Mechanical model of blebbing in nuclear lamin meshworks
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Much of the structural stability of the nucleus comes from meshworks of intermediate filament proteins known as lamins forming the inner layer of the nuclear envelope called the nuclear lamina. These lamin meshworks additionally play a role in gene expression. Abnormalities in nuclear shape are associated with a variety of pathologies, including some forms of cancer and Hutchinson–Gilford Progeria Syndrome, and often include protruding structures termed nuclear blebs. These nuclear blebs are thought to be related to pathological gene expression; however, little is known about how and why blebs form. We have developed a minimal continuum elastic model of a lamin meshwork that we use to investigate which aspects of the meshwork could be responsible for bleb formation. Mammalian lamin meshworks consist of two types of lamin proteins, A type and B type, and it has been reported that nuclear blebs are enriched in A-type lamins. Our model treats each lamin type separately and thus, can assign them different properties. Nuclear blebs have been reported to be located in regions where the fibers in the lamin meshwork have a greater separation, and we find that this greater separation of fibers is an essential characteristic for generating nuclear blebs. The model produces structures with comparable morphologies and distributions of lamin types as real pathological nuclei. Thus, preventing this opening of the meshwork could be a route to prevent bleb formation, which could be used as a potential therapy for the pathologies associated with nuclear blebs.

Mechanical studies of the nuclear lamina have been conducted as reviewed, for example, by Rowat et al. (17), including the use of micropipette aspiration (1, 2, 18) and atomic force microscopy (19) to extract mechanical properties. A limited number of computational studies of the nuclear lamina have also been carried out, including one using finite-element methods to examine the response to imposed deformation in an axisymmetric model (20). The mechanics of crack propagation in a regular meshwork representing the *Xenopus* nuclear lamin meshwork have been examined (21), whereas the retraction of artificially induced blebs has been modeled by Wren et al. (22), treating the lamin meshwork as a network of Hookean springs. The lamin meshwork has also been modeled as a 2D elastic solid in attempts to provide an explanation for results obtained by aspiration with micropipettes (22). These studies have examined the mechanical response of the entire nuclear lamina to particular kinds of externally applied deformation or on a smaller scale, as in the study of crack propagation.

In this study, we examine how the material properties of the lamin meshwork could alone lead to the formation of blebs in the absence of external stimuli. A simple mechanical model is used to describe the morphology of a nuclear lamin meshwork along with the arrangement of the lamin components. The meshwork is treated as a two-component thin elastic shell with spherical topology. We explore which mechanics may be responsible for the formation of nuclear blebs as well as the segregation of lamin isoforms within blebs. We modify a model for multicomponent elastic membranes (23) to describe nuclear lamin meshworks. We note that blebbing is not limited to the cell nucleus but plays a central role in cell spreading and retraction (24). We propose here a different mechanism for the formation of nuclear blebs.

**Results and Discussion**

The model developed here applies to mammalian nuclei, which as opposed to the often-pictured *Xenopus* oocyte nuclei (25), have a more randomly oriented, less-ordered meshwork (26, 27). This less-ordered meshwork can, thus, be modeled as an isotropic material. The thickness of the nuclear lamin meshwork has been reported (28–30) as h ~ 10–80 nm, and attachments with chromatin within the nucleus may result in a slight increase in the
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It has been reported that A- and B-type lamins form separate but interacting meshworks (16, 26), although the details of the interactions between the different lamin types are unclear. Therefore, for simplicity, lamin components are grouped into A- and B-type lamins, such that the meshwork is described as having two components rather than four, because the lamins within each type are structurally similar. In the model, we specify that each spatial point on the meshwork surface can be occupied by only a single component. Because even highly segregated regions of lamin meshworks likely still contain small amounts of the excluded lamin type, a spatial point of our component A represents a phase that is rich in A-type lamins, and a point of our component B represents a phase that is rich in B-type lamins, with each phase having its own distinct mechanical properties.

We use a continuum approach to model the nuclear lamin meshwork at mesoscopic scales, such that details at the level of individual lamin fibers are not represented but rather, averaged over. The total elastic energy of a thin shell can be written as a sum of stretching and bending energies (35), with their relative contributions determined by the dimensionless ratio \( (R/h)^2 \) (36). We describe the mechanics of the lamin meshwork in terms of a competition between 2D stretching energy and bending energy defined with respect to a reference state. The contributions to the stretching and bending energies can be written separately for each of the two components, allowing for the flexibility to assign different mechanical properties to each component. These mechanical properties include the Young’s modulus \( (Y_{AB}) \), thickness \( (H_{AB}) \), Poisson’s ratio \( (\nu_{AB}) \), and spontaneous or preferred curvature \( (P_{AB}) \).

The reference state, described in terms of the component-specific reference metric tensor \( g_{AB} \), is the configuration that each component would prefer to take (that is, it has both stretching and bending energies equal to zero). We take the reference state to be a sphere, where the radius \( R_{AB}^0 = 1/H_{AB} \), can be adjusted to reflect particular properties of the meshwork components. An observation that has been made in many blebbed nuclei associated with a variety of pathologies is that the meshwork in the bleb, frequently rich in A-type lamins, has a larger mesh size than the B-type-rich regions or any meshwork that has been described to date in a normal nucleus, which is shown in Fig. 1A (12–16). This tendency to form an enlarged meshwork can be represented in this model by scaling the reference state metric tensor \( g_{AB} \) according to

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{AB}^0 &\rightarrow \left( M_A \right) g_{AB}^0 \\
&\text{and} \quad \left( R_{AB}^0 \right)^2 \rightarrow M_A \left( R_{AB}^0 \right)^2
\end{align*}
\]

Applying \( M_A \) to the reference state specifies that regions occupied by that component will prefer to increase their surface area from the initial state, because the reference state now describes a larger sphere. This increase in surface area mimics a constant number of lamin fibers forming a meshwork with larger mesh size, thus occupying more area than a meshwork of the same number of fibers but with a smaller mesh size.

We perform a series of simulations to explore parameter space to determine which meshwork properties may be responsible for nuclear blebbing, focusing on how differences in preferred mesh size, thickness, and the fraction of the two components affect the low-energy stable shapes formed by the meshwork. For simplicity, the B-type component is always set to have a mesh area scaling factor of \( M_B = 1 \), meaning that there is no natural tendency for changes in its mesh size, whereas the A-type component is set to have \( M_A = 1.2, 1.5, \) or \( 2.0 \). \( M_A = 1.2 \) corresponds to the A-type region that has been found to abnormally

The simulations reveal that the meshwork properties of the nucleus from a breast cancer cell with lamin A stained, showing a larger mesh size in the bleb compared with the rest of the nucleus. (Scale bar: 5 \( \mu m \).) The A-type component in the simulations (green) is analogously assigned to have a larger mesh size than the B-type component (red). Along with the \( (M_A) \) simulation results for different parameters, \( \left( R_{AB}^0 \right)^2 \) is increased from \( 0.3 \) to \( 0.4 \), \( 0.5 \), \( 0.6 \), and \( 0.8 \). From left to right, the columns show systems with mesh size area scaling factors of \( M_A = 1.2, 1.5, \) and \( 2.0 \), respectively, corresponding to the A-type component preferring a mesh size that is 20%, 50%, or 100% larger than the initial sphere, respectively. For clarity, dual meshes are shown here rather than vertices.

![Fig. 1. Relating mesh size in (A) experimental and simulation structures along with (B) simulation results for different parameters. (A) Nucleus from a breast cancer cell with lamin A stained, showing a larger mesh size in the bleb compared with the rest of the nucleus. (Scale bar: 5 \( \mu m \).) The A-type component in the simulations (green) is analogously assigned to have a larger mesh size than the B-type component (red). (B) Low-energy configurations for lamin meshwork systems with phase fractions of the red B-type component of (i) \( f = 0.2 \), (ii) \( f = 0.4 \), (iii) \( f = 0.6 \), and (iv) \( f = 0.8 \). From left to right, the columns show systems with mesh size area scaling factors of \( M_A = 1.2, 1.5, \) and \( 2.0 \), respectively, corresponding to the A-type component preferring a mesh size that is 20%, 50%, or 100% larger than the initial sphere, respectively. For clarity, dual meshes are shown here rather than vertices.](image-url)
accumulate at the lamina (11), which could also contribute to a thicker lamina in A-type regions. Lastly, A-type lamins seem to contribute to the mechanical rigidity and stiffness of the lamina more than B-type lamins (3, 19, 26). To investigate how these reported differences in the properties of A-type and B-type lamins could affect nuclear morphologies, we have specified that, in A-type regions, the thickness of the lamina meshwork is two times the thickness of B-type regions, \( h_A = 2h_B \). Because the 2D Young’s modulus \( Y_\alpha \propto h \) and bending modulus \( k \propto h^3 \) (38), the A-type regions have a Young’s modulus that is two times larger and a bending modulus that is eight times larger than the B-type regions. We note that, although \( Y, k, \) and \( h \) are interrelated, we do not relate these parameters to the mesh area scaling factor \( M \), because the specific parameters of lamin meshworks required to formulate such a relation have not been reported.

Fig. 1B presents results across a range of component fractions and mesh area scaling factors. We observe that the components segregate as a result of the differences in one or more of the mechanical properties \( (M, Y, \text{or } k) \); we emphasize that there is no additional penalty imposed for mixing. It has been shown that, in multicomponent elastic membranes, segregation of membrane components can arise, even in the absence of a mixing penalty, when the components have disparate bending rigidities (23, 39). To determine more precisely the cause of the segregation observed here, we have performed simulations where the components have equal elastic parameters \( h, Y, \) and \( k \), such that their only difference is in the preferred mesh size \( M \) (Fig. S1). Those results exhibit a similar segregation as these results, even with the smallest value of scaling factor \( M \) used. Lastly, for simulations where there is no difference in preferred mesh size between the components \( (i.e., M_A = M_B = 1) \) but the A-type component is two times as thick as the B-type component, no significant degree of segregation is observed (Fig. S2). We, thus, conclude that the difference in preferred mesh size, modeling the expansion of the A-type component only, is essential to produce a compositionally segregated system.

Contrasting the morphologies presented in Fig. 1B, it is clear that, for greater mesh area discrepancies between components \( (i.e., \text{larger values of } M) \), deformations from a spherical morphology are more extreme. Thus, for nuclear bleb-like structures to form, the expansion of the meshwork in regions rich in A-type lamins must be significant, because we find that it must be on the order of a twofold expansion in area. Additionally, when the fraction of the B-type component is more than 0.5 (\( f > 0.5 \)), the morphologies more closely resemble the morphologies of experimental images, where the expanding A-type-rich regions form isolated protruding structures, such as in the systems in Fig. 2A–C.

For systems with \( M_f = 1.5 \) and 2, the meshwork in the A-type regions exhibits a wrinkled structure, particularly when \( f \) is 0.5, with folds along the boundaries as opposed to the largely smooth cap-like structures for \( f > 0.5 \). This wrinkling originates from the constraints on the boundaries of the A-type regions imposed by the B-type regions, because the meshwork as a whole is forced to form a closed surface. This type of wrinkling resulting from an expanding yet constrained meshwork could be responsible for the experimentally observed distribution of A- and B-type regions and thus, the clefts between adjacent lobules in highly deformed nuclei, such as in Fig. 2E and F. We note that similar phenomena have been reported in the curling of constrained elastomeric bistrips (40), where components are glued together and one prefers to contract while the other is unstrained. Wrinkling of bodies with heterogeneous swelling has been studied in the context of plant leaf tissue growth and torn plastic sheets (41–43), where imposing prescribed reference metrics produces wavy or wrinkled structures; however, because these systems have a free edge that is able to deform out of the plane, the wrinkles form a fractal-like cascade, where only the larger wavelengths seem similar to the wrinkling that we observe. The use of reference metrics to model differential swelling has been reviewed by Sharon and Efrati (44).

The process of nuclear blebbing, as described by our simple continuum model, is rather general. It can be thought of as follows. Given a two-phase surface, where one phase prefers to expand, deformations such as wrinkles or bleb-like protrusions will form, because the phases are subject to constraints. We find that whether we observe blebs or wrinkles is dependent on the phase fraction \( f \). We note that wrinkling of closed shells with elastic heterogeneities was successfully described by a recent related continuum model (45). In nuclear lamin meshworks, the underlying processes leading to lamin mobility and mesh size expansion are complex; however, we are able to reproduce the overall nuclear morphologies by describing the system as having two phases with different expansion tendencies.
Two very different time scales determine the morphology of the meshwork. The short time scale corresponds to a fast relaxation of the local conformation, whereas the long time scale is associated with a much slower rearrangement of the components, perhaps on the order of hours. Lamin mobility seems to be achieved by an exchange of lamins between the lamina and nucleoplasm. However, little has been reported regarding the dynamics of the process of nuclear bleb formation. Although the simulations do not properly model dynamics, the effective mobility of the lamins can be qualitatively controlled by tuning the simulated annealing cooling rate for swapping, which is a simulation parameter related to how easily the components can rearrange. Below a certain temperature (dependent on the specific system parameters), the component distribution is quenched, and only the shape is relaxed. In this sense, the structures that we obtain should not be understood as global minima of the elastic energy but morphologies corresponding to the local minima for a given distribution of A- and B-type lamins. By tuning the cooling rate, we find that the effective mobility of the lamin components impacts the sizes of the blebs produced. For fast cooling, the components are more restricted, less segregation occurs before deformation, and thus, numerous smaller blebs are formed; when components are less restricted, fewer larger blebs form. The results from varying the cooling rate are presented in Fig. 3, illustrating the different number and sizes of blebs produced. Indeed, experimentally, nuclear blebs tend to appear in a variety of sizes and morphologies, and this variation may be related to the degree to which the lamin types exchange with the nucleoplasm.

Fig. 4 presents plots of bending energy, stretching energy, and mean curvature on the surface of meshwork systems with small deformations (Fig. 4A) and larger deformations (Fig. 4B). In both systems, the stretching energy concentrates at the interfaces between segregated single-component regions, where it is more difficult for each component to adopt its preferred mesh size, because they must remain connected. The bending energy is similar, although it has its maximum in a negative-curvature region between two bleb-like structures that formed but failed to disconnect. For both the bending and stretching energies, the minimum and maximum values are one order of magnitude larger for the system with $M_A = 1.5$ compared with the system with $M_A = 1.2$, emphasizing the importance of this preferred mesh size parameter.

Some of the simplifying assumptions made in this model exclude aspects of the system that may be additionally involved in nuclear bleb formation and will be addressed in future studies. One such extension is to model the meshwork as a viscoelastic material and examine the time scales of the bleb-forming process along with the segregation of lamin components. This investigation may lead to answers as to why different blebs remain stable at different sizes and why their coarsening often seems arrested. The chromatin contained within the nucleus is known to associate with the inner surface of the lamina (e.g., through lamin-associated proteins) and contributes to the mechanical response of the nucleus as a whole; thus, chromatin may be important for nuclear bleb formation. Lamin-associated proteins also form connections between the lamina and the inner nuclear membrane in addition to nuclear pore complexes spanning both nuclear membranes and the lamina. These associations with the nuclear membrane(s) could impose additional mechanical effects. Physical connections to the cytoskeleton through the linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex (reviewed in refs. 46–48) may also relate to nuclear blebbing as part of the mechanotransduction pathway from the cell exterior to the nucleus (49).

To conclude, we find that the enlarged mesh size of the regions rich in A-type lamins, in contrast to the normal (smaller) mesh size of the regions rich in B-type lamins, is sufficient to not only cause segregation of the lamin components but also to form nuclear blebs. We impose no penalty for mixing of the components, such as a line tension, and thus, the observed segregation of the lamin components is solely a result of their mechanical properties. We also have determined that the difference in mesh size is the required characteristic for segregation rather than differences in elastic and bending moduli. Although line tension is known to produce segregation in multicomponent elastic systems (50), it does not seem to be necessary in the lamin meshwork system that we study here. The greater the discrepancy in mesh size, the more deformation is observed, and lastly, we find that the ability of the lamin components to rearrange affects the size of the blebs.

Our results suggest that, if the enlargement of the mesh can be reduced or prevented, there will be fewer or no nuclear blebs formed. Understanding the mechanical and structural mechanisms responsible for nuclear bleb formation should provide new insights into novel targets for drug intervention in the many diseases caused by mutations in lamin A (16).

**Materials and Methods**

**Theory and Simulations.** We model the nuclear lamin meshwork within the continuum theory of thin elastic shells with the elastic energy given as a sum of stretching and bending energies, $E = E_s + E_b$, (38, 45, 51),

$$E_s = \frac{g}{2} \int \left( h(\varepsilon') \left( \text{Tr} \varepsilon' \right)^2 + (1 - \nu) \text{Tr}(\varepsilon')^2 \right) dA$$

and

$$E_b = \frac{g}{12(1-\nu^2)} \int h(\varepsilon') \left( 2H_0 \bar{\varepsilon} + (1-\nu)K - 2H_0 \bar{\varepsilon} + K_0 \bar{\varepsilon} \right) dA$$

where $\varepsilon'$ is the 2D strain tensor with components $\varepsilon'_{xx} = \varepsilon_{xx} - \frac{g_{yy}}{2}$, $\varepsilon'_{yy} = \varepsilon_{yy} - \frac{g_{xx}}{2}$, and $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$ (52) $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy})$. $G_{ij}$ is the component of the metric tensor of
the deformed shell, $D_s$ is the covariant derivative in the direction of the tangent vector $e_i$ (with respect to the reference metric tensor components $g_{ij}$, and $2\alpha_0$ is the component of the deformed vector $\nu$). Using the trace, $E$ is the 3D Young's modulus, $h(i)\theta$ is the position-dependent thickness of the shell, $\rho$ is Poisson's ratio, $\delta A = \sqrt{\delta x_i \delta x_j} \delta x_k$ is the area element of the surface, in which $g$ is the determinant of the reference metric tensor, $H$ is the mean curvature, and $K$ is the Gaussian curvature. The mean and Gaussian curvatures are related to principle radii of curvature, $R_1$ and $R_2$, because $H = \frac{-1}{2}(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2})$ and $K = \frac{1}{R_1 R_2}$, respectively. Finally, $H(i) = \frac{1}{R_1(i)}$ and $K(i) = \frac{1}{R_1(i) R_2(i)}$ are reference (spontaneous mean and Gaussian curvature values in terms of the position-dependent radius $R_0$ of the reference spherical configuration). A derivation of Eq. 2 can be found in SI Text.

Obtaining optimal shell shapes involves minimizing the total elastic energy, which is analytically intractable for a general multicomponent system with arbitrary geometry. Instead, we use a discretized version of the elastic energy and numerical methods to obtain optimal shapes. The shell is represented as a discrete surface in 3D space created by distributing vertices randomly (but evenly) over the surface. Even distribution of vertices is achieved by performing a Monte Carlo simulation of particles of diameter $\alpha$ confined to move on the surface of a sphere and interacting through the Weeks-Chandler-Andersen potential (53). The surface is constructed using the STRIPACK algorithm (54) for Delaunay triangulation on a sphere. Because we are assuming that lamina meshworks are an elastic medium, connectivity of the discrete triangulation is kept fixed. The components are defined with respect to vertices, such that each edge is assigned an A- or B-type component, with the initial configuration having a uniform random distribution of the component types. Results were reproduced for structures with approximately $N_v = 1.2 \times 10^4$ vertices, with mesh refinement during the simulation procedure. We note that the vertices in the discrete model do not represent actual lamina molecules or filaments, but they describe regions of the lamin meshwork large enough to render the continuum description valid but small enough so that any variations in the mechanical properties within the region can be neglected.

The discrete bending energy is defined by a harmonic spring to each edge connecting vertices (36, 55):

$$E_B = \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \left( l_j - c_{ij} l_0^j \right)^2 \ ,$$  \[3\]

where the sum is carried over all edges $N_e$, $c_{ij}$ is the spring constant, with the subscript $l(i)$ being the type of the $i$th vertex (A or B), $l_j$ is the length of the $j$th edge, and $l_0$ is its unstretched length determined for each edge from the initial spherical configuration to construct a reference state with zero stretching energy. The spring constant is related to the 2D Young modulus, $E$, associated with edges (1D entities) rather than triangles (2D objects).

The discrete bending energy, $E_B$, is computed as a sum over all vertices, $N_v$, as

$$E_B = \frac{E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \left( \nu(i) \left( R_1^{(i)} - 2H(i) \right) + K^{(i)} \right) \ ,$$  \[4\]

where $A_i$ is the area element associated with each vertex computed as $A_i = \sum_{j} A_{ij}/3$, with $A_{ij}$ being the area of a triangle belonging to the star of vertex $i$ and the sum is carried over all triangles in the star. Component-specific spontaneous mean and Gaussian curvatures are defined as $H^{(i)} = 1/R_1^{(i)} = 1/(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0})$ and $K^{(i)} = 1/(R_1 R_2)$, respectively. The length scale $l_0$ is set by the initial system size as $l_0 = 10\alpha$, such that $l_1$ in the simulation approximately corresponds to $1 \mu$m. The energy scale is set by

$$E = E_B = \frac{E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} \left( \nu(i) \left( R_1^{(i)} - 2H(i) \right) + K^{(i)} \right) \ ,$$  \[4\]

where $A_i$ is the area element associated with each vertex computed as $A_i = \sum_{j} A_{ij}/3$, with $A_{ij}$ being the area of a triangle belonging to the star of vertex $i$ and the sum is carried over all triangles in the star. Component-specific spontaneous mean and Gaussian curvatures are defined as $H^{(i)} = 1/R_1^{(i)} = 1/(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_0})$ and $K^{(i)} = 1/(R_1 R_2)$, respectively. The length scale $l_0$ is set by the initial system size as $l_0 = 10\alpha$, such that $l_1$ in the simulation approximately corresponds to $1 \mu$m. The energy scale is set by