Evaluating the privacy properties of telephone metadata
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Since 2013, a stream of disclosures has prompted reconsideration of surveillance law and policy. One of the most controversial principles, both in the United States and abroad, is that communications metadata receives substantially less protection than communications content. Several nations currently collect telephone metadata in bulk, including on their own citizens. In this paper, we attempt to shed light on the privacy properties of telephone metadata. Using a crowdsourcing methodology, we demonstrate that telephone metadata is densely interconnected, can trivially be reidentified, and can be used to draw sensitive inferences.

Significance

Privacy protections against government surveillance are often scoped to communications content and exclude communications metadata. In the United States, the National Security Agency operated a particularly controversial program, collecting bulk telephone metadata nationwide. We investigate the privacy properties of telephone metadata to assess the impact of policies that distinguish between content and metadata. We find that telephone metadata is densely interconnected, can trivially be reidentified, enables automated location and relationship inferences, and can be used to determine highly sensitive traits.

Methods

We collected the data in this study through an Android smartphone application (Fig. 1). Participants could discover the project through academic websites, the Google Play store, and references in media coverage. The application automatically retrieved historical call and text message (Short Message Service (SMS)) metadata from device logs. In addition, the application retrieved information from a participant’s Facebook account, to be used as ground truth for potential inferences. Participants were provided an opportunity to view individualized features of their phone metadata, and then they were invited to uninstall the application. In total, 823 participants volunteered their metadata, which included 251,788 calls and 1,234,231 text messages. The Supporting Information provides additional detail on data sources and dataset properties (Figs. S1–S5 and 1. Dataset Methodology, 1.1. Data Collection, 1.2. Participants, 1.3. Logs, and 1.4. Sampling Bias).

Ethical Considerations. Given the quantity and sensitivity of the data associated with this project, we instituted several informed consent mechanisms. Participants received extensive disclosure notices, both in the application and on the study website. In addition, the Facebook software library notified participants of the categories of social network information that the application was requesting. Each screen of the application, until information upload was complete, provided participants with an opportunity to withdraw. Furthermore, participants were furnished contact information for research staff such that they could request deletion of their information after using the application. The university institutional review board suggested helpful methodological refinements, and we began collecting data only after receiving the board’s approval.

We also took a number of security precautions to safeguard participant information. Our application transmitted information to a cloud storage service only over an encrypted and authenticated connection (transport layer security (TLS)), and we retrieved information only over TLS. Credentials for accessing the data were restricted to the research team, and once the data were retrieved, the data were stored on encrypted devices at academic facilities.

Dataset. We provide a detailed treatment of our dataset in the Supporting Information. We note here, importantly, that our crowdsourced dataset is not a
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3The contours of the content-metadata distinction are well established for telephony and messaging, but are far more elusive for newer forms of communication.
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random, representative sample of the US population. Participant requirements and recruiting introduced biases, reflected in skewed demographics. In addition, participant Facebook profiles did not include a uniform set of fields. Our results are, however, strongly suggestive of properties in the larger population. The privacy phenomena that we observe are not subtle, and their causes are generalizable.

Results

In the following sections, we pose open questions about the privacy properties of telephone metadata. We then use our crowdsourced data to provide approximate empirical answers.

Graph Structure. Certain metadata surveillance programs impose a “hop” constraint, most notably the NSA’s domestic telephone program (7, 8). After accessing metadata on a suspected (“seed”) telephone number, an analyst can retrieve records for numbers one or more edges (“hops”) distant in a connectivity graph. **

These restrictions are intended to constrain the volume of metadata that an agency can access. Although the NSA program initially allowed three hops, executive officials scaled it back to two hops following criticism (9).

Durational limits are another form of constraint on metadata surveillance. In the NSA’s program, analysts can retrieve metadata for 5 years prior. A revision to the program, proposed by the White House, would shorten the accessible history to 18 months—the current retention period under federal communications regulation (10).

Our dataset enables us to quantify the impact of these surveillance limitations. We begin with a discussion of the structure of the telephone connectivity graph, then describe how we accounted for longitudinal considerations, and finally quantitatively assess the efficacy of these constraints.

Prior work on telephone graphs has emphasized a small-world network topology (11), largely treating the graphs as diffuse social networks. The literature emphasizes monotonic, heavy-tailed degree distributions, and especially power law distributions (12–19).

Our results are broadly consistent, with two refinements. We find that at the low end of node degree, among participants, probability density includes a peak and a one-sided heavy tail (Fig. 2, Fig. S6, and 2. Graph Structure and Analysis Methodology, 2.1. Individual Participant Structure). The intuitive explanation is that a small proportion of telephone subscribers makes essentially no telephone use, and another small proportion makes unusually heavy use. In future work, a nonmonotonic distribution—such as a variant of a log-normal distribution—would better approximate individual telephone use behavior (see ref. 18).

More importantly, we find that at the high end of node degree, there are hubs that connect meaningful proportions of the entire participant population (Fig. S7 and 2. Graph Structure and Analysis Methodology, 2.2. Hub Structure). These widely shared telephone numbers include customer service lines, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) bridges, two-factor authentication services, and telemarketers (Fig. S8). Critically, for purposes of surveillance regulation, these high-degree nodes establish two-hop paths between large volumes of individual telephone subscribers (Fig. S9).

Because participants varied in the duration of telephone logs that they provided, and because some surveillance programs (including the NSA’s) extend beyond the time window of our dataset, we are compelled to extrapolate a longitudinal distribution of participant degree. We accomplished this by fitting curves to longitudinal degree data (Fig. S10 and 2. Graph Structure and Analysis Methodology, 2.3. Estimating the Effects of Surveillance Regulation).

With these preliminaries, we are able to quantitatively estimate the reach of a telephone metadata surveillance program under particular hop and duration limits. Fig. 3 depicts expected reach...
against time and hop count, assuming the call graph only includes individual subscribers. Fig. 3B uses a bootstrapping algorithm to incorporate the effects of high-degree hubs (Algorithm S1 and 2. Graph Structure and Analysis Methodology, 2.3. Estimating the Effects of Surveillance Regulation). An additional 3D surface visualization is provided in Fig. S11 and 2. Graph Structure and Analysis Methodology, 2.3. Estimating the Effects of Surveillance Regulation.

Applied to the NSA’s program, our results strongly suggest that until 2013, analysts had legal authority to access telephone records for the majority of the entire US population. Under the more recent two-hop rule, the proposed 18-month-retention period, and an assumption that national and local hub numbers are removed from the call graph,†† an analyst could in expectation access records for ~25,000 subscribers with a single seed.

Reidentification. One of the chief defenses of metadata surveillance programs, including the NSA’s, has been that the information is not identified. By relying on data that are not “personally identifiable information” (PII), the argument goes, metadata programs have a lesser privacy impact.‡‡

Prior work has demonstrated that the policy distinction between PII and non-PII is not based on sound science. Researchers have demonstrated “reidentification” risks in a number of applications, including health records (21, 22), location histories (23–25), web search queries (26), web browsing activity (27–29), movie reviews (30), and social network graphs (31, 32).

We contribute to this literature with an unsurprising result: telephone numbers are trivially reidentifiable. We conducted both automated and manual attempts at reidentification, and we found that both approaches were highly successful. To quantify the feasibility of automated telephone number reidentification, we leveraged existing directory, search, and social network application programming interfaces (APIs). We randomly selected 30,000 numbers from our dataset and queried free, public interfaces hosted by Yelp, Google Places, and Facebook using these numbers. This approach matched identities for 9,576 (32%) of the numbers (Table 1). Matches included both businesses (from Yelp and Google Places) as well as individuals (from Facebook). These results are necessarily conservative; with access to commercial databases, a business or government agency would be able to achieve substantially higher match rates.

To assess the efficacy of manual reidentification, we randomly selected 250 phone numbers from our dataset and used two separate strategies for manual reidentification. First, we used a manual query interface for an inexpensive commercial database (Intelius). Second, we performed manual Google web searches and examined the results for identifying information. In total, we spent $19.95 for a month subscription to Intelius and 70-min running web searches. With these limited resources—far below those available to a large business or intelligence agency—we were still able to identify the overwhelming majority of the numbers (Table 2).

Location Inferences. The policy and law surrounding telephone metadata has conventionally distinguished call and text records from mobile location records. We used our dataset to investigate the extent to which location could be inferred from calls and text messages.

Prior work on mobile phone location has relied upon precise and dense Global Positioning System (GPS), wireless network, and cell tower measurements, using them to predict personal locations and movement patterns between those locations (33–35). In comparison, we show that home locations can often be predicted using imprecise and sparse telephone metadata. We accomplish this in two steps: (i) locating the businesses in a participant’s phone logs using the reidentification techniques described above; and (ii) using those business locations to predict home locations.

Both Yelp and Google Places provide street addresses for reidentified businesses. We determined the latitude and longitude of these addresses using the Google Geocoding API. Following the intuition that most of the businesses an individual calls are clustered around their home, we used the DBSCAN algorithm (36) to find the largest cluster of calls based on business location information. We then predicted home location at the median latitude and longitude of the cluster.

Table 1. Performance of telephone number reidentification (automated approaches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Look-up source</th>
<th>Matched, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Google Places</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yelp</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Automated Sources</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among participants in our study, 418 listed a current city on Facebook. Of these participants, 241 (60%) had at least 10 calls to reidentified businesses. We were able to correctly predict the Facebook current city of 130 (57%) participants using the method described above. Fig. 4 presents the prediction accuracy at varying distance tolerances, measured from the center of a participant’s current city.

Relationship Inferences. Another policy concern surrounding telephone metadata is that the metadata could be used to infer categories of interpersonal relationships. To understand the feasibility of drawing such inferences with an automated methodology, at scale, we focused on studying romantic relationships.

Prior work has applied supervised learning to a small sample of smartphone sensor and usage data and achieved good performance at predicting marital status (37, 53). Related research has also demonstrated the feasibility of inferring relationship status from an online social network graph (38).

We built a classifier for whether a person was in a relationship, based on his or her call and text records. We began by selecting participants who were, according to their Facebook profile, single based on his or her call and text records. We then applied our automated and manual reidentification methods to the subset of participants with an identified relationship partner (N = 211) and achieved good performance (Table 3).

In sum, it appears feasible—with further refinement—to draw Facebook-quality relationship inferences from telephone metadata.

Sensitive Trait Inferences. Perhaps the greatest policy concern surrounding telephone metadata is the possibility of drawing sensitive inferences. The issue is neatly encapsulated in a parade of horribles (41). Calls to religion-affiliated numbers provided an opportunity to validate the accuracy of automated sensitive inferences. A subset of participants both placed a call to a religious group and provided a religion on Facebook (N = 18). Among these, the most-called religious group overwhelmingly matched the Facebook religion (N = 14).

Our results suggest that, even without human review, a business or agency could draw sensitive inferences from a significant share of telephone records.

To simulate the inferences that might be drawn from manual telephone record analysis, we focused on participants who held a high proportion of their phone conversations with sensitive numbers. We then applied our automated and manual reidentification methods to a small sample of smartphone sensor and usage data and achieved good performance at predicting marital status (37, 53). Related research has also demonstrated the feasibility of inferring relationship status from an online social network graph (38).

We built a classifier for whether a person was in a relationship, based on his or her call and text records. We began by selecting participants who were, according to their Facebook profile, single based on his or her call and text records. We then applied our automated and manual reidentification methods to the subset of participants with an identified relationship partner (N = 211) and achieved good performance (Table 3).

In sum, it appears feasible—with further refinement—to draw Facebook-quality relationship inferences from telephone metadata.

Sensitive Trait Inferences. Perhaps the greatest policy concern surrounding telephone metadata is the possibility of drawing sensitive inferences. The issue is neatly encapsulated in a parade of horribles (41). Calls to religion-affiliated numbers provided an opportunity to validate the accuracy of automated sensitive inferences. A subset of participants both placed a call to a religious group and provided a religion on Facebook (N = 18). Among these, the most-called religious group overwhelmingly matched the Facebook religion (N = 14).

Our results suggest that, even without human review, a business or agency could draw sensitive inferences from a significant share of telephone records.

To simulate the inferences that might be drawn from manual telephone record analysis, we focused on participants who held a high proportion of their phone conversations with sensitive numbers. We then applied our automated and manual reidentification methods to a small sample of smartphone sensor and usage data and achieved good performance at predicting marital status (37, 53). Related research has also demonstrated the feasibility of inferring relationship status from an online social network graph (38).
Table 2. Performance of telephone number reidentification (manual and combined approaches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Look-up source</th>
<th>Matched, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intelius</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google search</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All automated sources</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sources</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Performance of relationship partner identification heuristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heuristic, maximum</th>
<th>Accuracy, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call duration</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days with a call</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texts</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text length</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days with a text</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

approaches, attempting to identify as many of each participant’s contacts as possible.12‡‡‡

The following vignettes are reflective of the types of inferences we were able to draw.

i) Participant A held conversations with a pharmacy specializing in chronic care, a patient service that coordinates management for serious conditions, several local neurology practices, and a pharmaceutical hotline for a prescription drug used solely to manage the symptoms and progression of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.

ii) Participant B received a long phone call from the cardiology group at a regional medical center, talked briefly with a medical laboratory, answer several short calls from a local drugstore, and made brief calls to a self-reporting hotline for a cardiac arrhythmia monitoring device.

iii) Participant C placed frequent calls to a local firearm dealer that prominently advertises a specialty in the AR semiautomatic rifle platform. He also placed lengthy calls to the consumer support hotline for a major firearm manufacturer; the manufacturer produces a popular AR line of rifles.

iv) Participant D placed calls to a hardware outlet, locksmiths, a hydroponics store, and a head shop in under 3 weeks.

v) Participant E made a lengthy phone call to her sister early one morning. Then, 2 days later, she called a nearby Planned Parenthood clinic several times. Two weeks later, she placed brief additional calls to Planned Parenthood, and she placed another short call 1 month after.

Using public sources, we were able to confirm that participant B had a cardiac arrhythmia and participant C owned an AR rifle. As for the remaining inferences, regardless of whether they were accurate, the mere appearance of possessing a highly sensitive trait assuredly constitutes a serious privacy impact.§§§

Our results lend strong support to the view that telephone metadata is extraordinarily sensitive, especially when paired with a broad array of readily available information. For a randomly selected telephone subscriber, over a short period, drawing these sorts of sensitive inferences may not be feasible. However, over a large sample of telephone subscribers, over a lengthy period, it is inevitable that some individuals will expose highly confidential information about ordinary citizens.

Discussion

The results of our study are unambiguous: there are significant privacy impacts associated with telephone metadata surveillance. Telephone metadata is densely interconnected, easily reidentifiable, and trivially gives rise to location, relationship, and sensitive inferences. In combination with independent reviews that have found bulk metadata surveillance to be an ineffective intelligence strategy (7, 8), our findings should give policymakers pause when authorizing such programs.

More broadly, this project emphasizes the need for scientifically rigorous surveillance regulation. Much of the law and policy that we explored in this research was informed by assumption and conventional wisdom, not quantitative analysis. To strike an appropriate balance between national security and civil liberties, future policymaking must be informed by input from the relevant sciences.

Our results also bear on commercial data practices. It is routine practice for telecommunications firms to collect, retain, and transfer subscriber telephone records, often dubbed “Customer Proprietary Network Information” (49, 50). Telecommunications regulation should also incorporate a scientifically rigorous understanding of the privacy properties of these data.

There remains much future work to be done in this space. To conduct this study, we were compelled to rely on a small and

‡‡‡Although several of these participants consented to being identified in this publication, out of recognition for the associated privacy risks, we use only pseudonyms.

§§§More generally, a probabilistic sensitive inference—even with less than even likelihood—could constitute a significant privacy risk.
unrepresentative dataset. Future efforts would benefit from population-scale data; the challenges are in sourcing the data, not computing on them. Future work could also pair telephone records with more comprehensive ground truth than the Facebook data we accessed. Subscriber records and cell site location information, for instance, would better enable testing for inferences. Another potential direction is testing more advanced approaches to automated inferences; the machine-learning techniques we applied in this study were effective, although relatively rudimentary.
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