Reversibility and efficiency in electrocatalytic energy conversion and lessons from enzymes
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Enzymes are long established as extremely efficient catalysts. Here, we show that enzymes can also be extremely efficient electrocatalysts (catalysts of redox reactions at electrodes). Despite being large and electronically insulating through most of their volume, some enzymes, when attached to an electrode, catalyze electrochemical reactions that are otherwise extremely sluggish (even with the best synthetic catalysts) and require a large overpotential to achieve a useful rate. These enzymes produce high electrocatalytic currents, displayed in single bidirectional voltammetric waves that switch direction (between oxidation and reduction) sharply at the equilibrium potential for the substrate redox couple. Notoriously irreversible processes such as CO2 reduction are thereby rendered electrochemically reversible—a consequence of molecular evolution responding to stringent biological drivers for thermodynamic efficiency. Enzymes thus set high standards for the catalysts of future energy technologies.

An electrocatalyst catalyzes a redox “half reaction” in which a chemical transformation is coupled to electron transfer at an electrode (1). The active sites of surface electrocatalysts such as platinum are integral to the electrode and contribute to the Fermi level, whereas molecular electrocatalysts are distinct entities with their own electronic and chemical properties. Molecular electrocatalysts can be attached to the electrode surface or diffuse freely in solution, but depend upon interfacial electron transfer (IET). Enzymes, a special category of molecular electrocatalysts, are distinguished by their extraordinary activities, yet limited by their size and fragility. Driven by industrial and technological needs for significant improvements in rates and efficiency, enzymes can provide crucial insights into the principles underpinning the design and performance of synthetic molecular electrocatalysts.

The efficiency of enzyme catalysis is widely accepted (2–4): Enzymes have highly selective substrate binding sites, avoid releasing reactive intermediates, and decrease activation energies (here, substrate refers to the species being transformed, not the supporting material). Traditional definitions of enzyme efficiency focus on how closely the rate approaches diffusion control (4). Recently, electrochemistry has revealed a hitherto unquantified dimension in enzyme catalysis; many redox enzymes minimize the energy needed to drive a reaction—a quantity that is easily visualized electrochemically and that we refer to as the overpotential requirement. The energy efficiency of enzyme catalysis is expected because biology must fully exploit available energy resources and minimize energy losses.

The performance of an electrocatalyst is readily visualized by cyclic voltammetry, a technique for driving reactions, measuring kinetics and thermodynamics, detecting activation/inactivation processes, and observing catalytic efficiency—all in a single experiment (5). To explain the term “overpotential requirement,” we refer to the two voltammograms in Fig. L4. They depict the simple (uncatalyzed) interconversion of the oxidized (Ox) and reduced (Red) forms of a redox-active species. Importantly, in Fig. L4 and in all cases discussed here, both Ox and Red are present in solution: Thus, according to the Nernst equation, their activities (concentrations) define an important reference point, the equilibrium potential (Eeq). Electrochemically, Eeq is the open circuit potential established by the mixture of substrates, or the applied potential at which no net current flows. When the applied potential (E) is above Eeq (E > Eeq) Red is oxidized at the electrode, to adjust the concentrations of Ox and Red to

Fig. 1. Concepts applied in this article. (A) Steady-state electrochemical kinetics visualized by cyclic voltammetry. When both the oxidized and reduced forms of a redox-active species are present, a reversible electrochemical reaction (one with a large exchange current density) produces a single sigmoidal wave (blue) that cuts (without inflection) through the zero-current potential, emerging from the baseline with an exponential dependence on potential: A substantial overpotential is required to match the current produced by the reversible system. (B) Cartoon showing an adsorbed enzyme functioning as a molecular electrocatalyst.
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the ratio set by the Nernst equation. When IET is too slow to maintain Nernstian equilibrium as \( E \) is changed, the voltammetry is termed irreversible (Fig. 1A, red): Very little current is observed until a sizeable overpotential (\( \Delta E = E_{\text{eq}} \)) is applied (for practical purposes, this is the overpotential requirement), and the exponentially increasing current is described by the Butler–Volmer equation (5). In the limiting case of an electrochemically reversible reaction (Fig. 1A, blue), IET is fast enough to maintain Nernstian equilibrium, and even a minuscule overpotential produces a significant net current that reflects the thermodynamics (the shifting Nernstian equilibrium), not the exponentially increasing rate of IET. The difference between the two cases is embodied in the exchange current (the magnitude of the equal exchange currents and the response close to \( E_{\text{eq}} \)), systems that approach the reversible limit have a very high exchange current and respond strongly.

To extend the concept of electrochemical reversibility to electrocatalysis by molecules attached to electrodes (see Fig. 1B), we adopt, pragmatically, the term “electrocatalytic exchange current” that embodies not only IET; but also the turnover of the catalytic center and (importantly for enzymes) intramolecular electron transfer, each of which may limit electrocatalysis. Note that the electrocatalytic exchange current remains defined at \( E_{\text{eq}} \) not at the potential of any redox centers in the electrocatalyst. Thus, we refer to electrocatalysts with low electrocatalytic exchange currents (and large overpotential requirements) as irreversible, and electrocatalysts with high electrocatalytic exchange currents (and very little overpotential requirement) as reversible, and electrocatalysts with high electrocatalytic exchange currents (and large overpotential requirement) to irreversible or efficient. In reality, electrocatalytic waveshapes rarely conform to the expectations for simple electrochemical systems, precluding simple quantitative definitions for terms such as the overpotential requirement. Finally, in electrocatalysis, as in simple electrochemistry, a potential-independent process eventually becomes rate limiting at high overpotential. It is highly desirable (returning to the traditional definition of enzyme efficiency; ref. 4) that the limiting current density (current per unit electrode area) should approach diffusion control. However, enzymes are large molecules, so they have large surface area requirements and low active-site densities; this feature must be taken into account when comparing the intrinsic abilities of enzyme and surface electrocatalysts.

**Results from Enzyme Electrocatalysts**

Fig. 2 shows voltammograms from several enzymes that contain the active sites shown in Scheme 1. Each enzyme, adsorbed on an electrode, catalyzes a half-cell reaction of technological importance, which does not occur without a catalyst and usually exhibits a large overpotential requirement.

**Interconversion of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{H}^+ \).** Interconversion of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) is central to \( \text{H}_2 \) fuel cells and renewable \( \text{H}_2 \) generation; it is also crucial in the metabolism of microbes that use metalloenzymes known as hydrogenases to catalyze \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution (to relieve reductive stress) or \( \text{H}_2 \) oxidation (\( \text{H}_2 \) as an energy source).

The long-established electrocatalyst for \( \text{H}_2 \) cycling, applied in proton-exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells, is elemental platinum: \( \text{H}_2 \) is reduced reversibly at Pt, with a high-exchange current density (at least 1 mA cm\(^{-2} \)) (6, 7). However, if future transportation depended solely on such fuel cells, then demand for Pt would greatly exceed resources. In contrast, hydrogenases contain only common metals (iron and nickel) in their active sites (see Scheme 1, 1A and 1B) (8). Some hydrogenases oxidize \( \text{H}_2 \) at >1,000 s\(^{-1} \) and, on a per-active-site basis, their electrocatalytic activities may exceed that of Pt (7). Fig. 2A shows that electrocatalysis by a [NiFe]-hydrogenase is reversible—the single, bidirectional electrocatalytic wave cuts sharply through zero current at \( E_{\text{eq}} \). Common features of all hydrogenase mechanisms proposed so far are \( \eta_2 - \text{H}_2 \) binding (Scheme 1, 1B), metal hydrido species (Scheme 1, 1A), and closely positioned Bronsted groups (linked to an \( \text{H}^+ \)-relay to solvent) for coupled \( \text{H}^+ \) transfer (9).
Activation and Reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} to CO or Formate. At present, the only viable large-scale process for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction is photosynthesis, though its overall efficiency is low. An efficient catalyst for converting CO\textsubscript{2} directly to methanol or formate fuels, or to CO for Fischer–Tropsch hydrocarbon production, could revolutionize green energy technologies, hence there are extensive efforts to develop electrocatalysts that reduce CO\textsubscript{2} (10–13). Normally, CO\textsubscript{2} reduction proceeds via unstable intermediates such as CO\textsuperscript{−}, or HOCO\textsuperscript{−} radicals; large overpotentials are required, mixtures of products are produced, and side reactions such as H\textsubscript{2} formation compete. The most promising electrocatalysts so far are polymeric [Ru(bpy)(CO)]\textsubscript{3} films that produce CO or formate, depending on the bipyridyl substituents, at modest overpotentials (10), and a pyridine system that can reduce CO\textsubscript{2} to formate, depending on the bipyridyl substituents, at modest overpotentials (13).

In contrast, biology has evolved specific enzymes for reducing CO\textsubscript{2} to CO and formate, which behave as reversible electrocatalysts. Carbon monoxide dehydrogenases (CODHs) from anaerobic organisms contain a [NiFe-4S] cluster (see Scheme 1, 2) (14) that catalyzes the interconversion of CO\textsubscript{2} and CO (the C atom of the substrate is coordinated to the Ni, and the leaving O-atom coordinates as hydroxide to the “dangling” Fe). Formate dehydrogenases (FDHs) with tungsten-pterin active sites (see Scheme 1, 3) (15) catalyze the interconversion of CO\textsubscript{2} and formate (16)—formally a hydride-transfer reaction, but the mechanism is unclear. Fig. 2 B and C show that CODH1 from Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans and FDH1 from Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans are reversible electrocatalysts (16, 17); their single, bidirectional electrocatalytic wave cut sharply through zero current at \(E_{eq}\).

Specific C–H Bond Transformations. Electrochemically driven (C–H) hydride-transfer reactions, such as NADH oxidation, have many applications; but despite significant efforts, large overpotentials are required, and mixtures of products often result, for example, from the NAD\textsuperscript{+} radical intermediate (18, 19). Two flavoenzymes in the mitochondrial electron-transfer chain, respiratory complex I and II (the NADH: and succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductases), catalyze reversible C–H bond formation. Reversible oxidation of NADH comprises removal of the C4 hydridic H atom from the pyridinum ring (stacked above the flavin isoalloxazine system; Scheme 1, 4) (20), whereas succinate oxidation to fumarate involves removal of H\textsuperscript{+} and H\textsuperscript{−} to form a C = C bond (21). Both reactions exploit a flavin’s ability to mediate hydride and electron transfers. Fig. 2D shows that the membrane-extrinsic domain of complex I (subcomplex I\textsubscript{2}) is a reversible catalyst for NAD\textsuperscript{+}/NADH interconversion (22). The membrane-extrinsic domain of complex II is also a reversible electrocatalyst (23).

Interconversion of O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O. Interconversion of O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O is central to fuel cells and future energy technologies that use solar energy or surplus electricity to split water, but no reversible electrocatalyst (biological or artificial) is known. The best non-biological electrocatalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction are Pt-based surfaces, but they have sizeable overpotential requirements [Pt(Ni)(111)] provides a 0.1-V improvement over Pt(111) alone; ref. 24]. The overpotential requirement for O\textsubscript{2} reduction is a major reason why PEM fuel cells produce a voltage far short of the reversible cell potential (25). Enzymes perform better, and relatively efficient four-electron reduction of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O is catalyzed by the “blue” Cu oxidases, laccase (26), and bilirubin oxidase (27). These enzymes contain a tri-Cu active site and a nearby blue Cu center that provides a fast electron relay (see Scheme 1, 5). Fig. 2E compares O\textsubscript{2} reduction, at pH of approximately 6, by Pt(111) and by bilirubin oxidase adsorbed on graphite. Despite the high activity per enzyme molecule, the low number of active sites per unit electrode area gives a low current density. Both traces show irreversible electrocatalysis, but the enzyme’s overpotential requirement is significantly smaller than that of Pt.

Our enzyme electrocatalysts incorporate many common features. Their active sites are buried in the protein to exclude solvent, protect fragile inner coordination spheres, and provide highly organized outer-sphere (supramolecular) environments. Precisely positioned close-range functionalities deliver protons on demand and synchronize proton and electron transfers, while chains of H\textsubscript{2}O molecules and protein bases mediate long-range proton exchange with solvent. Multiple electron capacity is available in or close to the active site, and long-range electron transfer is handled by fast relays.

Principles for Minimizing Electrocatalytic Overpotential Requirements. For the simplest surface electrocatalysts, IET and substrate transformation occur via a single transition state, and the overpotential requirement corresponds to the activation energy. However, almost all redox enzymes catalyze the transfer of two or more electrons, coupled to transfer of protons and other species such as oxygen atoms. In these complex systems, an overpotential requirement can stem from various factors including poor interfacial or intramolecular electron-transfer kinetics, poorly synchronized coupled electron-transfer reactions with high-energy intermediates, and poor matching between the redox thermodynamics of substrate and catalyst.

Rate-Limiting Electron Transfer to/from the Active Site. A molecular electrocatalyst facilitates two half-cycles: the catalytic redox transformation and regeneration of the active site. Electrochemically
reducing or oxidizing an enzyme’s active site requires both interfacial (potential-dependent) and intramolecular (potential-independent) electron transfer. Rates of long-range electron tunneling reactions are governed by electronic coupling between the donor and the acceptor, and by the activation energy, $\Delta G_{\text{act}}$, which in the semi-Classical Marcus model (28) is minimized when $\lambda$, the reorganization energy, is equal to $\Delta G$, the Gibbs free-energy change. Typically, an intramolecular electron-transfer pathway, such as the series of seven iron-sulfur clusters in respiratory complex I (20), connects the active site to a distal site closest to the surface (Fig. 1B). Intramolecular relays can be optimized by minimizing the tunnel barriers (distances between sites should be <14 Å) (29), avoiding large free-energy changes (steps in potential) and minimizing reorganization energies (buring a site ensures $\lambda_{\text{solvent}}$ is small). If all the relay steps are fast, intramolecular electron transfer, even to a deeply buried active site, may have little impact on electrocatalysis. Alternatively, a particular relay site may constitute an electrocatalytic control center (30) that creates a potential-determining step: Electron exchange with the electrode up to, but not beyond, this point is fast and reversible. The rate of IET (between the distal relay site and the electrode) always depends on the electrode potential; the distal site must also be positioned close to the electrode surface to minimize the interfacial tunnel barrier. The fact that only a fraction of the possible orientations of an adsorbed enzyme fulfill this requirement modifies the dependence of IET rate on potential, accounting for an extended potential dependence in the electrocatalytic voltammetry (31, 32).

**Proton and Electron-Transfer Reactions Within the Active Site.** Electron transfers within active sites drive chemical rearrangements that usually involve proton transfers. As protons can tunnel only very small distances (<1 Å) the organization of the active site, and precise positioning of proton donors and acceptors, are critical (3): An excellent example is the bridgehead N atom positioned just above one of the Fe atoms in the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenases (Scheme 1, 1B) (8). In electrocatalysis, both parts of a proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) reaction must be synchronized (33, 34). Stepwise PCET reactions proceed via unstable (high energy) intermediates, as visualized through the Pourbaix ($E$-$pH$) diagram shown in Fig. 3A; on the other hand, they provide the basis for pumps and gates—devices that transduce or control energy flow in respiration and photosynthesis. The free intermediates provide limiting scenarios for explaining an overpotential requirement. Fig. 3A shows how the reduction and protonation of Ox to form RedH (at pH 7) occurs in a stepwise reaction, via the unstable Red intermediate. First, an overpotential is required to energize the system (1 $\rightarrow$ 3) and drive electron transfer to populate the Red state (3 $\rightarrow$ 4); the unstable Red intermediate is then protonated spontaneously (4 $\rightarrow$ 5) and energy is released as heat. When the two steps are entirely independent, the overpotential requirement is reflected in the difference between the pH-dependent Ox/RedH potential and the pH-independent Ox/Red potential. As the two steps become better kinetically coupled, the overpotential requirement decreases (note that kinetic coupling is a relative term; stepwise reactions appear better coupled on slower, less demanding catalytic time-scales) (35). Only a truly concerted PCET reaction, which crosses the diagonal boundary line directly through a single transition state (1 $\rightarrow$ 2 in Fig. 3A), remains completely coupled under the most demanding conditions. Similar arguments apply when electron transfers are coupled to other chemical steps, such as transfer of a hydroxide or oxido group.

**Multiple Electron and Proton Transfer Processes Within the Active Site.** Multiple electron–proton transfer reactions of small molecules are conveniently viewed using Frost (oxidation state) diagrams (36). Frost diagrams show how the free energy of the system varies with oxidation number (the slope of a line between two species gives the reduction potential for their interconversion) and refer to free species at equilibrium in aqeous solution (they are a limiting case of the diagrams used to describe bound species in heterogeneous catalysis; ref. 25). Fig. 3B shows Frost diagrams for some of the reactions discussed here. The energy-rich fuels and oxidants ($H_2$, CO, HCOO$^-$, and $O_2$) appear toward the top, and the energy-poor products of fuel consumption ($H_2O$, $H^+$, and $CO_2$) lower down. Between the fuels/oxidants and their products lie intermediates through which (formally) each species must pass; unstable intermediates lie above the line connecting reactant and final product, and their formation produces an overpotential requirement due to the difference between the potentials required to form the intermediate and the final product.

The cause of the large overpotential usually required to reduce $CO_2$ to CO or formate is evident from Fig. 3B—CO2 reduction in two discrete one-electron steps requires formation of the $CO_2^-$ radical. Similarly, reduction of $H_2$ in one-electron steps via an H-atom intermediate would require an overpotential as high as 1.7 V (see Fig. 3B), and uncatalyzed interconversion of NADH and NAD$^+$ via the NAD$^+$ radical requires up to 0.7 V (18). Electrocatalysts avoid, or minimize, these overpotential requirements in two ways. First, they can kinetically couple the formation and onward reaction of the unstable intermediate: The limit of this
approach, adopted for the interconversions of NAD+/NADH, H+/H₂, and perhaps CO₂/formate, is transfer of a hydride moiety (two electrons and one proton moving as a single entity). Second, the enzyme may bind and stabilize the intermediate, adjusting its Frost-diagram coordinate down toward the line joining reactant and final product.

The interconversion of O₂ and H₂O is the most challenging reaction discussed here, as all free intermediates are unstable with respect to O₂ and H₂O (Fig. 3B). Although the direct reaction best avoids an overpotential requirement, it requires a single transition state in which the four electrons and four proton transfers are concerted with O₂ cleavage—no known catalyst achieves this. More realistically, the reaction is split into two or more manageable stages, involving intermediates that are stabilized by binding them: This concept is important for developing synthetic O₂ reduction electrocatalysts (25). For example, passing at H₂O₂ relaxes the four-electron problem but introduces an overpotential requirement of up to 0.54 V in both directions (nevertheless, a lower cost than pausing at either radical state). Specific binding of the intermediate helps further: Indeed, spectroscopic studies of blue Cu oxidases have detected a peroxo-intermediate (37), and X-ray diffraction has revealed an O-O species coordinated between three Cu atoms (38) (see Scheme 1, 5).

Reduction Potential of the Catalyst Is Poorly Matched to That of the Substrate. If the reduction potential of the active site (even when a substrate is bound) differs significantly from that of the reaction being catalyzed, then the catalyst will function only in one direction. For example, if the reduction potential of the active site is 0.5 V below that of the substrate, only a reductive electrocatalytic wave will be observed (close to the active-site potential) and no amount of overpotential will drive the oxidative reaction at any useful rate—an asymmetry that is not expected for surface electrocatalysts. The reduced active site resembles an unstable intermediate because it requires an overpotential (relative to E°) to drive its formation. If the potential mismatch is small, electrocatalysis will remain bidirectional but will be biased in one direction: in Fig. 2D, catalyst is biased toward 2 mol NADH reduction. Viewed another way, the electrocatalytic exchange current is optimized when the reduction potential of the molecular electrocatalyst matches that of the reaction being catalyzed. Fig. 2C exemplifies the importance of a matched active-site potential, because CO₂ is easily reduced by the tungsten center in Scheme 1 (4); in contrast, the corresponding higher-potential molybdenum center has only been observed to catalyze formate oxidation. In Fig. 2E, O₂ reduction by bilirubin oxidase is irreversible, but the overpotential requirement results not from sluggish IET kinetics, but because the blue Cu (which relays electrons to the active site, Scheme 1, 5) is the electrochemical control center that determines the catalytic potential (27). Similarly, when a redox mediator is used to transfer electrons between enzyme and electrode, catalysis usually occurs at the mediator potential. For example, O₂ reduction by laccase embedded in a hydrogel with covalently attached Os complexes occurs close to the reduction potential of the particular Os complex used (39).

Overpotentials in Biology

The electrocatalytic efficiency of an enzyme addresses only the oxidation or reduction of a single substrate, whereas biological redox reactions occur in pairs. A reversible enzyme-catalyzed redox reaction conserves the most energy, but many enzyme reactions are coupled to perform useful work. NADH oxidation by respiratory complex I is reversible (Fig. 2D), but when coupled to ubiquinone reduction (at a distant site) the approximate 0.5-V potential difference renders the redox reaction alone irreversible (and very inefficient). In the cell, most of the free energy is conserved by proton translocation across an energy transducing membrane, creating the proton-motive force that is used to power processes such as ATP synthesis. The complete proton-coupled redox reaction is irreversible. Conversely, some enzymes have evolved to act irreversibly, to prevent back-reactions and maintain fluxes through pathways. Cytochrome c oxidase is the last enzyme in the mitochondrial respiratory chain; it reduces O₂ to water in a series of stepwise electron–proton transfers that are tightly coupled to proton translocation (40). Only part of the energy from this reaction is conserved in proton pumping, and even the largest proton-motive forces available to biology are unable to drive the reverse reaction. Similarly, the photosynthetic Mn₄CaO₄ center uses a series of light-driven, mainly stepwise PCET reactions to oxidize water to O₂, but it does not catalyze O₂ reduction [electron transfer from O₂ to P₆₈₀⁺ (reduction potential ca. 1.25 V) through the Mn center is irreversible; ref. 41].

Translating into Emerging Energy Technologies

Maximal rates and efficiencies of energy conversion are highly desirable in emerging technologies, such as production of solar fuels. The energy-conserving efficiencies of electrocatalytic enzymes have been revealed in two demonstrations, each of which would not be possible if the catalysts exhibited significant overpotential requirements. First, a hydrogenase and a CODH coattached to conducting graphite platelets catalyze the water gas shift reaction (free-energy change of just 0.1 V) (42) (Fig. 4A). An aqueous suspension of platelets yields 2.5 mol H₂ (mol hydrogenase)⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 30°C, whereas the industrial reaction requires temperatures exceeding 200°C for similar per-site activity. Second, enzymes attached to anatase nanoparticles provide excellent models for solar fuel conversion (Fig. 4B). As an alternative to UV (band gap) excitation, a coattached Ru-bipyridyl photosensitizer is used to populate the conduction band using visible light. Hydrogenases and CODH catalyze H₂ production and CO production, respectively, despite the conduction band potential offering only small driving forces—a [NiFeSe]-hydrogenase uses approximately 0.16 V to drive H₂ production at 50 mol H₂ (mol hydrogenase)⁻¹ s⁻¹ (18,000 h⁻¹) (43) and CODH uses approximately 0.05 V to catalyze CO production at 0.2 mol CO (mol CODH)⁻¹ s⁻¹ (720 h⁻¹) (44). Can efficient electrocatalysts be designed using the principles of enzymes? Many d-block metal complexes have been synthesized to mimic the functions of redox enzymes, but they tend to lack critical features such as multielectron capacity and pre-existing donor

---

**Fig. 4.** Exploiting enzyme electrocatalysts and their design principles in technological applications. (A) The water–gas shift reaction is catalyzed, under ambient conditions, by two enzymes (a CODH and a hydrogenase), connected by coadsorbing them to conducting graphite platelets (potentials vs. Standard Hydrogen Electrode given for pH 6, 25°C (42)). (B) Anatase (TiO₂) nanoparticles comodified with an Ru-photosensitizer (which adsorbs light and donates electrons into the conduction band (CB)) and either a hydrogenase (for H₂ production) or a CODH (for CO₂ reduction) (43, 44). (C) A biospired electrocatalyst for interconverting H⁺ and H₂O. The design combines a catalytically competent active site that is protected from the aqueous phase but accessible to H⁺ and H₂O, and structures for relaying electrons rapidly between the electrode and the active site (47).
cisely positioned functional groups. They may not even work in water, which is certainly problematic for a water-splitting catalyst. We therefore highlight one particularly successful case, the step-by-step development of a reversible electrocatalyst for H₂ production and oxidation, and show how its properties fit in with our discussions.

Among numerous functional analogs of hydrogenases, the most notable are a group of [Ni(diphosphine)₂]²⁺ compounds (Scheme 1, 6) created by DuBois and coworkers (45, 46). The pendant N bases are well positioned for PCET, to allow smooth interconversion of H⁺ and n² or n⁰-coordinated H₂ species and mimic the assumed action of the bridgehead N atom in the [FeF₆]⁻−enzyme (Scheme 1, IB). Compound 6 (Scheme 1, R = Ph or benzy) catalyzes electrochemical H₂ production with an overpotential requirement of approximately 0.2 V, but it must be dissolved in acetonitrile, with dimethylformamide as a proton donor (46). Subsequently, Artego and coworkers covalently linked compound 6 to conducting carbon nanotubes attached to an indium tin oxide electrode and embedded the assembly in Nafion, a proton-conducting polymer (47) (Fig. 4C). In contact with aqueous H₂SO₄, the integrated system functions as a reversible electrocatalyst for H₂ production or oxidation, with bidirectional electrocatalytic voltammograms displaying a single wave that cuts sharply across the potential axis at E°. The Nafion performs the function of the protein, protecting the active site from H₂O yet allowing facile H⁺ transfer, and the carbon nanotubes perform the function of the Fe₅ relay (47).

Highly active and efficient redox catalysts are essential for future energy-capturing and energy-lean electrochemical/photoelectrochemical technologies. Much inspiration stems from these giant, unstable molecules that are so successful at redox catalysis yet unsuited for direct application: Enzymes reveal what is possible and provide test scenarios in which catalysis is so efficient that other factors become limiting. Bioinspired redox catalysts must do more than just mimic the inner sphere of an active site; they must provide a whole environment to minimize reorganization energies, synchronize electron and proton transfers, control the formation and stability of intermediates, and impose appropriate redox thermodynamics. In response to the need for low cost, sustainable, robust, and efficient catalysts, there are many but worthwhile challenges.