Transcript origin analysis identifies antigen-presenting cells as primary targets of socially regulated gene expression in leukocytes
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To clarify the biological rationale for social regulation of gene expression, this study sought to identify the specific immune cell types that are transcriptionally sensitive to subjective social isolation (loneliness). Using reference distributions for the expression of each human gene in each major leukocyte subtype, we mapped the cellular origin of transcripts found to be differentially expressed in the circulating immune cells from chronically lonely individuals. Loneliness-associated genes derived primarily from plasmacytoid dendritic cells, monocytes, and, to a lesser extent, B lymphocytes. Those dynamics reflected per-cell changes in the expression of inducible genes and more strongly relate to the subjective experience of loneliness than to objective social network size. Evolutionarily ancient myeloid antigen-presenting cells appear to have evolved a transcriptional sensitivity to socioenvironmental conditions that may allow them to shift basal gene expression profiles to counter the changing microbial threats associated with hostile vs. affiine social conditions.

Research in social genomics has linked adverse life circumstances to changes in the expression of hundreds of genes in circulating human immune cells (1–3). Those genes subject to socioenvironmental regulation do not represent a random cross-section of our ~22,000 genes, however. Instead, in leukocytes sampled from people confronting a diverse array of adverse social conditions, including chronic loneliness (4), imminent bereavement (5), depression (6), and low socioeconomic status (7, 8), gene expression profiling shows a recurrent up-regulation of inflammatory genes and down-regulation of genes involved in IFN-mediated antiviral responses and IgG antibody production (1–3). These dynamics appear to stem from coordinated changes in the activity of gene-regulating transcription factors, including reduced sensitivity of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and consequent activation of the proinflammatory NFκB transcription factor that it would otherwise inhibit (4, 5, 7), as well as decreased activity of IFN response factors and modulation of GATA, EGR, and CREB/ATF transcription factors (3–5, 7, 9). The resulting transcriptional alterations appear to place socially stressed individuals at increased risk for chronic inflammation-related illnesses, such as heart disease, neurodegeneration, and some types of cancer (10, 11). Why would the immune system activate such a hazardous transcriptional program in response to social adversity?

To clarify how and why social environments regulate immune function (11–14), it would be helpful to know which specific immune cells mediate those effects. Circulating leukocytes are an aggregate population composed of several distinct cell subsets that express different genes and perform different functional roles in pathogen recognition, immune response, and tissue repair (15). In the present study, we sought to determine which of those cell types is most sensitive to socioenvironmental adversity (i.e., which specific type of leukocyte is predominately responsible for the change in aggregate gene expression profiles observed in the leukocyte pool as a whole). Is it the monocytes, which patrol the body surveilling for infectious agents and damaged tissue and coordinate the early inflammatory stage of an immune response? Perhaps it is the natural killer (NK) cells, which search out and destroy cells lacking the distinctive MHC molecular name tags that distinguish our own cells from foreign cells. Perhaps it is the T lymphocytes which are most sensitive, as they coordinate the development of immune responses (CD4+ helper T lymphocytes) or destroy our own cells that have been hijacked by viruses and other intracellular pathogens (CD8+ cytotoxic T cells). Maybe it is the B cells, which synthesize antibodies to help combat extracellular pathogens, such as parasitic organisms or viral particles trafficking from one cell to another. Another possibility is the dendritic cells, which, like monocytes, patrol for damage and initiate inflammatory responses but also play a unique role in activating T-cell responses. Determining the particular type of cell that is most sensitive to our macrolevel socioenvironmental conditions is, from an immunologist’s perspective, the key to understanding the underlying logic of a socially regulated immune system (15).

This study seeks to identify the specific cell type responsible for the global leukocyte gene expression dynamics observed in one of the earliest major social genomics studies — an analysis identifying systematic differences in the expression of 209 gene transcripts in circulating leukocytes from people who experienced themselves as alone and distant from others consistently over the course of 3 y (i.e., chronically lonely) (4). Lack of close social ties is a well-established risk factor for diseases involving the immune system and inflammation (16, 17), and this study established a functional genomic framework for understanding those effects. This study also uncovered several major gene regulation themes that have subsequently reemerged in other studies of social adversity, including increased expression of inflammation-related genes and reduced expression of genes involved in Type I IFN responses and IgG antibody production (1–4). As such, this study provides a natural context for determining which leukocytes are most sensitive to our experienced social environment.

Results
To identify the specific cell type mediating any observed difference in gene expression within the circulating leukocyte pool, we first quantified the extent to which each human gene transcript was generally expressed predominately by monocytes, plasmacytoid...
scores for each gene and cell type are presented in Dataset S1. Transcript origin diagnosticity data on the expression of all named human genes in isolated cell types, or B lymphocytes (Eq. 1), dendritic cells, NK cells, CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, and B lymphocytes confirmed that the transcript origin diagnostic score used here correctly identified the cellular origin of those genome-wide transcriptional alterations in each case (Table 1). Across different cell types, heterogeneous microarray platforms, and diverse experimental manipulations (including cytokine or neurotransmitter stimulation, transcription factor overexpression, and myeloid cell differentiation), transcript origin diagnosticity scores consistently reached the highest degree of statistical significance for the specific cell type known to have generated the observed data (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Diagnosticity scores were also highly reliable as measured by split-half correlations computed within each study (average \( r = 0.91, P = 0.0004 \)).

Primary discovery studies applied transcript origin analysis to identify the cellular source of 209 gene transcripts showing \( \geq 30\% \) difference in expression in circulating leukocytes from six chronically lonely individuals and eight demographically matched indices who experienced consistently high levels of social contact and support (4). Study participants were healthy older adults aged 55–72 y at leukocyte capture who had been sampled from the Chicago metropolitan area and broadly represented its demographic composition. Chronically lonely individuals were identified by the UCLA Loneliness Scale scores in the top 15% of the sample distribution consistently over the course of 3 y, whereas low-lonely individuals consistently scored in the bottom 15% of the distribution. Among the 209 differentially expressed mRNA species (corresponding to 144 named human genes), 78 (37%) were overexpressed in leukocytes from high-lonely individuals and 131 (63%) were downregulated (i.e., relatively overexpressed) in nonlonely individuals; specific transcripts are listed at http://genomebiology.com/content/supplementary/gb-2007-8-9-r189-s1.doc. Previous bioinformatic analyses identified general functional characteristics of differentially expressed genes, including up-regulation of transcripts involved in inflammation, cell proliferation, and transcription control and down-regulation of transcripts involved in innate antiviral responses, antibody production, and cell death (4).

Fig. 1A presents results showing that loneliness-associated transcriptional up-regulation derived predominately from plasmacytoid dendritic cells and monocytes. Transcripts expressed by B lymphocytes and NK cells appeared at approximately the same rate in the differentially expressed gene pool as they did across the genome as a whole, and transcripts expressed predominately by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes were markedly nondiagnostic (i.e., less frequently observed among loneliness-associated transcripts than expected in a random sample of all human genes).

To determine whether loneliness-associated transcriptional up-regulation or down-regulation might be occurring in different cell types, we carried out separate transcript origin analyses for each gene set. Results in Table 2 show that the genes up-regulated in circulating blood from lonely individuals were predominately expressed by dendritic cells, whereas down-regulated transcripts originated from dendritic cells, monocytes, and, to a marginally significant extent, B lymphocytes.

We next asked whether the “socially sensitive” cell types responded primarily to the subjective experience of social isolation or to the objective degree of an individual’s social network. Objective isolation, as measured by the social network index (SNI) (19), was only modestly correlated with subjective social isolation \( r(12) = 0.26, P = 0.3629 \). Simultaneous multivariate analyses showed that subjective social isolation was associated with a substantially greater number of differentially expressed genes than was objective social isolation [377 transcripts differed by \( \geq 30\% \) as a function of UCLA Loneliness Scale scores vs. 161, as a function of the SNI; difference: \( \chi^2(1) = 86.97, P < 0.0001 \), odds ratio (OR) = 2.36]. In contrast to results for subjective social isolation, transcripts associated with objective social isolation did not originate disproportionately from either monocytes or dendritic cells (\( P = 0.5703 \) and \( P = 0.1937 \), respectively; both \( d < 0.10 \)) but, instead, derived predominately from B lymphocytes \( r(200) = 4.19, P < 0.0001, d = 0.29 \).

In a final set of discovery analyses, we asked whether the observed differences in loneliness-related gene expression stemmed from differing abundance of each cell type within the total leukocyte pool or whether they reflected per-cell changes in the intensity of gene expression. Initial analyses found no significant difference in the expression of any leukocyte subset-defined marker gene (CD14 for monocytes, BDCA-4/NRP1 for dendritic cells, CD56/NCAM1 for NK cells, CD4 for CD4⁺ T cells, CD8A for CD8⁺ T cells, and CD19 for B lymphocytes) (18) as a function of loneliness (all differences \( < 8\% \), all \( t(12) < 1.22, P > 0.2462 \)). Transcript origin analyses also yielded similar results.

### Table 1. Transcript origin analysis of experimentally induced transcriptional alterations in isolated leukocyte subsets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated cell type (comparison)</th>
<th>Mean TOA diagnosticity score</th>
<th>Difference from genome mean TOA score* (±SE)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (LPS + IFN-γ vs. IL-4)</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.9325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell</td>
<td>−0.76 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (vs. monocyte)</td>
<td>−0.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.8980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0588</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.9768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.8852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell</td>
<td>−1.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.6579</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell (untreated vs. IL-2 + IL-15)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.3468</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.2932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>2.38 ± 1.45</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell</td>
<td>−0.11 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺ T cell</td>
<td>−0.18 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.9924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell</td>
<td>−0.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.5254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T lymphocyte (untreated vs. norepinephrine)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.5547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.6143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.8522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺ T cell</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell</td>
<td>−0.76 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.3083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B lymphocyte (untreated vs. EBNA-2⁺)</td>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.9240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.1130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.6818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell</td>
<td>−0.05 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺ T cell</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.9960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell</td>
<td>1.31 ± 2.19</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive diagnosticity indicates that differentially expressed genes originate predominately from the analyzed cell type. Negative values are uninformative, implying that transcripts originate from other cell types or from the analyzed cell type as well as other cell types. TOA, Transcript Origin Analysis.

*Positive values indicate that differentially expressed genes originate from the indicated cell type. Negative values are uninformative (transcripts are not distinctive to target cell type or are distinctive to other cells).

†Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 2.
after gene expression data were adjusted for variations in the abundance of those cell type-defining marker transcripts using analysis of covariance [i.e., plasmacytoid dendritic cell and monocyte-derived transcripts remained overrepresented, both \( r(2,289) > 2.67, P < 0.0077, d > 0.05 \); genes predominately expressed by other cell types showed no differential contribution, all \( r(2,289) < 1.58, P > 0.1169, d < 0.05 \)].

To verify discovery study results, we carried out parallel transcript origin analyses of circulating leukocyte gene expression profiles collected 4 y later from all 93 study participants for whom blood samples were available. Chronically lonely individuals were identified by scores in the top quartile of the UCLA Loneliness Scale distribution in 3 y or more of the study’s first 5 y (25 individuals, or 26% of the sample), and all analyses controlled for age; gender; race/ethnicity; marital status; (log) household income; body mass index (BMI); and the relative percentage of granulocytes, monocytes, and lymphocytes in the assayed leukocyte sample. Microarray transcriptional profiling identified 98 genes showing a 15% difference in average expression in high-lonely individuals relative to the remainder of the sample [i.e., exceeding the 5% false discovery rate (FDR) reliability threshold; 25 up-regulated and 73 down-regulated, listed in Table S1]. Twenty-two (22.4%) of those transcripts were also identified as being differentially expressed in the discovery sample (significantly greater than the <0.01% concordance expected by chance; binomial \( P < 10^{-10} \), annotated in Table S1).

Up-regulated transcripts included genes involved in leukocyte activation and inflammation (CCL11, EGR1, EGR2, FOSB, HLA-DR, and PTGS2/COX2) and cellular responses to oxidative stress (GSTM1 and GSTM2). Down-regulated genes were associated with Type I IFN innate antiviral responses (IFIT1, IFIT4, IFI44L, IFI6, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, ISG15, and MX1) and innate antimicrobial responses (ORM1 and RNASE3). Transcript origin analyses again found differentially expressed genes to derive predominately from plasmacytoid dendritic cells, monocytes, and B lymphocytes (Fig. 1B). Up-regulated transcripts derived predominately from dendritic cells \((t(26) = 1.88, P = 0.0362, d = 0.36)\), whereas down-regulated transcripts were associated with B lymphocytes \((t(99) = 2.40, P = 0.0091, d = 0.24)\), monocytes \([t(99) = 2.72, P = 0.008, d = 0.27]\), and dendritic cells \([t(99) = 1.85, P = 0.0336, d = 0.19]\).

Multivariate analyses comparing the effects of objective vs. subjective social isolation also confirmed discovery study results, with those two variables again showing modest correlation \([r(91) = 0.31, P = 0.0024]\) and subjective isolation associating with significantly more differentially expressed genes than objective isolation \([S1 vs. 38 transcripts; \(X^2(1) = 15.59, P < 0.0001, \text{OR} = 2.14\)]). Transcripts distinctively associated with objective social isolation derived predominately from B lymphocytes \([t(40) = 4.46, P < 0.0001, d = 0.69]\), with no other cell type contributing significantly \([all \ t(40) < 1.57, P > 0.0618, d = 0.25] \). Transcripts associated with subjective social isolation, net of objective social isolation, are detailed in Table S1.
isolation, derived from monocytes \( r(112) = 3.91, P < 0.0001, d = 0.37 \), dendritic cells \( r(112) = 2.83, P = 0.0028, d = 0.28 \), and, for down-regulated transcripts, B lymphocytes \( r(112) = 1.88, P = 0.0312, d = 0.13 \).

A final validation analysis also confirmed that a standardized composite of the top 10 monocyte-diagnostic transcripts correlated significantly with the measured fraction of monocytes in the assayed leukocyte pool \( r(85) = 0.61, P < 0.0001 \), and the sum of composite scores for CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, NK cells, and B cells correlated significantly with the measured fraction of lymphocytes \( r(85) = 0.47, P < 0.0001 \).

**Discussion**

These analyses identify plasmacytoid dendritic cells and monocytes as the key cellular mediators of the human immune system’s transcriptional response to loneliness (4). Those two myeloid lineage antigen-presenting cells (APCs) contributed disproportionately to the set of transcripts differentially expressed in the circulating leukocytes of chronically lonely individuals, whereas genes expressed by other cell types showed little differential expression as a function of loneliness. Consistent with the hypothesis that CNS-mediated differences in neural or endocrine signaling are responsible for such effects (1–3, 11), differential expression of monocyte- and dendritic cell-derived transcripts was strongly associated with the subjective experience of social isolation but showed no significant relationship to objective social network size.

Analyses also showed that the observed differences in APC gene expression profiles do not stem from differences in the prevalence of those cell types within the circulating leukocyte pool but, instead, reflect per-cell changes in the expression of inducible genes that are flexibly expressed depending on environmental conditions (1, 2, 4). Thus, among all the cell types within the circulating leukocyte pool, plasmacytoid dendritic cells and monocytes appear to show a unique degree of transcriptional sensitivity to the experienced social environment.

Transcript origin analyses also indicated that some of the transcriptional down-regulation associated with loneliness originates in B lymphocytes. That finding is consistent with previous data linking loneliness to decreased expression of genes involved in antibody production (which occurs in B-lymphocyte lineage cells) (15). Interestingly, reduced B-cell gene expression was the only cell-specific transcriptional dynamic linked to objective social network size, suggesting that this specific component of loneliness-related transcriptional inhibition might potentially stem from socially mediated differences in pathogen exposure (20). Thus, the overall leukocyte transcriptional response to loneliness may involve multiple cellular components that are activated through distinct biological pathways [e.g., APCs sensitive to threat-related neuroendocrine dynamics associated with subjective loneliness (21) and B-lymphocyte dynamics stemming from social-behavioral differences (20)].

Based on the identification of myeloid APCs as our most socially sensitive leukocytes, what can we infer about the potential consequences of experienced social isolation for human immune function? Both of these cell types mediate “first line of defense” innate immune responses (22, 23) and derive from the phylogenetically ancient myeloid cell lineage that is developmentally distinct from the lymphoid lineage cells that showed minimal transcriptional sensitivity to loneliness (i.e., NK cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B lymphocytes) (15). APCs produce the immediate inflammatory response to tissue damage that initiates immune responses (15, 23). However, long-term or recurrent inflammation also promotes the chronic diseases that dominate “modern mortality,” including atherosclerosis in cardiovascular disease (24, 25), cancer initiation and metastasis (26, 27), and neurodegeneration (10). The APC transcriptional activation observed here is consistent with previous data showing increased inflammatory gene expression in lonely individuals (4) and in people confronting a diverse array of other social adversities (1–3), suggesting a molecular basis for epidemiological links between social adversity and inflammation-mediated heart disease (11, 28). Dendritic cells and monocytes also deploy genetically pre-programmed innate immune responses against evolutionarily conserved pathogens, such as viruses (15, 23). Social stress is known to suppress innate antiviral responses via neural inhibition of IFN gene transcription (4, 29–31). Such dynamics comprise a significant part of the APC transcriptional repression observed here (particularly in plasmacytoid dendritic cells, which are the primary IFN-producing leukocytes) (23), providing a cellular context for relationships between social adversity and viral infection (11, 28, 31, 32). As sentinels for damaged tissue and invading pathogens, APCs have also evolved a central role in the activation and guidance of T and B lymphocytes as they mount more complex adaptive immune responses (15, 23). Bidirectional dendritic cell regulation by social adversity is consistent with previous neural manipulation studies (33) showing a redirection of T lymphocytes away from “T-helper 1” (Th1) responses effective against viruses and other intracellular pathogens and toward Th2 responses targeting extracellular pathogens, such as bacteria (11, 15, 23). Monocytes and dendritic cells also orchestrate the long-term trophic development, maintenance, and repair of healthy tissue and incidentally contribute to cancer development and metastasis in the process (26, 27). Thus, the present results may also provide a cellular context for epidemiological relationships between the social circumstances of patients who have cancer and the risk for disease recurrence or progression (24). Based on the known functions of monocytes and dendritic cells, the social transcriptional transduction pathways analyzed here (1) appear to target the leukocyte subsets that are the most evolutionarily ancient, most immediately responsive to tissue damage and invading pathogens, and most strategically positioned to direct the adaptive immune responses that emerge later in both our collective evolutionary history and our individual physiological responses to pathogens.

Given those biological implications, what might be the underlying teleological purpose for socially sensitive APCs? Given that infection is historically a threat to human survival and reproduction (34), the immune system would shift its basal transcriptional stance away from antiviral responses and toward innate antibacterial and Th2-adaptive immune responses (as observed for lonely individuals). In contrast, many bacteria and other extracellular pathogens can survive for long periods in the external environment and easily transmit across species boundaries. Infections with those agents are greatly facilitated by wounding and other types of tissue damage associated with both general and acute social conditions (e.g., friendship, family, mate relationships). Under those circumstances, a forward-looking immune system would shift its basal transcriptional stance toward innate antiviral and Th1 immune responses to counter the increased threat of viral infection (i.e., the transcriptional profile observed in nonlonely individuals). In contrast, many bacteria and other extracellular pathogens can survive for long periods in the external environment and easily transmit across species boundaries. Infections with those agents are greatly facilitated by wounding and other types of tissue damage associated with both general threat (e.g., predation injury, to which socially isolated individuals are particularly vulnerable) (41) and hostile social interactions with conspecifics (e.g., social conflict, rejection). Under those adverse social circumstances, the likelihood of viral infection is reduced, the likelihood of bacterial infection increases, and a forward-looking immune system would shift its basal transcriptional stance away from antiviral responses and toward innate antibacterial and Th2-adaptive immune responses (as observed for lonely individuals). The association of social conditions with differential pathogen exposure is not perfect, of course, but even a moderate degree of correlation would provide selective pressure for the evolution of social signal transduction pathways that allow the immune system to forecast changes in the risk for socially mediated microbial threats (37, 39). As the key early decision makers in...
the immune response, dendritic cells and monocytes would be the optimal cellular targets for sociobiological redirection of the immune system’s basal defensive positions. Transcriptional regulation of APCs may thus constitute an immunological form of vigilance against external social threats in the same sense as do physiological triggers of fight-or-flight stress responses in other organ systems (38, 39).

Limitations of this analysis include the correlational nature of relationships between loneliness and gene expression, which could reflect inflammatory influences on social experience (42, 43) in addition to causal effects of social adversity on gene expression (27, 31, 44, 45). Future studies will need to confirm the present bioinformatic attributions of cell-specific transcriptional dynamics using physically isolated monocytes and dendritic cells. Nevertheless, the pattern of differential gene expression observed here is consistent with that emerging from other analyses of social adversity (5, 7, 46, 47), including those using isolated monocytes (5). Finally, the teleological basis and health significance of these findings remain to be validated in future studies. Despite these limitations, this study’s bioinformatic dissection of leukocyte gene expression profiles into their constituent cellular components deepens our insight into the origins and functional significance of the human immune system’s transcriptional response to social deprivation. Identification of APCs as the primary targets of those dynamics provides both an evolutionary framework and a defined cellular compass for future research on the interplay between social conditions and the molecular architecture of human health.

Methods

Transcript Origin Analysis. To identify the cellular source of differentially expressed genes in genome-wide transcriptional profiles, we defined a cell type diagnosticity score, \( S_{NC} \), quantifying the extent to which each individual gene transcript (indexed \( g \) = 1 to \( G \), \( g \epsilon \{22,283\} \)) human gene transcripts assayed by the Affymetrix U133A microarray) is predominately expressed by each major leukocyte cell type (indexed \( c = 1 \) to \( C \), \( c \epsilon \{\text{monocyte, plasma-cytoid dendritic cell, CD4+ T cell, CD8+ T cell, B cell, NK cell}\} \)). Reference data on basal expression of all named human genes in distinct leukocyte subsets come from the publicly available Human Gene Atlas (Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) series GSE15133; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gene/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE15133) (18). \( S_{NC} \) quantifies the average level of gene g's expression in cell type c denoted \( \bar{x}_{c} \). As a Z-score computed relative to the mean and SD of the same gene's average level of expression across all other cell types \( [\text{excluding cell type c (i.e., } i = 1 \text{ to } C, i \neq c)] \):

\[
S_{NC} = \frac{\bar{X}_c - \text{mean}_{nc}(\bar{X}_g)}{\text{sd}_{nc}(\bar{X}_g)},
\]

where \( mean \) and \( sd \) represent the mean and SD computed over the indexed cell types (48). The target cell type c is excluded from the computation of the reference mean and SD because in cases in which gene g is predominately expressed in a single cell type (i.e., is highly diagnostic), inclusion of that cell type in the reference distribution would introduce an extreme positive outlier that spuriously inflates both the reference mean and SD (48). To detect cell type-diagnostic transcripts most efficiently, this score focuses on differences in the mean expression level across cell types and intentionally excludes information about variation in expression within cell types (49).

Given any arbitrary set of differentially expressed genes, the mean diagnosticity score for those genes can be computed for each potential originating cell type and tested for statistically significant elevation above the population average score for that cell type across all human genes (e.g., using a single-sample t test) (48). This accommodates the fact that population average diagnostic scores differ across sample types (49) and the fact that the total set of assayed genes approximates the entire human genome (i.e., the population mean and variance of diagnosticity scores are essentially known and need not be estimated from the much smaller and possibly unrepresentative subset of differentially expressed genes). Sample average diagnosticity scores provide a unipolar measure of the extent to which the sample gene set is uniquely characteristic of a given cell type, with negative values indicating nondiagnosticity (i.e., not predominately expressed by that cell type alone). Negative scores are nonprobative, and statistical tests thus focus on the one-tailed statistical significance of high positive scores (i.e., the extent to which the observed transcripts are distinctly expressed by a given cell type). Negative diagnosticity scores do not provide information about the cellular origin of down-regulated genes. The cellular origin of down-regulated transcripts is identified by significant positive diagnosticity scores computed over the set of down-regulated genes.

Validation Studies. Transcript origin analysis was tested for empirical accuracy in five independent transcriptional profiling datasets involving isolated human leukocyte subsets. CD14+ monocytes were assessed for differential gene expression following stimulation with LPS + IFN-γ or L-94 using Affymetrix U133A high-density oligonucleotide arrays (GEO accession no. GSE50999) (50). BDCA4+ plasmacytoid dendritic cells were surveyed for differential gene expression relative to monocytes using Affymetrix U133A high-density oligonucleotide arrays (GEO accession no. GSE11943) (51). CD16+CD56+ NK cells were cultured in medium alone or stimulated with IL-2+IL-15 before transcriptional profiling by Amersham CodeLink Human 20K 1 spotted cDNA arrays (GEO accession no. GSE1511) (52). CD3+ T lymphocytes activated with antibodies to CD3+CD28 were exposed to nor-epinephrine or vehicle before transcriptional profiling by Affymetrix HuGene FL high-density oligonucleotide arrays (53). B-lymphocyte cell lines were subject to gene expression profiling by Affymetrix U133A 2.0 high-density oligonucleotide arrays following culture in the absence or presence of the viral transcription factor Epstein–Barr nuclear antigen 2 (GEO accession no. GSE4525) (54). Across all studies, differential gene expression thresholds were optimized to maintain FDRs <10% (55). Expression values were floored at 100 to suppress spurious fold-change estimates (53). In each dataset, diagnosticity scores were computed for each cell type and the predicted cellular origin was taken as the cell type showing the highest degree of statistical significance (lowest \( P \) value). Reliability of transcript diagnosticity scores was assessed by split-half correlations computed on random partitions of samples in each dataset.

Discovery Studies. Characteristics of the study sample and measurement methodology have been reported previously (4). Briefly, genome-wide transcriptional profiling was carried out in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated by standard Ficoll density gradient centrifugation of 10 mL of whole blood from 14 participants in the Chicago Health, Aging, and Social Relations Study (CHASRS), 6 of whom had consistently scored in the top 15% of UCLA Loneliness Scale scores for the previous 4 y (chronically lonely) and 8 age-, gender-, and ethnicity-matched individuals who consistently scored in the bottom 15% (nonlonely). Objective social contact was measured by the SNI (19). Gene expression profiling was carried out on total RNA from PBMCs using Affymetrix U133A high-density oligonucleotide arrays in the UCLA DNA Microarray Core. Low-level transcript abundance was quantified by Robust Multiarray Averaging (57), and differentially expressed transcripts were identified by a ≥30% difference in mean expression level in samples from low- versus chronically lonely individuals (corresponding to a 10% FDR), as estimated in a general linear model analysis of log2-transformed expression data (55). Among the total 22,283 mRNA transcripts analyzed, 78 were up-regulated in chronically lonely individuals compared with the remainder of the sample.

Confirmation Studies. Genome-wide transcriptional profiles were obtained on PBMC samples from all 93 CHASRS participants who provided leukocyte specimens in study year 8. Chronic loneliness was identified by a UCLA Loneliness Scale score ≥41 (top 25%) in 3 y or more of the study’s first 5 y, and objective social isolation was measured by average SNI score over the same period. Gene expression profiling was carried out on total RNA from PBMCs using Affymetrix U133A high-density oligonucleotide arrays in the UCLA DNA Microarray Core. Low-level transcript abundance was quantified by Robust Multiarray Averaging (57), and differentially expressed genes were identified by a ≥15% difference in average expression level in samples from high-lonely individuals compared with the remainder of the sample (corresponding to a 5% FDR), as estimated by a general linear model analysis of log2-transformed expression values controlling for age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, (log) household income; BMI; the fractional composition of granulocytes, monocytes, and lymphocytes within the assayed leukocyte pool (complete blood cell count performed at the University of Chicago Medical Center Clinical Laboratories); and, where indicated, (standardized) SNI scores. Ancillary analyses showed no significant difference in prevalence of smoking, alcohol consumption, or drug use in chronically lonely individuals compared with the remainder of the sample. Data are deposited as GEO accession no. GSE25837.
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