Transient receptor potential family members PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 form a candidate sour taste receptor
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Animals use their gustatory systems to evaluate the nutritious value, toxicity, sodium content, and acidity of food. Although characterization of molecular identities that receive taste chemicals is essential, molecular receptors underlying sour taste sensation remain unclear. Here, we show that two transient receptor potential (TRP) channel members, PKD1L3 and PKD2L1, are coexpressed in a subset of taste receptor cells in specific taste areas. Cells expressing these molecules are distinct from taste cells having receptors for bitter, sweet, or umami tastes. The PKD2L1 proteins are accumulated at the taste pore region, where taste chemicals are detected. PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 proteins can interact with each other, and coexpression of the PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 is necessary for their functional cell surface expression. Finally, PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 are activated by various acids when coexpressed in heterologous cells but not by other classes of tastants. These results suggest that PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 heteromers may function as sour taste receptors.

Taste reception occurs at the apical tip of taste cells that form taste buds. Each taste bud has an onion-like shape and is composed of 50–100 taste cells that possess microvilli (1). There are four major taste areas in the oral region in which taste buds are concentrated: three taste areas on the tongue (circumvallate papilla, foliate papilla, and fungiform papilla) and a fourth taste area on the palate on the top surface of the mouth. In mammals, taste is generally classified into five distinct taste modalities: bitter, sweet, umami (the taste of some L-amino acids), salty, and sour (1). Much progress has been made in unraveling the molecular mechanisms of bitter, sweet, and umami taste in recent years (2–5). Bitter chemicals are detected by ~30 T2R receptor family members. Sugars and sweeteners are detected by T1R2 and T1R3 heteromers, whereas umami taster L-amino acids are detected by T1R1 and T1R3.

In contrast, the molecular mechanisms involved in sensing salty and sour taste are poorly understood and even confusing (6). Regarding sour taste transduction, several candidate receptors have been proposed. For example, acid-sensing ion channel (ASIC)2 is proposed to function as a sour receptor in the rat (7). However, it is not expressed in mouse taste cells and not required for acid sensation (8). HCN1 and HCN4, members of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels, are also putative sour receptor channels (9). However, calcium imaging experiments using taste bud slices did not support this possibility, because Cs+, an inhibitor of HCN channels, did not block Ca2+ response of taste cells to acid stimuli (10). In addition, the proteins are localized on basolateral membranes of taste cells. Members of two pore domain K+ channels also are proposed to have some roles in acid transduction (11, 12). However, their expression levels seem to be low, and the proteins are mainly distributed on basolateral membranes of taste cells. None of these putative sour receptor proteins are shown to be localized at the taste pore region, where tastants are likely to be detected.
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taste transduction, we performed in situ hybridization using probes for all 33 genes (22) on sections of mouse circumvallate papillae from the back of the tongue in mouse taste tissue. Consistent with previous reports, probes for TRPM5, a TRP channel member required for normal bitter, sweet, and umami sensation, labeled /H1101540% of taste cells (15, 23, 24). In addition, we found that the probes for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 hybridized to /H1101520% of circumvallate taste cells (Fig. 1). A similar expression pattern was observed with rat circumvallate papillae (data not shown). Other TRP members did not show specific and robust expression in circumvallate taste cells in our analysis.

To examine the expression of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in different taste areas, we performed in situ hybridization with sections from circumvallate, foliate, and fungiform papillae as well as the palate. PKD2L1 expression was observed in a subset of taste cells in all four different taste areas, as well as TRPM5 (Fig. 1B). In contrast, PKD1L3 expression was observed in circumvallate and foliate papillae but not in fungiform papillae or the palate (Fig. 1B).

To investigate the correlation of TRPM5-, PKD1L3-, and PKD2L1-expressing cells in taste buds, we next performed double-labeled fluorescent in situ hybridization. In circumvallate and foliate papillae, almost all of the PKD1L3-positive cells were also PKD2L1-positive, indicating that these two molecules are coexpressed in the same cells. In contrast, TRPM5 signals did not appear to colocalize with either PKD2L1 or PKD1L3 signals (Fig. 1C and data not shown), demonstrating that PKD1L3- and PKD2L1-expressing cells are likely to be segregated from TRPM5-expressing, bitter-, sweet-, and umami-receptor-expressing taste cells. In fungiform papillae and the palate, PKD2L1-positive cells were PKD1L3-negative, confirming the absence of PKD1L3 expression in these areas (data not shown).

To examine the mRNA expression of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in different tissues, we performed RT-PCR with mRNA from 16 different mouse tissues. We found that both PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 are abundantly expressed in taste tissues and testis, whereas they are absent or only faintly expressed in all other tissues examined (Fig. 1D). In contrast, control RT-PCR for GAPDH showed a comparable amount of products from all tissues (Fig. 1D). When we increased the number of the PCR cycles up to 40 cycles, we observed PCR products in many tissues (data not shown), consistent with the previous reports showing low expression levels in various tissues (25, 26). It is worth noting that mRNAs encoding other taste receptors, T1Rs and T2Rs, also are found to be expressed in the testis (27–29). The significance of expression of the taste receptors in the testis is currently unknown.

**Protein Localization of PKD2L1 in Taste Cells.** Taste reception occurs in the taste pore that contains an accumulation of apical tips of cell dendrites topped with microvilli. If PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 function as bona fide taste receptors, these proteins are likely to localize at the apical tip of the taste cell dendrite.
Interaction of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 and Their Cell Surface Expression.

Because PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 are expressed in the same cells, we hypothesized that PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 might also interact with each other to form functional receptors. This hypothesis was assessed by coimmunoprecipitation assays. Mammalian expression vectors encoding the HA-tagged C-terminal half of PKD1L3 protein, including TM6–TM11 and Flag-tagged PKD2L1, were transfected in 293T cells. After the cell extracts were precipitated with anti-HA antibodies, proteins were eluted and Western blotting analysis was performed. Flag-PKD2L1 proteins were detected as 90 kDa or higher molecular-mass oligomers. (C) Homo-meric interaction of PKD2L1 proteins was also suggested in our coimmunoprecipitation assays (Figs. 3 B and C, lane 7 and 11). To test whether interaction between PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 is required for proper trafficking, we first analyzed the cell surface expression of PKD1L3 with or without the presence of PKD2L1. PKD1L3 was tagged with HA at the N-terminal extracellular domain. When PKD1L3 alone was expressed in HEK 293T cells, little cell surface expression was observed, whereas control blue fluorescent protein signals confirmed effective transfection (Fig. 3D). In addition, PKD1L3 signals were observed when the cells were permeabilized and stained (data not shown). In contrast, when PKD1L3 was expressed with PKD2L1, robust cell surface expression of PKD1L3 was observed (Fig. 3D).
We next assessed PKD2L1 cell surface expression with or without PKD1L3 by cell surface biotinylation assay. Intact HEK 293T cells expressing N-terminal Flag-tagged PKD2L1 were labeled with a membrane-impermeable biotinylation reagent. After solubilization, the biotinylated proteins were purified by immobilized streptavidin, and the PKD2L1 proteins were revealed on a Western blot with either anti-PKD2L1 or anti-Flag antibodies. When PKD2L1 alone was expressed in the cells, only faint signals were observed (Fig. 3E, lane 4 and data not shown), consistent with a previous report (26). In contrast, when PKD2L1 was coexpressed with PKD1L3, strong signals were detected, indicating that cell-surface PKD2L1 proteins were dramatically increased (Fig. 3E, lane 3 and data not shown). These observations suggested that interaction between PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 was necessary for their cell surface expression.

**PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 Function as Sour Taste Receptors.** To examine whether PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 function as taste receptors, we first performed calcium imaging experiments using HEK 293T cells transiently expressing PKD1L3 and/or PKD2L1. Cells were loaded with calcium-sensitive dyes Fluo-4 and Fura red and then stimulated with various taste chemicals, including acids and NaCl. When the calcium concentration inside the cells increases upon stimulation with ligands, Fluo-4 signals increase and Fura red signals decrease, allowing us to perform ratiometric measurement of changes in intracellular calcium concentration (33). We found that cells expressing both PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 responded specifically to solutions containing acids, including citric acid, HCl, and malic acid (Fig. 4 and data not shown). In cells expressing PKD1L3 or PKD2L1 alone or not expressing either, acid solutions had little effect in calcium responses (Figs. 4A–C). Although ASICs are endogenously expressed in the HEK 293 cells (34), the sour tastants we used did not cause significant activation in control cells. However, we occasionally observed a fraction of cells exhibiting nonspecific calcium response with a short duration (<12 s), probably responding to mechanical flow (data not shown). A pH–response curve revealed an EC50 of pH 2.8 for calcium response by citric acid (Fig. 5). The mechanism of this delayed response curve using HCl showed that HCl appeared to be less potent than that of citric acid at the same pH (Fig. 4D), consistent with the notion that weak acids taste more sour than strong acids (35). PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 did not respond to NaCl, bitter chemicals, sucrose, a sweetener (saccharin), or umami compounds L-glutamate and inosine monophosphate (Fig. 4E). These experiments suggest that PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 form a functional receptor specifically activated by sour tastants. PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 functioning was not inhibited by the ASIC and Na+/H+ exchanger inhibitor amiloride or by the HCN and two-pore K+ channel inhibitor Cs+ (data not shown).

Finally, we performed patch-clamp recordings on transfected HEK 293T cells to characterize the electrophysiological properties of PKD1L3- and PKD2L1-mediated currents. In HEK 293T cells expressing PKD1L3 and PKD2L1, application of 25 mM citric acid caused small transient and sustained currents, possibly derived from ASICs endogenously expressed in the cells (34) followed by a robust current (115.2 ± 35.4 pA/pF, n = 14) with a rapid inactivation, whereas the transient and sustained currents were observed in cells transfected with the pCI vector as well (Fig. 5A). The robust current with rapid inactivation also was observed in the Ca2+-free condition (data not shown). The robust currents were not inhibited by 100 μM amiloride, which abolished the transient component of the small inward currents, suggesting that the transient currents are ASIC-mediated (Fig. 5B). We observed some delay in the activation of PKD1L3- and PKD2L1-mediated currents compared with amiloride-sensitive nonspecific currents (Fig. 5A). The mechanism of this delayed activation is currently unknown. A pH–response curve revealed an EC50 of pH 2.9 for activation by citric acid (Fig. 5C). A response curve using HCl showed that HCl appeared to be less potent than that of citric acid at the same pH (Fig. 5C), consistent with the calcium imaging analysis described above (Fig. 4D). The current–voltage relationship is approximately linear like one reported for PKD1- and PKD2-mediated currents (20) with a reversal potential of 1.6 ± 0.5 mV (n = 3), most likely involving a nonselective cation channel (Fig. 5D).

**Discussion**

How is the taste information coded in the taste buds? It is well demonstrated that different sets of taste cells are responsible for bitter, sweet, and umami sensation (15, 36). Our study showed that PKD1L3- and PKD2L1-expressing cells are segregated from bitter, sweet, and umami receptor-expressing taste cells, raising the possibility that a subset of cells may be “labeled” as sour-sensing cells. A specific fraction of taste cells (23–25%) are activated by citric acid with the calcium imaging method using taste bud slices (10). This finding corresponds well to the number of taste cells expressing PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 (~20%). Our expression analysis of PKD1L3 showed that its expression may be absent in fungiform papillae and the palate, although expres-

---

**Fig. 4.** PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 are stimulated by sour tastants. (A) HEK 293T cells expressing PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 or control pCI vector were stimulated with control buffer or buffer containing 25 mM citric acid. (B) Kinetics of the Fluo-4/Fura red ratio changes for 10 representative cells. (C) PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 respond to citric acid. In contrast, cells expressing PKD1L3 or PKD2L1 alone, or neither, do not respond. Fluorescent ratio of the entire field (~200 cells) before and after stimulation (~SEM) are shown (n = 3–5). (D) Citric acid and HCl dose responses for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1. Bath solutions containing 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, or 30 mM citric acid (corresponding to pH 3.9, 3.2, 3.0, 2.8, 2.7, or 2.7, respectively) were applied. In addition, HCl at 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, or 15 mM (corresponding to pH 3.3, 3.1, 2.9, 2.8, 2.7, 2.6, or 2.5, respectively) was applied. Normalized fractions of responders (~SEM) are shown (n = 4). (E) PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 do not respond to sweet, bitter, umami, and salty chemicals. Averages of the kinetics of 12–31 representative cells for each ligand are shown. These cells responded to 25 mM citric acid (data not shown). glu = IMP, L-glutamate plus inosine monophosphate; PTC, phenylthiocarbamide; PROP, 6-n-propylthiouracil.
activation of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1, consistent with the psychophysical data. We observed a delay between application of the acid and channel openings (Fig. 5A). This could potentially be explained by a delayed effect of addition of external acid on the internal pH. Future inside-out and outside-out patch-clamp recordings will determine whether extracellular or intracellular protons are functioning as ligands for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1. Other possibilities include that intracellular acidification in taste cells could affect the function of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 by modification, such as phosphorylation. Alternatively, heterologous cells may lack important components affecting sour transductions, such as carbonic anhydrase, H⁺/Na⁺ exchangers, and voltage-gated calcium channels (39).

What are the roles of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in taste sensation in vivo? Although our studies suggest roles for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in sour taste transduction, they do not exclude the possibility that other putative sour taste receptors may also play some roles in sour transduction. Previous behavioral studies have shown that mice exhibit moderate avoidance to 15 mM citric acid or 10 mM HCl and exhibit strong avoidance to 30 or 150 mM citric acid or 100 mM HCl (36, 40). These results are in good agreement with acid-mediated activation profiles of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in our current studies using heterologous cells (Figs. 4 and 5). However, it is worth noting that mice appear to prefer citric acid over water at concentrations below 1.0 mM (40), raising the possibility that PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 may mediate “bad” sour taste and there may be more than one neural mechanism transmitting sour sensation. Generation and analyses of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 gene knockout animals will help define the roles of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 in vivo.

Methods

In Situ Hybridization and RT-PCR. Procedures of in situ hybridization were performed as described previously (41, 42). For RT-PCR, taste tissues were derived from tongue regions, including circumvallate and foliate papillae. Total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed into cDNA by using oligo dT primer. Approximately 500-bp coding regions encompassing multiple exons of PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 were amplified from each cDNA for 30, 35, or 40 cycles at an annealing temperature of 50°C. The volume of PCR products for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 loaded on the agarose gel was approximately normalized by using the PCR products of GAPDH.

Immunohistochemistry. Rabbits were immunized with peptide corresponding to residue 731–749 of PKD2L1 (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL). CD-1 or C57BL/6 adult mice or Sprague–Dawley rats were used for immunostaining. Fresh frozen sections (16 μm thick) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with ice-cold methanol, blocked with PBS containing 5% skim milk, incubated with anti-PKD2L1 antisera (1/500 dilution) and anti-IP3R-3 antibody (1/1,000 dilution; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) followed by incubation with Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson Immunologicals, West Grove, PA) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Immunoprecipitation and Cell Surface Biotinylation Assay. Immunoprecipitations were performed essentially as described (41). Protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used. An HA tag was inserted at the C terminus of PKD1L3 (M1594–Y2151) in pCI (Promega, Madison, WI). Putative mature protein (R25–Y2151) from PKD1L3 was inserted into pDisplay to generate N-terminal HA-tagged proteins. A Flag tag was inserted at the N terminus of the ORF of PKD2L1 in pCAGI. The cell surface biotinylation assay was performed with a FluoReporter Cell-Surface Biotinylation Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were transfected, incubated for 20 h, washed, and resuspended at a concentration of 2.5 × 10⁶ cells per
ml in PBS. After incubating cells with a 0.5 μg/ml solution of biotin-XX SSE for 30 min on ice, cells were washed and lysed. The lysis was incubated with streptavidin, immobilized on Agarose CL-4B (Sigma) overnight at 4°C, and washed. The samples were then eluted and subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis.

**Calcium Imaging.** Expression vectors for PKD1L3 and PKD2L1 were constructed by subcloning coding regions into pDisplay (Invitrogen) and pcDNA, respectively. Cells were loaded with 4 μM Fluo-4 (Invitrogen) and 7 μM Fura red (Invitrogen) for 45 min at room temperature. For calcium imaging, cells were seeded on glass-bottom plates with poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips (MatTek) and immobilized Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-dependent calcium imaging. Calcium Imaging.

The lysis was incubated with streptavidin, immobilized on Agarose CL-4B (Sigma) overnight at 4°C, and washed. The samples were then eluted and subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis.

**Electrophysiology.** Transfected HEK 293T cells were incubated for 6–9 h and were seeded on glass coverslips. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were carried out at 30–36 h after transfection in voltage-clamp mode using an Axopatch 200B amplifier and pClamp 8.2 software (Axon instruments, Foster City, CA). Standard bath solution for whole-cell recordings contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM Hepes, and 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4 (adjusted with NaOH). Standard pipette solution contained 140 mM KCl (120 mM CsCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ for current–voltage analysis), 5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4 (adjusted with KOH or CsOH). The dose–response curves were fit with the Hill equation, Fraction open = [H]²/[H]² + Kᵣ[H], by using Origin software, where Kᵣ is the proton concentration that causes half the channels to open. Experiments were carried out at room temperature (22–24°C).
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