias toward northern paleofaunas (43). We propose that the large gap in the northern

### Table 1. Monophyly of named taxa and nodes of the Tapestry (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Number tested</th>
<th>Monophyletic (% of rank)</th>
<th>Paraphyletic, NS* (% of rank)</th>
<th>Paraphyletic, S* (% of rank)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suborder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superfamily</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subfamily</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 (56)</td>
<td>3 (33)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribe</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 (56)</td>
<td>3 (33)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All nodes</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>50 (48)</td>
<td>35 (33)</td>
<td>20 (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monophyly of named taxa and nodes of the Tapestry were assessed using phylogenetic analysis of combined RAG-1 and -2 sequences, with statistical significance of paraphyly evaluated under the criterion of maximum likelihood (see Methods; statistics are reported in supporting information). *NS, nonsignificant; S, significant; α = 0.05.

Multiple exemplars of genera and certain higher taxa have been collapsed for clarity (number of species indicated in parentheses after genus or group). Nodal support is indicated by symbols and symbol fills. Stars indicate estimated Bayesian posterior probability ≥0.95 (nodes with oblongs or no symbol have estimated probabilities <0.95), black fill indicates parsimony (left half), and ML (right half) bootstrap percentages ≥75. Numbers refer to selected nodes that are dated in Table 2 or discussed in the text. The quotes around the taxon “Passerida” indicate that Sibley and Ahlquist’s (1, 3) definition of the group excludes the underlined taxa within this clade and includes the underlined taxa shown here as falling outside the clade. Their Corvida includes all oscines (node 7) not in the Passerida. Likewise, the quotes around the taxon “Suboscines” indicate that this group traditionally contains the Acanthisittidae, here shown as basal within passerines.

Fig. 1. Relationships among passerine birds based on analysis of combined RAG-1 and -2 sequences (146 taxa, 4,126 aligned nucleotide positions). The topology presented is the best ML estimate, rooted using Gallus and Coracias.
Basal oscine (node 7, Fig. 1) relationships and biogeographic history are greatly clarified by our data. In contrast to the classical view of oscine dispersal from the north into Australasia (44), Sibley and Ahlquist (3) hypothesized parallel diversification of northern and southern oscines (their Passerida and Corvida, respectively). The phylogenetic arrangement and inferred biogeography of basal oscine lineages as reconstructed here suggest a more complex history, in which two major sequential diversification events occurred: an ancestral Australasian diversification and a subsequent diversification of the Passerida after dispersal out of Australasia (Fig. 2), essentially reversing the classical scenario. Our phylogenetic results recognize a total of five basal oscine passerine lineages distributed in New Guinea and Australia (Fig. 1: supporting information), firmly rooting the origin of oscines in Australasia (Fig. 2). Additionally, our analyses suggest that three ancient lineages distributed in New Guinea and New Zealand form the sister group (node 19, Fig. 1) to a group we term the “core Corvoidea” (node 20, Fig. 1). Although basal relationships within the latter group are not well supported, >95% of the trees sampled in Bayesian analysis of the data placed an Australasian lineage as sister to the remaining corvoid taxa. Taken together, these relationships support an Australasian ancestry for the entire assemblage. It is from this basal Australasian radiation (from the Menuridae through node 18, Fig. 2) that the extremely diverse secondary radiation of passeridan oscines was derived.

Diversification of oscines appears to have involved dispersal of multiple lineages from Australasia, a single one of which, the Passerida, represents the bulk of passerine diversity (Figs. 1 and 2). The origins of this asymmetry in diversification are thus of particular interest. Analysis of our data identifies the sister taxa of the “Passerida” (Fig. 1) narrowly constraining the age and timing of dispersal of the group. The relatively species-poor families Petroicidae (44 species) and Picathartidae (4 species) are supported as successive sister taxa to the passeridan radiation, although the specific arrangement of these three lineages is only weakly supported (Fig. 1); the alternative resolutions require either one or two dispersals from Australasia. We estimate the divergence of the Picathartidae at 47–45 Ma, with the divergence of the dawn robins from the Passerida nearly coincident (45–44 Ma; Table 2). In contrast, the earliest inferred dispersal of any corvid from Australia involves the lineage leading to Vireonidae + Erpini at 40–37 Ma, at least 5 million years after the inferred passeridan dispersal, indicating a substantial head start for the latter group in its occupation of Eurasia. Other corvids (e.g., the genera Oriolus, Dicrurus, Lanius, and Corvus or their ancestors) appear to have followed even later. Thus, the fundamental asymmetry between the diversity of the “core Corvoidea” and Australasian endemic groups on the one hand (8) and the Passerida on the other may in part be due to differences in the timing of lineage dispersal out of Australasia.
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### Table 2. Inferred dates of major passerine divergences and dispersal events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Divergence</th>
<th>NPRS</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>Sibley and Ahlquist*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acanthisittidae versus other passerines</td>
<td>82†</td>
<td>82†</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Old World/New World Suboscines</td>
<td>71 (3.3)</td>
<td>67 (3.1)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pittas/Broadbills</td>
<td>57 (4.9)</td>
<td>53 (3.3)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Origin of Malagasy Philepittidae</td>
<td>43 (4.7)</td>
<td>40 (3.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tyrannoidae/Furnarioidae</td>
<td>65 (5.1)</td>
<td>61 (2.8)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>‘‘Suboscines’’/oscines</td>
<td>77 (1.7)</td>
<td>76 (2.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Basal oscine divergence</td>
<td>65 (2.6)</td>
<td>62 (2.7)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dispersal from Australasia (Picathartidae)</td>
<td>47 (2.8)</td>
<td>45 (2.6)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dispersal from Australasia (Passerida)</td>
<td>45 (2.9)</td>
<td>44 (2.6)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dispersal from Australasia (Vireonidae /Eperniss)</td>
<td>40 (2.6)</td>
<td>37 (2.5)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dispersal into Africa (Vangini/Malaconotinae)</td>
<td>29 (3.1)</td>
<td>26 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dispersal into Africa (Culicicapa /Elminia)</td>
<td>26 (3.2)</td>
<td>27 (2.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Dispersal into New World (Trogloidyinae)</td>
<td>34 (3.8)</td>
<td>34 (2.2)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dispersal into New World (Vireonidae)</td>
<td>28 (2.9)</td>
<td>25 (2.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dispersal into New World (Mimini)</td>
<td>20 (2.8)</td>
<td>22 (2.0)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dispersal into New World (Emberizinae)</td>
<td>20 (3.9)</td>
<td>22 (1.8)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dispersal into New World (NW jays)†</td>
<td>17 (2.9)</td>
<td>14 (2.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values shown are the means (bootstrap SE) from 100 bootstrap-resampled data sets analyzed using NPRS (29) and penalized likelihood (PL; ref. 30) methods, along with comparable estimated dates based on DNA-DNA hybridization data (3). Italicized node numbers indicate phylogenetically independent date estimates that can be used to correlate our time scale to Sibley and Ahlquist’s (3). 

*Dates derived using a 1 Tp/H = 4.7 million-year calibration, based on geological dating of divergences within the ratters (3). Dashes in this column indicate that the corresponding clades and outgroup relationships were not recovered by Sibley and Ahlquist (Vangini/Malaconotinae, Vireonidae, NW jays), appropriate taxa were not sampled (Philepittidae), or the taxa were not included in their phenogram (Picathartidae).

†Calibration point (see Methods and supporting information for justification).

The endemic group of jays, including the genera Apheroloma, Calocitta, Cyanocitta, Cyanocorax, Cyanolyca, Gymnorhinus, and Ptilorhynus; this group was not monophyletic in Sibley and Ahlquist’s analyses.

Africa and Eurasia remain an area of active research, primarily driven by interpretation of the mammal fossil record (45). We have dated the basal divergence of the newly discovered clade of shrike-like taxa found in Africa, Asia, and Australasia (node 11, Fig. 1) at 29–26 Ma. The divergence of another African/Asian disjunct pair, the sybioid “flycatchers” Elminia and Culicicapa, appears nearly coincident (node 12; 27–26 Ma). These dates are contemporaneous with, or slightly earlier than, current fossil constraints on Oligocene mammal interchange between Eurasia and Africa (45). The establishment of currently disjunct oscine lineages in Asia and Africa was likely mediated by the presence of mesic forest throughout northern Africa and Eurasia before Miocene desertification (46), which generated disjunct patterns of distribution in many bird groups and other vertebrate lineages (47, 48). More extensive sampling of passerine lineages will undoubtedly reveal additional unrecognized faunal connections between these regions, allowing more detailed evaluation of avian dispersal into Africa.

Another important question for understanding the diversification of passerines is the impact of dispersal between the Old and New Worlds. For instance, one primarily New World group, the subfamily Emberizinae, represents ~15% of passerines and nearly 8% of all extant bird species (1). Within the oscines, five sister-group comparisons establish maximum ages on invasions into the New World (Table 2). Three of these ages, the mockingbirds and thrashers (Mimini); sparrows, tanagers, and allies (Emberizinae); and vireos (Vireonidae), occur between 28 and 20 Ma; the fourth (the New World jays) is inferred to be somewhat younger (17–14 Ma) and the fifth (the wrens and gnatchatchers, Trogloidyinae) somewhat older (34 Ma). Due to the advent of oceanic barriers, in combination with significant climatic deterioration, these times make it unlikely that dispersal of most oscines into the New World occurred via the North Atlantic (49) or Antarctica (ref. 50, contra ref. 3). These findings are in agreement with broad-scale spatial and temporal analyses of the extant Holarctic fauna (51) and with the timing and pattern of mammalian dispersal indicated by the fossil record (52), both of which suggest that dispersals into the New World in the late Oligocene/early Miocene was predominantly through Beringia. We infer that divergence of lineages within the extremely diverse subfamily Emberizinae commenced shortly after invasion of the New World (NPRS: 16 Ma, bootstrap SE = 3.3; PL: 18 Ma, SE = 1.6), a timing in close agreement with dates derived from mitochondrial DNA of this group (53). This is consistent with the hypothesis of relatively recent emberizine dispersal into South America and diversification in concert with the main thrust of Andean uplift (ref. 53; Table 5). Additional sampling of New World songbird lineages will refine the distribution of dispersal times from the Old World and allow more explicit biogeographic and temporal analysis of intrahemispheric dispersal and speciation patterns.

**Conclusion**

Most previous studies of spatial and temporal patterns in passerine diversification have either been formulated in the absence of a quantitative phylogenetic framework (54, 55) or have used a phylogenetic hypothesis (3), that shows significant and pervasive conflict with available DNA sequence data (refs. 6 and 8, but see ref. 56). The results reported here provide a robust phylogenetic framework for future studies of passerine evolution. In addition to their phylogenetic content, DNA sequence data have proven extremely informative in providing temporal constraints on the origin and diversification of the major passerine clades. These constraints must be corroborated by additional calibrations from fossils and palaeogeographic vicariance events, and it is crucial that future phylogenetic analyses incorporate morphological data so that the phylogenetic position of key fossils carrying important temporal data can be established. In the future, increased taxon and character
sampling should allow more accurate estimation of diversification rates (57, 58), clarify the role of Earth history in biogeographic patterns and diversification (8, 59), and permit explicit tests of adaptive explanations of passerine diversity (60, 61).
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