Spatial proximity of homologous alleles and long noncoding RNAs regulate a switch in allelic gene expression
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Physiological processes rely on the regulation of total mRNA levels in a cell. In diploid organisms, the transcriptional activation of one or both alleles of a gene may involve trans-allelic interactions that provide a tight spatial and temporal level of gene expression regulation. The mechanisms underlying such interactions still remain poorly understood. Here, we demonstrate that lipopolysaccharide stimulation of murine macrophages rapidly resulted in the actin-mediated and transient homologous spatial proximity of Tnfα alleles, which was necessary for the mono- to biallelic switch in gene expression. We identified two new complementary long non-coding RNAs transcribed from the Tnfα locus and showed that their knockdown had opposite effects in Tnfα spatial proximity and allelic expression. Moreover, the observed spatial proximity of Tnfα alleles depended on pyruvate kinase muscle isoform 2 (PKM2) and T-helper-inducing POZ-Krüppel-like factor (ThPOK). This study suggests a role for IncRNAs in the regulation of somatic homologous spatial proximity and allelic expression control necessary for fine-tuning mammalian immune responses.
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Tight control of total mRNA levels in a cell is essential for cellular homeostasis and normal physiology. The mRNA levels of a gene are regulated at multiple levels, and in addition to mRNA splicing, turnover, and translation, they also involve the epigenetic regulation of gene transcription (1). Transcriptional control in eukaryotic cells involves the tissue-specific activation and binding of transcription factors, which mediate their mode of action on the chromatin fiber, determined by both histone and DNA modifications (2). Another defining principle of transcriptional regulation is provided by the levels of chromatin and genome organization, which are also affected by discrete subnuclear entities, being regions of increased local concentration of protein or RNA molecules (3, 4). On the basis of the tremendous advancement of technologies that depict the genome’s organization in diverse cell types and tissues, we now know that the regulatory mechanisms involved in gene transcription include the formation of transcription networks mediated by long-range chromatin interactions (3, 5–7).

The chromosome conformation capture-based approaches in combination with fluorescence in situ hybridization to DNA (DNA FISH) have shown that a gene locus may be involved in a chromatin network formed by either intrachromosomal or interchromosomal interactions. In mammals, examples of long-range chromatin interactions have been described for the alpha and beta globin loci (8, 9), imprinted loci (10, 11), the two homologous X chromosomes (12–15), the olfactory receptor genes and the H enhancer (16, 17), and the IFNγ and T-helper-type 2 cytokine gene loci expressed in alternate cell fates of CD4+ T cells (18). The public research consortium ENCODE (the Encyclopedia of the DNA Elements) was recently launched in an attempt to identify all functional elements in the human genome (19). Systematic integrated analysis of the genome-wide chromatin interactions, which emerged from the project’s data (20–22), showed that long-range chromatin interactions are more prominent than previously thought.

Most long-range interchromosomal interactions that have been functionally characterized in interphase nuclei so far involved loci localized on nonhomologous chromosomes, with some exceptions (13, 23–25). During meiosis, most organisms go under the process of pairing their homologous chromosomes, which is usually restricted in the germ line. Somatic homologous pairing, however, has been extensively observed in dipteran insects, where it is evident in diverse cell types. In 1954, E.B. Lewis introduced the term transvection to describe cases in Drosophila melanogaster in which homologous pairing influenced gene expression involving the action of enhancers in trans (26). These interchromosomal interactions have been studied extensively in several systems, pointing out that such a mechanism regulating gene expression in trans may be a general phenomenon.

All these transsensing regulatory mechanisms ultimately point to the complex regulation of physiological processes in a cell. Innate immune responses, although tightly regulated, lack such mechanistic insight regarding the dynamic regulation of chromatin and genome organization. Macrophages, as crucial mediators of an innate immune response, can be activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of Gram-negative bacteria via Toll-like receptor 4
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that ultimately leads to the activation of several classes or responsive genes, such as the cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) (27). TNFα is a proinflammatory cytokine with a critical role in the initiation of innate and adaptive immune responses. Although TNFα deficiency causes increased susceptibility to infection, resulting in complete lack of B-cell follicles or causing tuberculosis, prolonged high concentrations of TNFα can result in severe tissue damage, autoimmunity, and cancer (28–30). It is evident that a tightly regulated balance of TNFα levels is of critical importance. TNFα gene transcription is controlled in a cell type-specific and stimulus-specific manner. Nonetheless, it also requires a tight spatial and temporal level of regulation (31, 32).

In our study, we explored the subnuclear interacting events that regulate TNFα gene expression. We focused on the identification of factors (proteins or RNA molecules) capable of mediating its subnuclear localization pattern and investigated the mechanisms involved in the fine-tuning of TNFα mRNA levels.

**Results**

**Homologous Spatial Proximity of TNFα Alleles Is Detected Early upon LPS Stimulation of Macrophages.** To study the subnuclear localization of the two TNFα alleles, we performed experiments in thioglycollate-elicited and LPS-stimulated murine peritoneal macrophages. The lymphotoxin/TNFα (LT/TNF) locus includes three genes; namely, the LT β (Ltb), TNFα (Tnfα), and LTA (Lta) genes (Fig. 1A). Quantitative reverse transcription coupled to PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments performed in primary macrophages revealed that the Tnfα gene is expressed on a time course of LPS stimulation, whereas no mRNA was detected for the Lta and Ltb genes (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, we performed DNA FISH, using fluorescently labeled bacterial artificial chromosome-DNA probes encompassing the LT/TNF locus. Interallelic distances were measured and normalized to the cell nuclear volume [normalized distance (ND) defined in Fig. 1C] to control for differences in the volume between individual cells. We found a considerable decrease of the Tnfα allele mean ND after 1 h of LPS stimulation (ND = 0.265), representing shorter Tnfα alleles distance in average. The homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfα alleles that was detected in wild-type macrophages was abolished in macrophages isolated from MyD88−/− mice, indicating the necessity for LPS signaling. More importantly, we found that in Delta3−/− macrophages, harboring an 8.4-kb deletion in the LT/TNF locus (Fig. 1A), the Tnfα alleles do not undergo homologous association, indicating the specificity of association to the LT/TNF locus (Fig. 1D and E).

**Fig. 1.** LT/TNF locus subnuclear relocalization upon LPS stimulation of macrophages. (A) The LT/TNF locus on murine chromosome 17. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of the total Tnfα mRNA levels. (C) Calculation formula for the ND of Tnfα alleles. (D) Single z-sections of DNA FISH analysis for the LT/TNF locus in LPS-stimulated primary macrophages. The Tnfα alleles distance was normalized for the volume of each cell, and the frequencies of cells with a normalized distance from 0 to 1 were plotted. Mean ND, blue triangle. (Scale bar, 2 μm) Sample size, 2,931 cells. (E) Mean ND of total number of cells. The lowest mean ND was detected for the wild-type macrophages.
To meet the demands of the subsequent biochemical experiments, we have then used the RAW 264.7 monocyte-derived murine macrophages. We performed DNA FISH experiments in a time course of LPS stimulation. We found a considerable decrease of the Tnfa allele mean ND after 30 min of LPS stimulation (ND = 0.375), representing shorter Tnfa allele distance on average. This decrease in the interallelic distance between the two Tnfa alleles was progressive, comparing the macrophage cell population before LPS stimulation with the cell populations stimulated with LPS for 10, 20, or 30 min. A subsequent increase in the mean ND places the longest interallelic distances after 1 h of LPS stimulation of macrophages, which then decreased in the time course of LPS stimulation (Fig. 2 A and B). Furthermore, cumulative frequency curves for the Tnfa alleles NDs displayed that the macrophage population stimulated with LPS for 30 min was clearly differentiated from the other points during the course of LPS stimulation, as well as the untreated cells (Fig. 2C). This finding was corroborated by statistical analysis for the randomness of the distance distributions for each point of LPS stimulation (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), portraying a normal distribution for the interallelic distances in untreated cells, but not for the 30-min LPS-stimulated macrophages (P < 0.001; Fig. 2D). This increase in the proximity of the Tnfa alleles could not be attributed to a change in the macrophage cell volume upon LPS stimulation, as the mean nuclear diameter of the cells during the course of activation did not significantly change (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). The close proximity of the Tnfa alleles in macrophages, after 30 min of LPS stimulation, taken together with the known rarity of somatic homologous pairing in interphase nuclei of mammalian cells, suggested that the homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfa alleles would be temporally transient. Interestingly, we found that this proximity was explained by the fact that the Tnfa alleles paired (ND < 0.1, allele distance shorter than 0.6 μm, given the fact that the mean nuclear diameter is 6 μm; SI Appendix, Fig. S1A) in 18.3 ± 3.3% of the cells after 30 min of LPS stimulation compared with 4.93 ± 3% of untreated macrophages (Fig. 2E).

To determine whether genomic regions flanking the LT/TNF locus were also drawn into close proximity by the homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfa alleles, we measured the interallelic distances of the E4f1 locus, which is mapped 10.7 Mb upstream of the Tnfa gene on mouse chromosome 17 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). As an additional control, we performed DNA FISH experiments and measured the interallelic distances for the gene loci mapped on different mouse chromosomes, such as the P2rx4 locus mapped on chromosome 5 and the Arb1 locus mapped on chromosome 7 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 B and C). The cell volume of the

Fig. 2. Homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfa locus. (A) Z-sections of DNA FISH analysis. (Scale bar, 2 μm.) Sample size, 441–1104 cells for each time. (B) Mean ND of total number of cells. (C) Cumulative frequency curves for Tnfa, E4f1, P2rx4, and Arb1 allele pairs. (D) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (E) Frequency of cells with an allele ND < 0.1. Bars depict the mean value with SDs from 14 independent experiments. *Value not detected. CGR8, mouse embryonic stem cell line.
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mouse macrophage cells did not alter during the course of LPS stimulation for these DNA FISH experiments (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). The cumulative frequency curves and the frequency of cells with ND < 0.1 for the E4f1 locus, as well as the P2ox4 and Arrb1 gene loci, did not show any evident allelic spatial proximity (Fig. 2 C–E), although they are activated by LPS (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

In summary, our data highlight a transient homologous spatial proximity event of the Tnfα alleles in mouse macrophages, early upon LPS stimulation, which was specific to Tnfα and was not observed in LT/TNF proximal loci or the tested loci mapped on other mouse chromosomes.

The Tnfα Gene Has a Distinct Pattern of Allelic Gene Expression. Taking into account that the subnuclear localization of gene loci has a direct effect on the gene’s expression kinetics, we wanted to study whether the transient homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfα alleles had any functional effect on Tnfα gene expression. We performed semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis for the Ltb, Tnfa, and Lta genes of the LT/TNF locus and found that only the Tnfα gene was highly expressed in macrophages upon LPS stimulation (Fig. 3A), in agreement with the data obtained for the primary macrophages. We then performed qRT-PCR experiments and found that Tnfα reached maximal mRNA levels after 1 h of LPS stimulation (Fig. 3B). To analyze the allelic expression pattern of the Tnfα gene at the single-cell level, we performed RNA-DNA FISH experiments, with the simultaneous detection of both the newly synthesized Tnfa mRNA and the DNA of the two Tnfα alleles. The analysis of the RNA-DNA FISH experiments we have performed in macrophages on a time course of LPS stimulation revealed that the highest frequency of Tnfa-expressing cells (74 ± 9.6%) was detected after 1 h of LPS stimulation (Fig. 3C), in agreement with the qRT-PCR results (Fig. 3B). We found that nascent Tnfa mRNA was not detected in resting macrophages, but the gene was rapidly activated upon LPS induction and expressed...
Tnfa from either one or both alleles, unlike the Lta gene, which was moderately activated by LPS and was only expressed in a monoallelic manner (Fig. 3D). Strikingly, the examination of Tnfa transcription at the single-cell level uncovered a unique allelic pattern of expression. Early on in LPS stimulation, during the first 30 min, Tnfa was mainly expressed from one allele. After 1 h of stimulation, however, about 70% of the expressing cells displayed a pattern of transcription from both alleles. After the 1 h LPS biallelic switch, the frequency of expressing cells steadily declined until it reached basal levels after 24 h of stimulation (Fig. 3E). The switch from mono- to biallelic gene expression coincided with the qRT-PCR results of maximal mRNA levels at the 1 h LPS time point, portrayed both by total Tnfa transcript levels and expressing cell frequency (Fig. 3B and C). In short, Tnfa gene expression follows a distinct monoallelic pattern succeeded by a switch to biallelic expression early on in LPS stimulation of macrophages.

The LPS-Induced and Actin-Mediated Homologous Spatial Proximity of the LT/TNF Locus Is Necessary for the Biallelic Switch in Tnfa Gene Expression. To further explore whether the homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfa alleles played a role in mediating the subsequent biallelic switch in Tnfa gene expression, we blocked actin polymerization using latrunculin A (LTA) and found that the movement of the LT/TNF locus was impeded and spatial proximity was reduced (Fig. 3F). Although the frequency of cells that expressed Tnfa was not affected by the treatment with LTA (Fig. 3G), we found that the switch to biallelic gene expression, after 1 h of LPS stimulation, was not observed (Fig. 3H). We next investigated whether the TNFα cytokine, produced by macrophages upon LPS stimulation, was responsible for inducing the homologous spatial proximity of the Tnfa alleles and the subsequent switch from mono- to biallelic gene expression. We treated RAW 264.7 macrophages with mouse recombiant TNFα and found that it failed to induce homologous spatial proximity (Fig. 3J). RNA-DNA FISH experiments on TNFα-treated macrophages revealed that although Tnfa gene transcription was detected (Fig. 3J), biallelic expression was greatly impaired (Fig. 3K). We conclude that the homologous association of the Tnfa alleles is LPS-induced, actin-mediated, and indispensable for the biallelic switch in Tnfa gene expression.

Identification of Two Complementary Long Noncoding RNAs Transcribed from the LT/TNF Locus. Long noncoding RNAs have been implicated in the regulation of many diverse physiological processes maintaining cell homeostasis (33–36). For example, to compensate for gene dosage differences between sexes, the mechanism of mammalian X inactivation involves the transient pairing of the two X chromosomes, and subsequently the expression of the Xist lncRNA results in the down-regulation of gene expression in the inactive X chromosome (13, 35, 37, 38). The involvement of lncRNAs in mammalian X inactivation, as well as in other processes entailing trans-allelic proximity (39), directed us to examine whether there was evidence of such long transcripts in the LT/TNF locus. For the detection of a sense long RNA transcript, we have used primer 9F (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4) to produce cDNA in a reverse transcription reaction with total RNA as template and then used this single primed cDNA as template for PCR reactions 1–9 (Fig. 4C and D). To detect an antisense long transcript in the locus, we used primer 1R (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4) to produce cDNA in a reverse transcription reaction with total RNA as template and then used this single primed cDNA as template for the PCR reactions 1–11 (Fig. 4C and D). On the basis of the PCR analysis of these single-oligonucleotide-primed cDNAs, we concluded that there are two complementary long RNA molecules, with a length of more than 12.0 kb each, that encompassed the Lta and Tnfa gene loci, and their expression was up-regulated upon LPS stimulation of the cells (Fig. 4C, Upper, for untreated macrophages and Fig. 4C, Lower, for 1-h LPS–treated macrophages). We named these transcripts lncRNA SeT (for LT/TNF locus lncRNA sense transcript) and lncRNA AsE (for LT/TNF locus lncRNA antisense transcript) (Fig. 4A and C). We then used the rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) approach to characterize the potential 5′- and 3′-ends of each individual transcript and identified both ends of each transcript (Fig. 4D and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). LncRNA SeT had a size of 12,340 bp, and its transcription start site mapped 8,258 bp upstream from the transcription start site of the Tnfa gene, whereas its 3′-end mapped between the Tnfa and Ltb genes. LncRNA AsE had a size of 12,033 bp, its transcription start site mapped 3,835 bp downstream from the transcription start site of the Tnfa gene, and its 3′-end mapped 60 bp downstream from the transcription start site of the lncRNA SeT (Fig. 4A). On the basis of additional RACE reactions performed, we have also identified alternative 5′- and 3′-ends for each transcript, which are presented diagrammatically in SI Appendix, Fig. S4. It is noteworthy that we were able to detect the lncRNA AsE in differentiated human monocytes (THP1 cell line), but not the lncRNA SeT (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Although informative, a more thorough analysis should be performed for the presence and the transcription start sites of these lncRNAs in human cell types. Moreover, using biotinylated strand-specific riboprobes coupled to extensive signal amplification, we were able to detect each individual SeT and AsE transcript in LPS-activated macrophages (Fig. 4E). On the basis of our results, we conclude there are two long cRNA molecules transcribed from the LT/TNF locus.

LncRNAs SeT and AsE Regulate the Homologous Spatial Proximity and Biallelic Expression of Tnfa. To further characterize the long transcripts SeT and AsE, we examined the genomic regions upstream from the transcription start site of each transcript, using the Evolutionary Conserved Regions browser, and found them to be conserved (>70% homology) between mammalian species (Fig. S4). To study the chromatin conformation of these conserved genomic regions, we performed DNase I hypersensitivity assays.
and found them to be hypersensitive in untreated RAW 264.7 murine macrophages, whereas the DNase I hypersensitivity increased upon LPS stimulation of the cells (Fig. 5B). We used mammalian expression vectors and cloned these genomic regions upstream of a luciferase reporter gene (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Transient transfection of the two individual constructs in RAW 264.7 cells and subsequent LPS stimulation of the cells revealed that the DNase I hypersensitive site (HSS) 1 upstream from the transcription start site of the lncRNA SeT showed increased promoter-reporter activity rapidly (30 min) upon LPS stimulation of the cells, which remained active 3 h after the initial stimulation. In contrast, HSS9, upstream from the transcription start site of the lncRNA AseT, showed rapid and transient promoter-reporter activity after 30 min of LPS stimulation of the cells (Fig. 5C).

To determine the relative RNA expression levels of the two lncRNAs, we performed qRT-PCR upon specific primer reverse transcription and found that the lncRNAs were both expressed in untreated mouse macrophages and are rapidly up-regulated upon LPS stimulation of the cells (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, the two lncRNAs had distinctly different roles in the Tnfi homologous spatial proximity and allelic expression profile. Silencing of the lncRNA SeT (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A), using locked nucleic acid (LNA) technology, had no effect on the LT/TNF locus homologous spatial proximity (Fig. 5E) and rendered Tnfi transcription mainly biallelic (Fig. 5F) upon LPS stimulation of macrophages, with no apparent effect on Tnfi monoallelic expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). In contrast, LNA-mediated silencing of lncRNA AseT (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B) impaired both LT/TNF locus homologous spatial proximity and its biallelic expression (Fig. 5E and F). Therefore, the specific silencing of each individual of the two complementary lncRNAs had opposite effects on the homologous spatial proximity and allelic Tnfi expression profile.

**Identification of the Pyruvate Kinase Muscle Isoform 2 Protein with GA Binding Activity.** To unravel the functional mechanism behind the regulation of homologous spatial proximity of Tnfi alleles and its effect on the regulation of allelic expression profile of the Tnfi gene, we have chosen to purify and characterize protein complexes that mediate such a phenomenon. We thus resorted to the protein factors that play a major role in transcription. GAF, a protein encoded by the Tribolium-like (Tli) gene in *Drosophila*, is a transcription factor with DNA binding and transactivation properties that binds to GAGAG motifs and locally remodels chromatin to enable enhancer–promoter interactions (40–42). Because there is no biochemically or functionally characterized mammalian homolog of the *Drosophila* GAF, we performed a series of biochemical assays to identify a protein factor with similar activity.

We selected (GA)₄ repetitive DNA stretches from the LT/TNF locus or an oligonucleotide harboring a single GAGAG motif mapping on the Tnfi gene promoter and performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays, using nuclear protein extracts prepared from LPS-stimulated mouse macrophages. We identified
a specific DNA binding activity, which was dependent on LPS stimulation of the cells, and reached maximal binding on the Tnfα promoter oligonucleotide after 30 min of LPS stimulation (Fig. 6A). In accordance with these experiments, we performed Southwestern blotting experiments and were also able to detect maximal (GA)₈ oligonucleotide binding of several proteins present in nuclear protein extracts prepared from 30-min LPS-stimulated macrophages (Fig. 6B).

To isolate and characterize the protein or proteins with (GA)₈ binding activity, we have undertaken a series of approaches. Nuclear polyadenylated mRNA from LPS-stimulated macrophages (for 30 min) was used for the construction of a cDNA library in a yeast one-hybrid screening, using a sequence of 25 GA nucleotides as bait (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). In parallel, fractionated nuclear protein extracts from LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages (Fig. 6C and D) were used for DNA affinity chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Mouse pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme 2 (PKM2) was identified by both approaches as a protein with the ability to bind specifically on GA-repetitive elements (Fig. 6E and F). On the basis of our results, we suggest that the PKM2 protein binds GA-containing sequences from the Tnfα promoter after 30 min of LPS stimulation and that this binding activity is dependent on LPS stimulation of the cells, and reached maximal binding on the Tnfα promoter oligonucleotide after 30 min of LPS stimulation (Fig. 6A). In accordance with these experiments, we performed Southwestern blotting experiments and were also able to detect maximal (GA)₈ oligonucleotide binding of several proteins present in nuclear protein extracts prepared from 30-min LPS-stimulated macrophages (Fig. 6B).

**Homologous Spatial Proximity Depends on PKM2 and T-Helper-Inducing POZ-Krüppel-Like Factor Proteins.** PKM2 is a cytoplasmic protein with a role in glycolysis and was also recently shown to translocate into the nucleus and directly regulate transcription as a protein kinase (43–45). Using specific antibodies, we performed immunostaining experiments and found that PKM2 protein was mainly localized in the cytoplasm, but also in the nucleus of macrophages, with a distinct speckled pattern after 30 min of LPS stimulation (Fig. 7A and SI Appendix, Fig. S10A). T-helper-inducing POZ-Krüppel-like factor (ThPOK), in silico predicted to be the GAF domain-containing protein that did not occur to maintain allelic exclusion, as in X chromosome inactivation or the Ig loci, but regulates mRNA dose control through a mono- to biallelic switch in Tnfα gene expression (Fig. 7E). Taken together, these data show that PKM2 and ThPOK proteins mediate Tnfα homologous spatial proximity, and silencing of one of them disrupts the association and consequently blocks the switch from mono- to biallelic Tnfα expression.

**Discussion**

Taken together, our data revealed the LPS-induced, transient and rapidly established homologous spatial proximity of Tnfα alleles that did not occur to maintain allelic exclusion, as in X chromosome inactivation or the Ig loci, but regulates mRNA dose control through a mono- to biallelic switch in Tnfα gene expression (Fig. 7F). Used as a way of information exchange in trans, Tnfα homologous spatial proximity ensures the production of maximal Tnfα mRNA levels necessary for macrophage immune responses.

Although evidence for regional pairing of homologous chromosomes has increased over recent years, it still remains unclear how the two alleles find each other and what mediates and/or sustains these associations. It has been speculated to be either a result of the properties of a larger region of the chromosome or a result of the specific settings provided by distinct genomic elements. Homologous pairing has been documented in several studies, the most prominent of which is the establishment of monoallelic silencing of the X chromosome. In X inactivation, the two chromosomes pair and the IncRNA Xist is transiently down-regulated to allow the monoallelic expression of the IncRNA Xist to reach levels sufficient for the coating and silencing of the inactive X chromosome (12). Pairing was also shown to occur between the Ig loci. In this case, one of the two alleles undergoes recombination-dependent cleavage, and the other is heterochromatinized (23). In imprinted loci, it is easier to distinguish...
the two loci, as they are differentially premarked through DNA methylation. Homologous pairing in the cases of Prader-Willi/ Angelman region in humans or the Kcnql cluster has been extensively studied, and in these cases too, the result of the association was monoallelic expression (24, 25). These examples are associated with allelic exclusion, and it has been suggested that homologous pairing is a feature of regions in which one allele is silenced and monoallelic expression is maintained.

Moreover, homologous pairing has also been associated with DNA repair of double-strand breaks. Although nonhomologous end joining is more commonly used in mammals for the repair of such DNA damage, homologous recombination, which is predominantly used in yeast, is also found in mammals in the case of replication-induced breaks (47). It was recently shown that homologous pairing is not dependent on imprinting or allelic exclusion; in fact, loss of imprinting did not change pairing frequency. Instead, it was shown that somatic homologous pairing, although rare, depends on chromosomal position and transcriptional activity (48). Our data are in line with these findings, as we describe allelic exclusion that occurs independently of imprinting status, and does not occur to the homologous spatial proximity of the two loci, as they are differentially premarked through DNA methylation. Homologous pairing in the cases of Prader-Willi/Angelman region in humans or the Kcnq1 cluster has been extensively studied, and in these cases too, the result of the association was monoallelic expression (24, 25). These examples are associated with allelic exclusion, and it has been suggested that homologous pairing is a feature of regions in which one allele is silenced and monoallelic expression is maintained.

Moreover, homologous pairing has also been associated with DNA repair of double-strand breaks. Although nonhomologous end joining is more commonly used in mammals for the repair of such DNA damage, homologous recombination, which is predominantly used in yeast, is also found in mammals in the case of replication-induced breaks (47). It was recently shown that homologous pairing is not dependent on imprinting or allelic exclusion; in fact, loss of imprinting did not change pairing frequency. Instead, it was shown that somatic homologous pairing, although rare, depends on chromosomal position and transcriptional activity (48). Our data are in line with these findings, as we describe allelic exclusion that occurs independently of imprinting status, and does not occur to

It has been involved in several phosphorylation and transactivation events and has been found to bind DNA either directly or indirectly. It is intriguing, however, how a glycolytic enzyme is able to simultaneously function as a protein kinase. Studies of PKM2 in tumor cells, where it is predominantly found in its dimeric form, unable to convert phosphoenolpyruvic acid to pyruvate (49), give a possible answer to this question. The tetrameric form of PKM2 in the cytoplasm interacts with several glycolytic enzymes or oncoproteins, which are able to promote the conversion of PKM2 to a dimer (50).

In addition, the binding of phosphorylated tyrosine peptides to PKM2 decreases its enzymatic activity (43). This was possibly caused by the exposure of a hydrophobic part of the dimeric protein, able to bind a protein substrate, in contrast to the tetrameric form, where this site would be inaccessible (51, 52). Thus, even without a prominent DNA binding domain, it is possible that LPS stimulation induces the switch to the dimeric form of PKM2 via an interaction in the cytoplasm, facilitating PKM2 to bind the LT/TNF locus, maybe through an interaction with ThPOK. Although a phosphorylation event may be occurring during the binding of PKM2 to ThPOK, altering the function of the latter (ThPOK contains tyrosine residues predicted to be phosphorylated by protein kinases), we have shown that both proteins are functionally necessary for the establishment of Tnfr homologous spatial proximity, as well as the switch in allelic expression.

Furthermore, we have implicated two long complementary transcripts, expressed by the LT/TNF locus, in the control of the switch in allelic expression of the Tnfr gene. The two lncRNAs involved seem to counteract one another by means of transcript quantity and localization. In correspondence to the function of
the lncRNA \textit{Xist} in \textit{X} inactivation, we may suggest that lncRNA \textit{SeT} blocks \textit{Tnfa} transcription from the second allele by coating the locus after 30 min of LPS stimulation, supported by the fact that LNA-mediated knock-down of this transcript allowed both alleles to express \textit{Tnfa}. In parallel, lncRNA \textit{AseT} functioned oppositely by displacing its complementary lncRNA \textit{SeT} after 1 h of LPS stimulation (RNA-DNA FISH experiments show lncRNA \textit{SeT} to be dispersed around the locus after 30 min of LPS stimulation), allowing the switch to biallelic expression. LNA mediated knock-down of the lncRNA \textit{AseT} could both disrupt homologous spatial proximity as well as render \textit{Tnfa} expression monoallelic, possibly by allowing lncRNA \textit{SeT} to coat the locus.

Further investigation in the mechanisms involved in the induction and maintenance of TNF\textit{a} maximal levels is of great importance for both basic research and clinical practice. First, because a mechanism controlling somatic homologous pairing and allelic expression may be occurring in a wide range of inducible systems, and second, because the identification of ways to exploit such a mechanism could be used in the future to study and possibly resolve the deregulation of gene expression in disease models.

Materials and Methods

Detailed experimental procedures are available in the SI Appendix.

Cell Treatments. Murine monocyte-derived macrophages RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with 50 ng/mL LPS (InvivoGen) or 10 ng/mL TNF\textit{a} (R&D Systems) or pretreated with 10 μM Latrunculin A (Sigma) where stated. Knockdown experiments for PKM2 and ThPOK were performed with the use of 5 nM Silencer Select siRNAs incubated with siPORT NeoFX Transfection Agent (Ambion, Applied Biosystems) in OPTI-MEM media (GIBCO) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The LITTNF locus long transcripts were knocked down using Locked Nucleic Acid oligonucleotides (Exiqon). Thio-glycollate-elicited (Breuer’s medium, LAB064, Lab M) peritoneal macrophages were harvested from C57BL/6, B6.129P2-Ltb/Tnf/Lta \textit{tm1Dvk}/J (Delta3 \textsuperscript{−/−}), or Myd88 \textsuperscript{−/−} mice, plated overnight, and stimulated with LPS as described earlier.

RNA-DNA FISH. For DNA FISH experiments, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/1× PBS and permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100/1× PBS. For RNA-DNA FISH, cells were treated with cytoskeletal buffer prior to fixation. Hybridizations of genomic loci and nascent RNA were performed with bacterial artificial chromosome or cDNA probes labeled with spectrum orange/green dUTP. Nuclear DNA was stained with ToPro3 (ToPro3 Iodide 642/661) and pseudocolored blue.

Imaging Analysis and Statistics. The analyses and measurements of allele distances and nuclear volumes were performed with the use of the Velocity software (Improvision, Perkin-Elmer). Statistical analysis for the randomness of the distance distributions was performed in a pairwise manner, using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

RACE. The FirstChoice RLM-RACE kit (Invitrogen, AM1700M) was used to identify the 5′- and 3′-ends of specific capped mRNA molecules from RAW 264.7 macrophages treated with 50 ng/mL LPS for 1 h.
Protein Identification and Mass Spectrometry. For the isolation of DNA binding proteins, the Yaneva and Tempst protocol was followed (53). Coomassie-stained polyacrylamide gel bands were destained, reduced, alkylated, and digested with trypsin (proteomics grade, Sigma, T6657). The subsequent mass spectrometric analysis involved nano-liquid chromatography–MS/MS analysis (54).
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