Sulfur isotopes track the global extent and dynamics of euxinia during Cretaceous Oceanic Anoxic Event 2
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The Mesozoic Era is characterized by numerous oceanic anoxic events (OAEs) that are diagnostically expressed by widespread marine organic-carbon burial and coeval carbon-isotope excursions. Here we present coupled high-resolution carbon- and sulfur-isotope data from four European OAE 2 sections spanning the Cenomanian–Turonian boundary that show roughly parallel positive excursions. Significantly, however, the interval of peak magnitude for carbon isotopes precedes that of sulfur isotopes by an estimated offset of a few hundred thousand years. Based on geochemical box modeling of organic-carbon and pyrite burial, the sulfur-isotope excursion can be generated by transiently increasing the marine burial rate of pyrite precipitated under euxinic (i.e., anoxic and sulfidic) water-column conditions. To replicate the observed isotopic offset, the model requires that enhanced levels of organic-carbon and pyrite burial continued a few hundred thousand years after peak organic-carbon burial, but that their isotope records responded differently due to dramatically different residence times for dissolved inorganic carbon and sulfate in seawater. The significant inference is that euxinia persisted post-OAE, but with its global extent dwindling over this time period. The model further suggests that only ~5% of the global seafloor area was overlain by euxinic bottom waters during OAE 2. Although this figure is ~30x greater than the small euxinic fraction present today (~0.15%), the result challenges previous suggestions that one of the best-documented OAEs was defined by globally pervasive euxinic deep waters. Our results place important controls instead on local conditions and point to the difficulty in sustaining whole-ocean euxinia.

Significance

Oxygen in the atmosphere and ocean rose dramatically about 600 Mya, coinciding with the first proliferation of animals. However, numerous biotic events followed when oxygen concentrations in the younger ocean dipped episodically. The Cretaceous is famous for such episodes, and the most extensive of these oceanic anoxic events occurred 93.9 Mya. Our combined carbon- and sulfur-isotope data indicate that oxygen-free and hydrogen sulfide-rich waters extended across roughly 5% of the global ocean, compared to <<1% today, but with the likelihood that much broader regions were also oxygen challenged. These conditions must have impacted nutrient availability in the ocean and ultimately the spatial and temporal distribution of marine life across a major climatic perturbation.
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increased phosphorus recycling due to reduction of iron-bearing phases with bound phosphate (25, 26). The hydrothermal model for supplying Fe is challenged by the assumption of widespread euxinia in the water column and the insolvability of iron under those conditions; not surprisingly, the record of hydrothermal Fe signal does not correlate in a straightforward manner with the distribution of organic-rich facies throughout the entire North Atlantic (20, 27). However, neodymium isotope ratios in fish teeth from the western equatorial Atlantic (Demerara Rise) and the north European Chalk Sea may reflect hydrothermal input from the Caribbean and/or Arctic Large Igneous provinces (23, 28). Alternatively, geochemical box modeling suggests that enhanced P from continental weathering was important for the initiation of OAE 2, followed, as a positive feedback, by its sustained availability due to the widespread reduction of P-bearing iron oxides (29) and more generally enhanced P recycling under anoxic conditions (26).

OAE 2 is the most studied of the oceanic anoxic events, yet considerable gaps remain in our understanding of its causes and effects. Evidence from organic biomarkers from multiple sites in the proto-North Atlantic indicates photic-zone euxinia just before and during OAE 2 (as reviewed in ref. 17), and evidence for local oxygen depletion in seawater has been documented using Mn/Ca (30), I/Ca ratios (31), and trace-metal enrichments (32) reviewed in ref. 17. Recent modeling by Monteiro et al. (32) suggests that 50% of the global ocean was anoxic. Nevertheless, the spatial extent of anoxic and more specifically, euxinic deposition, remains poorly constrained, particularly for the Pacific and Indian Oceans. Much of the work to date has focused on the proto-North Atlantic, the Western Interior Seaway (WIS), a handful of Pacific sites, and various continental margins (6).

The data are limited, but the equatorial Pacific appears to have been a locus for OC burial (ref. 4 and references therein). Certain, contemporaneous, marginal Pacific sites show evidence for oxic conditions (33, 34), and the redox state of the vast majority of the ocean, specifically the Pacific Ocean, remains unknown. Here, we present sulfate S-isotope data from multiple sites spanning several ocean basins recording OAE 2. By combining our dataset with existing sulfate-S and carbonate-C isotope data, we explore the dynamics of the global sulfur cycle during OAE 2 and ultimately gain a unique global perspective on the extent of euxinic conditions during one of the best-studied OAEs.

Sulfur Biogeochemistry Background

Most studies of the Cretaceous sulfur cycle have focused on broad, 10^3-y secular trends seen in the marine sulfate-S isotope record (35). However, two high-resolution investigations have specifically targeted the sulfur cycle during OAE 2 (36, 37). Much of this past work has focused on regional aspects of sulfur cycling (36, 37), including the possibility of enhanced hydrothermal sulfur delivery to the WIS before OAE 2 (36). We present a comprehensive, geographically widespread sulfate-S isotope data set and apply a numerical box model to constrain the history of the global redox state of the ocean during OAE 2.

The concentration and isotopic composition of the marine sulfate reservoir is a reflection of the inputs and outputs of sulfur to the ocean. The significant inputs are weathering of sulfide- and sulfate-bearing minerals from continental rocks and emissions from volcanic/hydrothermal systems (as reviewed in ref. 38). The magnitudes of these input fluxes vary temporally and spatially, but their isotopic signatures are similar and cluster in a relatively narrow δ^{34}S (definition below) range of −8‰, and it is unlikely that this isotopic range has changed significantly through time. The primary outputs of sulfur from the ocean are through the precipitation and burial of gypsum, organic-S compounds (which may be particularly important during OAEs), and pyrite in sediments. Over geologic time scales, gypsum burial can exert a control on marine sulfate concentrations (39), but this burial mechanism has little effect on the global isotopic composition of seawater sulfate due to the small fractionation (1–2‰) during gypsum precipitation (40). In stark contrast, pyrite burial has a large effect on the isotopic composition of the marine sulfate reservoir (ref. 41 and references therein). The large isotopic offset between pyrite-S and the starting sulfate reflects the preference for lighter sulfur isotopes during microbial sulfate reduction and the concomitant production of isotopically light hydrogen sulfide. This sulfide combines with reactive Fe to form pyrite within the water column or below the sediment/water interface. The isotopic offset between sulfate-S and sulfide (δ^{34}S) is captured and preserved in the sedimentary pyrite and can be as great as 70‰ (e.g., ref. 42). At times in the geologic past, pyrite burial was the dominant sink for dissolved sulfate (43, 44), especially during episodes of widespread reducing conditions within the ocean, such as OAEs (43, 45). Organic sulfur could be an additional reduced sulfur sink particularly when pyrite formation is limited by the availability of iron (i.e., under euxinic conditions). The isotopic offset between organic S and sulfate is generally less than that of pyrite. Within this framework, shifts to more positive δ^{34}S values recorded stratigraphically in tracers of ancient seawater, most commonly gypsum (anhydrite), barite, and carbonate-associated sulfate (CAS), reflect enhanced pyrite burial, whereas negative δ^{34}S shifts indicate the greater relative importance of input fluxes. Ultimately, the size of the seawater sulfate reservoir (and, by association, its residence time) controls the magnitude and the potential rate of isotopic change.

Carbon- and Sulfur-Isotope Trends

The δ^{34}SCAS profiles from all four sections (Fig. 1) show positive excursions that coincide roughly with the positive carbon-isotope excursion (Figs. 2 and 3). The preevent (baseline values) for all of the sections are between 19‰ and 21‰ (see below). These values are relatively close to the published seawater value of 19.4‰ for the Cenomanian and Turonian based on the analysis of barite collected from open-ocean sediments (35), although the pre-OAE sulfate-isotope values for CAS from the WIS (36) and Italy (37) are less positive (14–16‰). A return to pre-OAE δ^{34}SCAS values after the event is observed only at Raia del Pedale and South Ferriby, where relatively extended stratigraphic sections are available.

The northernmost section, South Ferriby, shows a relatively stable δ^{34}SCAS profile (average of 20.7‰) with no obvious positive isotope excursion during the OAE interval (Dataset S1) that corresponds to South Ferriby. A positive excursion, however, occurs after the event, with a peak value of 22.1‰. This peak
coincides stratigraphically with a return to the carbonate carbon-isotope baseline value following the positive excursion. δ34SCAS values nearly return to the pre-OAE baseline at the top of the section, 1.8 m above the termination level of the OAE. The next section to the south, Trunch, is the most condensed and has an unconformity just below the OAE interval, although it still captures a significant portion of the upper Cenomanian leading into the OAE. The baseline values at this locality trend more positively before the event, but the average pre-OAE values are typical of those from the other sections (19.4‰). Once again, the most positive δ34SCAS values (21.8‰) postdate the OAE (as defined by the carbon-isotope data), with a δ34SCAS excursion of 2.4‰ (Dataset S2) that corresponds to Trunch.

Eastbourne is the southernmost section in the United Kingdom and the most expanded stratigraphically due to comparably high sedimentation rates (46); the OAE spans nearly 10 m of section. This site shows relatively high variability in the δ34SCAS composition, whereas the δ13Ccarb record shows a more systematic increase and subsequent decrease during the OAE. Specifically, the pre-OAE baseline for δ34SCAS is comparatively stable with an average value of 19.9‰, but there is pronounced variability in the first half of the OAE interval with many of the data points departing from the overall positive trend (SI Materials and Methods). The most positive δ34SCAS value after the event (21.8‰) yields a maximum magnitude for the excursion of 1.9‰, although there is very little available section postdating the OAE (Dataset S3) that corresponds to Eastbourne. Given that the other sites show maxima well after the peak δ13Ccarb values, the most positive δ34SCAS values may not have been captured at this section.

The Italian section, Raia del Pedale, is a shallow-water equivalent to the deep-sea pelagic section that contains the Bonarelli Level black shale characteristic of OAE 2 (17, 37). Importantly, our sample set from Raia del Pedale has the added benefit of high-resolution sampling throughout the entire carbonate section deposited during the OAE, allowing for continuous CAS analysis and stratigraphic coverage that extends well after the event, as determined by the carbonate C- and Sr-isotope records (Fig. 3). The Sr-isotope data from Raia del Pedale can be compared with the long-term composite record (ref. 47 and references therein) to determine the best age correlation for this section following the OAE. Although there is some scatter before the event, the δ34SCAS baseline at this locality, with an average value of 21.1‰, is similar to preevent data from the other UK sections (Dataset S4) that corresponds to Raia del Pedale. Once again, the peak δ34SCAS value (25.7‰) occurs stratigraphically well after the δ13Ccarb excursion that defines the OAE, and the return to pre-OAE values is captured well after the event. Interestingly, the magnitudes of the positive sulfur-isotope excursions among the various stratigraphic sections suggest a regional trend, with the UK sites showing lower δ34SCAS values relative to the other sections. Specifically, the average shifts are ~2‰ in the United Kingdom, whereas the section at Raia Del Pedale shows a ~5‰ shift, similar to δ34SCAS excursion from the WIS and the Italian pelagic deep-sea section that contains the Bonarelli Level (36, 37).

Modeling C and S

The observed carbon- and sulfur-isotope trends demand dramatic perturbations to the geochemical cycles of both elements during OAE 2. To elucidate the coupled dynamics of the carbon and sulfur cycles, we constructed a forward box model. In our model, we prescribed the initial boundary conditions for the two cycles and then perturbed the fluxes until we were able to recreate the observed isotope excursions, similar to previous studies (36, 41, 43, 45). The initial boundary parameters for the model are given in Table S1. Important but poorly constrained parameters were explored through sensitivity tests (Fig. 4). These influential factors include the magnitude of pyrite fractionation during microbial sulfate reduction (Δ34S), increasing pyrite burial, and initial marine sulfate concentrations. In this model we do not explicitly delineate burial of organic sulfur, which does increase during OAE 2 (48); however, because it represents a reduced sulfur phase, it is lumped with pyrite burial. The difference between pyrite-S and organic-S fractionation relative to the parent sulfate could be a source of some error; however, this assumption does not change our results significantly.

Our model confirms that recreating the observed C- and S-isotope trends can be generated through increasing OC and pyrite burial (Fig. 5). An increase of 1.6x the modern OC flux is necessary to simulate a C-isotope excursion of ~3.5‰ (the approximate average of all published OAE 2 excursions, which range from 2‰ to 6‰, was used for all models). A twofold increase in the total pyrite burial is required to simulate a sulfur-isotope excursion of 5‰. The duration of OAE 2 has been shown to be ~0.5 Ma (10), a time interval that we adopt for all model runs. The magnitude of the excursions can be dampened or amplified by changing the magnitude of OC and pyrite burial, the initial sulfate concentration, and the assumed Δ34S. Note that these modeling results are not unique solutions because of

![Fig. 2. Chemostratigraphic plots of δ13C (white circles) and δ34SCAS (gray squares) for the three UK Chalk sites. The gray bar indicates the previously labeled OAE as defined by the δ13C positive carbon-isotope excursion.](image)

![Fig. 3. Chemostratigraphic plot for Raia del Pedale of δ13C (white circles), δ34SCAS (gray squares), and 87Sr/86Sr (gray circles). The gray bar indicates the previously labeled OAE defined by the δ13C positive carbon-isotope excursion.](image)
the high degrees of freedom in key assumptions; they do, however, represent the most plausible circumstances for the generation of the observed geochemical records based on sensitivity tests and observations from the geologic record.

Fig. 4 shows the sensitivity of the model to transient changes in pyrite burial, $\Delta^{34}S$, and initial marine sulfate concentrations. The magnitude of pyrite burial has a large influence on the magnitude of the sulfate isotope excursion captured by $\delta^{34}S_{\text{CAS}}$. For example, a 1.5-fold increase in pyrite produces an excursion of $\sim 3\%_e$, whereas a threefold increase generates a $12\%_e$ excursion (Fig. 4A) using an initial sulfate concentration of 7 mM and a $\Delta^{34}S$ of $-40\%_e$ during the OAE. We modeled a range of $\Delta^{34}S$ values ($-20\%_e$ to $-60\%_e$) with a twofold increase in pyrite burial and initial marine sulfate of 7 mM, which predicts a range in the $\delta^{34}S$ excursion of 2–10$\%_e$ for seawater sulfate, respectively (Fig. 4B). As expected, varying the initial marine sulfate reservoir has an effect on the magnitude of the S-isotope excursion, with 3 mM and 12 mM yielding excursions of $12\%_e$ and $3\%_e$, respectively, based on a twofold increase in pyrite burial and a $\Delta^{34}S$ of $-40\%_e$ (Fig. 4C).

We also used the model to simulate the observed offset in the C- and S-isotope records. To replicate the observed trends most successfully, we adopt a doubling of pyrite burial during the OAE, a $\Delta^{34}S$ of $-40\%_e$, and an initial marine sulfate concentration of 7 mM as our boundary conditions. The value for $\Delta^{34}S$ was chosen based on the global mean $\Delta^{34}S$ defined by an average pre-OAE marine $\delta^{34}S_{\text{sulfate}}$ of $\sim +20\%_e$ [from average CAS and the barite values of Paytan et al. (35)] and an adjusted average pyrite $\delta^{34}S$ of $-20\%_e$. In fact, the average reported pyrite $\delta^{34}S$ values during OAE 2 is $\sim 30\%_e$ (35, 47); however, the majority of those data are derived from euxinic localities (which tend to show relatively large fractionations because of dominantly open system, water column pyrite formation). Pyrite formation in euxinic settings generally has larger fractionations that have the potential to exaggerate the global $\Delta^{34}S$ average (41), and the inclusion of organic sulfur would also reduce the global fractionation. Therefore, we use a value of $-20\%_e$ for reduced sulfur or, specifically, a $\Delta^{34}S$ of $-40\%_e$, reflecting some balance between oxic and euxinic deposition and the importance of organic-S burial during the OAE. The sulfate concentration was chosen based on our model runs—specifically, the length of time for pyrite burial was determined by reproducing an $\sim 5\%_e$ excursion.

**Global Implication from the Sulfur Cycle**

We used a geochemical box model to simulate the observed C- and S-isotope excursions, using sensitivity tests (Fig. 4) to inform the unconstrained variables in the sulfur cycle. This exercise sheds light on the ocean redox evolution during OAE 2. In the modern ocean, euxinic settings cover only $\sim 0.15\%_e$ of the seafloor (similar to ref. 49) and bury reduced sulfur at a rate of $3.1 \times 10^{16}$ mol of sulfur per Ma—mostly in the Black Sea (50). For OAE 2, the entire transient increase in pyrite burial is attributed to increased euxinic deposition, which yields a burial rate of $4.7 \times 10^{17}$ to $9.3 \times 10^{17}$ mol of sulfur per Ma during OAE 2 (representing the observed $\Delta^{34}S_{\text{CAS}}$ excursions of $3$–$6\%_e$, respectively, with all other parameters held constant as in Fig. 4A). These rates equate to $15$–$30x$ the modern global flux of euxinic pyrite burial. Assuming conditions during Cretaceous deposition were comparable to those of modern euxinic sites, including mass accumulation rates and Fe availability, our model predicts that roughly $15$–$30x$ more of the seafloor was overlain by euxinia during OAE 2. Relative to the $0.15\%_e$ of euxinic seafloor today, this equates to $\sim 2.5$–$5\%_e$ euxinic deposition during OAE 2. This prediction assumes pyrite burial under oxic and/or oxygen-deficient but noneuxinic environments remained constant throughout the event. In other words, we assume that the entire increase in pyrite burial occurred only in euxinic settings. This assumption is undoubtedly an oversimplification, and any concomitant increase in pyrite burial in oxic and other noneuxinic environments would decrease the estimated extent of euxinia during OAE 2, thus our estimate represents a maximum. Consistent with the possibility of overestimating the extent of euxinia, the negative S-isotope excursions seen in the Eastbourne profile and in the Raia del Pedale profiles close to the onset of the positive carbon-isotope excursion correspond in time with the Plenus Cold Event/Benthic Oxic Event (16), which affected at least the northern hemisphere. This event would have oxidized water-column sulfate where present and marine pyrite, transiently returning isotopically light sulfur to the oceans (SI Materials and Methods).

Observations have shown that the southern portion of the North Atlantic was euxinic for much of the event, and the northern portion of the Atlantic appears to show a periodic development of euxinia (as reviewed in ref. 17). Although redox conditions in the Pacific Ocean during the OAE are poorly known, three equatorial sites are documented with increased OC burial (4). Overall, the possibility of transient global expansion of oxygen minimum zones alone could account for the increased areal extent of euxinia during OAE 2 and correlates well with observed OC burial patterns. Whereas our modeled estimate of area of euxinic deposition is much larger than that seen in the modern ocean, the implication is that the majority of the ocean was either oxygen-deficient (but not euxinic) or oxic. Our result, once we also consider the WIS, suggests that euxinia in the Pacific may
have been limited to equatorial regions characterized by high primary productivity and vigorous upwelling and perhaps other regions of coastal upwelling. This reconstruction is much like the modern ocean but likely with overall lower levels of oxygen and far more euxinia spread more broadly across productive regions during OAE 2.

Various factors suggest that our estimate of the increased extent of euxinic deposition might be somewhat conservative. Increases of continental weathering and runoff have been invoked as a mechanism for the enhanced primary production that catalyzed the initiation of OAE 2 (18, 24, 47). Enhanced runoff would also increase the flux of $^{34}$S-depleted sulfate to the marine reservoir, and as our model sensitivity test shows (Fig. 4C), this would thus act to dampen the positive isotope shift caused by increased burial of pyrite. For example, a doubling of continental weathering, while holding all other parameters the same, would require a 2.5-fold increase of pyrite-S to replicate a comparable $\sim 6^\circ$‰ $\delta^{34}$S excursion. Using a similar calculation to the one above, such a shift would require $\sim 7\%$ of the seafloor to have been euxinic, which is not substantially different from our initial estimate of 5.5–5.5%^.

The observed offset between the $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{34}$SCAAS positive excursions is a pronounced feature of OAE 2. Previously published sulfate-S data for OAE 2 show a similar pattern (36, 37). On a related note, a more subtle offset is also observed during the Toarcian OAE (45). Only two variables in the model can reproduce this observation: (i) an increase in $\Delta^{34}$S fractionation during pyrite burial post-OAE or (ii) a slow, simultaneous decrease of OC and pyrite burial. There is evidence, albeit scant, for a $\Delta^{34}$S change during the OAE (36, 48), but there is no evidence for a persistence of higher $\Delta^{34}$S post-OAE; a more likely prediction would be a decrease in $\Delta^{34}$S in the face of lower amounts of euxinic pyrite formation. Therefore, we prescribe a transient increase in $\Delta^{34}$S ($\sim 40^\circ$‰) during the OAE but an immediate return to pre-OAE baseline values following the event ($\sim 30^\circ$‰). More importantly, however, even if $\Delta^{34}$S is held at the OAE value ($\sim 40^\circ$‰) while decreasing OC and pyrite burial (300 ka post-OAE), the model generates a slightly larger offset ($\sim 20$ ka). To reproduce the observed offset in the isotopic records, the OC and pyrite sulfur burial must be decreased slowly for $\sim 300$ ka after the OAE (from 1.5 to 1.8 Ma in the model) (Fig. 5). The simultaneous waning of OC and pyrite burial has a more immediate effect on the carbon cycle due to its shorter residence time compared with that of sulfur. The starting reservoirs for each element are relatively similar, $3.3 \times 10^{18}$ mol of inorganic C and $3.16 \times 10^{18}$ mol (7 mM sulfate) of S, but the input flux for C ($25 \times 10^{18}$ mol/Ma) is an order of magnitude greater than sulfur’s ($1.50 \times 10^{18}$ mol/Ma). Using our pre-OAE fluxes and initial marine reservoir concentrations yields C and S residence times of $\sim 150$ ka and $\sim 2$ Ma, respectively. In other words, decreasing extents of euxinia continue to drive the S-isotope excursion heavy, whereas the marine C-isotope compositions rebound faster because the input-to-reservoir ratio is dramatically larger relative to sulfur. The model predicts a small drawdown of sulfate during the OAE, namely a 1-mM decrease based on an initial concentration of 7 mM and doubling of pyrite burial—and so the resulting effect on the residence time of sulfate would be modest (Fig. S1). In sum, the most plausible driver for the observed offset between carbon- and sulfur-isotope ratios is parallel, incremental decreases in OC and pyrite burial after the OAE in the face of very different residence time relationships (see SI Materials and Methods for more details).

Additionally, the time it takes the $\delta^{34}$S for sulfate to return to pre-OAE values is strongly tied to the size of the sulfate reservoir. Fig. 4C demonstrates that the lower the sulfate concentration the more rapid the return to the pre-OAE baseline. The Raia del Pedale section is the only location with enough stratigraphic coverage to record the full return to the S-isotope baseline after the OAE, which appears to take $\sim 5$ Ma. Modeling suggests that a protracted recovery of this duration demands an initial pre-OAE sulfate reservoir of $\sim 7$ mM. This concentration is at the lower limits estimated for marine sulfate for the Late Cretaceous based on fluid inclusions from marine halite (51) but is above the upper limit of 2.1–4mM suggested by Adams et al. (36) for the WIS.

The model predicts a global mean C/S burial ratio of 5.7 (molar ratio) using the 1.6-fold increase in OC burial and 30-fold increase in pyrite burial. The modern normal (oxic) marine C/S ratio is $\sim 7.5$, whereas modern euxinic settings exhibit both very low (<2) and high (>10) C/S ratios, where the high C/S ratio is indicative of euxinic settings where pyrite formation is severely limited by the supply of reactive Fe in combination with high organic-matter availability (52). The average modeled C/S ratio of 5.7 is consistent with a global expansion of euxinic pyrite burial with comparatively significant inputs of reactive Fe on a global scale. However, at the local/regional scale, there is appreciable spatial variability in measured C/S ratios, suggesting heterogeneity in reactive Fe inputs ( euxinic pyrite formation, by definition, is Fe-limited, and so the amount of pyrite largely reflects the delivery of Fe to the site of deposition). For example, Demerara Rise in the western equatorial Atlantic records an average C/S ratio of $\sim 12$ (48, 53), which suggests that pyrite-S burial was strongly limited by reactive Fe inputs relative to high burial of OC. In contrast, the WIS records an average C/S ratio of $\sim 2$ (54), suggesting, at least locally, somewhat low OC availability and relatively high fluxes of reactive iron, possibly due to increased hydrothermal activity (22, 55). Our calculated mean C/S ratio thus captures the balance between these extremes across the spatial landscape of varying organic production and Fe delivery.

**Conclusions**

Paired C- and S-isotope records illuminate the timing of environmental change and spatial expansion and contraction of euxinia during OAE 2. Our measurements show that the interisotope magnitude of the positive S-isotope excursion during OAE 2 varies from 2‰ to 7‰, whereas the magnitude of the C-isotope excursion varies from 2‰ to 5‰. Modeling of the isotope records suggests that the excursions were driven by increased burial of pyrite and OC. Although generally coupled, these C- and S-isotope excursions show a faster rate due to its shorter residence time compared with that of sulfur. The spatial variability in the excursion magnitudes could be due to local watermass differences and/or varying riverine fluxes to an ocean with substantially lower than modern sulfate concentrations, an effect noted also for the Toarcian OAE (56).

The spatial extent of euxinia predicted during OAE 2 is also estimated through our modeling. To reproduce the observed geochemical signatures, the model demands a 15- to 30-fold increase of reactive iron, possibly due to increased hydrothermal activity (22, 55). Our calculated mean C/S ratio thus captures the balance between these extremes across the spatial landscape of varying organic production and Fe delivery.