Comparative molecular modeling of Anopheles gambiae CYP6Z1, a mosquito P450 capable of metabolizing DDT
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One of the challenges faced in malarial control is the acquisition of insecticide resistance that has developed in mosquitoes that are vectors for this disease. Anopheles gambiae, which has been the major mosquito vector of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum in Africa, has over the years developed resistance to insecticides including dieldrin, 1,1-bis(p-chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethane (DDT), and pyrethroids. Previous microarray studies using fragments of 230 An. gambiae genes identified five P450 loci, including CYP4C27, CYP4H15, CYP6Z1, CYP6Z2, and CYP12F1, that showed significantly higher expression in the DDT-resistant ZAN/U strain compared with the DDT-susceptible Kisumu strain. To predict whether either of the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 proteins might potentially metabolize DDT, we generated and compared molecular models of these two proteins with and without DDT docked in their catalytic sites. This comparison indicated that, although these two CYP6Z proteins share high sequence identity, their metabolic profiles were likely to differ dramatically from the larger catalytic site of CYP6Z1, potentially involved in DDT metabolism, and the more constrained catalytic site of CYP6Z2, not likely to metabolize DDT. Heterologous expressions of these proteins have corroborated these predictions: only CYP6Z1 is capable of metabolizing DDT. Overlays of these models indicate that slight differences in the backbone of SRS1 and variations of side chains in SRS2 and SRS4 account for the significant differences in their catalytic site volumes and DDT-metabolic capacities. These data identify CYP6Z1 as one important target for inhibitor design aimed at inactivating insecticide-metabolizing P450s in natural populations of this malarial mosquito.

I
n 2004, the World Health Organization reported that up to 2.7 million people die of malaria every year with 80–90% of these deaths occurring in Africa (ref. 1 and www.africanfront.com/AID51.php). Many prevention and treatment strategies have been developed to tackle this life-threatening disease from the side of the mosquito vector of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum in Africa (4, 6). Throughout the years, people have reported Anopheles resistance (albeit low-level resistance) to various insecticides including dieldrin (a cyclodiene-type insecticide), 1,1-bis(p-chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethane (DDT), and permethrin (a pyrethroid-type insecticide) (9). The completed genome sequence of An. gambiae that became available in 2002 (10) opened up the possibility of understanding the molecular basis of insecticide resistance in this species. The initial identification of genes potentially responsible for resistance to permethrin and DDT analyzed variations in the transcript levels of 230 An. gambiae genes on microarrays containing gene-specific probes (11). Represented on the array were members of several large gene families likely to be associated with insecticide resistance including cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s), GSTs, carboxyl/cholinesterases, redox genes, and ABC transporters as well as genes for tissue-specific and housekeeping functions. The range of genes showing >1.5-fold overexpression in the DDT-resistant strain (ZAN/U) compared with the susceptible strain (Kisumu) included five P450 loci (CYP4C27, CYP4H15, CYP6Z1, CYP6Z2, and CYP12F1), one GST locus (GSTE2), and four peroxidase loci (PX9, PX13, PX13A, and PX13B) (11). The range of genes showing >1.5-fold underexpression in this DDT-resistant strain compared with the susceptible strain included four P450s (CYP6M4, CYP6AK1, CYP9J5, and CYP12F2), GSTT2, an esterase (COE13O), a thioredoxin reductase (TRX2), and a thioredoxin peroxidase (TPX4). These significant variations in transcript accumulation between the DDT-resistant and -susceptible strains suggested that one or several of these proteins may have roles in DDT detoxification. Although higher expression in insecticide-resistant strains does not necessarily guarantee relevance to insecticide resistance (12), CYP6Z1 has been repeatedly highlighted as overexpressed in adult males and females of the permethrin-resistant RSP strain collected in West Kenya (East Africa) and constitutively expressed at low levels in larvae and pupae of the RSP strain (13, 14). And CYP6Z2 (but not CYP6Z1) has been documented as overexpressed in adult males and females of the permethrin-resistant Odumasy strain collected in Southern Ghana (West Africa) (15).

Noting that DDT-metabolizing and cypermethrin-metabolizing P450s have already been identified in the CYP6 family of dipterans (Drosophila melanogaster and Musca domestica) and lepidopterans (Helicoverpa zea) (16–22), the overall purpose of this study was to determine whether any of CYP6 family transcripts expressed at higher levels in DDT-resistant strains have potential to code for a P450 capable of metabolizing DDT. Because we were specifically interested in comparing the metabolic profiles of the closely related CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 (69.6% amino acid identity), molecular modelings were carried out to predict their catalytic site geometries. These comparisons indicated that, although the two proteins share high primary sequence identity, their predicted substrate cavities are dramatically different, with CYP6Z1 predicted to metabolize DDT and CYP6Z2 predicted not to bind this insecticide. Subsequent biochemical characterizations supported these predictions.
and identified CYP6Z1 as a potential target for the design of inhibitors capable of reducing An. gambiae resistance to DDT and other insecticides.

Results

Development of the CYP6Z Models. As mentioned, our predictive molecular modeling exercise was originally aimed at comparing the structures of An. gambiae CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 to describe their potential for metabolizing DDT. The first step in the model-building process was to select and align a P450 template chosen from available eukaryotic P450 crystal structures with the CYP6Z sequences maximizing sequence identities and functional residues (23–25). To ensure that the differences in the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models were not the consequence of differences in the alignment of the two sequences with the template structure, the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 sequences from the RSP-ST and PEST strains, respectively, were first aligned to one another by using the ALIGN function in the MOE program that showed 69.6% sequence identity between the two proteins (Fig. 1). This pairwise alignment was then fixed and subsequently aligned with a fixed alignment of eight class II (eukaryotic) P450s as in Baudry et al. (24). Of these, human CYP3A4 (Protein Data Bank ID code 1TQN) (26) shared the highest sequence identity with CYP6Z1 (28.1%) and CYP6Z2 (28.7%), and it was used with the CYP6Z pair set to create a final three-sequence alignment.

Evaluation of the Computer Models of CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 Models. The molecular models of CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 were generated as described in Materials and Methods, and the final substrate-free models were examined for the distribution of $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles by using Ramachandran plots generated within MOE. This evaluation indicated that only 11 of 475 residues (2.3%) in the CYP6Z1 model and 12 of 474 residues (2.5%) in the CYP6Z2 model were outliers. Because all of these residues were located in the exterior regions of the models, no attempts were made to further refine the models. Prosa II analysis applied to test the native-fold likeness of these models indicated that both CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 have low positive Prosa II scores across most of their length (supporting information [SI] Fig. S1), indicating that they are correctly folded. These and other evaluations outlined in Materials and Methods strongly supported the quality of both models. Even so, it must be acknowledged that the necessity of using low-identity P450 templates causes some inherent uncertainty in the process of predictive modeling, especially in the three most variable loops outlined by Baudry et al. (24).

Structural Analysis of the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 Models. Because a common template (CYP3A4) was used, the backbone conformations of the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models overlaid in Fig. 2 are within 2.0 Å rmsd except for 22 residues located in the following regions: the N terminus of the A-B loop, the N terminus of the loop between helix A and B, the C terminus of the F helix, the N terminus of the F-G helix, the middle of the J-K loop, and the N terminus of the loop between the F-G and H-I sheets. Substrate recognition sites (SRS) in CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 were identified by aligning with H. zea CYP6B8v1 (27), which shares higher identity with these mosquito P450s (29.6% to CYP6Z1 and 30.3% to CYP6Z2) than any other class II P450 (13–24% sequence identity). From the perspective of tertiary structure, SRS1 corresponds to the loop region between the B and C helices positioned over the heme and the floor of the catalytic site shown in elemental colors.
DDT and 100 potential conformations for both carbaryl and xanthotoxin were generated while keeping the protein side chains fixed in their orientations. The docked conformations were sorted in ascending order with respect to the total energy (the sum of van der Waals as well as electrostatic energy terms of the potential energy function and the ligand’s internal energy). The binding modes with the lowest total energies and known hydroxylation sites [trichloromethyl group in DDT (20, 37); carbons 4–7 in carbaryl (38); furan ring in xanthotoxin (39)] closest to the heme were chosen for the second round of energy minimization during which all side chains were allowed to move freely.

The predicted conformation of DDT in the CYP6Z1 model (Fig. 4a) shows that this compound bound with its trichloromethyl group positioned at a distance of 4.57 Å from the oxygen of the Fe-O catalytic intermediate with an interaction energy of −39.5 kcal/mol after two rounds of energy minimization. In this binding mode, the following residues are within 4.5 Å of DDT: R99 and F115 in SRS1; N208, P209, D210, and S211 in SRS2; L236 in SRS3; F294, L295, G298, A299, and T303 in SRS4; L365 in SRS5; and L478 in SRS6 (all are boxed in Fig. 1). Although CYP6Z2 was subjected to several rounds of docking simulations to ensure thorough searching for a DDT binding mode, none of the top-ranked docked-DDT conformations positioned the trichloromethyl group in any reasonable proximity to the oxygen of the Fe-O intermediate (Table S1 and Fig. S2), suggesting that CYP6Z2 might not metabolize this chlorinated insecticide.

In comparison, the smaller carbaryl molecule docked in the CYP6Z1 model with carbons 4 and 5 positioned at distances of 4.4 and 3.7 Å, respectively, from the heme oxygen (Fig. 4b) with an interaction energy of −42.0 kcal/mol. Carbaryl could also be accommodated in the CYP6Z2 model with carbons 6 and 7 positioned at ≈5.5 Å from the heme oxygen with an interaction energy of −33.0 kcal/mol.

To determine whether toxic allelochemicals potentially encountered in plant litter might also be metabolized, the planar furanocoumarin xanthotoxin was docked in both models. It is predicted to be positioned with its furan ring at a distance of 2.6 Å from the heme in the CYP6Z1 model and at the significantly greater distance of 6.7 Å in the CYP6Z2 model (Fig. 4c).

**Comparative Metabolism Assays.** With the inevitable uncertainties of molecular models, the activities of CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 were compared in side-by-side activity assays of these proteins coexpressed with housefly P450 reductase (HFR) and fruit fly cytochrome P450 (P450:HFR:b5). These assays indicated that both proteins metabolize carbaryl with CYP6Z1 functioning 4.5-fold faster than CYP6Z2 (Table 1). CYP6Z1 also metabolizes DDT at a significant rate (3.91 nmol/min per nanomole of P450) and metabolizes xanthotoxin at a more modest rate (1.51 nmol/min per nanomole of P450), whereas CYP6Z2 does not metabolize either of these chemicals.

**Binding Site Comparisons.** The dramatic differences in the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 dockings and catalytic activities were further evaluated by detailed analysis of the predicted binding sites by using Computed Atlas of Surface Topography of proteins (CASTp) analysis (40). From this, the binding site volumes without various channels extending to the protein surface were estimated to be 3,471.1 Å³ and 2,601.9 Å³ for CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2, respectively, indicating that the binding site of CYP6Z2 is considerably smaller than that of CYP6Z1.

Additional overlays of the DDT-docked CYP6Z1 model (green in Fig. 5) with the CYP6Z2 substrate-free model (gold in Fig. 5) predict that van der Waals clashes between the DDT molecule and side chains in the CYP6Z2 catalytic site block binding of this compound. In ball-and-stick representation in Fig. 5, problematic CYP6Z2 residues include Phe-115, Arg-208, and Ile-298 in SRS1,
SRS2, and SRS4. With the side chain of Phe-115 in the B–C loop (SRS1) showing the least variation in positioning between the two models, the CYP6Z2 model suggests that SRS1 protrudes farther into the catalytic site than in the CYP6Z1 model causing Phe-115 in CYP6Z2 to have van der Waals clashes with DDT whereas Phe-115 in CYP6Z1 does not. Without displaying van der Waals clashes, the side chain of Arg-208 in CYP6Z2 is pushed substantially farther into the binding site whereas Asn-208 in CYP6Z1 lies within contact distance of DDT. The side chain of Ile-298 in CYP6Z2 is significantly longer than the side chain of Gly-298 in CYP6Z1, and it occurs at a position in the I helix that may have substantial impact on substrate binding.

**Sequence Variants.** With the CYP6Z1 model developed from a cDNA sequence available from the permethrin- and DDT-resistant RSP-ST strain (41, 42) and the CYP6Z2 model developed from a cDNA sequence available from the insecticide-susceptible PEST strain, we further evaluated a number of sequence variants deposited in the GenBank database for other *Anopheles* strains. Alignment of the CYP6Z1 RSP-ST sequence with the CYP6Z1 sequence expressed from the G3 strain identified four differences (S107N, R327Q, T346N, and V492A listed with RSP-ST residue first and G3 residue second). Alignment of the CYP6Z1 RSP-ST sequence with the CYP6Z1 sequence from the PEST strain identified six differences (A11T, M213I, M261T, R327Q, T346N, and V492A listed with RSP-ST residue first and PEST residue second). Comparisons between these three sequences indicate that the expressed CYP6Z1 G3 protein is identical in its first 326 aa to the RSP-ST sequence (except for a single S107N change) and identical in its last 233 aa to the PEST sequence (corresponding to a 59-aa overlap of these three sequences). Of the residues in SRS, M213I is located in SRS2 in proximity to other residues predicted to contact DDT (i.e., N208, P209, D210, and S211), and S107N is located in SRS1 in a portion of the B–C loop predicted to be outside substrate contact range. The other non-SRS residues exist in the signal sequence (A11T), the G–H loop (M261T) and the J helix (R327Q) on the protein surface, the K helix (T346N) on the proximal surface in a region potentially interacting with P450 reductase, and at the extreme C terminus (V492A). To determine whether any of these changes might affect the predicted binding mode for DDT, the CYP6Z1

**Table 1.** Catalytic activities of CYP6Z proteins coexpressed in Sf9 cells with house fly P450 reductase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>CYP6Z1</th>
<th>CYP6Z2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>3.91 (0.67)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbaryl</td>
<td>2.20 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthotoxin</td>
<td>1.51 (0.11)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data show metabolic activity (with SE in parentheses) in nanomoles per minute per nanomole of P450.

**Fig. 4.** Predicted substrate binding modes in the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models. (A) The lowest-energy binding mode for DDT (ball-and-stick format) in the CYP6Z1 model is shown with residues within 4.5 Å of this substrate (stick format) and the heme-oxo complex (space-filling format). (B) The lowest-energy binding modes for carbaryl (ball-and-stick format) in the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models are shown with Arg-208 of CYP6Z2 (stick format) extending into the catalytic site. (C) The lowest-energy binding modes for xanthotoxin (ball-and-stick format) in the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models are shown with Arg-208 of CYP6Z2 (stick format) extending into the catalytic site.

**Fig. 5.** Overlays of the CYP6Z2 substrate-free model on the DDT-docked CYP6Z1 model. The substrate-free CYP6Z2 model overlaid on the DDT-docked CYP6Z1 model is shown with the DDT molecule in gray ball-and-stick format. All side chains within 4.5 Å of DDT docked in CYP6Z1 are shown in green stick format, and those in CYP6Z2 are shown in gold stick format. The two CYP6Z2 side chains that display the most problematic van der Waals contacts with DDT (Arg-208 and Ile-298) are rendered in gold ball-and-stick format. Phe-115 that is identical in these two proteins but displays van der Waals contacts with DDT in the CYP6Z2 model is shown in stick format behind Ile-298.
The CYP6Z1 PEST sequence was mutated to the CYP6Z2 sequence with the highest-ranking score as the rotamer of each replacement side chain. Another energy-minimization run was conducted on the DDT docked model to obtain the CYP6Z2 PEST model. Compared with an interaction energy of 39.5 kcal/mol and distance to the heme oxygen of 4.5 Å for the CYP6Z2 RSP-ST model, the interaction energy and distance to the heme oxygen are 38.63 kcal/mol and 4.54 Å for the CYP6Z1 PEST model. These small differences suggest that the catalytic sites for these more divergent variants are essentially the same. In addition, alignment of the CYP6Z1 PEST genomic sequence (http://p450.sophia.inra.fr) with another reported CYP6Z1 PEST genomic sequence (GenBank accession no. EAA45054) indicated that the second variant in this strain has an unexplained 3-nt deletion of I165 in the loop region between the D and E helices and four amino acid replacements (T11A, V90M, V166S, and A492V) compared with the sequence presented at http://p450.sophia.inra.fr; the first and last of these changes correspond to sequences in the RSP-ST strain that we have already described. Again, none of these changes is predicted to impact DDT binding and metabolism.

Among the available CYP6Z2 variants, alignment of the modeled CYP6Z2 PEST sequence with the expressed CYP6Z2 G3 sequence identified one difference (S210T listed with PEST residue first and G3 residue second) in the F helix outside of substrate contact range. Alignment of the CYP6Z2 PEST sequence with the CYP6Z2 RSP-ST genomic sequence (42) identified another difference (K45SN listed with PEST residue first and RSP-ST residue second) in the loop on the protein surface. Another CYP6Z2 cDNA sequence reported from the RSP-ST strain (AF487780) appears to be missing two amino acids (L441 and R442) immediately downstream from the heme cysteine because of alternate processing of the single intron in this transcript. Loss of these two residues is predicted to shorten the L helix beneath the heme and potentially affect hydrogen-bonding interactions with P450 reductase. It is not yet clear whether the six nucleotides responsible for this deletion are missing in other CYP6Z2 transcripts.

**Discussion**

Given that their x-ray crystal structures are not available, homology models have allowed us to construct and dock models of CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 with DDT, a chlorinated insecticide, carbaryl, a carbamate insecticide, and xanthotoxin, a plant toxin. Our results predicted that these two CYP6Z subfamily proteins have dramatically different catalytic sites even though they share 69.6% overall sequence identity. For CYP6Z1, the highest-ranking docking conformation for DDT positions its trichloromethyl group at a distance of 4.57 Å from the heme oxygen bound to the heme iron with an interaction energy of 39.5 kcal/mol. For CYP6Z2, repeated rounds of DDT docking were unable to identify binding modes appropriate for metabolism of DDT. Docking of xanthotoxin in these models predicted that it could bind in close enough proximity to the heme in CYP6Z1 for catalytic turnover, but not in CYP6Z2. In contrast, the docking modes for carbaryl suggested that, although different in their predicted binding orientations, both CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 should be capable of binding and metabolizing it in at least one position.

Although there may be some uncertainties in some regions of these CYP6Z models because of their low sequence identity with the template used, comparisons of their predicted catalytic sites provide several explanations for the significant differences in their DDT docking results. The first difference is that, even with backbone conformations overlaying one another in the SRS regions, the binding site volume is much smaller for CYP6Z2 because of long side chain extensions into the catalytic site. As shown in Fig. 4.4, almost all residues located within 4.5 Å of DDT in the docked CYP6Z1 model are close in position to their analogous side chains in CYP6Z2; the two exceptions to this (Gly-209 in CYP6Z2/Pro-209 in CYP6Z1 and Arg-210 in CYP6Z2/Asp-210 in CYP6Z1) occur in SRS2 in the upper regions of the catalytic site where changes in side-chain volume can affect positioning of the two aromatic rings on this insecticide. In this region, the side chains of CYP6Z1 are predicted to be distant to be free of van der Waals clashes. Contrasting with this, three residues in CYP6Z2 (Phe-115, Ile-298, and, especially, Arg-208) protrude far enough into the catalytic site that they constrain its volume, causing even top-ranked DDT docking modes to have high interaction energies and inappropriate positionings over the heme oxygen.

Metabolic analyses of heterologously expressed CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 from the G3 strain have clearly supported our predictions. CYP6Z1, which is reported to be overexpressed in at least two DDT- and permethrin-resistant strains (11, 13, 14), is capable of metabolizing DDT, carbaryl, and xanthotoxin. CYP6Z2, which is reported to be overexpressed in the same DDT-resistant strain and another permethrin-resistant strain (11, 15), is capable of metabolizing carbaryl but not DDT or xanthotoxin.

The fact that closely related P450 sequences have different metabolic profiles is certainly not new in the P450 field. As reviewed for vertebrate P450s (43), the closely related pairs of CYP2A4 and CYP2A5, CYP2C4 and CYP2C5, and CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 with sequence identities of 98%, >95%, and >85%, respectively, do not metabolize the same substrates with similar rates or regioselectivities. Among plant P450s, spearmint CYP71D15 and peppermint CYP71D18 have different regiospecificities for limonene hydroxylation because of a single amino acid difference within the catalytic site (F363I in SRS5) (44, 45). The closely related lepidopteran CYP6B1 and CYP6B3 also differ in their metabolism of plant allelochemicals (46). These metabolic variations highlight the fact that, even with high global sequence identity, only a small number of residues in each binding site define P450 substrate binding preferences. Extrapolating from the models presented here, we postulate that the substantial protrusion of three residues into the CYP6Z2 catalytic site of the PEST and G3 strains significantly limits its substrate range. Whether mutations in these critical positions modulate the permethrin resistance reported in other CYP6Z2-overexpressing strains [e.g., Odumaya (15)] is not yet clear.

With CYP6Z1 from the susceptible G3 strain shown to be capable of metabolizing DDT and known amino acid variations in CYP6Z1 of the resistant RSP-ST strain not predicted to affect the DDT binding mode, the DDT resistance levels reported for the RSP-ST strain may possibly be due to the enhanced expression or stability of the CYP6Z1 protein in the RSP-ST strain or to an enhanced electron transfer rate (if the T346N variation affects the enhanced electron transfer rate (if the T346N variation affects interactions with P450 reductase). Although CYP6Z1 transcript levels have not yet been directly compared in the RSP-ST and G3 strains and resistance mechanisms may differ among strains, it is already known that CYP6Z1 transcript levels are higher in the RSP-ST strain than in the susceptible Kin senza strain (11) as would be consistent with the first of these possibilities.

With the availability of these models and our P450 expression capabilities, clonings of the CYP6Z alleles expressed in other strains of *An. gambiae* provide us with the opportunity to differentiate critical catalytic site variants from superficial variants not affecting substrate specificity. For this, systematic analysis of allelic variants expressed in individual strains and other P450s reported to be overexpressed in resistant strains (CYP4C27, CYP4H15, and CYP12F1) will be essential for understanding the evolution of P450-mediated resistance mechanisms and designing strategies to moderate enhanced resistance. This study has made it clear that P450 metabolic profiles cannot be predicted simply from the primary sequences of related P450s. Three-dimensional representations of these proteins present us and other researchers with the opportunity to identify and test CYP6Z1-specific inhibitors capable of reducing DDT resistance levels in natural populations of this pest.
Materials and Methods

Sequence Alignments. The sequences of CYP6Z1 (GenBank accession no. AF487533) from the RSP-37 strain of mosquito (41, 42) and CYP6Z2 (GenBank accession no. XP.173192; from the PEST strain (ref. 10 and https://p450.sophia.inra.fr) were compared with one another, and their fixed alignment was compared with the alignment of eight class II (eukaryotic) P450 sequences (24). Finding that human CYP3A4 (Protein Data Bank ID code 1TQN; ref. 26) shared the highest sequence identity with CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2, the CYP3A4 sequence was then aligned with the CYP6Z2 pair, and a final alignment (Fig. 1) was created for use in modeling.

Molecular Modeling. Homology models for CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 were built separately by using the HOMOLOGY function of MOE (Chemical Computing Group) based on the final alignment mentioned above. The heme coordinates were copied from the CYP3A4 template structure and explicitly included in the heme plane (11, 12). The heme coordinates were fixed to avoid distortion of the heme plane.

Profiles3D analysis (Insight II Homology module; Accelrys). Normalized Z-score calculations, which determine the appropriateness of folding relative to other known protein structures at values >0.7, indicated that the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models have values of 0.81 and 0.82, respectively. Profiles3D scores calculated for the CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2 models as a function of residue number showed positive compatibility scores throughout the models indicating that every residue is in a compatible structural environment. The overall qualities of the two models are demonstrated by a summed compatibility score for the CYP6Z1 model of 176.55, which lies between the lower bound (bad structures) of 97.83 and the upper bound (ideal structures) of 217.40, and for the CYP6Z2 model of 198.40, which lies between the lower bound of 97.42 and the upper bound of 216.48.

DDT, carbaryl, and xanthotoxin were docked within predicted catalytic sites by using the DOCK function of MOE. In preparation for docking, a single oxygen was added to the heme plane by using the parameter assignments specified by Rupasinghe et al. (30). The initial positions of these compounds were set above the heme plane, and docking simulations were carried out by using the MMFF94s force field (31–36) and the simulated annealing conformation search method within the DOCK function. One hundred to 300 conformations for DDT and 100 conformations each for carbaryl and xanthotoxin were generated while keeping protein side chain fixed, and these were sorted in ascending order according to their total energy. Binding modes with the lowest total energies and the trichloro-symethyl group of DDT, carbons 4–7 on carbaryl, or the furan ring on xanthotoxin closest to the heme were chosen for second-round energy minimizations during which all protein side chains were allowed to move freely while the heme coordinates were fixed to avoid distortion of the heme plane.

Heterologous Expression and Metabolism. Details on the cloning of CYP6Z1 and CYP6Z2, their coexpression with P450 reductase in Sf9 cells, and metabolism assays for DDT, carbaryl, and xanthotoxin are included in SI Methods.
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