

# Supermarket revolution in Asia and emerging development strategies to include small farmers

Thomas Reardon<sup>a,b,1</sup>, C. Peter Timmer<sup>c</sup>, and Bart Minten<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics and <sup>b</sup>International Food Policy Research Institute/Michigan State University Joint Program on Markets in Asia, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824; <sup>c</sup>Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; and <sup>d</sup>International Food Policy Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi 110012, India

Edited by Prabhu Pingali, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA, and approved October 12, 2010 (received for review March 10, 2010)

**A “supermarket revolution” has occurred in developing countries in the past 2 decades. We focus on three specific issues that reflect the impact of this revolution, particularly in Asia: continuity in transformation, innovation in transformation, and unique development strategies. First, the record shows that the rapid growth observed in the early 2000s in China, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand has continued, and the “newcomers”—India and Vietnam—have grown even faster. Although foreign direct investment has been important, the roles of domestic conglomerates and even state investment have been significant and unique. Second, Asia’s supermarket revolution has exhibited unique pathways of retail diffusion and procurement system change. There has been “precocious” penetration of rural towns by rural supermarkets and rural business hubs, emergence of penetration of fresh produce retail that took much longer to initiate in other regions, and emergence of Asian retail developing-country multinational chains. In procurement, a symbiosis between modern retail and the emerging and consolidating modern food processing and logistics sectors has arisen. Third, several approaches are being tried to link small farmers to supermarkets. Some are unique to Asia, for example assembling into a “hub” or “platform” or “park” the various companies and services that link farmers to modern markets. Other approaches relatively new to Asia are found elsewhere, especially in Latin America, including “bringing modern markets to farmers” by establishing collection centers and multipronged collection cum service provision arrangements, and forming market cooperatives and farmer companies to help small farmers access supermarkets.**

**A** “supermarket revolution” in developing countries started in the early 1990s and has continued to the present (1). This revolution involves the rapid increase of modern retail shares in food retailing at the expense of traditional shops and wet-markets. In broad strokes, the supermarket revolution entails the following.

First, the diffusion of modern food retail rolled out in three waves. The first-wave countries (in Latin America, Central Europe, and South Africa) tended to go from a small share (*ca.* 5–10%) of modern food retail in overall food retail in the early 1990s to some 50% or more by the mid-2000s. The second wave, in the mid- to late 1990s, was in Southeast Asia (outside transition countries like Vietnam), Central America, and Mexico. The second-wave countries in Asia started later and reached a range of some 30–50% share by the mid-2000s. The third wave, in the late 1990s and 2000s, has been in China, Vietnam, India, and Russia. In Africa outside South Africa, mainly in eastern/southern Africa, the supermarket revolution is just starting in some countries.

Second, the diffusion rolled out from large cities to small cities, from upper to middle to poorer classes, from processed foods to semiprocessed foods to fresh produce, and from domestic local chains only to a multinationalized, concentrated sector.

Third, initially, procurement by modern retail was only from the spot wholesale markets, and few standards were used. Gradually, sourcing became increasingly direct from preferred suppliers, consisting of dedicated wholesalers (DWs), food companies, cooperatives, or farmers. Finally, distribution centers and national and regional networks (involving intraregional trade within a

chain) were developed, using private standards. These changes rolled out from multinational and large domestic chains eventually to smaller chains. They also rolled out from processed foods and semiprocessed foods to their recent emergence in fresh produce.

We focus on three issues regarding the supermarket revolution, especially in Asia.

The first issue is *continuity in transformation*. Did Asian countries observed in the early/mid-2000s entering the third wave continue in it into the second half of the decade? The story of supermarket diffusion in Asia across countries was based mainly on data from 2005 and before (e.g., refs. 2–4). Many observers thought it unlikely that such a revolution would continue in Asia because of ingrained food systems and cultures not being conducive to supermarket shopping.

The second issue is *innovation in transformation*. Has Asia’s supermarket revolution exhibited novel pathways of retail diffusion and procurement system change? The Asian conditions of the supermarket revolution are themselves unique in several ways. Although it is not unique in its traditional food retail system (with the same tradition of small shops, wet-markets, and hawkers shared in much of the world), Asia’s economic growth and urbanization rates are much higher, and the persistence of state presence in the economy as an actor is much larger.

At the same time, the rapid diffusion of modern retail in Asia implies the need for rapid procurement system growth and modernization. However, the procurement system needs to evolve in a system in which traditional wholesale markets, relative lack of domestic agribusiness, high share of small farmers (relative to the overall economic growth and level of development), and sometimes (especially in South Asia) poor infrastructure are the rule.

The third issue is *innovation in development strategy*. Has Asia evolved novel development strategies to link its ubiquitous small farmers to dynamic markets, in particular those created by the supermarket revolution? Can these strategies be scaled up to supply retail chains while spurring development of small-holder farms in Asia and in other parts of the developing world?

## Continuity in Transformation

We use indicators of modern retail sales growth to address the first issue. Table 1 presents data for 2001, 2005, and 2009 from Planet Retail, one of the leading retail data services in the world, tracking 7,000 retail companies in 211 countries. It tracks in each country the leading retailers at a national level. The numbers are thus underestimates of the overall modern retail sector because in each country there are numerous regional and local chains and independent modern retailers that are not tracked. Our working hypothesis is that the leading chains present a picture of the general trends in the sector in each country.

Author contributions: T.R. designed research; T.R. performed research; T.R. analyzed data; and T.R., C.P.T., and B.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: reardon@msu.edu.

**Table 1. Sales of leading modern retail chains that sell food, and GDP growth, over selected Asian countries, over 8 y (2001–2009), in billions of USD**

Wave	2001 sales	2005 sales	2001–2005 annual compound growth (%)	2009 sales	2005–2009 annual compound growth (%)	2001–2009 annual compound sales growth rate (%)	Real GDP compound growth rate 2000–2008 (%)	No. of leading chains followed
<b>First wave</b>								
South Korea	19.1	38.5	19.2	41.7	2.0	10.3	4.5	18
Taiwan	7.1	13.9	18.3	17.6	6.1	12.0	NA	17
<b>Second wave</b>								
Indonesia	1.8	4.0	22.1	7.3	16.2	19.1	5.2	14
Malaysia	2.0	3.6	15.8	7.1	18.5	17.2	5.5	16
Philippines	1.9	3.5	16.5	6.8	18.1	17.3	5.1	13
Thailand	5.4	10.9	19.2	17.7	12.9	16.0	5.2	21
<b>Third wave</b>								
China	13.1	40.2	32.4	91.5	22.8	27.5	10.4	47
India	0.2	0.9	45.6	5.1	54.3	49.9	7.5	33
Vietnam	0.1	0.7	62.7	2.0	30.0	45.4	7.7	16

Source: Authors' analysis of raw data in [www.Planetretail.net](http://www.Planetretail.net). The chains are all of the chains followed by Planet Retail per country, that sell at least some food; the service follows the lead chains at mainly the national level but not the local and regional chains, so the total of 198 billion in 2009 for example is an underestimate of the overall food-selling modern retail chains' sales in the countries. Because most of the retail sectors are still fragmented, this may be a significant underestimate. There are no official data with which to compare. In-country estimates, for example by chain store associations, tend to show higher figures.

We selected from their set 195 chains over nine countries: South Korea and Taiwan representing the “first wave,” Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, and Thailand representing the “second wave,” and China, India, and Vietnam representing the “third wave.” The 195 chains include both chains specific to a country and multinational chains (like Dairy Farm based in Hong Kong, or Tesco based in the United Kingdom). We selected only chains selling food. We used all such chains followed by Planet Retail from 2000 to the present. Of the 195 chains followed, 53 were not yet started in 2001 (and most of those are in third-wave countries, as expected); 25 of 195 that started the decade had been acquired or were bankrupt by decade's end (these are mainly in the first- and second-wave countries, as expected).

The main results are as follows. First, over the 8 y, there was fourfold growth in the total “banner” (all products) sales of the chains: from 50 billion US dollars (USD) in 2001 to 200 billion USD. The rates of growth vary over the “waves” as expected: the East Asian first-wave countries show slower modern-retail sales growth rates (a compound growth rate of 11.2% over the 8 y), the second wave in the middle (a compound growth rate of 17.9% annually), and the third wave the highest (40.9% compound growth rate), because the most recent starters advanced fastest, and the earliest were relatively saturated. There was variation over third-wave countries (with a sevenfold growth in sales over the 8 y for China, 20-fold in Vietnam, and 25-fold in India). The sales growth, particularly in India and Vietnam, rose in rapid crescendo from mid-decade. For India, 22 of 33 chains followed had not yet started in 2001; 17 of those 22 had still not started in 2005. Our calculations from Planet Retail data show that 75% of modern retail sales in India arose in chains formed only in the past 3 y. In Delhi, Minten et al. (5) show that 85% of the stores started in the past 2 y.

The sales growth per country is composed of growth in the number of chains (as a chain “graduates” to visible national status it was added by Planet Retail, the visible tip of the iceberg of the growing set of chains in the country), plus growth of the individual chains. The latter can be very fast among individual chains. For example, the sales of the top five chains in China grew more than 10-fold during those 8 y; the top chain in India had sales growth of 140-fold in those 8 y and fivefold over just the past 4 y.

Second, although gross domestic products (GDPs) in Asia grew fast, and those in the third-wave countries the fastest in the world, the rates of growth of the sales of the chains were much

faster, as shown in Table 1. Thus, modern retail continues to gain share in overall retail.

### Innovation in Transformation

The supermarket revolution in Asia has been driven by the same factors as in other regions: on the demand side by income growth and urbanization, and on the supply side by foreign direct investment (FDI), format diversification to meet consumer segment needs, competitive domestic investments, and procurement system modernization to drive down costs. However, several things were different in Asia. Especially for third-wave countries—China, India, and Vietnam—the trends have been more intense and more rapid. The third-wave countries in Asia also have active state involvement in economic development. In China and Vietnam, and to lesser extent India, state investment in modern retail provided a major initial fillip to the revolution.

Although supermarkets in other regions eventually moved from the initial urban base to rural markets and from the initial offering of mainly processed foods and staples into fresh produce, in Asia, especially in the third-wave countries, these transitions have been accelerated. Modern retail, either in modern-private or state variants, has adapted to formats to penetrate rural areas, sometimes (as in India) combining with services for farmers. Relative to the United States and Latin America, Asian supermarkets have already started to penetrate fresh produce markets, perhaps because of the special importance this has for Asian consumers.

The combination of rapid retail transformation and supply-side constraints requires combining modernization of procurement systems in ways done in other regions (distribution centers and networks, preferred supplier systems, use of dedicated wholesalers, and private standards) and adaptation to traditional supply chains, involving development of relations with wholesale markets. Beyond this dual approach is the gradual introduction of innovative procurement system and supply chain modernization “solutions” by agribusiness companies, sometimes in company with government and donors, such as with rural business platforms and hubs.

**Nature and Diffusion of Modern Retail in Asia.** Supermarkets spread quickly in the 1990s in Latin America and Central Europe and the first-wave countries of East Asia—much faster than the slow march of modern retail diffusion in the United States and Western Europe since the 1920s/1930s—but not close to how quickly

modern retail has spread in Asia, especially in the third-wave countries, in the 2000s. The speed, continuity, and probable sustained development into the future are driven by several factors.

The first are the basic enabling demand-side conditions fueling modern retail diffusion, which typically is a function of urbanization, rising incomes, and women increasingly working outside the home. The very rapid income growth rates and the emergence of large middle classes in Asia are well known. The urbanization rates are no less striking: for example, 40% of the US population was in cities in 1900 and 75% 9 decades later, by 1990. That same shift occurred in South Korea in the 2 decades up to 1990 (6). The rapid development of Tier II, III, and IV cities, for example in India, has also been propitious for the spread of modern retail beyond the megalopoli (7).

Enabling supply-side conditions also fuel the supermarket revolution; some of these are shared between other regions and Asia, and some are unique in form and/or extent in Asia. The great importance of retail FDI in spurring the supermarket revolution holds in Asia as in other regions. The policy process of liberalization of retail FDI in the 1990s and 2000s that came with structural adjustment programs, with multilateral trade agreements, and for some, with full World Trade Organization accession (such as for China in 2004 and Vietnam in 2009) significantly assisted this role. Relying on the background raw data from Planet Retail, we calculate that for China, Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Taiwan, on average approximately three of the top six chains are foreign. Foreign firms are also important in the overall set, but because the chains are the leading chains, this may be an overestimate of their shares in the overall sectors.

However, even this shared characteristic of the importance of FDI seems to be more striking in Asia. Saturation and intense competition of the Western Europe and US markets, and nearing saturation in the earlier retail growth markets of the first wave, has focused global retailer attention on Asia as “the last frontier” and other gold-rush terms. Kearney’s (8) “Global Retail Development Index” ranking 30 developing countries in terms of the overall opportunity for retail FDI ranked China number 1, India 3, Vietnam 14, Indonesia 16, Malaysia 17, and the Philippines 22 in 2010. Compare these ranking with other BRIC (Brazil, Russia, India, and China) countries: Brazil ranks 5 and Russia 10.

On the other hand, domestic retail investment has also proved to be a powerful force in Asia.

A key source of domestic investment has emerged from the economic boom itself and the large conglomerates linked with it. In India and the Philippines, laws restrict retail FDI, leading to predictions in India that the emerging retail revolution would be slowed or stopped. However, the following half decade showed an enormous increase in modern retail sales. In 2009 only 18% of sales of modern retail and “cash and carry” (modern wholesale) (of the set in Table 1 followed by Planet Retail) were by foreign firms (our calculation from Planet Retail data). By contrast, approximately half of the sales of Indian modern retail are from retail divisions of huge conglomerates that are rich in investable funds from 2 decades of very rapid growth in telecommunications, construction, oil, information technology, and other boom sectors (7). An important share of the retail boom in the second half of the 2000s was the turning to retail of giants like Reliance, RPG, Bharti, and Tata (a set of four conglomerates with well in excess of 100 billion USD of sales); although the latter two have taken foreign partners (Walmart and Tesco) as “back-end” partners allowed by current regulations, the rapid rise was driven by domestic investment and could continue so for years (7). Even where retail FDI is not constrained, domestic conglomerates have played a key role: Lotte and Emart (with 21 billion USD in just retail sales between them) in South Korea have become regional multinationals with investments in China, Vietnam, and Indonesia. Hong Kong retail multinational chains (Dairy Farm and China Resources) are number 1 in China and Malaysia and number 4 in Indonesia and present in India as an early entrant.

A second and unique source of domestic modern retail investment is by governments. Although chains from the socialist period have been mainly privatized in Eastern Europe, in Asia they have either persisted or have been proactively used to position domestic retail in competition with private domestic and foreign retail.\* In Vietnam, the number 2 chain is the Saigon Cooperative chain [a state-owned enterprise (SOE)], the number 6 is Fivimart (SOE); in China, the number 2 (Lianhua with 10 billion USD of sales) is still partially an SOE. Outside these countries the SOE chain is largely absent (apart from the India case noted). Government investment and proactive policies, such as “Nonggaichao” (converting wet-markets to supermarkets in China), the recent “rural supermarkets” program in China (9), tax exoneration for supermarkets in South Korea, and wet-market regulation, demonstrate a tendency by a number of governments (in China, Taiwan, South Korea, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Vietnam) to view supermarkets as tools of modernization. Even where there have been regulations to slow growth (such as in Thailand, Indonesia, and Malaysia) they have been vacillating, partially implemented, and side-stepped by local interactions and coopting of traditional retail, or format diversification, or both.

**Early Penetration of Rural and Fresh Produce Markets.** A series of innovations and precocities are largely unique to Asia. Although Asia echoes other regions in the spread of supermarkets to secondary and tertiary cities and towns and spread of modern retail from the middle classes to the working poor, there has also been a surprising development of rural supermarkets. Penetration of rural towns by modern retail came late in most countries outside Asia. By contrast, in India there are chains of “rural business hubs” that are combinations of small supermarkets and input stores with joint venture banks and even health units in rural areas, such as Choupal Saagar or Hariyali Kisaan Bazaar. The market share of these new rural business hubs is still modest, but store numbers are increasing rapidly, such as one sees in the rapid growth of Hariyali outlets in the past several years. These forays seem to be driven by increasing rural incomes, the dearth of services, and the recognition that modern retail brings cheaper staple foodstuffs and nonfood goods (10–12).

There has also been a surprising and precocious penetration of fresh foods retail. Although this is sometimes thought in Asia to be “slow” because lagged and recent, in fact it is occurring earlier and faster than in other regions (including in the United States). Part of this is because of the importance of fresh produce in Asia, partly because there has been a format diversification into small neighborhood stores “early” (to link to frequent shopping habits), and partly it has been linked to efforts to modernize procurement systems early (discussed below) to drive down produce costs.

This precocity can be seen in its most surprising form in India, where in a few years the share of fresh produce in leading chains’ store sales has risen to 10–15% (5), compared with that occurring only after some 15–20 y in Mexico (a country with a similar share of fresh produce in the diet as in India) and some 40 y in the United States (where supermarkets long did not “touch” fresh produce, given the traditional habits of shopping daily at wet-markets and small shops that were thought insuperable). However, it is also seen in China, where Goldman and Vanhoner<sup>†</sup> found in a large survey in urban areas that modern retailers already have a retail market share of 37% in fruit and 22% in vegetables (compared with 79% in processed goods or

\*India has state retail and cooperative retail forms helped by the state, such as the continued important presence of Fair Price Shops in state retail and the cooperative-modern retail chains, such as Mother Dairy (7).

<sup>†</sup>Goldman A, Vanhoner W (2006) The food retail system in China: Strategic dilemmas and lessons for retail internationalization/modernization. Paper presented at the Globalizing Retail Conference, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom.

46% in meat). Compare that with the more advanced case of Hong Kong, where supermarkets have a 59% share in fruit retail and 55% in vegetables (similar to Brazil), compared with 52% in meat (13) and a majority of staples and processed foods. Additionally, Ho (14) showed that supermarkets had only a tiny share of the rice market in Hong Kong in the 1970s and grew to dominate it nearly completely by the 2000s.

In Vietnam and Thailand, Moustier et al. (15) and Gorton et al.<sup>‡</sup> show that food safety concerns of consumers, sensitized by recent food crises, are accelerating a turn to produce and poultry from supermarkets earlier than in other regions. In Vietnam, an “early supermarket-penetration stage” country, Mergenthaler et al. (16) found that both income and price elasticities of fresh fruit and vegetable expenditure from supermarkets were substantially higher than those for overall fruit and vegetable expenditures from traditional retailers. They attribute this partly to quality differentials between supermarkets and traditional retailers and partly to food safety concerns of Vietnamese consumers.

**Food Procurement System Modernization.** The following discussion focuses on impacts that modern retail has on farmers and processors.<sup>§</sup> The modern retail diffusion described above, whether fast and/or along unique pathways, would not necessarily imply impacts on farmers different from traditional retail if modern retailers use the same procurement systems traditional retailers do, the wholesale markets. However, the evidence points to modern retailers increasingly using modernized procurement systems, at different speeds and pathways over the two product categories: (i) processed/staples, such as grains, edible oils, and packaged foods, and semiprocessed products, such as meat and dairy; and (ii) fresh products. The implications for small food processing/manufacturing enterprises and farmers in the longer run are also significant.

**Procurement modernization in processed and semiprocessed products and staples.** This category often forms two thirds of food consumed in Asia and sold by modern retailers. Procurement modernization in this category seems to be happening early and rapidly in Asia, as it has elsewhere. There are several reasons for this.

The emerging evidence points to modern retailers sourcing processed foods, staples, and dairy from medium and large companies in the region. The latter have themselves emerged with the rise, formalization, and consolidation (abetted by economies of scale) of the processing sector in many Asian countries in the 1980s and 1990s (more or less along the lines of the waves in retail change). These processes were spurred by FDI by global and regional multinational chains and domestic (private and public sector) investment in agro-processing in the 1980s and 1990s (18). The multinational food processors’ presence spurred competitive investment and brand-building by national companies [for China see Wei and Cacho (19); liberalization led to rapid capital-deepening in the food processing sector, such as in India (20)]. A number of large domestic companies emerged, and several became regional multinational companies, such as CP of Thailand, San Miguel of the Philippines, and Wilmar of Malaysia. Even in latecomers to food processing liberalization, such as India, which “deserved” (from small enterprises) food processing only in 1998, there has been rapid consolidation and growth in the formal

sector (20). It is likely this trend will continue because it is abetted not just by intensive investment, domestic and foreign, but also by regulations such as the emerging food safety regulations that will be difficult for many small enterprises to meet. Additionally, consumer sensitivity to food safety has been found to impel consumers to shift from wet-markets to supermarkets in, for example, Thailand (17).

As in other regions, a “symbiosis” is emerging between medium/large food processing firms and supermarket chains, which seems to lead to “mutually reinforcing dual consolidation.”<sup>¶</sup> Retailers prefer medium/large processors because of lower product and transaction costs, ability to provide diversity of product types in a “one-stop shop,” attractive packaging, formality of invoicing needed for value-added tax accounting and product liability, and brand development that attracts Asian consumers. Moreover, large processors are increasingly modernizing their own distribution systems and have distribution centers and their own logistics, or they promote and use the emerging modern logistics sector. For examples of the latter in India, see ref. 7.

Sourcing efficiencies (such as buying direct from factories) and scale allow modern retailers to charge consumers prices below those of traditional retailers in processed/staple products. A recent study in Delhi showed that supermarkets charge lower prices for the key staples rice, wheat flour, and edible oil than small shops (5). This is a common finding in other developing regions (22).

**Incipient procurement modernization of fresh produce and emerging impacts on farmers.** In a typical supermarket in Asia roughly 20–35% of the food sales are of fresh products—fresh meats, eggs, fish, and produce (fruits and vegetables). Although meat and egg sectors are undergoing a similar consolidation in terms of the processors (e.g., ref. 23), produce tends to be predominantly produced by small farmers because they can undertake labor-intensive production of produce on small plots and earn substantially more per unit of land than they earn with basic grains. However, in a number of horticulture zones (such as discussed for India and Indonesia below), although small farmers dominate in numbers, it is common, at least in commercial agriculture zones, for medium and even large farmers to have the majority of the volume of sales in the market. Processes of land consolidation and rental market development seem to be underway in these zones and are leading to a differentiated farm sector. Even where small-holders dominate there is substantial differentiation in holdings of nonland assets. Supermarket chains thus have a choice over farm size and asset strata in sourcing, in contrast to the conventional image that there is a mass of undifferentiated tiny farmers producing horticultural products in Asia.

The fresh produce is mostly moved to supermarkets via traditional wholesale markets by many field brokers and wholesalers, as indicated by recent evidence from China (24), India (7), Indonesia (25), Thailand (26), and Vietnam (16).

Procurement modernization involves a shift from using spot markets to coordinated supply chains. In fresh produce this involves a gradual shift along a continuum of “technology/institutional/organizational” modes. (i) The “most traditional” sourcing system is the supermarket chain buying in spot markets at traditional wholesale markets and delivering store to store. (ii) The “early transitional” is the mode whereby the supermarket works with a DW who buys, sorts and grades, minimally processes, crates, and delivers in the wholesale market. (iii) The “modernizing traditional” is the mode whereby wholesale sectors and markets become more amenable for sourcing by supermarkets. There is some concentration among wholesalers and a displacement of “the first link in the chain,” the traditional field brokers (observed in India, China, Indonesia, and others), with the concomitant, direct buying by wholesale markets from rural areas from cooperatives, mills, or cold

<sup>‡</sup>Gorton M, Sauer J, Supatpongkul P (2009) Investigating Thai shopping behavior: Wet-markets, supermarkets and the ‘Big Middle.’ Paper presented at the International Association of Agricultural Economists Conference, Beijing, August 16–22, 2009.

<sup>§</sup>Evidence on the impacts on consumers in Asia (and elsewhere) is reviewed in ref. 5 and by Reardon et al. (17). The essential points are as follows: (i) there is substantial emerging empirical evidence that supermarkets charge consumers less than traditional retailers for staples and processed products, from the early stages of penetration, and for produce, mainly in the later stages; (ii) there is incipient but inconclusive evidence as to the relation between a “nutrition transition” to obesity from greater consumption of processed foods; the empirical base to test this has not yet been established; and (iii) there is mixed evidence regarding links between food quality and modern retail but clearer evidence concerning food safety and modern retail.

<sup>¶</sup>For a dairy example in Brazil and Argentina, see Farina et al. (21).



export companies in Latin America in the 1980s (29) or by processing companies in central Europe in the 1990s (30). These may be collection centers such as those operated by Reliance retail, sourcing vegetables in peri-urban areas in India, or the Cargill-Ceylon chain in Sri Lanka, providing technical assistance to farmers not getting that assistance from traditional extension. These may also be quadrangular arrangements such as Carrefour, aided by the DW Bimandiri, and input and credit provided by Syngenta, with specialized extension from the government, working with a melon farmers association in Indonesia for sales in Indonesia and to Carrefour stores in the region (25).

In a variant of the above, governments themselves set up physical infrastructure (such as warehouse or collection center networks) in rural areas to facilitate linkages between supermarket chains and individual farmers or cooperatives. This approach can also involve a “symbiosis” between NGOs and governments to partner with these private sector-led efforts to assist in provision of resources and services needed by small farmers, but with the promise of the latter gaining access to specific and demanding modern markets. This approach is mutually beneficial. NGOs are often seeking to help their beneficiary farmers move from low-remuneration non-quality-differentiated and demand-constrained local produce markets to modern markets linked to urban and export demand (as illustrated in ref. 28 for the Dutch NGO Himalayan Action Research Centre in India, working with the Mother Dairy/Safal chain). The retailers, in turn, often cannot or do not want to make the investments in technical assistance and credit provision that the NGOs have as part of their mandates. For example, a large chain in India is starting (2010) to use the assistance of a microcredit NGO for both credit provision and even procurement logistics.

Similarly, the United States Agency for International Development-funded Growth-Oriented Microenterprise Development program helped the ITC and Foodland chains and farmers cooperatives with technical assistance and other intermediation in India. Such partnerships may become increasingly common.

Finally, the well-known strategy of forming farmer market cooperatives and farmer companies is being given a fillip—and tested—by the challenge of helping small farmers access super-market channels in Asia. Development of cooperative action to position small farmers to enter supermarket channels is increasing, as in Vietnam (16) and India (7). As elsewhere, this has involved a shift from the traditional cooperative model to the “new generation cooperative” model, with limited membership, target market specificity, and investment and profit sharing in the form of what is essentially ownership of stock.

The emerging evidence is that such aggregation seems to be a requirement to reduce transaction costs to sell to modern channels. Still, a relatively unexplored topic is how scalable and sustainable these cooperatives are (3) and what assistance is needed to make them competitive in a rapidly changing market environment. This latter challenge seems present for the multi-tude of unique strategic approaches now being tested in Asia that are designed to keep small-holder farmers profitably engaged in modern supply chains, while continuing to bring the benefits of modern supermarkets to a rapidly increasing proportion of the region’s consumers.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for useful comments.

- Reardon T, Timmer CP, Barrett CB, Berdegue JA (2003) The rise of supermarkets in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. *Am J Agric Econ* 85:1140–1146.
- Reardon T, Timmer CP (2007) The supermarket revolution with Asian characteristics. *Reasserting the Rural Development Agenda: Lessons Learned and Emerging Challenges in Asia*, eds Balisacan AM, Fuwa N (Institute of Southeast Asian Studies and Southeast Asian Regional Center for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture, Singapore).
- Chen K, Shepherd AW, da Silva C (2004) *Changes in Food Retailing in Asia. Agricultural Management, Marketing and Finance Occasional Paper 8* (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome).
- Pacific Economic Cooperation Council (2005) *Pacific Food System Outlook 2005/2006: A Revolution in Food Retailing* (US Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, Washington, DC).
- Minten B, Reardon T, Sutradhar R (2010) Food prices and modern retail: The case of Delhi. *World Dev* 38:1775–1787.
- Henderson V (2002) Urbanization in developing countries. *World Bank Res Obs* 17: 89–112.
- Reardon T, Gulati A (2008) *The Rise of Supermarkets and Their Development Implications: International Experience Relevant for India. IFPRI Discussion Paper 00752*. (International Food Policy Research Institute, New Delhi, India).
- Kearney AT (2010) *Expanding Opportunities for Global Retailers: The 2010 A.T. Kearney Global Retail Development Index, Report* (A.T. Kearney, Vienna, VA).
- Hu D, Reardon T, Rozelle S, Timmer CP, Wang H (2004) The emergence of supermarkets with Chinese characteristics: Challenges and opportunities for China’s agricultural development. *Dev Policy Rev* 22:557–586.
- Chakravarty K, Sridhar V, Bhardwaj P, Bhattacharjee N (2007) *Rural Retailing—The Next Phase in Retailing* (Confederation of Indian Industry—YES BANK, New Delhi).
- Bell DE, Sanghavi N, Fuller V, Shelman M (2008) Hariyali Kisaan Bazaar: A rural business initiative. Case 9-508-012, April 15. *Harvard Business Review*, 10.1225/508012.
- Farhoomand A (2008) *ITC E-Choupal: Corporate Social Responsibility. Case HKU 765* (University of Hong Kong, Asia Case Research Centre, Hong Kong).
- Coca-Cola Retailing Research Council Asia (2005) *The Fresh Imperative: Creating Excellence in Asian Fresh Food Retailing. Report* (Coca-Cola Retailing Research Council, Atlanta).
- Ho SC (2005) Evolution versus tradition in marketing systems: The Hong Kong food-retailing experience. *J Public Policy Mark* 24:90–99.
- Moustier P, Phan TGT, Dao TA, Vu TB, Nguyen TTL (2010) The role of farmer organizations in supplying supermarkets with quality food in Vietnam. *Food Policy* 35:69–78.
- Mergenthaler M, Weinberger K, Qaim M (2009) The food system transformation in developing countries: A disaggregate demand analysis for fruits and vegetables in Vietnam. *Food Policy* 34:426–436.
- Reardon T, Henson S, Gulati A (2010) Links between supermarkets and food prices, diet diversity and food safety in developing countries. *Trade, Food, Diet and Health: Perspectives and Policy Options*, eds Hawkes C, Blouin C, Henson S, Drager N, Dubé L (Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ), pp 111–130.
- Wilkinson J (2004) The food processing industry, globalization, and developing countries. *Electronic Journal of Agricultural and Development Economics* 1:184–201.
- Wei A, Cacho J (1999) Competition among foreign and Chinese agro-food enterprises in the process of globalization. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review* 2:437–451.
- Ali J, Singh SP, Ekanem E (2009) Efficiency and productivity changes in the Indian food processing industry: Determinants and policy implications. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review* 12:43–66.
- Farina EMMQ, Gutman GE, Lavarello PJ, Nunes R, Reardon T (2005) Private and public milk standards in Argentina and Brazil. *Food Policy* 30:302–315.
- Minten B, Reardon T (2008) Food prices, quality and quality’s pricing in supermarkets versus traditional markets in developing countries. *Review of Agricultural Economics* 30:480–490.
- Landes M, Persaud S, Dyck J (2004) *India’s Poultry Sector. Development and Prospects. Agriculture and Trade Report WRS-04-03* (US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC).
- Wang H, Dong X, Rozelle S, Huang J, Reardon T (2009) Producing and procuring horticultural crops with Chinese characteristics: The case of Northern China. *World Dev* 37:1791–1801.
- Natawidjaja R, Reardon T, Shetty S (2007) *Horticultural Producers and Supermarket Development in Indonesia. Report 38543* (World Bank/Jakarta, Indonesia).
- Schipmann C, Qaim M (2010) Spillovers from modern supply chains to traditional markets: Product innovation and adoption by smallholders. *Agric Econ* 41:361–371.
- Singh S (2009) Spencer’s Retail. *Inclusive Value Chains in India—Linking the Smallest Producers to Modern Markets*, ed Harper M (World Scientific, Singapore), pp 76–88.
- Minten B, Ghorpade Y, Vandeplas A, Gulati A (2009) *Report on High Value Crops and Marketing: Strategic Options for Development in Uttarakhand. Report* (International Food Policy Research Institute, New Delhi).
- Key N, Runsten D (1999) Contract farming, smallholders, and rural development in Latin America: The organization of agroprocessing firms and the scale of outgrower production. *World Dev* 27:381–401.
- Gow H, Swinnen J (2001) Private enforcement capital and contract enforcement in transition economies. *Am J Agric Econ* 83:686–690.